Schwarzschild Perturbations in the Lorenz Gauge

> Leor Barack (Soton) Carlos Lousto (UTB)

Talk Plan

- □ Why Lorenz Gauge?
- Schwarzschild perturbations reformulated in the Lorenz gauge: Now both odd- and even- parity modes.
- Code for time evolution of Lorenz-gauge perturbations from a point particle: Circular orbits in Schwarzschild.
- Future work: Self-force calculations, generic orbits, Kerr black hole.

Gauge freedom in Perturbation Theory

$$\Box \qquad g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}^{(\text{bckgrnd})} + h_{\alpha\beta} \qquad 10 \text{ functions, but only 2 "physical" dof}$$

In particular, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ and $h_{\alpha\beta} + 2\xi_{(\alpha;\beta)}$ represent the same physical perturbation, for any ("small", differentiable) displacement field ξ_{α}

- Useful gauges in black hole perturbation theory
 - Regge-Wheeler gauge: $h_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{lmi} h^{(i)lm}(r,t) Y^{(i)lm}_{\alpha\beta}(\theta,\varphi) \rightarrow h^{(i1,i2,i3,i4)} = 0$ Radiation gauge: $h_{\alpha\beta} l^{\beta} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad h_{\alpha\beta} n^{\beta} = 0$ Lorenz ("harmonic") gauge: $\overline{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{\ ;\beta} = 0$

Grav. self-force and gauge freedom

$$F_{self}^{\mu} = \lim_{x \to prtcl} \nabla^{\mu\alpha\beta} (h_{\alpha\beta} - h_{\alpha\beta}^{dir})$$
 (MSTQW 97)
$$= \lim_{x \to prtcl} \nabla^{\mu\alpha\beta} (h_{\alpha\beta} - h_{\alpha\beta}^{S})$$
 (Detweiler & Whiting 03)
Lorenz Lorenz
gauge gauge

- Problem: Standard BH perturbation techniques give $h_{\alpha\beta}$ in other gauges.
- Solutions attempted:
 - > Transform h (RW/Radiation) \rightarrow h (Lorenz), and evaluate contribution of difference to SF
 - > Transform h^S (Lorenz) $\rightarrow h^S$ (RW/Radiation), and try make sense of SF in RW/Rad gauges
- Our approach: Step back; reformulate BH perturbation theory in Lorenz gauge.

Why Lorenz gauge? (I)







Lorenz gauge:

Particle singularities look like particle singularities: Pointlike and isotropic

Regge-Wheeler gauge:

Particle singularities are not isotropic. Field depends on direction of approach to singularity

Radiation gauge:

Typically, particle singularities are not even isolated!

Why Lorenz gauge? (II)

- RW/Zerilli/Moncrief/Teukolsky variables are discontinuous at the particle. The (mode decomposed) Lorenz-gauge MP is continuous. No δ-function derivatives in the source term of the field equations.
- No need to resort to complicated MP reconstruction procedures, as when working with Moncrief/Teukolsky variables.
- In particular, no need to take derivatives of numerical integration variables advantage in numerical implementation.
- □ Field equations manifestly hyperbolic.

Price to pay: Field equations remain coupled.

Schwarzschild perturbations in the Lorenz gauge: formulation

1. Linearized Einstein equations in the Lorenz gauge

Linearize Einstein's equations in perturbation $h_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ about BH background $g_{\alpha\beta}$. Take source to be a point particle moving on a geodesic $x = x_p(\tau)$ of $g_{\alpha\beta}$. Get

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + 2R^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}{}_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\alpha\beta}\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}{}_{;\mu\nu} - 2g^{\mu\nu}\bar{h}_{\mu(\alpha;\nu\beta)}$$
$$= -16\pi\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-g)^{-1/2} \,\delta^4[x^{\mu} - x^{\mu}_p(\tau)] u_{\alpha}u_{\beta} \,d\tau \equiv S_{\alpha\beta},$$

where

$$ar{h}_{lphaeta} = h_{lphaeta} - rac{1}{2}g_{lphaeta}h.$$

Impose Lorenz gauge condition,

$$g^{\beta\gamma}\bar{h}_{lphaeta;\gamma}=0.$$

 Get

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + 2R^{\mu}{}_{\alpha}{}^{\nu}{}_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = S_{\alpha\beta}$$

