Self force in black-hole spacetimes calculation methods and status

Leor Barack University of Southampton

Plan

٩	Context and motivation	► 5 min
٩	Recap of essential theory	► 5 min
٩	Computation strategies	▶ 30 min
٩	Numerical implementation methods	▶ 10 min
٩	Physical effects of the gravitational self-force	▶ 15 min

Physical context: The 2-body problem in GR



The gravitational self force Summary of theory (I)

The MiSaTaQuWa+GrWa equation of motion:

$$mu^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} u^{\alpha} = F_{\text{self}}^{\alpha} (\propto m^2)$$

$$\begin{split} m\hat{u}^{\beta}\hat{\nabla}_{\beta}\hat{u}^{\alpha} &= 0\\ \uparrow\\ \text{w.r.t. } g_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Kerr}} + h_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \end{split}$$





The gravitational self force Summary of theory (II)

$$F_{\text{self}}^{\alpha} = \lim_{x \to x_0} \nabla^{\alpha \mu \nu} h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{tail}} = \lim_{x \to x_0} \nabla^{\alpha \mu \nu} \left(h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ret}} - h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{dir}} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to x_0} \nabla^{\alpha \mu \nu} h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{R}} = \lim_{x \to x_0} \nabla^{\alpha \mu \nu} \left(h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ret}} - h_{\mu \nu}^{\text{S}} \right)$$

 $\nabla^{\alpha\mu\nu} = \nabla^{\alpha\mu\nu}(g_{\beta\gamma}, u^{\beta})$ is the "force" operator (same as for the force exerted by an external perturbation)

Imporatnt notes:

- ▶ h^R is only an effective field; the physical field is h^{ret}
- MiSaTaQuWa formula applies for a geodesic source
- MiSaTaQuWa formulated in the Lorenz gauge $[\nabla^{\nu}(h_{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu}h/2) = 0]$
- The gravitational self-force is gauge dependent:



The gravitational self force Summary of theory (III)

• Gravitational SF defined through mapping:



Ambiguity in identifying physical points in the two spacetimes brings about ambiguity in the gravitational self force C gauge freedom

The gravitational self force Summary of theory (IV)

To obtain the gauge transformation law of the self force:



Practical computation schemes

Survey of strategies

Analytic approximations approximate evaluations of the MiSaTaQuWa formula Weak-field, post-Newtonian [Le Tiec, Mon] Quasi-local/Matched expansions [Wardell, Dolan, Casals, Tue] Mode-sum method ("*l-mode regularization*") scheme for performing the subtraction 'R-S' mode by mode [Sago, Warburton, Thu] "regularize the solutions" Radiation-gauge regularization perform the subtraction 'R-S' at the level of the curvature scalar, then reconstruct the R field in the radiation gauge [Friedman, Wed] Direct subtraction in 3+1D or 2+1D ("m-mode regularization") "regularize the solve the field eqs. numerically for the regularized variable 'R-S' equations" [Burko, Thu; Detweiler, Wed; Vega, Thu; Diener, Thu]

Mode-sum method elementary example



Mode-sum method formulated

$$F_{\text{self}} = \lim_{x \to x_0} \left[F_{\text{full}}(x) - F_{\text{dir}}(x) \right] \qquad \left(F_{\text{full/dir}}^{\alpha} = m \nabla^{\alpha\beta\gamma} h_{\beta\gamma}^{\text{ret/dir}} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{x \to x_0} \sum_{l} \left[F_{\text{full}}^{l}(x) - F_{\text{dir}}^{l}(x) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{x \to x_0} \left[\sum_{l} \left(F_{\text{full}}^{l}(x) - LA - B - L^{-1}C \right) - \sum_{l} \left(F_{\text{dir}}^{l}(x) - LA - B - L^{-1}C \right) \right]$$
$$F_{\text{self}} = \sum_{l} \left(F_{\text{full}}^{l}(x_0) - LA - B - L^{-1}C \right) + D$$

▶ Regularization parameters *A*, *B*, *D* derived analytically by analyzing the direct force for $x \rightarrow x_0$ and $l \rightarrow \infty$

