

Regularization parameters for the self-force of a moving particle and coordinate transformations

Dong-Hoon Kim
University of Florida

Overview

1. What is self-force?

2. Review of general formal schemes for calculating self-force

- Dirac (1938), Dewitt and Brehme (1960), Mino, Sasaki and Tanaka (1997), Quinn and Wald (1997), Quinn (2000).
- Difficulty with these schemes.
- Analytic implementation: Dewitt and Dewitt (1964), Pfenning and Poisson (2001).

3. Recent approach to evaluation of self-force: Barack and Ori (2000, 2002, 2003), Burko (2000), Barack and Burko (2000)

- Subtraction method (half analytical and half numerical).
- Spherical harmonic decomposition.
- Mode-sum regularization.

4. My approach to self-force of a scalar field in Schwarzschild space-time (I): Detweiler and Whiting (2002), Detweiler, Messaritaki, and Whiting (2003), Kim (2004)

- Subtraction method*, spherical harmonic decomposition, mode-sum regularization.
- Elementary implementation of calculating dominant “regularization parameters” - A and B terms.
- Extending to self-forces of an electromagnetic vector field and a gravitational tensor field .
- Extending to other cases of spacetime.

5. My approach to self-force of a scalar field in Schwarzschild space-time (II): Kim (2004), Detweiler and Kim (in progress)

- Structural analyses of C and D terms.
- Analysis of geometry.
- Implementation of calculating D terms (in progress).
- Why interesting?

1. What is self-force?

- The self-force is the interaction of a particle with the perturbation of the spacetime geometry created by the particle itself.
- It changes the worldline of the particle.
- e.g. Self-force of a scalar field:

$$F_{\text{self}}^a = q \nabla^a \psi,$$

q : charge of a particle,

ψ : field that results from the particle itself but does not include the “*singular*” part.

2. Review of general formal schemes for calculating self-force

- Dirac (1938): an electron moving in *flat spacetime*

- 1) $m\dot{v}^a = ev^b F^a{}_{b\text{in}} + \frac{2}{3}e^2 (\ddot{v}^a - \dot{v}^2 v^a).$

- 2) $F_{\text{ret}}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (F_{\text{ret}}^{ab} + F_{\text{adv}}^{ab})_{(i)} + \frac{1}{2} (F_{\text{ret}}^{ab} - F_{\text{adv}}^{ab})_{(ii)},$ where $F^{ab} = \nabla^a A^b - \nabla^b A^a,$

(i) solution of $\eta^{bc} \partial_b \partial_c A_a = 4\pi j_a \Rightarrow$ *singular field* \sim *Coulomb q/r piece* near particle.

(ii) solution of $\eta^{bc} \partial_b \partial_c A_a = 0 \Rightarrow$ *radiation field* \sim responsible for *ALD force*.

- 3) *No self-force* on the particle in the absence of external fields (\leftarrow *physical* solution $\dot{v} = 0$ when $F_{\text{in}}^{ab} = 0$).

- Dewitt and Brehme (1960): Dirac's problem extended to *curved spacetime*

- 1) $m\dot{v}^a = ev^b F^a{}_{b\text{in}} + \frac{2}{3}e^2 (\ddot{v}^a - \dot{v}^2 v^a) + \frac{1}{3}e^2 (R^a{}_b v^b + v^a R_{bc} v^b v^c)$
 $+ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^2 v_b \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} \nabla^{[b} G^{\text{ret} a]}{}_{c'} [p(\tau), p'(\tau')] v^{c'}(\tau') d\tau'.$

- 2) *Self-force exists; radiation damping* occurs even for a particle in *free fall*.

- Quinn (2000): a *scalar* point particle in *curved spacetime*

- 1) $m\dot{v}^a = q \nabla^a \phi_{\text{in}} + \frac{1}{3}q^2 (\ddot{v}^a - \dot{v}^2 v^a) + \frac{1}{6}q^2 (R^a{}_b v^b + v^a R_{bc} v^b v^c)$
 $- \frac{1}{12}q^2 R v^a + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} q^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} \nabla^a G^{\text{ret}} [p(\tau), p'(\tau')] d\tau'.$

- 2) *Self-force exists; radiation reaction* occurs even for a particle in *free fall*.