2. Tensor-harmonic decomposition

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l,m} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \bar{h}^{(i)lm}(r,t) Y_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)lm}(r;\theta,\varphi)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l,m} \sum_{i=1}^{10} S^{(i)lm}(r,t) Y_{\alpha\beta}^{(i)lm}(r;\theta,\varphi)$$

3. Make sure variables are suitable for numerical time-evolution

Redefine

$$\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l,m} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \frac{R^{(i)}(r)}{r} \,\bar{h}^{(i)lm}(r,t) \, Y^{(i)lm}_{\alpha\beta}(r;\theta,\varphi),$$

with

$$R^{(2,5,9)} = f^{-1}, \quad R^{(3)} = f^{-2}, \quad R^{(i)} = 1$$
 for rest.

Then all $\bar{h}^{(i)lm}$ are dimensionless and \propto const at both $r \to 2M$ and $r \to \infty$.

4. Get separated equations for the $h^{(i)}$'s

$$\Box^{2d}_{\mathbf{sc}}\bar{h}^{(i)lm} + \mathcal{M}^{(i)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)lm} = \tilde{S}^{(i)lm},$$

where

$$\Box_{\rm sc}^{2d} \equiv \partial_{uv} + \frac{f}{4} \left[\frac{f'}{r} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right],$$

$$\tilde{S}^{(i)lm} = 4\pi r f R^{-1} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} d\tau \, r_p^{-2} \delta(t-t_p) \delta(r-r_p) u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \eta^{\alpha \mu} \eta^{\beta \nu} [Y^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(\Omega_p)]^*,$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2}ff'\bar{h}^{(1)}_{rr} - \frac{1}{2}f'i\bar{h}^{(2)}_{r} + \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}}\left(\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(3)} - \bar{h}^{(5)} - f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right), \\ \mathcal{M}^{(2)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2}ff'\bar{h}^{(2)}_{rr} + \frac{1}{2}if'\bar{h}^{(2)}_{rt} + \frac{1}{2}if'\bar{h}^{(1)}_{rt} + \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}}\left(\bar{h}^{(2)} - \bar{h}^{(4)}\right), \\ \mathcal{M}^{(3)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{2}ff'\bar{h}^{(3)}_{rr} + \frac{1}{2r^{2}}\left[1 - 8M/r + 10(M/r)^{2}\right]\bar{h}^{(3)} - \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}}\left[\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(5)} - (1 - 4M/r)\bar{h}^{(6)}\right], \\ \mathcal{M}^{(4)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{4}f'f\bar{h}^{(4)}_{rr} + \frac{1}{4}f'i\bar{h}^{(5)}_{r} - \frac{3}{4}f'(f/r)\bar{h}^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}l(l+1)\left(f/r^{2}\right)\bar{h}^{(2)}, \\ \mathcal{M}^{(5)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{4}ff'\bar{h}^{(5)}_{r} - \frac{1}{4}f'i\bar{h}^{(4)}_{r} + \frac{f}{r^{2}}(1 - 3.5M/r)\bar{h}^{(5)} - \frac{f}{2r^{2}}l(l+1)\left(\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(3)} - f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right) - \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}}\bar{h}^{(7)}, \\ \mathcal{M}^{(6)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= -\frac{f}{2r^{2}}\left[\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(5)} - (1 - 4M/r)\left(f^{-1}\bar{h}^{(3)} + \bar{h}^{(6)}\right)\right], \\ \end{split}$$
EVEN PARITY
$$\mathcal{M}^{(6)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= -\frac{f}{4}ff'\left(\bar{h}^{(8)}_{r} - \frac{3}{r}\bar{h}^{(8)} - if^{-1}\bar{h}^{(9)}_{r}\right), \\ \mathcal{M}^{(6)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{4}ff'\left((\bar{h}^{(8)}_{r} + i\bar{h}^{(8)}_{r}\right) + \frac{f}{r^{2}}\left[(1 - 3.5M/r)\bar{h}^{(9)} - (f/2)\bar{h}^{(10)}\right], \\ \mathcal{M}^{(6)}_{(j)}\bar{h}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{4}ff'\left((f\bar{h}^{(9)}_{r} + i\bar{h}^{(8)}_{r}\right) + \frac{f}{r^{2}}\left[(1 - 3.5M/r)\bar{h}^{(9)} - (f/2)\bar{h}^{(10)}\right], \\ \end{array}$$

