# Time-domain metric reconstruction for self-force applications

Leor Barack and Paco Giudice

University of Southampton

Phys. Rev. D 95, 104033 (2017) [arXiv:1702.04204]

- Why another method for self-force calculations?
- Formulation of method in Kerr
- Implementation for circular orbits in Schwarzschild
- Going forward to Kerr

## Why another method for self-force calculations?

Method for obtaining $h_{lphaeta}$	frequency domain	time domain
direct: $E_{\mu u}(h_{lphaeta})=\mathcal{T}_{lphaeta}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{metric reconstruction:} \\ Teuk(\Psi) = \mathcal{T},  \Psi \Rightarrow h_{\alpha\beta} \end{array}$	$\checkmark$	this talk

Reconstruction method is computationally cheaper, but so far only formulated and implemented via frequency-domain decomposition.

Reasons to want to have a time-domain method for metric reconstruction:

- Highly eccentric or unbound (incl. high-energy) orbits
- Orbital evolution under the self-force
- Test of f-domain calculations

# Review of metric reconstruction vacuum spacetime

- Let  $h_{\alpha\beta}$  be a vacuum perturbation of the Kerr metric, with  $\psi_4, \psi_0$  associated Weyl scalars.
- Find a "Hertz potential" Φ that satisfies

Teuk<sub>s</sub>
$$\Phi = 0$$
 and  $D_s^4 \Phi = \psi_{-s}$  or  $\mathcal{L}_s^4 \Phi = \psi_s$ .

• Then the original perturbation can be reconstructed via

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{\mathbf{a}(\alpha}e_{\mathbf{b}\beta})\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}\Phi\right) + h_{\alpha\beta}^{\operatorname{gauge}} + \delta M_{\alpha\beta} + \delta J_{\alpha\beta}.$$

## Review of metric reconstruction

vacuum spacetime

#### Two variants:

• Ingoing Radiation Gauge (IRG)

Teuk\_2 
$$\Phi = 0$$
 and  $D^4 \Phi = \psi_0$  or  $\mathcal{L}^4 \Phi = \psi_4$ .  
 $h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{rec}} = \text{Re}\left(e_{\mathbf{a}(\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{b}\beta})\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{ab}}\Phi\right), \qquad \ell^{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{rec}} = 0.$ 

• Ongoing Radiation Gauge (ORG)

Teuk<sub>+2</sub>
$$\Phi = 0$$
 and  $\tilde{D}^4 \Phi = \psi_4$  or  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^4 \Phi = \psi_0$ .  
$$h_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm rec} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{a}(\alpha}\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{b}\beta)}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathbf{ab}}\Phi\right), \qquad n^{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}^{\rm rec} = 0.$$

## Review of metric reconstruction

#### point-particle source

- In presence of matter sources, the procedure fails to yield a valid solution even in vacuum away from sources [LB & Ori 2001; Price & Whiting 2007]
- Reconstruction for bound orbits, with string-like gauge singularities [Ori 2003]
- "No-string" reconstruction with gauge discontinuity on a sphere [Keidl, Friedman etal 2007-12; vdMeent 2015–]
- Self-force from a reconstructed metric [Pound, Merlin & LB (2014)]
- Determination of  $\delta M_{\alpha\beta} + \delta J_{\alpha\beta}$ [Merlin etal 2016; vdMeent 2017]



**Basic idea:** Obtain  $\Phi^{\pm}$  by solving the appropriate Teukolsky equation in 1+1D, with suitable boundary conditions at infinity and on the horizon, and with suitable junction conditions along the particle's worldline. From it obtain the "no-string" perturbation via

$$h_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm} = \operatorname{Re}\left(e_{\mathbf{a}(\alpha}e_{\mathbf{b}\beta)}\mathcal{D}^{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}}\Phi^{\pm}\right) + \delta M_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm} + \delta J_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm}$$

or an  $\ell$ -by- $\ell$  application thereof.

