$j_{00}^{S} = \frac{-2}{r^{2}} \Big[ 1 - A^{i} n_{i} \Big] - \frac{1}{r} \Big[ 2h_{00}^{R} + h_{ij}^{R} n^{i} n^{j} \Big] + \frac{1}{2} \Big[ -4\partial^{i} h_{00}^{R} n_{i} - \partial_{k} h_{ij}^{R} n^{i} n^{j} n^{k} + 2A^{i} n^{j} \mathcal{E}_{ij} + n^{i} n^{j} \mathcal{E}_{ij} + 4A^{i} n_{i} n^{j} n^{k} \mathcal{E}_{jk} \Big]$ Second Order Gravitational Self-Force  ${}^{k}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{j}$ (B4) $j_{i0}^{S} = \frac{-2}{r} \Big[ h_{0i}^{R} + 2\dot{A}_{i} \Big] + \frac{1}{6} \Big[ -12\partial_{i}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j} + 12\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j} + 4A^{j}\epsilon_{jkl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - \epsilon_{ikl}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ijl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\ l} \Big] + \frac{1}{6} \Big[ -12\partial_{i}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j} + 12\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j} + 4A^{j}\epsilon_{jkl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - \epsilon_{ikl}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ijl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\ l} \Big] + \frac{1}{6} \Big[ -12\partial_{i}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j} + 12\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j} + 4A^{j}\epsilon_{jkl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - \epsilon_{ikl}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ijl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\ l} \Big] + \frac{1}{6} \Big[ -12\partial_{i}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j} + 12\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j} + 4A^{j}\epsilon_{jkl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - \epsilon_{ikl}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} - 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ijl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\ l} \Big] + \frac{1}{6} \Big[ -12\partial_{i}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j} + 12\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j} + 4A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l} + 8A^{j}\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{$  $+4A^{j}\epsilon_{ilm}n_{j}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{\ m}\Big]+\frac{1}{0}r\Big[-9\partial_{i}\partial_{k}h_{0j}^{R}n^{j}n^{k}+9\partial_{0}\partial_{k}h_{ij}^{R}n^{j}n^{k}+6\epsilon_{ikm}B^{[lm]}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{jl}+3\epsilon_{i}^{\ km}h_{lm}^{R}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{jl}\Big]$  $-6\epsilon_{k}^{lm}B_{[il]}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{im} - 3\epsilon_{k}^{lm}h_{il}^{R}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{im} - 3\epsilon_{i}^{kp}\delta^{lm}h_{lm}^{R}n^{j}n_{k}\mathcal{B}_{in} + 6\epsilon_{i}^{lm}B_{[il]}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{km} + 3\epsilon_{i}^{lm}h_{il}^{R}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{km}$  $-3\epsilon_{imn}h^R_{ik}n^jn^kn^ln^m\mathcal{B}_l{}^p+8A^j\epsilon_{ik}{}^mn^kn^l\mathcal{B}_{ilm}+8A^j\epsilon_{ik}{}^mn^kn^l\mathcal{B}_{ilm}-8A^j\epsilon_{ii}{}^mn^kn^l\mathcal{B}_{klm}-2\epsilon_{ii}{}^mn^in^kn^l\mathcal{B}_{klm}$  $+ 4A^{j}\epsilon_{ik}{}^{p}n_{j}n^{k}n^{l}n^{m}\mathcal{B}_{lmp} + 36\dot{A}^{j}\mathcal{E}_{ij} + 36\dot{A}^{j}n_{j}n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ik} + 18h^{R}_{0i}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ik} - 18\dot{A}^{j}n_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{jk} - 18h^{R}_{0i}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{jk} + 10A^{j}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}$  $-76n^{j}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} + 10A^{j}n_{j}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ik} - 2A^{j}n_{i}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} + 2A_{i}n^{j}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} - 17n_{i}n^{j}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} - 2A^{j}n_{i}n_{j}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{kl}\Big] + O(r^{2})$ (B5) $j_{ij}^S = \frac{1}{2r^2} \left[ 3\delta_{ij} + 4A^k \delta_{ij} n_k \right] + \frac{1}{r} \left[ 2I \right]$  $n^{l}n^{m} - 8\mathcal{E}_{ij} + 4A^{k}n_{k}\mathcal{E}_{ij} + A^{k}\delta_{ij}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{kl}$ Samuel Gralla  $+ \delta_{ij} n^k n^l \mathcal{E}_{kl} - 2A^k \delta_{ij} n_k n^l n^m \mathcal{E}_l$  University of Maryland  $\partial_0 \partial_0 h_{ij}^R + 8 \partial_0 \partial^k h_{0j}^R n_i n_k$  $+8\partial_0\partial_ih_{0k}^Rn_in^k+8\partial_0\partial^kh_{0i}^Rn_in_k+\cos_0\alpha_{ink}n_{in}-\cos_0\alpha_{in}n_in-\cos_0\alpha_{0n}n_in^k-8\partial_i\partial_kh_{00}^Rn_in^k$  $-8\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ik}n_{i}n^{k} - 