 $\mathcal{M}^{(10)}_{(j)}ar{h}^{(j)} = -rac{f}{2r^2} \left(ar{h}^{(10)} + \lambda\,ar{h}^{(9)}
ight).$

5. Write gauge conditions in mode-decomposed form,

$$\bar{h}_{t\beta}{}^{;\beta} = \frac{i}{2fr} Y^{lm}(\theta,\varphi) \times \left[i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(1)} + i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(3)} + f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(2)} + \bar{h}^{(2)}/r - \bar{h}^{(4)}/r\right) \right] = 0,$$

$$\bar{h}_{r\beta}{}^{;\beta} = -\frac{1}{2f^2r} Y^{lm}(\theta,\varphi) \times \left[i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(2)} - f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(1)} - \bar{h}_{,r}^{(3)}\right) + (1 - 4M/r)\bar{h}^{(3)}/r - (f/r)\left(\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(5)} - 2f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right) \right] = 0$$

$$(\sin\theta \,\bar{h}_{\theta\beta}{}^{;\beta})_{,\theta} + (\bar{h}_{\varphi\beta}{}^{;\beta}/\sin\theta)_{,\varphi} = \frac{1}{2f} \sin\theta Y^{lm}(\theta,\varphi) \\ \times \left[i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(4)} - f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(5)} + 2\bar{h}^{(5)}/r + l(l+1)\,\bar{h}^{(6)}/r - \bar{h}^{(7)}/r\right)\right] = 0,$$

$$(\bar{h}_{\theta\beta}{}^{;\beta})_{,\varphi} - (\bar{h}_{\varphi\beta}{}^{;\beta})_{,\theta} = \frac{-i}{2f} \sin\theta Y^{lm}(\theta,\varphi) \\ \times \left[i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(8)} + f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(9)} + 2\bar{h}^{(9)}/r - \bar{h}^{(10)}/r\right)\right] = 0$$

... and use them to reduce the system of field equations:

$$\mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(1)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} f f^{(\overline{h}_{p}^{(j)})} + \frac{f}{2r^{2}} (1 - 4M/r)(\overline{h}^{(1)} - \overline{h}^{(6)}) - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (1 - 6M/r + 12M/r^{2})\overline{h}^{(6)} + \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}} (6M/r - 1)\overline{h}^{(6)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(3)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} f f^{'}\overline{h}_{p}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2r^{2}} [1 - 8M/r + 10(M/r)^{2}] \overline{h}^{(3)} - \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}} [\overline{h}^{(1)} - \overline{h}^{(6)} - (1 - 4M/r)\overline{h}^{(6)}], \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = \frac{f}{r^{2}} \left[(1 - 4.5M/r)\overline{h}^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2} l(l + 1)(\overline{h}^{(1)} - h^{(3)}) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 3M/r)(l(l + 1)h^{(6)} - h^{(7)}) \right], \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = -\frac{f}{2r^{2}} [\overline{h}^{(1)} - h^{(6)} - (1 - 4M/r)(f^{-1}h^{(3)} + h^{(6)})], \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = -\frac{f}{2r^{2}} [\overline{h}^{(7)} - h^{(6)} - (1 - 4M/r)(f^{-1}h^{(6)}), \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} f f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} i f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(1)} + \frac{f^{2}}{2r^{2}} (\overline{h}^{(2)} - \overline{h}^{(4)}), \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} i f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} i f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (\overline{h}^{(2)} - \overline{h}^{(4)}), \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2} i f^{'}\overline{h}_{r}^{(j)} + \frac{1}{2r^{2}} i (1 - 4.5M/r) h^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (1 - 3M/r) \overline{h}^{(6)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (1 - 4.5M/r) h^{(6)} - \frac{f}{2r^{2}} (1 - 3M/r) \overline{h}^{(6)}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (h^{(10)} + \lambda \overline{h}^{(6)}). \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} h^{(6)} - \frac{1}{r^{2}} h^{(6)} - \frac{1}{r^{2}} h^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} (h^{(10)} + \lambda \overline{h}^{(6)}). \\ \mathcal{M}_{(j)}^{(0)}\overline{h}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} h^{(10)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} h^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2r^{2}} h$$