- **Difficulty with these schemes:** *extremely difficult* to determine the *retarded Green's functions* precisely for *general curved spacetime*.
- **Analytic implementation:** *direct computation* of the *retarded Green's functions*
 - 1) Dewitt and Dewitt (1964); a charged particle falling freely with the nonrelativistic small velocities in a static weak gravitational field.
 - 2) Pfenning and Poisson (2001); self-forces of scalar, electromagnetic, and gravitational in the weakly curved spacetime.

3. Recent approach to evaluation of self-force (for Schwarzschild spacetime): Barack and Ori (2000, 2002, 2003), Burko (2000), Barack and Burko (2000)

- Self-force is obtained by *subtraction*

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{self}} = \lim_{p \rightarrow p'} [\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{ret}}(p) - \mathcal{F}_a^{\text{dir}}(p')].$$

- Use *spherical harmonic decompositions*

$$\psi^{\text{ret/dir}} = \sum_{\ell m} \psi^{\text{ret/dir}}(r, t) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi),$$

where $\psi^{\text{ret}}(r, t)$ ($\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^{\text{ret}}$) is determined *numerically* and $\psi^{\text{dir}}(r, t)$ ($\rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^{\text{dir}}$) *analytically*.

- Self-force is finally computed by *mode-sum regularization*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_a^{\text{self}} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \nabla_a \left\{ \sum_{\ell m} [\psi^{\text{ret}}(r, t) - \psi^{\text{dir}}(r, t)] Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^{\text{ret}} - \lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^{\text{dir}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^{\text{ret}} - \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) A_a - B_a - \frac{C_a}{\ell + \frac{1}{2}} \right] - D_a, \end{aligned}$$

where A_a, B_a, C_a, D_a are termed “*regularization parameters*”.

- Implemented self-forces of a *scalar* field for *radial* and for *circular* orbits of *Schwarzschild*.

4. My approach to self-force of a scalar field in Schwarzschild spacetime (I): Detweiler and Whiting (2002), Detweiler, Messaritaki and Whiting (2003), Kim (2004)

- Similar method of implementation to Barack and Ori's, except that for **subtraction** we use

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{self}} = \lim_{p \rightarrow p'} [\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{ret}}(p) - \mathcal{F}_a^{\text{S}}(p')] \quad (\text{S: Singular Source}).$$

- Elementary implementation of calculating A_a and B_a terms

1) Describe the **singular** field in **co-moving normal** coordinates

$$\psi^{\text{S}} \approx \frac{q}{\rho} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}$$

$\Leftarrow \rho^2$ can be obtained as follows;

$$X^{A'} = \Lambda^{A'}_A \left[B^A_a (x^a - x^a_o) + \frac{1}{2} B^A_a \Gamma^a_{bc}|_o (x^b - x^b_o)(x^c - x^c_o) \right] + O[(x - x_o)^3],$$

$$\left(\rightarrow g^{A'B'} = \eta^{A'B'} + 0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g^{A'B'}}{\partial X^{C'} \partial X^{D'}} X^{C'} X^{D'} \right),$$