72\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial^{l}h^{R}_{0k}n_{k}n_{l} + 48\partial_{0}\partial^{l}h^{R}_{0k}n_{i}n_{j}n_{k}n_{l} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{k}\partial_{l}h^{R}_{00}n^{k}n^{l} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ki}n^{k}n^{l} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ki}n^{k} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ki}n^{k} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ki}n^{k} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h^{R}_{ki}n^{k} + 36\delta_{ii}\partial_{0}h^{k} + 36\delta$  $+ 16\partial_k\partial_l h^R_{ij}n^kn^l + 8\partial_j\partial_l h^R_{ik}n^kn^l + 8\partial_i\partial_l h^R_{ik}n^kn^l - 8\partial_i\partial_j h^R_{kl}n^kn^l - 24\partial_k\partial_l h^R_{00}n_in_jn^kn^l - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n_in_jn^kn^l - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n_jn^kn^l - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n_jn^kn^k - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n^kn^k - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n^kn^k - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n^kn^k - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}n^k - 24\partial_0\partial_0 h^R_{kl}$  $-4\delta_{ii}\partial_m\partial_p h^R_{iJ}n^kn^ln^mn^p - 16\dot{A}^k\epsilon_{ikl}\mathcal{B}^{\ l}_i - 16\dot{A}^k\epsilon_{klm}n_in^l\mathcal{B}^{\ m}_i + 16\dot{A}^k\epsilon_{jlm}n_kn^l\mathcal{B}^{\ m}_i + 16\epsilon_{jlm}h^R_{0k}n^kn^l\mathcal{B}^{\ m}_i$  $-16\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{ikl}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ l}-16\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{klm}n_{i}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ m}+16\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{ilm}n_{k}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ m}+16\epsilon_{ilm}h_{\alpha k}^{R}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{\ m}+16\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{ikm}n_{i}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{l}^{\ m}$  $+16\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{ikm}n_{i}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{l}^{m}+144\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{kmn}\delta_{ii}n^{l}n^{m}\mathcal{B}_{l}^{p}-\dot{A}^{k}\epsilon_{kmn}n_{i}n_{i}n^{l}n^{m}\mathcal{B}_{l}^{p}+12A^{k}\epsilon_{ikl}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{l}-32\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{l}$  $-4A^{k}\epsilon_{klm}n_{i}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ m}+20A^{k}\epsilon_{ilm}n_{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ m}+12A^{k}\epsilon_{ikl}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ l}-32\epsilon_{ikl}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ l}-4A^{k}\epsilon_{klm}n_{i}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ m}+20A^{k}\epsilon_{ilm}n_{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{i}^{\ m}$  $-4A_{j}\epsilon_{ilm}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{k}^{\ m}-4A_{i}\epsilon_{jlm}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{k}^{\ m}+35\epsilon_{jlm}n_{i}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{k}^{\ m}+35\epsilon_{ilm}n_{j}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{k}^{\ m}+4A^{k}\epsilon_{jkm}n_{i}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{l}^{\ m}$  $8A^k \epsilon_{imp} n_i n_k n^l n^m \dot{\mathcal{B}}_l^p$  $+ 4A^k \epsilon_{ikm} n_i n^l \dot{B}_l^m + 48A^k \epsilon_{kmp} \delta_{ij} n^l$  $+8A^{k}\epsilon_{imp}n_{i}n_{k}n^{l}n^{m}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{l}^{p}-16h_{00}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{ii}$ . Capra 2012, UMD  $k\mathcal{E}_{i}^{k}+16h_{00}^{R}n_{i}n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ik}+16h_{ik}^{R}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{il}$  $+96\delta_{i,i}B_{[km]}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{l}^{m}+48\delta_{i,i}h_{km}^{R}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{l}^{-m}-96B_{[km]}n_{i}n_{i}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{l}^{-m}-48h_{km}^{R}n_{i}n_{i}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{l}^{-m}$  $+16\delta_{ij}h_{kl}^{R}n^{k}n^{l}n^{m}n^{p}\mathcal{E}_{mp}-96A_{0}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij}+48A_{0}\delta_{ij}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{kl}-48A_{0}n_{i}n_{j}n^{k}n^{l}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{kl}+48A^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ijk}-96n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ijk}+48A^{k}n_{k}n^{l}\mathcal{E}_{ijl}$  $-48A^k\delta_{ij}n^ln^m\mathcal{E}_{klm} + 48A^kn_in_jn^ln^m\mathcal{E}_{klm} + 6\delta_{ij}n^kn^ln^m\mathcal{E}_{klm} - 16A^k\delta_{ij}n_kn^ln^mn^p\mathcal{E}_{lmp} + O(r^2)$ (B6)

