Evolution of small-mass-ratio binaries with a spinning secondary

Thomas Osburn

Emory University, Oxford College

In collaboration with Niels Warburton and Charles Evans

Motivation: compact binaries



Image credit: LIGO Scientific Collaboration

Motivation: perturbation theory

- The era of gravitational wave astronomy has dawned
- Compact binaries are important sources
- Highly-relativistic small-mass-ratio binaries are not well suited for post-Newtonian or numerical relativity
- Perturb metric in powers of mass-ratio (μ/Μ)
- Evolve motion with perturbed metric (gravitational self-force)

$$F^{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{2} \left(g^{\mu\nu} + u^{\mu} u^{\nu} \right) \left(h_{\alpha\beta;\nu} - 2h_{\nu\alpha;\beta} \right) u^{\alpha} u^{\beta}$$



Features of EMRI model used here

- First-order self-force (dissipative and conservative)
- Spin-curvature interaction (spin-force)
- Accurate: 7+ digits of force accuracy (track phase to within ~0.1 radians)
- Broad range of orbital parameters (high eccentricity)

Other important effects:

Kerr set ime (see talk by van de Meent)

Second-operations elf-force (see talks by Pound and Wardell)

Motivation: high eccentricity and LISA

- Objects enter LISA band with eccentricities up to e≈0.8?
- Past gravitational White Dwarfs Hopman & Alexander, ApJ 629 (2005) self-force codes Neutron Stars 3.5 Black Holes limited to e≤ 0.3 3 Warburton et al. 2.5Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) v e 2 Akcay et al. 1.5 Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) Challenge: improve 0.5 eccentricity range -ŏ.1 0.5 0.10.20.3 0.40.6 0.70.80

e

Numerical tool: metric perturbations

- Lorenz gauge: $\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + 2R^{lpha \ eta}_{\ \mu \
 u} \bar{h}_{lpha\beta} = -16\pi T_{\mu\nu}$
- Schwarzschild metric perturbations separable into tensor spherical harmonic and Fourier modes (I,m,n)

$$h_{\mu\nu}(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l,m,n,k} \tilde{h}_{lmn}^{(k)}(r) \, e^{-i\omega_{mn}t} \, S_{\mu\nu}^{lm(k)}(\theta) \, e^{im\phi}$$

- Solve up to ~30,000 ODE systems (I,m,n) per orbit
- Eccentricity and separation range limited by illconditioning problem and computational cost
- New code developed to handle these problems

Osburn, Forseth, Evans, and Hopper, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014); 1409.4419

Metric perturbations and self-force



Larger domain, accuracy limitations

 We have extended the available domain of orbital parameters (e <= 0.82, p <= 100)
 log₁₀ (F^t relative error)

0.8High accuracy at 0.7 \times \times \times X large eccentricity is challenging 0.6 \times \times \times \times (~3 digits) 0.5 \times \times 0.4 0 0.3 How can we improve accuracy? 0.20.1Warburton et al. (2012) 204060 80 100() p

Hybrid method: higher accuracy

Total accumulated orbital phase: $\Phi = \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^{-1} + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right) + \cdots$ $(\mu/M = 10^{-5})$ adiabatic $\approx 10^6$ rad post-1-adiabatic ≈ 10 rad

- Goal: compute orbital phase to within ~0.1 radians
- Requires self-force accuracy $\leq (10^{-2}\mu/M) \approx 10^{-7}$
- Very hard to achieve 7+ digits at high eccentricity
- Hybrid method: Use high accuracy flux for adiabatic correction (secular approx.), GSF for post-1-adiabatic
- Carefully replace orbit averaged self-force with flux values computed in RWZ gauge

Osburn, Forseth, Evans, and Hopper, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014); 1409.4419

Interpolation error



- Adiabatic part calculated from accurate RWZ gauge fluxes
- Interpolate with data from 43875 orbits (2054 CPU hours)



- Post-1-adiabatic part calculated from Lorenz gauge self-force
- Interpolate with data from
 9602 orbits (2308 CPU hours)

Osburn, Warburton, and Evans, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2014); 1511.01498

Spin-curvature force

- Approximation 1: first-order expansion in spin magnitude (S)
- Approximation 2: Ignore spin-force when calculating self-force
- Mathisson-Papapetrou-Dixon spin-force:



t/M

Inspirals: osculating elements

Choose a set of geodesic constants as orbital elements I^A

$$I^A = \{e, p, \chi_0, \iota, \Omega, \Phi, T\}$$

The equations of motion are re-formulated as an initial value problem for I^A
Pound and Poisson Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008)

$$\frac{\partial z_G^{\alpha}}{\partial I^A} \frac{\partial I^A}{\partial \tau} = 0 \qquad \qquad \mu \frac{\partial u_G^{\alpha}}{\partial I^A} \frac{\partial I^A}{\partial \tau} = F^{\alpha}$$

The spin vector is evolved in an ODE system shared with I^A $u^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} S^{\beta} = 0$



Inspiral with spin-force



Inspiral with spin-force





Effect of spin on orbital phase



Waveform generation

- To calculate the self-force we already solved the Einstein equations
- Snapshot gravitational wave information readily available

$$h_{+} - ih_{\times} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=2}^{l_{\max}} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} H_{lm}(t,r) - 2Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi)$$

$$H_{lm}(t,r) \equiv \sum_{n=n_{\min}}^{n_{\max}} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)} \left(C_{lmn}^{\text{even}} - iC_{lmn}^{\text{odd}} \right) e^{-i\omega_{mn}(t-r)}$$

- The C coefficients are amplitudes of Regge-Wheeler master functions and depend only on shape of orbit (e and p)
- We calculated and interpolated the Cs over same orbital parameter space as the self-force
- Waveforms generated by updating the Cs as the orbit evolves

Effect of spin on waveforms



$$e_0 = 0.3$$
 $p_0 = 10$
 $\epsilon = \frac{\mu}{M} = 10^{-5}$

Near plunge stats:

~2400 radial oscillations Total time ~ $90 \frac{M}{\epsilon}$ Total dephasing ~ 44 rad

Effect of spin on waveforms



$$e_0 = 0.7$$
 $p_0 = 20$
 $\epsilon = \frac{\mu}{M} = 10^{-3}$

Near plunge stats:

~700 radial oscillations Total time ~ $3700 \frac{M}{\epsilon}$ Total dephasing ~ 15 rad

Conclusions and future work

- Important problems for gravitational wave astronomy:
 - Extreme/intermediate mass ratio binaries
 - High eccentricity
 - High accuracy (7+ digits)
 - Spin-curvature coupling
- We accomplish this with the following tools:
 - Hybrid (accurate fluxes for adiabatic) self-force code
 - Add module for spin-curvature coupling (spin-force)
 - Osculating elements code generalized for inclined orbits
- Future work:
 - Kerr background
 - Second order perturbation theory

Conserved quantities with spin



Importance of conservative effects



Sensitivity test of hybrid self-force



Evolution of gauge invariant freqs



Intermediate mass ratio inspiral