with

$$B^A_a = \begin{bmatrix} f^{1/2} & & & \\ & f^{-1/2} & & \\ & & r_o & \\ & & & -r_o \end{bmatrix} \quad \left(f = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_o} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\Lambda^{A'}_A = \begin{bmatrix} f^{-1/2} E & -f^{-1/2} \dot{r} & -J/r_o & 0 \\ & 1 + \dot{r}^2 / (f^{1/2} E + f) & J\dot{r} / [r_o(E + f^{1/2})] & 0 \\ & \text{SYM} & 1 + J^2 / [r_o^2(f^{-1/2} E + 1)] & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^2 &= X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 \\
&= (E^2 - f)(t - t_o)^2 - \frac{2E\dot{r}}{f}(t - t_o)(r - r_o) - 2EJ(t - t_o)(\phi - \phi_o) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{f} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f}\right) (r - r_o)^2 + \frac{2J\dot{r}}{f}(r - r_o)(\phi - \phi_o) + (r_o^2 + J^2)(\phi - \phi_o)^2 + r_o^2 \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{ME\dot{r}}{r_o^2}(t - t_o)^3 + \frac{M}{r_o^2} \left(-1 + \frac{2E^2}{f} + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f}\right) (t - t_o)^2(r - r_o) + \frac{MJ\dot{r}}{r_o^2}(t - t_o)^2(\phi - \phi_o) \\
&\quad - \frac{ME\dot{r}}{f^2r_o^2}(t - t_o)(r - r_o)^2 - \frac{2(r_o - M)EJ}{fr_o}(t - t_o)(r - r_o)(\phi - \phi_o) \\
&\quad + r_oE\dot{r}(t - t_o)(\phi - \phi_o)^2 + r_oE\dot{r}(t - t_o) \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{M}{f^2r_o^2} \left(1 + \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f}\right) (r - r_o)^3 + \frac{(2r_o - 5M)J\dot{r}}{f^2r_o^2}(r - r_o)^2(\phi - \phi_o) \\
&\quad + r_o \left(1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f} + \frac{2J^2}{r_o^2}\right) (r - r_o)(\phi - \phi_o)^2 + r_o \left(1 - \frac{\dot{r}^2}{f}\right) (r - r_o) \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \\
&\quad - r_oJ\dot{r}(\phi - \phi_o)^3 - r_oJ\dot{r}(\phi - \phi_o) \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 + O[(x - x_o)^4].
\end{aligned}$$

2) Rotate the Schwarzschild coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
\sin \theta \cos(\phi - \phi') &= \cos \Theta \\
\sin \theta \sin(\phi - \phi') &= \sin \Theta \cos \Phi \\
\cos \theta &= \sin \Theta \sin \Phi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi' \equiv \phi_o - \frac{J\dot{r}}{f(r_o^2 + J^2)}(r - r_o)$, such that

$$Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{m'=-\ell}^{\ell} \alpha_{mm'}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m'}(\Theta, \Phi) \rightarrow \text{only } Y_{\ell 0}(\Theta, \Phi) \neq 0 \text{ in } p \rightarrow p'.$$

3) Based on rotated coordinates, express

$$\rho^2 = \epsilon^2 \tilde{\rho}^2 + \epsilon^3 \mathcal{B} + O(\epsilon^4) \quad (\epsilon = \text{order parameter}),$$

then

$$\partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \Big|_{t=t_o} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2) \Big|_{t=t_o}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^3} \epsilon^{-2} + \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_o}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^3} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{[\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_o}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^5} \right\} \epsilon^{-1} + O(\epsilon^0),$$

where in particular, $\tilde{\rho}_o^2 \equiv \tilde{\rho}^2 \Big|_{t=t_o} = 2(r_o^2 + J^2) \chi (\delta^2 + 1 - \cos \Theta)$

with $\chi \equiv 1 - J^2 \sin^2 \Phi / (r_o^2 + J^2)$ and $\delta^2 \equiv r_o^2 E^2 (r - r_o)^2 / 2f^2 (r_o^2 + J^2)^2 \chi$.

4) Determining the ℓ -*mode expansion* of $q \partial_a (1/\rho)|_{t=t_0}$ in the *coincidence limit*

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^S = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) A_a + B_a + O(\ell^{-1}),$$

where

$$A_t = \text{sgn}(r - r_0) \frac{q^2}{r_0^2} \frac{\dot{r}}{1 + J^2/r_0^2}, \quad A_r = -\text{sgn}(r - r_0) \frac{q^2}{r_0^2} \frac{E(1 - \frac{2M}{r_0})^{-1}}{(1 + J^2/r_0^2)}, \quad A_\phi = 0,$$

$$B_t = \frac{q^2}{r_0^2} E \dot{r} \left[\frac{F_{3/2}}{(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{3F_{5/2}}{2(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{5/2}} \right],$$