# Motion of Small Bodies

Consider a body that is small compared to the scale of variation of the external universe. Imagine expanding in the size/mass M of the body.

<u>At least to some finite order in M</u>, one would expect to be able to describe the body as following a worldline in a background spacetime.

What is the acceleration of the worldline?

M^0: zero (geodesic motion). ~100 years old; many derivations; no controversy.

M^1: MiSaTaQuWa force. ~15 years old; several derivations; some controversy.

M^2: no standard expression; much controversy

"second order gravitational self-force"

M^n: ???

#### **Difficulties with Point Particles**

Point particle sources don't make sense in GR (Geroch and Traschen 1987)

Full GR:

$$G_{ab}[g] = 8\pi m \int_Z u_a u_b \delta_4(x,Z) \quad \text{no mathematical meaning}$$

We could try to fix things by taking M small,

M^1: 
$$G^{(1)}_{ab}[g^{(1)}] = 8\pi m \int_{Z^{(0)}} u^{(0)}_a u^{(0)}_b \delta_4(x, Z^{(0)}) \quad \text{meaningful}$$

Now the equation is linear and makes sense. What about going to order M^2?

. . .

Involves products of the distribution  $g^{(1)}$ ; Off Z, diverges as  $(x-Z)^{-4} \rightarrow$  not locally integrable

# What equation gives the metric of a small body to O(M^2)???

We must return to a finite size body and consider a limit of small size/mass M. One way to do this is with the formalism of SEG & Wald 2008.

To motivate our assumptions, consider approximating the Schwarzschild deSitter metric by using a parameter lambda,

$$ds^{2}(\lambda) = -\left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}\lambda}{r} - C_{0}r^{2}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}\lambda}{r} - C_{0}r^{2}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

As  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  we recover deSitter (the "background metric"),

$$ds^{2}(\lambda = 0) = (1 - C_{0}r^{2})dt^{2} + (1 - C_{0}r^{2})^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

The body has shrunk to zero size and disappeared altogether.

