# Electromagnetic self-force in the vicinity of a charged black hole

Peter Zimmerman<sup>1</sup> Ian Vega<sup>1</sup> Eric Poisson<sup>1</sup> Roland Haas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Guelph <sup>2</sup>Georgia Tech

4 July 2011

## Motivation

- The cosmic censorship conjecture states that spacetime singularities are hidden behind event horizons, making them invisible to all observers in the external universe.
- Hubeny (1999) proposed a black hole destruction mechanism in which a test charge was dropped onto a near extremal BH to create conditions where M < Q.
- Hubeny's analysis was inconclusive due to an inadequate treatment of the electromagnetic self-force.
- Does the self-force act as a cosmic censor?
- Recent work (Barausse et al, 2010) establishes that the dissipative part of the self-force does not play this role.

We aim to address the question more completely by computing the *full* electromagnetic self-force and checking how this influences the outcome of the Hubeny scenario.

#### Infalling test-charges in Reissner-Nördstrom

- A particle of mass m and charge q ≫ m follows a radial path towards a RN black hole having mass M and charge Q = M − 2ε<sup>2</sup> for small positive ε.
- ▶ The RN spacetime admits a timelike Killing vector  $t^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  that gives rise to a conserved quantity  $E_0 = -t^{\alpha}(mu_{\alpha} + qA_{\alpha})$ . Using this quantity, we express the equations of motion  $ma^{\alpha} = qF^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}u^{\beta}$  in first order form

$$\begin{split} m\dot{t} &= \frac{1}{f} (E_0 - qQ/r) \,, \\ m\dot{r} &= -\sqrt{(E_0 - qQ/r)^2 - m^2 f} \,, \end{split}$$

where 
$$f = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2}$$

## **Overcharging Conditions**

The overcharging conditions are

1. 
$$\dot{r}^2 > 0$$
,  $\forall r \ge r_+$   
2.  $Q + q > M + E_0$ 

By setting M ≡ 1, Q ≡ 1 − 2ϵ<sup>2</sup>, Hubeny showed that the parameter space for overcharging is the three-parameter family characterized by

$$\begin{split} q &= a\epsilon & a > 1 \,, \\ E_0 &= a\epsilon - 2b\epsilon^2 & 1 < b < a \,, \\ m &= c\epsilon & c < \sqrt{a^2 - b^2} \,. \end{split}$$

## Is the self-force relevant to the motion of a falling charge?

► For most cases, it is not.

$$\begin{split} f_{\rm self} &\sim \frac{q^2 M}{r^3} \sim \frac{q^2 M}{r_+^3} \sim \left(\frac{q}{M}\right)^2 \,, \\ f_{\rm BH} &\sim \frac{q Q}{r^2} \sim \frac{q Q}{r_+^2} \sim \frac{q}{M} \,, \end{split}$$

which imply

$$\frac{f_{\rm self}}{f_{\rm BH}} \sim \frac{q}{M} \sim \epsilon \,,$$

and that self-force effects are subdominant.

#### But for Hubeny's scenario it is!

Examination of the equation of motion close to the event horizon, with Hubeny's parameters, reveals that

$$m\ddot{r} \sim \epsilon^2$$
.

Hence the self-force corrections, which are also of order  $\epsilon^2$ , cannot be neglected in a self-consistent analysis.

### The Retarded Field

- For radial infall, F<sub>tr</sub> is the relevant part of the electromagnetic field tensor.
- The multipole decomposition of the electromagnetic field tensor takes the form

$$F_{tr} = \sum_{\ell m} \left( -\frac{\Phi_1^{\ell m}}{r^2} \right) Y^{\ell m}$$

• The scalar function,  $\Phi_1$ , evolves according to

$$-\partial_t^2 \Phi_1 + \partial_{r^*}^2 \Phi_1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} f \Phi_1 = 4\pi r^2 f(\partial_t j_r - \partial_r j_t - 2j_t/r)$$

where

$$j_t = G(R)\delta(r - R(t))$$
  
$$j_r = H(R, \dot{R})\delta(r - R(t))$$

We solve the scalar wave equation for Φ<sub>1</sub> using the second-order numerical code developed by R. Haas (Haas, 2007).

### The Self-Field

The regular self-field is calculated using mode-sum regularization:

$$F_{tr}^{\mathsf{R}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left( (F_{tr})_{\ell} - (\ell + \frac{1}{2})A - B - \frac{D}{\left(\ell - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\ell + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \right),$$

The self-field contains contributions from the BH and the particle

$$F_{tr} = F_{tr}^{\rm BH} + F_{tr}^{\rm R} = -\frac{Q}{r^2} - \frac{q^2 M}{r^3} K(r) \,,$$

where K is a worldline-dependent, dimensionless quantity that must be computed numerically.

• A generalized energy, E(r), is computed from K(r) via

$$E(r) = E_0 - q^2 M \int_r^\infty \frac{K(r')}{r'^3} \, dr'$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

### Turning point due to the self-force

 Under the influence of the self-field, the particle evolves according to the generalized radial equation

$$m\dot{r} = -\sqrt{(E(r) - qQ/r)^2 - m^2 f}$$



The existence of a turning point at  $r > r_+$  would prevent the particle from falling in to the BH. Such an occurrence would provide evidence that the self-force plays a role enforcing cosmic censorship.

## Results: regularized modes for the infalling charge



The regularized modes for low Q look qualitatively similar to the scalar case (Barack & Burko, 2000). The behavior of the dipole mode is what's most sensitive to Q.

## Results: Radial self-force



The self-force on a charge that falls from rest is always positive. Moreover, it tends to increase closer to the event horizon.

## Results: $\dot{r}^2$ versus r



The self-force appears to make a significant impact on the trajectory for high values of Q.

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

## Conclusion and Future work

- ► Self-force is a potential enforcer of cosmic censorship.
- Whether or not the self-force *really* is the cosmic censor remains to be seen. (We promise to keep you posted!).
- We have all the tools in place, but presently have problems with high initial starting velocities.