$$B_r = \frac{q^2}{r_0^2} \left\{ -\frac{F_{1/2}}{(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{[1 - 2(1 - \frac{2M}{r_0})^{-1} \dot{r}^2] F_{3/2}}{2(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{3(1 - \frac{2M}{r_0})^{-1} \dot{r}^2 F_{5/2}}{2(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{5/2}} \right\},$$

$$B_\phi = \frac{q^2}{J} \dot{r} \left[\frac{F_{1/2} - F_{3/2}}{(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{3(F_{5/2} - F_{3/2})}{2(1 + J^2/r_0^2)^{3/2}} \right],$$

$$A_\theta = B_\theta = 0,$$

where E = conserved energy, J = conserved angular momentum, $\dot{r} \equiv u^r$, $F_p \equiv {}_2F_1 \left(p, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{J^2}{r_0^2 + J^2} \right)$.

→ The results agree with Barack and Ori (2002) and Mino, Nakano, and Sasaki (2002).

- **Extending to self-forces of an electromagnetic vector field and a gravitational tensor field:** use *analyses of Green's functions* from De-witt and Brehme (1960), Mino, Sasaki and Tanaka (1997), Detweiler and Whiting (2002)

1) Scalar field;

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{S(sc)}} = q \nabla_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right),$$

2) Electromagnetic field;

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{S(em)}} = u^b (\nabla_a A_b^{\text{S}} - \nabla_b A_a^{\text{S}}) = -P^b{}_a \mathcal{F}_b^{\text{S(sc)}},$$

3) Gravitational field;

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{S(gr)}} = -\mu (\delta^b{}_a + u^b u_a) u^c u^d (\nabla_c h_{db}^{\text{S}} - \nabla_b h_{cd}^{\text{S}}) = P^b{}_a \mathcal{F}_b^{\text{S(sc)}},$$

where $P^b{}_a = \delta^b{}_a + u^b u_a$.

⇒ Their regularization parameters are “*proportional to each other*”.

4) Find $\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{ret(em)}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_a^{\text{ret(gr)}}$ using *vector and tensor spherical harmonics*, respectively. Then, by *subtraction* and *mode-sum regularization*, determine their self-forces finally.

- **Extending to other cases of spacetime (e.g. Kerr spacetime):** find ways of *diagonalizing* the background geometry locally to obtain the form

$$X^{A'} = \Lambda^{A'}{}_A \left[B^A{}_a (y^a - y_o^a) + \frac{1}{2} B^A{}_a \Gamma_{bc|o}^a (y^b - y_o^b)(y^c - y_o^c) \right] + O[(y - y_o)^3],$$

where

$$(y^a - y_o^a) = \text{diagonalization of } (x^a - x_o^a).$$

Then, the rest process is the same as for the Schwarzschild case.

5. My approach to self-force of a scalar field in Schwarzschild spacetime (II): in progress

- *Structural analyses* of C_a and D_a terms

1) In a “*particular*” normal coordinate system,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \partial_a \psi^S \text{ ”=” } q \lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right),$$

and we may express to higher orders

$$\rho^2 = \epsilon^2 \tilde{\rho}^2 + \epsilon^3 \mathcal{B} + \epsilon^4 \mathcal{C} + \epsilon^5 \mathcal{D} + O(\epsilon^6),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) \Big|_{t=t_0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2) \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^3} \epsilon^{-2} + \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^3} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{[\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^5} \right\} \epsilon^{-1} \\ &+ Q_a^{(0)} \epsilon^0 + Q_a^{(1)} \epsilon^1 + O(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_a^{(0)} &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \mathcal{C} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^3} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{(\partial_a \mathcal{B}) \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^5} \\ &+ \frac{3}{4} \frac{[\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{C} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^5} - \frac{15}{16} \frac{[\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{B}^2 \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^7} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_a^{(1)} &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_a \mathcal{D} \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^3} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\left\{ [\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{D} \Big|_{t=t_0} + (\partial_a \mathcal{B}) \mathcal{C} \Big|_{t=t_0} + (\partial_a \mathcal{C}) \mathcal{B} \Big|_{t=t_0} \right\}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^5} \\ &- \frac{15}{16} \frac{\left\{ 2 [\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \Big|_{t=t_0} + (\partial_a \mathcal{B}) \mathcal{B}^2 \Big|_{t=t_0} \right\}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^7} + \frac{35}{32} \frac{[\partial_a (\tilde{\rho}^2)] \mathcal{B}^3 \Big|_{t=t_0}}{\tilde{\rho}_0^9}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow p'} \mathcal{F}_{\ell a}^S = \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) A_a + B_a + \frac{C_a}{\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)} - \frac{2\sqrt{2} D_a}{(2\ell - 1)(2\ell + 3)} + O(\ell^{-4}).$$