Analytic solution for the axially-symmetric, odd-parity modes in the case of a circular orbit

Denote (for given l mode)

$$h_{m=0}^{(8)} \equiv \phi(r).$$
 (1)

Then

0.1

$$\phi'' + V(r)\phi = \text{const} \times \delta(r - r_0).$$
⁽²⁾

Unique continuous solution with physical boundary conditions:

$$\phi(r) = \text{const} \times \begin{cases} \phi^{\text{EH}}(r)\phi^{\infty}(r_p), \ r \le r_p \\ \phi^{\infty}(r)\phi^{\text{EH}}(r_p), \ r \ge r_p, \end{cases}$$
(3)



$$\phi^{\text{EH}}(r) = \frac{x}{1+x} \sum_{n=0}^{l+1} \alpha_n^l x^n \qquad (x \equiv r/2M - 1)$$

$$\phi^{\infty}(r) = \phi^{\text{EH}} \ln f + \frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{n=0}^{l+1} \beta_n^l x^n.$$
(4)

MP "reconstruction"

$$h_{lphaeta}=h_{lphaeta}^{l=0,1}+rac{1}{2r}\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}h_{lphaeta}^{lm},$$

$$\begin{split} h_{tt}^{lm} &= \left(\bar{h}^{(1)} + f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right) Y^{lm}, \\ h_{tr}^{lm} &= f^{-1}\bar{h}^{(2)}Y^{lm}, \\ h_{rr}^{lm} &= f^{-2} \left(\bar{h}^{(1)} - f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right) Y^{lm}, \\ h_{t\theta}^{lm} &= ir \left(\bar{h}^{(4)}Y_{V1}^{lm} - \bar{h}^{(8)}Y_{V2}^{lm}\right), \\ h_{t\varphi}^{lm} &= r\sin\theta \left(\bar{h}^{(4)}Y_{V2}^{lm} - \bar{h}^{(8)}Y_{V1}^{lm}\right), \\ h_{r\varphi}^{lm} &= rf^{-1} \left(\bar{h}^{(5)}Y_{V1}^{lm} + \bar{h}^{(9)}Y_{V2}^{lm}\right) \\ h_{r\varphi}^{lm} &= -irf^{-1}\sin\theta \left(\bar{h}^{(5)}Y_{V2}^{lm} + \bar{h}^{(9)}Y_{V1}^{lm}\right) \\ h_{\theta\theta}^{lm} &= r^{2} \left[\left(f^{-1}\bar{h}^{(3)} - \bar{h}^{(6)}\right) Y^{lm} + \bar{h}^{(7)}Y_{T1}^{lm} + \bar{h}^{(10)}Y_{T2}^{lm}\right], \\ h_{\theta\varphi}^{lm} &= -ir^{2}\sin\theta \left(\bar{h}^{(7)}Y_{T2}^{lm} + \bar{h}^{(10)}Y_{T1}^{lm}\right), \\ h_{\theta\varphi\varphi}^{lm} &= r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta \left[\left(f^{-1}\bar{h}^{(3)} - \bar{h}^{(6)}\right) Y^{lm} - \bar{h}^{(7)}Y_{T1}^{lm} - \bar{h}^{(10)}Y_{T2}^{lm}\right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y_{\mathrm{V1}}^{lm} &\equiv \frac{1}{l(l+1)} Y_{,\theta}^{lm}, \\ Y_{\mathrm{V2}}^{lm} &\equiv \frac{i}{l(l+1)} \sin^{-1} \theta Y_{,\varphi}^{lm}, \\ Y_{\mathrm{T1}}^{lm} &\equiv \frac{1}{\lambda l(l+1)} \left[\sin \theta \left(\sin^{-1} \theta Y_{,\theta}^{lm} \right)_{,\theta} - \sin^{-2} \theta Y_{,\varphi\varphi} \right], \\ Y_{\mathrm{T2}}^{lm} &\equiv \frac{2i}{\lambda l(l+1)} \left(\sin^{-1} \theta Y_{,\varphi}^{lm} \right)_{,\theta}. \end{split}$$
(3)