1-param family: 
$$ds^2(\lambda) = -\left(1 - \frac{2M_0\lambda}{r} - C_0r^2\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M_0\lambda}{r} - C_0r^2\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$$

But there is another interesting limit. Introduce "scaled coordinates"  $\bar{t} \equiv (t - t_0)/\lambda$  and  $\bar{r} \equiv r/\lambda$  and the family becomes,

$$ds^{2}(\lambda) = -\lambda^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M_{0}}{\bar{r}} - C_{0}\lambda^{2}\bar{r}^{2} \right) d\bar{t}^{2} + \lambda^{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M_{0}}{\bar{r}} - C_{0}\lambda^{2}\bar{r}^{2} \right)^{-1} d\bar{r}^{2} + \lambda^{2}\bar{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

Also introduce a new, scaled *metric*  $\bar{g}_{\bar{\mu}\bar{
u}} \equiv \lambda^{-2}g_{\bar{\mu}\bar{
u}}$  and you get

$$d\bar{s}^{2}(\lambda) = \left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}}{\bar{r}} - C_{0}\lambda^{2}\bar{r}^{2}\right)d\bar{t}^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}}{\bar{r}} - C_{0}\lambda^{2}\bar{r}^{2}\right)^{-1}d\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

Now the limit as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  yields the "body metric" of Schwarzschild,

$$d\bar{s}^2|_{\lambda=0} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M_0}{\bar{r}}\right)d\bar{t}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M_0}{\bar{r}}\right)^{-1}d\bar{r}^2 + \bar{r}^2d\Omega^2$$

This procedure has "zoomed in" on the body, because the coordinates scale at the same rate as the body.

We assume a one-parameter-family  $g(\lambda)$  where ordinary and scaled limits of this sort exist and are smoothly related to each other in a certain sense. The main output is a form of the perturbative metric,

$$g^{(0)} = \eta + 0 + a_{20}r^2 + a_{30}r^3 + O(r^4)$$
  

$$g^{(1)} = a_{01}r^{-1} + a_{11} + a_{21}r + a_{31}r^2 + O(r^3)$$
  

$$g^{(2)} = a_{02}r^{-2} + a_{12}r^{-1} + a_{22} + a_{32}r + O(r^2)$$
  

$$g^{(3)} = a_{03}r^{-3} + a_{13}r^{-2} + a_{23}r^{-1} + a_{33} + O(r),$$

These coordinates have the background worldline of the particle (the place where it "disappeared to") at r=0.

 $a_{nm} \mbox{ are functions of time and angles }$ 

#### The n<sup>th</sup> order perturbation diverges as 1/r<sup>n</sup> near the background worldline

(Notice how this behavior was present in the example family,

$$ds^{2}(\lambda) = -\left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}\lambda}{r} - C_{0}r^{2}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M_{0}\lambda}{r} - C_{0}r^{2}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

## Einstein's equation

The perturbed Einstein equations...

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[h] = 0 \qquad (\text{for } r > 0)$$
$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}[j] + G_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}[h] = 0 \qquad (\text{for } r > 0)$$

New notation: g: background (smooth) h: first perutrbation (1/r) j: second perturbation (1/r^2)

...together with the assumed (singular) metric form contain the complete information about the metric perturbations.

Okay, great. How do you find h and j in practice?

In SEG&Wald we proved that, at first order, the above description is equivalent to the linearized Einstein equation sourced by a point paticle. (*This <u>derives</u> the point particle description from extended bodies! See also Pound's work.*)

But what do we do at second order, which doesn't play nice with point particles?

### Answer: Effective Source Method!

Barack and Golbourn and Detweiler and Vega introduced a technique for determining the field of a point particle by considering smooth sources.

We can recast their method in our language *without ever mentioning point particles*. Things then generalize to second order.

(The only new wrinkle at first order is the gauge freedom; previous effective source work has considered Lorenz gauge only.)