2) C_a terms

$$Q_a^{(0)} = \sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} \sum_{p=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{c_{kp(a)} \Delta^{2n+1-k} (\phi - \phi_o)^{k-2p} \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2p}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^{2n+1}},$$

$$\sim \sum_{n=1}^3 \sum_{k,p,i} c_{kpi(a)} \tilde{\rho}_o^{-(2n+1)} \Delta^{2n+1-2p-i} (\sin \Theta)^{2p+i} (\sin \Phi)^{2p} (\cos \Phi)^i$$

where $\Delta = (r - r_o)$ and $(\phi - \phi') \equiv (\phi - \phi_o) - \frac{J\dot{r}}{f(r_o^2 + J^2)} \Delta$. Then,

(i) $i = \text{odd integer}$; $\langle Q_a^{(0)} \rangle_{\Phi} = 0$ ($\langle \rangle_{\Phi}$ = average by integration over Φ),

(ii) $i = \text{even integer}$; $Q_a^{(0)} \underset{\Delta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \Delta \text{ or } \Delta^2 \rightarrow 0$.

Hence, C_a terms ***always vanish***.

3) D_a terms

$$Q_a^{(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} \sum_{p=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{d_{kp(a)} \Delta^{2n+2-k} (\phi - \phi_o)^{k-2p} \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2p}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^{2n+1}}$$

$$\sim \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{k,p,i} d_{kpi(a)} \tilde{\rho}_o^{-(2n+1)} \Delta^{q+k-2p-i} (\sin \Theta)^{2p+i} (\sin \Phi)^{2p} (\cos \Phi)^i$$

where $2n + 2 - k \equiv q$. Then,

(i) $q > 0$; ***always vanishing*** since

(i-1) $i = \text{odd integer}$; $\langle Q_a^{(1)} \rangle_{\Phi} = 0$,

(i-2) $i = \text{even integer}$; $Q_a^{(1)} \underset{\Delta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \Delta^2 \text{ or } \Delta^3 \rightarrow 0$,

(ii) $q = 0$; ***non-vanishing*** only as

$$Q_a^{(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \frac{e_{np(a)} (\phi - \phi_o)^{2(n-p)+2} \left(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{2p}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^{2n+1}}$$

$$\underset{\Delta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \tilde{\rho}_o \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} e_{np(a)} (\sin \Phi)^{2p} (\cos \Phi)^{2(n-p)+2} \Rightarrow \text{provides } D_a \text{ terms.}$$

• **Analysis of geometry: more precise description of ρ^2** and hence **more precise prescription of normal coordinates** are required to compute D_a terms.

1) **Instant initial normal coordinates:** Weinberg (1972)

$$\hat{X}^A = B^A{}_a(x^a - x^a_0) + \frac{1}{2}B^A{}_a \Gamma^a{}_{bc}|_0 (x^b - x^b_0)(x^c - x^c_0) + O((x - x_0)^3).$$