#### Need 3 things:

- 1+1D decomposition of the Teukolsky equation
- 2 Boundary conditions for 1+1D solutions
- 3 Junction conditions along the particle's worldline

## 1+1D decomposition of the Teukolsky equation

Decompose into spin-weighted *spherical* harmonics (even in Kerr):

$$\Phi_s^{\pm} = (r\Delta^s)^{-1} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm}(t,r) \, {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\tilde{\varphi}).$$

Substitution into Teukolsky's master equation (in vacuum) gives

$$\sum_{\ell m} {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \tilde{\varphi}) \left[ \tilde{\Box} \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} - a^{2} \sin^{2} \theta \left( \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} \right)_{,tt} + 2ias \cos \theta \left( \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} \right)_{,t} \right] = 0.$$

## 1+1D decomposition of the Teukolsky equation

Decompose into spin-weighted *spherical* harmonics (even in Kerr):

$$\Phi_s^{\pm} = (r\Delta^s)^{-1} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm}(t,r) \, {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\tilde{\varphi}).$$

Substitution into Teukolsky's master equation (in vacuum) gives

$$\sum_{\ell m} {}_{s}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \tilde{\varphi}) \left[ \tilde{\Box} \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} - a^{2} \sin^{2} \theta \left( \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} \right)_{,tt} + 2ias \cos \theta \left( \phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm} \right)_{,t} \right] = 0.$$

After reexpanding in  ${}_{s}Y_{\ell m}$  we get, for each  $\ell$ ,

$$\phi_{,uv}^{\ell}+U(r)\phi_{,u}^{\ell}+V(r)\phi_{,v}^{\ell}+W(r)\phi^{\ell}+K(r)\left[a^{2}C_{0}^{\ell}\phi_{,tt}^{\ell}+\mathcal{I}(\phi^{\ell\pm1},\phi^{\ell\pm2})\right]=0,$$

with the  $\ell$ -mode coupling term

$$\mathcal{I} = -a^2 \left( C^{\ell}_{++} \phi^{\ell+2}_{,tt} + C^{\ell}_{+} \phi^{\ell+1}_{,tt} + C^{\ell}_{-} \phi^{\ell-1}_{,tt} + C^{\ell}_{--} \phi^{\ell-2}_{,tt} \right) + 2ias \left( c^{\ell}_{+} \phi^{\ell+1}_{,t} + c^{\ell}_{-} \phi^{\ell-1}_{,t} \right).$$

## Boundary conditions for the fields $\phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm}(v, u)$ behavior at $r \gg M$

• time-dependent modes:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{physical:} & \phi^+ & \sim & e^{-i\omega u} & \hookrightarrow \mbox{ bounded}, \\ \mbox{nonphysical:} & \phi^+ & \sim & r^{2s}e^{-i\omega v} & \hookrightarrow \mbox{ blows up for ORG}. \end{array}$ 

static modes:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{physical:} & \phi^+ & \sim & r^{-\ell+s} & \hookrightarrow \mathsf{bounded},\\ \mathsf{nonphysical:} & \phi^+ & \sim & r^{\ell+s+1} & \hookrightarrow \mathsf{blows} \mathsf{ up}. \end{array}$ 

In an ORG reconstruction, all nonphysical modes blow up at infinity

## Boundary conditions for the fields $\phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm}(v, u)$ behavior near the event horizon

• time-dependent modes:

static modes:

physical:  $\phi^- \sim \text{const} \hookrightarrow \text{bounded}$ , nonphysical:  $\phi^- \sim \Delta^s \hookrightarrow \text{blows up for IRG}$ .

In an IRG reconstruction, all nonphysical modes blow up on the horizon

## Boundary conditions for the fields $\phi_{s\ell m}^{\pm}(v, u)$ behavior near the event horizon

• time-dependent modes:

static modes:

physical:  $\phi^- \sim \text{const} \hookrightarrow \text{bounded}$ , nonphysical:  $\phi^- \sim \Delta^s \hookrightarrow \text{blows up for IRG}$ .