# Effective source at first order in our approach:

We know that

$$G^{(1)}_{\mu
u}[h] = 0$$
 (for  $r > 0$ ) and h ~ 1/r near r=

0

At some level we have a "singular boundary condition". How to remove it? Solve analytically for h in series in r. Find the *general solution* in a *particular gauge*.

$$h^{P} = \mathcal{M}^{(1)}r^{-1} + a_{21}r + a_{31}r^{2} + O(r^{3})$$
(explicit expressions given)

The solution contains free functions. But note by inspection that we may isolate off a "singular piece" h<sup>s</sup> such that

1) h<sup>s</sup> has no free functions (depends only on M and background curvature)

2)  $h^{P} - h^{S}$  is C^2 at r=0 (or some desired smoothness)

Pick this h<sup>s</sup> and call it the "singular field".

$$h_{00}^{S} = \frac{2}{r} + 2r\mathcal{E}_{ij}n^{i}n^{j} + \frac{2}{3}r^{2}\mathcal{E}_{ijk}n^{i}n^{j}n^{k} + O(r^{3})$$

$$h_{i0}^{S} = \frac{2}{3}r\epsilon_{ikl}n^{j}n^{k}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ l} + \frac{2}{9}r^{2}\left(2\epsilon_{ij}^{\ m}n^{j}n^{k}n^{l}\mathcal{B}_{klm} + n^{j}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} - n_{i}n^{j}n^{k}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk}\right) + O(r^{3})$$

$$h_{ij}^{S} = \frac{2}{r}\delta_{ij} - 2r\left(2\mathcal{E}_{ij} + \delta_{ij}\mathcal{E}_{kl}n^{k}n^{l}\right)$$

$$h_{ij}^{S} = \frac{2}{r}\delta_{ij} - 2r\left(2\mathcal{E}_{ij} + \delta_{ij}\mathcal{E}_{kl}n^{k}n^{l}\right) + O(r^{3})$$

$$h_{ij}^{S} = -6n^{k}\mathcal{E}_{ijk} - 2\delta_{ij}\mathcal{E}_{klm}n^{k}n^{l}n^{m}\right) + O(r^{3}).$$

Our choice of "singular field":

(expressed in a local inertial coordinate system of the background metric about the background worldline)

The claim is that the <u>general solution</u> has h-h<sup>s</sup> sufficiently regular *when h is expressed in a particular gauge* ("P gauge").

But now consider any *smoothly related* gauge ("P-smooth gauges"),

$$h = h^P - \mathcal{L}_{m{\xi}}g + O(r^3)$$
 (Xi smooth)

It is of course still true that h-h<sup>s</sup> is sufficiently regular.

So we have a "singular field" and a corresponding class of gauges such that h-h<sup>s</sup> is always sufficiently regular.

Choose an arbitrary extension of h<sup>s</sup> to the entire manifold and define

 $\hat{h}^R = h - \hat{h}^S$  (hats denote extended quantities)

Then Einstein's equation,

$$G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}[h] = 0$$
 (for  $r > 0$ )

becomes

Can drop!  

$$G^{(1)}[\hat{h}^R] = -G^{(1)}[\hat{h}^S]$$
 (for  $\gamma > 0$ )

The right-hand-side is the "effective source" and is C^0. No more "singular boundary condition". Numerical integrators happy.

Pick initial/boundary conditions representing the physics of interest and pick any gauge condition (such as Lorenz on  $h^R$ ) such that  $h^R$  is C^2. Then  $h = h^R + h^S$ is the physical metric perturbation expressed in a P-smooth gauge.

### Effective source at second order:

We have 
$$G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}[j] + G^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}[h] = 0$$
 (for  $r > 0$ ) and  $j \sim 1/r^2$  near r=0

To remove the "singular boundary condition" find *the general solution* in a *particular gauge* in series in r.

$$j^{P} = \mathcal{M}^{(2)}r^{-2} + a_{22} + a_{32}r + O(r^{2})$$
(explicit expressions given)

New subtlety: a smooth gauge transformation changes j by a singular amount!

$$j = j^{P} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}h^{P} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g - \mathcal{L}_{\Xi}g\right). \quad \text{(xi, Xi smooth)}$$

We must include the second term in the singular field j<sup>s</sup>. We need to determine xi!