2) **Fermi normal coordinates**

$$T_{\text{FN}} = -\hat{u}_{0A}\hat{X}^A - \hat{u}_{0A} \left[\xi^A{}_{BCD}\hat{X}^B\hat{X}^C\hat{X}^D + \zeta^A{}_{BCDE}\hat{X}^B\hat{X}^C\hat{X}^D\hat{X}^E + O(\hat{X}^5) \right],$$

$$X^I_{\text{FN}} = \hat{n}^I{}_{0A}\hat{X}^A + \hat{n}^I{}_{0A} \left[\xi^A{}_{BCD}\hat{X}^B\hat{X}^C\hat{X}^D + \zeta^A{}_{BCDE}\hat{X}^B\hat{X}^C\hat{X}^D\hat{X}^E + O(\hat{X}^5) \right],$$

where

$$\xi^A{}_{BCD} = \frac{1}{6} \hat{\Gamma}^A{}_{PQ,R}|_0 \left(\pi^P{}_B \pi^Q{}_C \pi^R{}_D + 3\pi^Q{}_B \pi^R{}_C h^P{}_D + 3\pi^R{}_B h^P{}_C h^Q{}_D + h^P{}_B h^Q{}_C h^R{}_D \right),$$

$$\zeta^A{}_{BCDE} = \frac{1}{24} \hat{\Gamma}^A{}_{PQ,RS}|_0 \left(\pi^P{}_B \pi^Q{}_C \pi^R{}_D \pi^S{}_E + 4\pi^Q{}_B \pi^R{}_C \pi^S{}_D h^P{}_E + 6\pi^R{}_B \pi^S{}_C h^P{}_D h^Q{}_E \right. \\ \left. + 4\pi^R{}_B h^P{}_C h^Q{}_D h^R{}_E + h^P{}_B h^Q{}_C h^R{}_D h^S{}_E \right),$$

with $\pi^A{}_B \equiv -\hat{u}^A \hat{u}_{0B}$ (time-projection tensor) and $h^A{}_B = \delta^A{}_B + \hat{u}^A \hat{u}_{0B}$ (space-projection tensor) ($\leftarrow \hat{u}^A_0 = (f^{-1/2}E, f^{-1/2}\dot{r}, J/r_0, 0)$ for geodesic in equatorial plane).

3) **Thorne-Hartle-Zhang normal coordinates: de Donder gauge** $\partial_A g^{AB} = 0$ ($g^{AB} \equiv \sqrt{-g}g^{AB}$) is satisfied via the following transformation from Fermi normal coordinates to THZ normal coordinates

$$T_{\text{THZ}} = T_{\text{FN}} - \frac{5}{168} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{KL} X^K_{\text{FN}} X^L_{\text{FN}} \rho_{\text{FN}}^2,$$

$$X^I_{\text{THZ}} = X^I_{\text{FN}} - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{E}^I{}_K X^K_{\text{FN}} \rho_{\text{FN}}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{E}_{KL} X^L_{\text{FN}} X^I_{\text{FN}} \\ - \frac{1}{6} \dot{\mathcal{E}}^I{}_K X^K_{\text{FN}} \rho_{\text{FN}}^2 T_{\text{FN}} + \frac{1}{3} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{KL} X^K_{\text{FN}} X^L_{\text{FN}} X^I_{\text{FN}} T_{\text{FN}} \\ - \frac{1}{24} \mathcal{E}^I{}_{KL} X^K_{\text{FN}} X^L_{\text{FN}} \rho_{\text{FN}}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{E}_{KLM} X^K_{\text{FN}} X^L_{\text{FN}} X^M_{\text{FN}} X^I_{\text{FN}} \\ - \frac{2}{63} \epsilon^I{}_{PK} \dot{\mathcal{B}}^P{}_L X^K_{\text{FN}} X^L_{\text{FN}} \rho_{\text{FN}}^2,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{IJ} = R_{TITJ}|_0$, $\mathcal{B}_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_I{}^{PQ} R_{PQJT}|_0$, $\mathcal{E}_{IJK} = [\nabla_K R_{TITJ}|_0]^{\text{STF}}$, and $\mathcal{B}_{IJ} = \frac{3}{8} [\epsilon_I{}^{PQ} \nabla_K R_{PQJT}|_0]^{\text{STF}}$.