#### In an IRG reconstruction, all nonphysical modes blow up on the horizon

This motivates a "mixed-gauge" approach where  $h_{\alpha\beta}^+$  is reconstructed in ORG while  $h_{\alpha\beta}^-$  is reconstructed in IRG. (We won't implement it here.)

## Junction conditions on the particle's worldline

• Radial inversion relations decompose into  ${}_{s}Y_{\ell m}$  modes without any mode coupling, even in Kerr! E.g., for IRG:

$$8r\Delta^2 \mathcal{D}_l^4 \left(\Delta^2 \bar{\phi}_{\ell m}^{\pm}/r\right) = \left(-1\right)^m \psi_{0,\ell,-m}^{\pm} ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{I}:=\Delta^{-1}\left((r^{2}+a^{2})\partial_{v}-\textit{ima}\right).$$

Angular relations don't have this nice feature, except for a = 0 where they become very simple (essentially φ<sup>±</sup><sub>.t</sub> ~ ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>0</sub>). See Lousto & Whiting 2002.

## Junction conditions on the particle's worldline

 Radial inversion relations decompose into sY<sub>lm</sub> modes without any mode coupling, even in Kerr! E.g., for IRG:

$$8r\Delta^2 \mathcal{D}_l^4 \left(\Delta^2 \bar{\phi}_{\ell m}^{\pm}/r\right) = (-1)^m \psi_{0,\ell,-m}^{\pm} ,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_I := \Delta^{-1}\left((r^2 + a^2)\partial_v - ima
ight).$$

- Angular relations don't have this nice feature, except for a = 0 where they become very simple (essentially φ<sup>±</sup><sub>,t</sub> ~ ψ<sup>±</sup><sub>0</sub>). See Lousto & Whiting 2002.
- The jumps across the worldline in  $\phi$  and its v derivatives thus obey

$$\sum_{n=0}^{4} F_n(v) \left[ \partial_v^n \phi_{\ell m} \right] = \left[ \bar{\psi}_{0,\ell,-m} \right],$$

where  $\left[ ar{\psi}_{0,\ell,-m} 
ight]$  can be inferred directly from the source of the Teukolsky equation.

## Junction conditions on the particle's worldline

- $\left[\partial_{v}^{n\geq 2}\phi\right]$  can be expressed in terms of  $\left[\phi\right]$  and  $\left[\phi_{,v}\right]$  and their  $\tau$  derivatives along the orbit, via a repeated application of the vacuum Teukolsky equation.
- We obtain a coupled set of ODEs for  $[\phi]$  and  $[\phi_{,\nu}]$ :

$$\sum_{n=0}^{3} \left( a_n(\tau) \frac{d^n \left[\phi\right]}{d\tau^n} + b_n(\tau) \frac{d^n \left[\phi,v\right]}{d\tau^n} \right) + \mathcal{I} \text{ terms} = \left[ \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right]$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{4} \left( c_n(\tau) \frac{d^n \left[\phi\right]}{d\tau^n} + d_n(\tau) \frac{d^n \left[\phi,v\right]}{d\tau^n} \right) + \mathcal{I} \text{ terms} = \left[ (\bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m}),v \right]$$

- These should be solved with suitable initial or periodicity conditions.
- Once [φ] and [φ,ν] are known, the jumps in all higher (u, v or mixed) derivatives are easily obtained using [φ] = u
  <sub>p</sub> [φ,u] + v
  <sub>p</sub> [φ,ν] and the Teukolsky equation.