#### Determining xi

We gave a prescription for computing h in a P-smooth gauge,

 $h = h^P - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}g + O(r^3)$  (Xi smooth)

Now that we know h we need to "invert" this equation and solve for xi.

Recall that h<sup>P</sup> contains free functions. It turns out these are determined uniquely by h and xi. Then we have an equation just for xi. After some work we find a complicated expression for the general solution, which depends on

- 1) Background curvature
- 2) The regular field

3) A choice of "initial data" for the value and derivative of xi on the background worldline.

(A and B are value and derivative of xi on the background worldline)

$$A_{\mu} = \xi_{\mu}|_{\gamma}$$
$$B_{\mu\nu} = (\nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu})|_{\gamma}$$

(A and B obey transport equations)

$$\begin{split} \dot{A}_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}h_{00}^R \\ \dot{A}_i &= B_{i0} \\ \dot{B}_{i0} &= -\mathcal{E}_{ij}A^j - \partial_0 h_{0i}^R + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i h_{00}^R \\ \dot{B}_{[ij]} &= \epsilon_{ijl}\mathcal{B}^l_{\ k}A^k + \partial_{[i}h_{j]0}^R, \end{split}$$

Xi

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{0} &= A_{0} - B_{i0}x^{i} - h_{0i}^{R}x^{i} + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{j}h_{0i}^{R} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R} + A_{0}\mathcal{E}_{ij} + A^{k}\epsilon_{kil}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{l}\right)x^{i}x^{j} + \left(-\frac{1}{6}B_{j0}\mathcal{E}_{i}^{j} + \frac{5}{18}A_{j}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{i}^{j}\right)x^{i}r^{2} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{6}\partial_{j}\partial_{k}h_{0i}^{R} + \frac{1}{12}\partial_{0}\partial_{k}h_{ij}^{R} + \frac{2}{3}B_{lj}\epsilon^{l}{}_{im}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{m} + \frac{8}{9}A^{l}\epsilon_{lj}{}^{m}\mathcal{B}_{kim} - \frac{1}{3}B_{i0}\mathcal{E}_{jk} - \frac{2}{3}h_{0i}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{jk} - \frac{4}{9}A_{i}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} + \frac{1}{3}A_{0}\mathcal{E}_{ijk}\right)x^{i}x^{j}x^{k} \\ &+ O(r^{4}) \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