Mode-sum method derivation of the reg. parameters (scalar field)

 $\Phi^{\operatorname{dir}}(x) = \frac{q}{\epsilon} \left[1 + O(\delta x)^2 \right]$ $F_{\alpha}^{\operatorname{dir}}(x) = q \nabla_{\alpha} \Phi^{\operatorname{dir}} = \underbrace{\frac{P_{\alpha}^{(1)}(\delta x)}{\epsilon_0^3}}_{\epsilon_0^3} + \underbrace{\frac{P_{\alpha}^{(3)}(\delta x)}{\epsilon_0^5}}_{\epsilon_0^5} + \frac{P_{\alpha}^{(7)}(\delta x)}{\epsilon_0^7} + O(\delta x)$ where ϵ_0 is the $O(\delta x)$ term of ϵ and $P_{\alpha}^{(n)}$ is a polynomial of order n in δx . $F_{\alpha}^{l,\operatorname{dir}} = \lim_{x \to x_0} \sum_{m} Y^{lm}(\Omega) \oint d\Omega' Y^{lm*}(\Omega') F_{\alpha}^{\operatorname{dir}}(x)$ $= (LA_{\alpha}) + B_{\alpha} \qquad (\Rightarrow C_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha} = 0)$

parameter values known for generic orbits in Kerr

Mode-sum method summarized

 For a given geodesic source, solve the perturbation equations (mode by mode), and obtain the retarded Metric Perturbation (MP);

② Construct the "full force" modes ("grad MP") at the particle;

3 Apply the mode-sum formula:

$$F_{\text{self}} = \sum_{l} \left(F_{\text{full}}^{l}(x_{0}) - LA - B \right)$$

- expected $O(L^{-2})$ behaviour provides important check of numerics
- large-L tail can be extrapolated analytically to improve accuracy
- ▶ higher-order terms in 1/*L* expansion can be calculated to accelerate convergence

Results for Schwarzschild: scalar-field SF (Haas 2007)



Results for Schwarzschild: scalar-field SF (Haas 2007)



Results for Schwarzschild: electromagnetic SF (Haas 2008, Capra 11)



Zoom-whirl orbit, (p, e) = (7.8001, 0.9)

08:20

Results for Schwarzschild: gravitational SF in Lorenz gauge (LB & Sago, 2009)



The Kerr case: m-mode regularization

Metric perturbation equations in Kerr separable only in 2+1D:

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\varphi} h^m_{\alpha\beta}(t,r,\theta)$$

- In principle, can use 2+1D numerical evolution in conjunction with standard mode-sum regularization but this is awkward!
- Desired: formulation of the subtraction in 2+1D "m-mode scheme"
- Difficulty: each mode diverges (logarithmically) at worldline

m-mode regularization Iogarithmic divergence (scalar field example)

 \sim

• Decompose
$$\Phi = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{im\varphi} \Phi^m(t,r,\theta)$$
 $\Phi^m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi e^{-im\varphi} d\varphi$
• Near particle $\Phi(x) \simeq \frac{q}{\epsilon}$ $\epsilon^2 \simeq P_{\alpha\beta} \delta x^{\alpha} \delta x^{\beta}$
 $P_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}(x_0) + u_{\alpha}(x_0) u_{\beta}(x_0)$

• Pick a worldline point x_0 . Look at field near x_0 on surface $t = t_0$:

$$\Phi^{m}(\rho) \simeq \frac{q}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-im\varphi}}{(\rho^{2} + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^{2})^{1/2}} d\varphi$$
$$\rho^{2} = P_{rr}\delta r^{2} + P_{\theta\theta}\delta\theta^{2} \quad \text{(distance in } r - \theta \text{ plane)}$$

m-mode regularization logarithmic divergence (scalar field example) - cont'd

Split integral as

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-im\varphi}}{\left(\rho^2 + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^2\right)^{1/2}} \, d\varphi = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-im\varphi} - 1}{\left(\rho^2 + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^2\right)^{1/2}} \, d\varphi + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\left(\rho^2 + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^2\right)^{1/2}} \, d\varphi$$