(1)

Code for time evolution of the MP Eqs Circular geodesics in Schwarzschild

Grid for 1+1d numerical evolution

Grid size:

- At least 3-4 *T*orb
- (>300M for *r*0=6*M*)

Resolution:

- ~1 grid pt/ M^2 for fluxes extraction
- ~10⁶ grid pts/ M^2 (near particle) for SF extraction















```
[leor@hercules scalar]$ ./a.out
                                                 [F r(r0+)-F r(r0-)]/(2L): ("A r")
1=
                                                  -2.16430508258478D-002
1
                                                  -2.16430501533300D-002
Evolution time (# of orbits) =
                                                  -2.16430495556174D-002
5
Initial Resolution (steps per M in r*,t)=
                                                 [F r(r0+)+F r(r0-)]/2: ("B r")
5
                                                  -1.07310613335618D-002
ITERATION #
                     1
                                                  -1.07310600978665D-002
ITERATION #
                      2
                                                  -1.07310599479544D-002
 ITERATION #
                      3
Phi(r0):
                                                 |F r(r0+)-F r(r0-)|/2-|A|*L: ("C r")
Cycle #
           2 : 0.136805155563096
                                                   6.76214094093648D-005
Cycle # 3 : 0.136805162960639
                                                   6.76204006326203D-005
Cycle #
                 4 : 0.136805163931519
                                                   6.76195040637838D-005
F r(r0+):
                                                 F r(REG):
         2 : -4.31956375723335D-002
Cycle #
                                                  -9.90421617212026D-005
Cycle #
              3 : -4.31956353278614D-002
                                                  -9.90409250297095D-005
Cycle #
                 4 : -4.31956342813805D-002
                                                  -9.90407736144393D-005
F r(r0-):
                                                 F t(r0):
Cycle # 2 : 2.17335149052099D-002
                                                   1.09151357977453D-004
Cycle #
                3 : 2.17335151321284D-002
                                                   1.09149895091873D-004
Cycle #
                 4 : 2.17335143854717D-002
                                                   1.09148592668721D-004
```





Sample results: Metric Perturbation (Even parity, l=m=2, $r_p=7M$)



Sample results: MP (even parity, l=m=2, $r_p=7M$)

Tests of Code









Test #2: conservation of the gauge condition

For each l, m, define

$$G_{1} \equiv M \left| i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(1)} + i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(3)} + f \left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(2)} + \bar{h}^{(2)}/r - \bar{h}^{(4)}/r \right) \right|,$$
(1a)

$$G_2 \equiv M \left| i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(2)} - f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(1)} - \bar{h}_{,r}^{(3)}\right) + (1 - 4M/r)\bar{h}^{(3)}/r - (f/r)\left(\bar{h}^{(1)} - \bar{h}^{(5)} - 2f\bar{h}^{(6)}\right) \right|, \quad (1b)$$

$$G_3 \equiv M \left| i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(4)} - f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(5)} + 2\bar{h}^{(5)}/r + l(l+1)\,\bar{h}^{(6)}/r - \bar{h}^{(7)}/r\right) \right|,\tag{1c}$$

$$G_4 \equiv M \left| i\bar{h}_{,t}^{(8)} + f\left(\bar{h}_{,r}^{(9)} + 2\bar{h}^{(9)}/r - \bar{h}^{(10)}/r \right) \right|$$
(1d)