1. Field equation and junction conditions

• Specializing to Schwarzschild and IRG:

$$\phi_{,uv}^{\ell} + U(r)\phi_{,u}^{\ell} + V(r)\phi_{,v}^{\ell} + W(r)\phi^{\ell} = 0,$$

with

$$U(r) = \frac{2M}{r^2}, \qquad V(r) = -\frac{2f}{r}, \qquad W(r) = \frac{f}{4} \left( \frac{(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{r^2} - \frac{2M}{r^3} \right)$$

• Specializing further to circular orbits, jump equations become algebraic:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\Sigma} \left[ \phi \right] + b_{\Sigma} \left[ \phi_{,v} \right] &= \left[ \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right], \\ c_{\Sigma} \left[ \phi \right] + d_{\Sigma} \left[ \phi_{,v} \right] &= \left[ \partial_{v} \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right], \end{aligned}$$

so can be solved analytically.

1. Field equation and junction conditions

• Even in this simple case, the jumps are complicated...

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{\ell m}^{\mathrm{IRG}} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \left( d_{\Sigma} \left[ \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right] - b_{\Sigma} \left[ \partial_{\nu} \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right] \right), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu} \phi_{\ell m}^{\mathrm{IRG}} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{\Delta}^{-1} \left( a_{\Sigma} \left[ \partial_{\nu} \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right] - c_{\Sigma} \left[ \bar{\psi}_{2,\ell,-m} \right] \right),$$

where

$$ilde{\Delta} = rac{1}{4} f_0^4 r_0^8 \left[ \lambda^2 (\lambda+2)^2 + (12 m M \Omega)^2 
ight],$$

and, e.g.,  $c_{\Sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{4} (-im\Omega)^n c_n$  with

$$\begin{array}{lll} c_0 &=& r_0^3 f_0^2 (1-y) \lambda (\lambda+2), \\ c_1 &=& f_0 r_0^4 \left[ \lambda (\lambda+5) - 2 (\lambda^2+2\lambda-6) y - 2 (4\lambda+17) y^2 + 12 y^3 \right], \\ c_2 &=& 2 r_0^5 \left[ 3\lambda + (15-7\lambda) y + 2 (\lambda-23) y^2 + 24 y^3 \right], \\ c_3 &=& 2 f_0 r_0^6 (\lambda+22 y), \\ c_4 &=& 16 M r_0^6. \end{array}$$

Here  $\lambda := (\ell + 2)(\ell - 1)$ ,  $f_0 := 1 - 2M/r_0$ ,  $y := M/r_0$ .

#### 2. Numerical method



- Teukolsky equation discretized on a 1+1 mesh in *uv* coords
- Finite-difference scheme is 2nd-order convergent [local error is O(h<sup>4</sup>)]
- FD scheme at near-particle points incorporates jump information
- Start with zero initial data on u = u<sub>0</sub> and v = v<sub>0</sub>; wait for junk radiation to dissipate.













#### Extension to eccentric or unbound orbits

Main challenge is to solve 4th-order ODEs that determine the jumps of  $\phi$  across the orbit.

- For periodic orbits: can decompose into harmonics of orbital frequencies
- For evolving bound orbits: can impose approximate periodic initial conditions at initial point
- For scatter orbits: can impose initial conditions at infinity; need to find a way to eliminate nonphysical unstable solutions.

#### **Extension to Kerr**

Need to incorporate mode coupling in both field & jump equations.

- Preliminary results show that's easily done in vacuum.
- In particle case, may need to deal with coupling at the level of the mode-sum regularization formula

#### Exploring the mixed-gauge approach

- Our IRG implementation seems to "automatically" select the physical (retarded) solution. Need to understand why and whether that's always guaranteed.
- A mixed-gauge approach would have the advantage that a globally bounded solution is automatically the physical one.
- To set up a the mixed-gauge calculation, need (1) a formulation of the self-force from a mixed-gauge perturbation (easy), and (2) a method for determining the jump condition (hard!)

#### Improving the numerical method

Method could be directly implemented on existing platforms (e.g., Diener's or Thornburg's), to include

- Mesh refinement
- Hyperboloidal slicing
- Compactification
- Parallelization

Method is also amenable to finite-element discretization a la Canizares & Sopuerta.