Highly eccentric EMRIs with self-force and spin-curvature-force

Thomas Osburn

Emory University, Oxford College

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

In collaboration with Niels Warburton and Charles Evans

Motivation: compact binaries



Image credit: LIGO Scientific Collaboration

Motivation: perturbation theory

- The era of gravitational wave astronomy has dawned
- Compact binaries are important sources
- Highly-relativistic small-mass-ratio binaries are not well suited for post-Newtonian or numerical relativity
- Perturb metric in powers of mass-ratio (µ/M)
- Correct motion with perturbed metric (gravitational self-force)

$$F^{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \right) \left(h_{\alpha\beta;\nu} - 2h_{\nu\alpha;\beta} \right) u^{\alpha} u^{\beta}$$



Features of EMRI model used here

- First-order self-force (dissipative and conservative)
- Spin-curvature interaction (spin-force)
- Accurate: 7+ digits of force accuracy (track phase to within ~0.1 radians)
- Broad range of orbital parameters (high eccentricity)

Other important effects:

Kerr setime (van de Meent, arxiv:1606.06297)
 Second-or self-force (Pound, arxiv:1510.05172)

Motivation: high eccentricity and eLISA

- Objects enter LISA passband with eccentricities up to e≈0.8
- Past gravitational White Dwarfs Hopman & Alexander, ApJ 629 (2005) self-force codes Neutron Stars 3.5 Black Holes limited to e≤ 0.4 3 Warburton et al. 2.5Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) v e 2 Akcay et al. 1.5 Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) Challenge: improve 0.5 eccentricity range -ŏ.1 0.5 0.10.20.3 0.40.6 0.70.80

e

Numerical tool: metric perturbations

- Lorenz gauge: $\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + 2R^{lpha \ eta}_{\ \mu \
 u} \bar{h}_{lpha\beta} = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}$
- Schwarzschild metric perturbations separable into tensor spherical harmonic and Fourier modes (I,m,n)

$$h_{\mu\nu}(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l,m,n,k} \tilde{h}_{lmn}^{(k)}(r) \, e^{-i\omega_{mn}t} \, S_{\mu\nu}^{lm(k)}(\theta) \, e^{im\phi}$$

- Solve up to ~30,000 ODE systems (I,m,n) per orbit
- Eccentricity and separation range limited by illconditioning problem and computational cost
- New code developed to handle these problems

Osburn, Forseth, Evans, and Hopper, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014); 1409.4419

Metric perturbations and self-force



Larger domain, accuracy limitations

 We have extended the available domain of orbital parameters (e <= 0.82, p <= 100)
 log₁₀ (F^t relative error)

0.8High accuracy at 0.7 \times \times \times X large eccentricity is challenging 0.6 \times \times \times \times (~3 digits) 0.5 \times Х 0.4 \mathcal{O} 0.3 How can we improve accuracy? 0.20.1Warburton et al. (2012) 204060 80 100() p

Hybrid method: higher accuracy

Total accumulated orbital phase: $\Phi = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^{-1} + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right) + \cdots$ $(\mu/M = 10^{-5})$ adiabatic $\approx 10^6$ rad post-1-adiabatic ≈ 10 rad

- Goal: compute orbital phase to within ~0.1 radians
- Requires self-force accuracy $\leq (10^{-2}\mu/M) \approx 10^{-7}$
- Very hard to achieve 7+ digits at high eccentricity
- Hybrid method: Use high accuracy flux for adiabatic correction (secular approx.), GSF for post-1-adiabatic
- Carefully replace orbit averaged self-force with flux values computed in RWZ gauge

Osburn, Forseth, Evans, and Hopper, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014); 1409.4419

Accurate local interpolation



Interpolation error



- Adiabatic part calculated from accurate RWZ gauge fluxes
- Interpolate with data from 43875 orbits (2054 CPU hours)



- Post-1-adiabatic part calculated from Lorenz gauge self-force
- Interpolate with data from 9602 orbits (2308 CPU hours)

Inspirals: osculating elements

Solve ODE system for orbital parameters as functions of time Pound and Poisson Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008)



Sensitivity test of hybrid self-force



 $^{1 = 10^{6} \,\}mathrm{M_{\odot}}$

Importance of conservative effects



Spin-curvature force

Geodetic spin precession:

$$u^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}S^{\beta} = 0$$

Mathisson-Papapetrou spin-force:

$$F^{\mu}_{\rm spin} = -\frac{1}{2} R^{\mu}_{\ \nu\lambda\sigma} u^{\nu} S^{\lambda\sigma}$$

- F^{θ} introduced, causes orbital plane to precess
- Evolve inclination angle ι and longitude of ascending node Ω with orbital elements



Inspiral with spin-force





Effect of aligned spin on phase



Image credit: Niels Warburton



 $\frac{\mu}{M} = 0.001 \qquad \qquad e = 0.01$ (preliminary)



 $\frac{\mu}{M} = 0.001 \qquad \qquad e = 0.01$ (preliminary)

• no spin

 $\cdot |s| \sim 1$ (random initial orientation)





 $\frac{\mu}{M} = 0.01$ (preliminary) e = 0.5



 $\frac{\mu}{M} = 0.01$ (preliminary) e = 0.5

Conclusions and future work

- Important problems for gravitational wave astronomy:
 - Extreme/intermediate mass ratio binaries
 - High eccentricity
 - High accuracy (7+ digits)
 - Spin-curvature coupling
- We accomplish this with the following tools:
 - Hybrid (accurate fluxes for adiabatic) self-force code
 - Add module for spin-curvature coupling (spin-force)
 - Osculating elements code generalized for inclined orbits
- Future work:
 - Kerr background
 - Second order perturbation theory

Thank you!





Dissertation Completion Fellowship



Evolution of gauge invariant freqs



Intermediate mass ratio inspiral