$$\\ \xi_{i} &= A_{i} + B_{ij}x^{j} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{k}h_{ij}^{R} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_{i}h_{jk}^{R} - A_{i}\mathcal{E}_{jk} - \frac{2}{3}A_{0}\epsilon_{ikl}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{l}\right)x^{j}x^{k} + \left(n_{i}A_{j}n_{k}\mathcal{E}^{jk} - \frac{1}{2}A_{j}\mathcal{E}_{i}^{j}\right)r^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{12}\partial_{j}\partial_{0}h_{0i}^{R} + \frac{1}{12}\partial_{i}\partial_{0}h_{0j}^{R} - \frac{1}{12}\partial_{i}\partial_{j}h_{00}^{R} - \frac{1}{12}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h_{ij}^{R} + \frac{1}{6}h_{00}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{ij} + \frac{1}{6}h_{ik}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{j}^{k} - \frac{1}{6}h_{ik}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{j}^{k}\right)r^{2}x^{j} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{6}B_{k0}\epsilon_{k}^{i}{}_{l}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{l} + \frac{1}{6}B_{k0}\epsilon_{i}^{k}{}^{R}\mathcal{B}_{j}^{l} - \frac{1}{12}A_{k}\epsilon_{jl}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{i}l - \frac{1}{12}A_{k}\epsilon_{ijl}\dot{\mathcal{B}}^{kl}\right)r^{2}x^{j} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{3}\partial_{0}\partial_{k}h_{0j}^{R} + \frac{1}{6}\partial_{j}\partial_{k}h_{00}^{R} + \frac{1}{6}\partial_{0}\partial_{0}h_{jk}^{R} + \frac{2}{3}A_{0}\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{jk} - \frac{1}{3}h_{00}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{jk} + \frac{2}{3}B_{l0}\epsilon_{l}^{l}{}_{jm}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{m} + \frac{5}{12}A_{l}\epsilon_{l}^{l}{}_{km}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{j}^{m}\right)x_{i}x^{j}x^{k} \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1}{6}\partial_{k}\partial_{l}h_{0j}^{R} + \frac{1}{12}\partial_{i}\partial_{l}h_{jk}^{R} - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}^{R}\mathcal{E}_{kl} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{ilm}h_{0j}^{R}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{m}\right)x^{j}x^{k}x^{l} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{3}B_{j0}\epsilon_{lm}\mathcal{B}_{k}^{m} + \frac{1}{12}A_{j}\epsilon_{lm}\dot{\mathcal{B}}_{k}^{m} - \frac{2}{3}A_{0}\epsilon_{ij}^{m}\mathcal{B}_{klm} - \frac{1}{3}B_{jk}\mathcal{E}_{il} - B_{ij}\mathcal{E}_{kl} - \frac{1}{3}A_{i}\mathcal{E}_{jkl}\right)x^{j}x^{k}x^{l} + O(r^{4}), \end{aligned}$$

Singular Field 
$$j^S = j^P - \mathcal{L}_{m{\xi}} h^P$$

Choose the second-order singular field to be

$$j^S = j^P - \mathcal{L}_{\xi} h^P$$

Choose an arbitrary extension of jS to the entire manifold and define

 $\hat{j}^R = j - \hat{j}^S$ , (hats denote extended quantities)

Then Einstein's equation,

$$G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}[j] + G^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}[h] = 0 \qquad \text{(for } r > 0\text{)}$$

becomes

Can drop!

$$G^{(1)}[\hat{j}^R] = -G^{(1)}[\hat{j}^S] - G^{(2)}[h] \qquad (\text{for } \not > 0).$$

The right-hand-side is the "effective source" and is bounded. No more "singular boundary condition". Numerical integrators happy.

Pick initial/boundary conditions representing the physics of interest and pick any gauge condition (such as Lorenz on  $j^R$ ) such that  $j^R$  is C^1. Then  $j = j^R+j^S$ is the physical metric perturbation expressed in a P-smooth gauge. This provides a prescription for computing the metric of a small body through second order in its size/mass. You can do a lot with just this: fluxes, snapshot waveforms, etc.

But what about the motion? Actually, with all this hard work done, it's trivial.

The secret is that we chose this P gauge to be "mass centered":

#### If you take the near-zone limit of the P-gauge metric perturbation, then the nearzone metric is just the ordinary Schwarzschild metric in isotropic coordinates.

So, we say that the perturbed position of the particle **vanishes** in P gauge. But we worked in P-smooth gauges. What is the description there? Well, how does a point on the manifold "change" under a gauge transformation...

$$x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \lambda \xi^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \left( \Xi^{\mu} + \xi^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \xi^{\mu} \right) + O(\lambda^3)$$

New perturbed position:

$$Z^{(1)\mu} = \xi^{\mu}|_{\gamma}$$
$$Z^{(2)\mu} = (\Xi^{\mu} + \xi^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}\xi^{\mu})|_{\gamma}.$$

So, we need to find the gauge vectors. Or do we? Here's a trick:

Let  $g^{BG}_{\mu\nu}(\lambda) \equiv g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$  where this equation holds <u>only in the P gauge</u>.