• 1st integral bounded by a constant:

$$\left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{-im\varphi} - 1}{\left(\rho^2 + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^2\right)^{1/2}} \, d\varphi \right| \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{m|\varphi|}{\left(\rho^2 + P_{\varphi\varphi}\varphi^2\right)^{1/2}} \, d\varphi \le \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{m}{P_{\varphi\varphi}^{1/2}} \, d\varphi = \frac{2\pi m}{P_{\varphi\varphi}^{1/2}}$$

• 2nd integral is *m*-independent and integrates explicitly:

m-mode regularization formulated

• Don't solve for Φ_{ret} ; solve for

$$\delta \Phi \equiv \Phi_{\rm ret} - \Phi_{\rm punc}, \qquad \Phi_{\rm punc} = \Phi_{\rm S} + C^0$$

The "punctured" variable $\delta \Phi$ is C^0 and its *m*-modes $\delta \Phi^m$ are C^1 .

• The field equation for $\delta \Phi$ (schematically):

$$\Box \delta \Phi = S_{\delta} - \Box \Phi_{\text{punc}} \equiv \delta S \text{ (no } \delta \text{ function)}$$

Can show (somewhat surprisingly):

$$F_{\text{self,scalar}}^{\alpha} = q \sum_{m} \nabla^{\alpha} \delta \Phi^{m}, \qquad F_{\text{self,grav}}^{\alpha} = \mu \sum_{m} \nabla^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta h_{\beta\gamma}^{m}$$

• Note: The above puncture does not guarantee $F_{self}^{\alpha} = q \nabla^{\alpha} \delta \Phi$. [To achieve this requires a higher-order puncture, with $\nabla^{\alpha} \Phi_{punc}(x_0) = \nabla^{\alpha} \Phi_{S}(x_0)$] The m-decomposition spares us the need to go to higher order

m-mode regularization explained

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\rm S}: & O(\epsilon^{-1}) + O(\delta x/\epsilon) + {\rm const} + O(\delta x^2/\epsilon) + O(\delta x^2) \\ \Phi_{\rm punc} - \Phi_{\rm S}: & {\rm const} + O(\delta x^2/\epsilon) + O(\delta x^2) \\ F_{\rm punc} - F_{\rm S}: & O(\delta x/\epsilon) + O(\delta x) \quad \text{[not C^0 but piecewise continuous]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{\text{self}} &= \lim_{x \to x_0} \left[F_{\text{full}} - F_{\text{S}} \right] = \lim_{x \to x_0} \left[\delta F + (F_{\text{punc}} - F_{\text{S}}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{x \to x_0} \sum_{m} \left[\delta F^m + (F_{\text{punc}}^m - F_{\text{S}}^m) \right] & \text{[Fourier series converges} \\ &= \sum_{m} \lim_{x \to x_0} \left[\delta F^m + (F_{\text{punc}}^m - F_{\text{S}}^m) \right] & \text{since } F_{\text{R}} \text{ is smooth} \\ &= \sum_{m} \delta F^m(x_0) & \text{Fourier integral automatically averages over discontinuity} \\ &\text{(LB, Golbourn and Sago, PRD 2007)} \end{split}$$

sample results from puncture evolution in 2+1 (scalar field)



Numerical implementation strategies

How to deal with a point-particle singularity in a numerical treatment of the perturbation equations?



Physical effects of the gravitational SF

some results for Schwarzschild

Dissipative & Conservative pieces

$$\frac{F_{\text{self}} = F_{\text{cons}} + F_{\text{diss}}}{F_{\text{diss}}} : \qquad F_{\text{cons}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{\text{ret}} + F_{\text{adv}} \right) \\
F_{\text{diss}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(F_{\text{ret}} - F_{\text{adv}} \right)$$

How to split the SF into "cons" and "diss" in practice?