Test #3: Flux of energy radiated to infinity

$$\mu^{-1}\dot{E}_{lm}^{\infty} = \int \frac{d\Omega}{16\pi r^2} \left(\left| (\sin\theta)^{-1}\dot{h}_{\theta\varphi} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left| \dot{h}_{\theta\theta} - (\sin\theta)^{-2}\dot{h}_{\varphi\varphi} \right|^2 \right) \Big|_{\text{wave zone}}$$
$$= \frac{m^2\omega^2}{32\pi} \left(\left| \bar{h}_{lm}^{(7)} \right|^2 + \left| \bar{h}_{lm}^{(10)} \right|^2 \right) \Big|_{\text{wave zone}}$$



l	m	Poisson's:	Martel's:	Ours:
		f -domain, from ψ	<i>t</i> -domain, from ψ	t-domain, from $h_{\alpha\beta}$
2	1	8.1633e - 07	8.1623e - 07 [0.01%]	$8.1654e - 07 \ [0.03\%]$
	2	1.7063e - 04	$1.7051e{-}04$ $[0.07\%]$	$1.7061e - 04 \ [0.01\%]$
3	1	2.1731e - 09	$2.1741e - 09 \ [0.05\%]$	$2.1735e - 09 \ [0.03\%]$
	2	2.5199e - 07	$2.5164e - 07 \ [0.14\%]$	2.5221e - 07 [0.09%]
	3	2.5471e - 05	$2.5432e - 05 \ [0.15\%]$	$2.5485e{-}05$ [0.05%]
4	1	8.3956e - 13	$8.3507e - 13 \ [0.53\%]$	8.4160e - 13 [0.24%]
	2	2.5091e - 09	$2.4986e - 09 \ [0.42\%]$	$2.5111e - 09 \ [0.08\%]$
	3	5.7751e - 08	5.7464e - 08 [0.50%]	5.7796e - 08 [0.08%]
	4	4.7256e - 06	$4.7080e - 06 \ [0.37\%]$	$4.7312e - 06 \ [0.12\%]$
5	1	1.2594e - 15	$1.2544e - 15 \ [0.40\%]$	$1.2601e - 15 \ [0.06\%]$
	2	2.7896e - 12	2.7587e - 12 $[1.11%]$	2.7911e - 12 [0.05%]
	3	1.0933e - 09	$1.0830e{-09}$ $[0.94\%]$	$1.0942e - 09 \ [0.08\%]$
	4	1.2324e - 08	$1.2193e{-}08$ $[1.06\%]$	$1.2328e{-}08$ $[0.03\%]$
	5	9.4563e - 07	$9.3835e{-07}$ $[0.77\%]$	9.4701e - 07 $[0.15%]$
	total	2.0317e - 04	2.0273e - 04 [0.22%]	2.0292e - 04 [0.12%]

TABLE I. \dot{E}_{lm}^{∞} [in units of $(\mu/M)^2$], for $r_0 = 7.9456M$.

What's next?

Gravitational Self Force

- Getting the self force is now straightforward:
 - No need to take 3 derivatives, just one as in scalar case
 - > Modes l=0,1 given (almost) analytically, in the harmonic gauge
 - Most crucial: no gauge problem; mode-sum implemented as is:

$$F_{\text{self}}(x_p) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left[\left. F_{\text{full}}(h_{\alpha\beta}) \right|_{x \to x_p} - A(l+1/2) - B - C/(l+1/2) \right] - D$$

- Two options:
 - \succ Either decompose F_{full} in Scalar harmonics & use the "standard" RP;
 - > Or decompose F_{full} in Vector harmonics and re-derive the RP accordingly.

Eccentric orbits in Schwarzschild

• Generalization is easy, as code is time-domain



Orbits in Kerr



N. Hernandez (MSc Thesis, Brownsville 2005) shows promise of this approach