In a P smooth gauge we have  $g^{BG}(\lambda) = g - \lambda h^{BG} + \lambda^2 j^{BG} + O(\lambda^3)$ ,

$$h^{BG} = -\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g$$
$$j^{BG} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g - \mathcal{L}_{\Xi}g),$$

Since the background motion is geodesic, vanishing perturbed motion means that the motion is geodesic in  $g^{BG}_{\mu\nu}(\lambda) + O(\lambda^{\bar{3}})$ .

This is an invariant statement and holds in any gauge! The motion is **geodesic in the BG fields.** This can be simply related to the regular fields that arise in practice, completing the prescription for determining the metric and motion.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Recall} & h = h^P \underline{-\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g} \\ \hline & h^{\text{BG}} \end{array} \end{array} \hspace{1cm} j = j^P - \mathcal{L}_{\xi}h^P + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g - \mathcal{L}_{\Xi}g)}_{\textbf{j}^{\text{BG}}} \end{array}$$

# Second order Motion

$$\begin{split} \ddot{Z}^{(2)}_{\ 0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_0 j_{00}^R - h_{0\nu}^R \ddot{Z}^{(1)\nu} \\ &+ \dot{Z}^{(1)\gamma} \partial_\gamma h_{00}^R + \frac{1}{2} Z^{(1)\gamma} \partial_\gamma \partial_0 h_{00}^R \\ &- 2 \mathcal{E}_{ij} \dot{Z}^{(1)i} Z^{(1)j} - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} Z^{(1)i} Z^{(1)j}, \\ \ddot{Z}^{(2)}_{\ i} &= -\partial_0 j_{0i}^R + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i j_{00}^R - \mathcal{E}_{ij} Z^{(2)j} + \delta \mathcal{E}_{ij} Z^{(1)j} \\ &- h_{i\nu}^R \ddot{Z}^{(1)\nu} + Z^{(1)\gamma} \partial_\gamma (-\partial_0 h_{0i}^R + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i h_{00}^R) \\ &+ 2 \dot{Z}^{(1)0} \ddot{Z}^{(1)i} - \dot{Z}^{(1)j} \left( \partial_0 h_{ij}^R + \partial_j h_{i0}^R - \partial_i h_{j0}^R \right) \\ &- 2 \dot{Z}^{(1)j} Z^{(1)k} \epsilon_{ijl} \mathcal{B}_k^{\ l} + \frac{2}{3} Z^{(1)k} Z^{(1)l} \epsilon_{ipk} \dot{\mathcal{B}}_l^{\ p} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}_{ijk} Z^{(1)j} Z^{(1)k} - \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{ij} Z^{(1)0} Z^{(1)j}, \end{split}$$
(104)

# The Prescription

- 1) Choose a vacuum background spacetime and geodesic.
- 2) Find a coordinate transformation between your favorite global coordinate system and my favorite local coordinate system ("RWZ coordinates").
- 3) Compute h<sup>s</sup> from the RWZ formula I give, choose an extension and compute the effective source, and solve for h<sup>R</sup> in some convenient gauge.
- 4) Integrate some transport equations along the worldline to determine A and B, choosing trivial initial data. (A is the first-order motion.)
- 5) Compute j<sup>S</sup> from the RWZ formula I give (involving also h<sup>R</sup>,A,B), choose an extension and compute the second-order effective source, and solve for j<sup>R</sup> a a convenient gauge.
- 6) Integrate some more transport equations to get the second perturbed motion in your gauge.

## What I have done...

Given a prescription for computing the second order metric and motion perturbation of a small body.

Good for local-in-time observables.

# What I haven't done ...

Told you how to compute a long-term inspiral waveform.

However, one should be able to apply adiabatic approaches (Mino; Hinderer and Flanagan) or self-consistent approaches, <u>provided the role of</u> <u>gauge can be understood.</u>

# What I would like to do...

(or see others do!)

Understand the role of gauge in adiabatic and self-consistent approaches.