- In f-domain: get F_{adv} by flipping boundary conditions.
- In t-domain: get F_{adv} by evolving "backward" in time.
 Better so: exploit symmetry of Kerr geodesics (*a la* Mino):

$$F_{\text{adv}}^{\alpha} = \pm F_{\text{ret}}^{\alpha} (u^{r} \to -u^{r}, u^{\theta} \to -u^{\theta}) \begin{bmatrix} (+) \text{ for } \alpha = r, \theta \\ (-) \text{ for } \alpha = t, \varphi \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F_{\rm cons}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[F_{\rm ret}^{\alpha}(P) \pm F_{\rm ret}^{\alpha}(P^*) \right], \qquad F_{\rm diss}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[F_{\rm ret}^{\alpha}(P) \mp F_{\rm ret}^{\alpha}(P^*) \right]$$

D*

Dissipation of energy and angular momentum

$$\langle F_t \rangle = \langle F_t^{\text{diss}} \rangle = -\mu \left(\langle \dot{E}_{\infty}^{\text{GW}} \rangle + \langle \dot{E}_{\text{EH}}^{\text{GW}} \rangle \right)$$
$$\langle F_{\varphi} \rangle = \langle F_{\varphi}^{\text{diss}} \rangle = \mu \left(\langle \dot{L}_{\infty}^{\text{GW}} \rangle + \langle \dot{L}_{\text{EH}}^{\text{GW}} \rangle \right)$$

(average taken over a radial period, for a particle on a bound geodesic)

- Infinity fluxes obtained from the asymptotic perturbation using Isaacson's effective energy-momentum.
- For horizon absorption cannot use Isaacson's high-freq. approximation; instead:
 - ▶ f-domain: Press-Teukolsky (1974) based on Hawking-Hartle formalism
 - t-domain: formalism by Poisson (2004)
- Balance equations demonstrated for eccentric orbits (LB & Sago 2009)
- No new physics but good test of self-force code

conservative effects for circular orbits



frequency

$$\Omega \equiv d\varphi/dt = (M/r_0^3)^{1/2} \left[1 - \frac{r_0(r_0 - 3M)}{2\mu M} F_r^{\rm cons} \right]$$

and "time function"
$$u^{t} = (1 - 3M/r_0)^{-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{2\mu} F_r^{\text{cons}}\right)$$

are both invariant under gauge transformations that respect the helical symmetry of the orbit.

the conservative ISCO shift (I)

$$\begin{split} \ddot{r} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(r, L^2)}{\partial r} + \mu^{-1} F_{\text{self}}^r \\ \dot{E} &= -\mu^{-1} F_t^{\text{self}}, \qquad \dot{L} = \mu^{-1} F_{\varphi}^{\text{self}} \end{split}$$

Near stable equilibrium radial motion is a linear oscillator:

 $\ddot{r} + \omega_r^2 r = 0$

▶ ISCO where $\omega_r = 0$



the conservative ISCO shift (II)

$O(\mu)$ dissipative effect

"smears" the ISCO \rightarrow transition regime of width $\Delta r \heartsuit \mu^{2/5}$ (Ori & Thorne 2000)

$O(\mu)$ conservative effect (dissipation ignored)

"shifts" the ISCO by a well-defined amount $\delta r \heartsuit \mu$, determined from analysis of slightly eccentric geodesics near r = 6M (details in N. Sago's talk):

 $\delta r_{\rm isco} \approx 3.269 \,\mu, \qquad \delta \Omega / \Omega \approx 0.4870 \,\mu / M$

(LB & Sago 2009)

- ▶ ISCO shift due to scalar SF calculated by Diaz-Rivera *et al* (2004).
- Conservative ISCO frequency shift can be used for comparison with/ calibration of PN calculations

Prospects

Schwarzschild:

- extract all physics (precession effects, importance of conservative self force, gauge invariants and comparison with PN theory)
- test-bed for advanced numerical methods (mesh refinement; finite element)

Kerr, scalar self-force:

- extend existing f-domain code (Warburton) to eccentric & inclined orbits
- test-bed for *m*-mode regularization in t-domain
- study forced resonances.
- Kerr, gravitational self-force:
 - implement regularization in 2+1D or 3+1D, radiation-gauge regularization
 - try 1+1D or 1D with coupled spherical harmonics
 - "spheroidal tensor harmonics"?
- Orbital evolution: osculating geodesics, multiple-scale analysis, and all that.