3) *Why THZ normal coordinates?* - description of *singular field* becomes *very simple*: Detweiler, Messaritaki and Whiting (2003)

$$\psi^S = \frac{q}{\rho} + O\left(\frac{\rho^3}{\mathcal{R}^4}\right) \Rightarrow \lim_{\vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{X}_0} \partial_a \psi^S = q \partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) + 0.$$

However, *for regularization parameters A_a and B_a terms*, Fermi normal and THZ normal coordinates make *no* difference: they are determined already at the stage of *Instant initial normal coordinates \hat{X}^A* .

4) Relationship between *Fermi normal* and *THZ normal coordinates* in terms of their *Local tetrad structures*

$$\begin{aligned} dT_{\text{THZ}} &= dT_{\text{FN}} + \varphi_P dX_{\text{FN}}^P, \\ dX_{\text{THZ}}^I &= \vartheta^I_0 dT_{\text{FN}} + (\delta^I_P + \vartheta^I_P) dX_{\text{FN}}^P, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\varphi_P| \sim |\vartheta^I_0| \sim |\vartheta^I_P| \sim O(\rho^3/\mathcal{R}^3)$.

- **Implementation of calculating D_a terms: (in progress)**

1) Recall the structural analysis

$$Q_a^{(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \frac{e_{np(a)} (\phi - \phi_o)^{2(n-p)+2} (\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})^{2p}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^{2n+1}}$$

$$\underset{\Delta \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \tilde{\rho}_o \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} e_{np(a)} (\sin \Phi)^{2p} (\cos \Phi)^{2(n-p)+2}.$$

2) Also

$$\tilde{\rho}_o = \sqrt{2} (r_o^2 + J^2)^{1/2} \chi^{1/2} (\delta^2 + 1 - \cos \Theta)^{1/2}$$

$$\underset{\Delta \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} -4 (r_o^2 + J^2)^{1/2} \chi^{1/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{\ell}(\cos \Theta)}{(2\ell - 1)(2\ell + 3)}.$$

where $\chi \equiv 1 - J^2 \sin^2 \Phi / (r_o^2 + J^2)$.

3) Then, combine 1) and 2), and take the process $\langle \rangle_{\Phi}$ in the limit $\Theta \rightarrow 0$ to obtain

$$D_a = \sqrt{2} (r_o^2 + J^2)^{1/2} \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-p+1} (-1)^s e_{np(a)} \left(\frac{r_o^2 + J^2}{J^2} \right)^{p+s} F_{-(p+s+1/2)},$$

where $e_{np(a)}$ is to be determined from the **pure Schwarzschild angular dependence** in the numerators of the series expansion of $\partial_a (1/\rho_{\text{THZ}})|_{t=t_o}$, i.e.

$$\partial_a \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\text{THZ}}} \right) \Big|_{t=t_o} = \sum_{n=1}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \frac{e_{np(a)} (\phi - \phi_o)^{2(n-p)+2} (\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})^{2p}}{\tilde{\rho}_o^{2n+1}}.$$

4) Circular orbit limit : Detweiler, Messaritaki and Whiting (2003)

$$D_a = \left[\frac{2r_o^2(r_o - 2M)}{r_o - 3M} \right]^{1/2} \left[-\frac{M(r_o - 2M)F_{-1/2}}{2r_o^4(r_o - 3M)} - \frac{(r_o - 2M)(r_o - 4M)F_{1/2}}{8r_o^4(r_o - 2M)} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(r_o - 3M)(5r_o^2 - 7r_oM - 14M^2)F_{3/2}}{16r_o^4(r_o - 2M)^2} - \frac{3(r_o - 3M)^2(r_o + M)F_{5/2}}{16r_o^4(r_o - 2M)^2} \right].$$

- Why interesting?

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+3)} = -\frac{N+1}{(2N+1)(2N+3)}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+3)} = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{For } N = 1000, \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+3)} \sim 10^{-4}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{For } N = 100, \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+3)} \sim 10^{-3}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{For } N = 40, \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{(2\ell-1)(2\ell+3)} \sim 10^{-2}.$$

Typically, for $N = 40$, $\mathcal{F}_r^R \approx 1.37817 \times 10^{-5}$.