

Overcharging higher-dimensional black holes with point particles

Karl Simon Revelar* and Ian Vega

National Institute of Physics University of the Philippines

*karevelar@upd.edu.ph

Capra 20, UNC Chapel Hill

Overcharging and Overspinning using test objects

Cosmic censorship: curvature singularities arising from gravitational collapse are hidden by an event horizon

Important studies that used test objects to subvert censorship:

Wald (1974): Extremal Kerr-Newman black hole cannot be overspun/overcharged by test objects

Hubeny (1999): For near-extremal RN BH, there exists region in {*E*, *m*, *q*} space of test charge that yields overcharged state

Jacobson, Sotiriou (2009): Region in $\{\delta E, \delta J\}$ parameter space for test body exists that yields overspun state of near-extremal KN BH

Zimmerman, Vega, Hass, & Poisson (2013): EM self-force becomes repulsive near the horizon preventing overcharging in Hubeny scenario

Colleoni, Barack, Shah, & Van de Meent (2015): Gravitational self-force prevents overspinning in Jacobson-Sotiriou scenario

The overspinning scenario has already been analyzed in higher dimensions. Cosmic censorship is found to be upheld in this case. **Bouhmadi-Lopez, Cardoso, Nerozzi & Rocha (2010)**

Studies of the self-force in higher dimensions: Harte, Flanagan & Taylor (2016), Taylor & Flanagan (2015), Frolov & Zelnikov (2014), and Beach, Poisson & Nickel (2014).

These motivate us to extend the Hubeny overcharging scenario to higher *D*.

The Hubeny scenario consists of a test charge with mass *m*, energy *E*, and charge *q* falling radially towards a nearly-extremal RN black hole of mass *M*, charge *Q*.

Test particle approximation is imposed by setting $m \sim E \sim q \ll Q < M$.

The particle follows an equation of motion

$$ma^{\alpha} = qF^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}u^{\beta}.$$
 (1)

To cross the horizon, its velocity $u^{\alpha} = (\dot{t}, \dot{r}, 0, 0)$ must satisfy

1. $\dot{r}^2 > 0$, $\forall r \ge r_+$ (No turning point) 2. $\dot{t} > 0$ $\forall r > r_+$ (u^{α} future-pointing for $r > r_+$) The black hole is overcharged after particle absorption when Q + q > M + E.

Q

Following these preceding conditions, Hubeny found the constraints to the region in the $\{E, m, q\}$ parameter space that satisfy the overcharging condition.

Hubeny inequalities

$$q > \frac{r_+ - Q}{2}, \tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{Q}{2} < F < q + Q - M \tag{2b}$$

$$\frac{r_{+}}{r_{+}} < E < q + Q - M, \tag{2b}$$

$$m < Q \sqrt{\frac{2MEq - Q(E^2 + q^2)}{Q(M^2 - Q^2)}}.$$
 (2c)

Extremal case (Q = M) $q < E < q, m < \infty, q > 0.$ no solution Nearly-extremal case ($M = 1, Q = 1 - 2\epsilon^2$)

$$q = a\epsilon \qquad a > 1$$

$$E = a\epsilon - 2b\epsilon^2 \quad \text{such that} \quad 1 < b < a \qquad (3)$$

$$m = c\epsilon, \qquad c < \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$$

Charged Schwarzschild-Tangherlini BH

We consider a test charge moving in the charged Schwarzschild-Tangherlini spacetime, D > 4-dimensional charged static, asymptotically flat, spherically-symmetric black hole solution of the *D*-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell equation.

D-dimensional Reissner-Nordström /charged Schwarzschild -Tangherlini line element

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{D-3}} + \frac{\xi^{2}}{r^{2(D-3)}}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{r^{D-3}} + \frac{\xi^{2}}{r^{2(D-3)}}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{D-2}^{2}$$
(4)

with

$$\mu = \frac{16\pi M}{(D-2)\Omega_{(D-2)}}$$

$$\xi = \left(\frac{8\pi}{\Omega_{(D-2)}(D-2)(D-3)}\right)^{1/2} Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{(D-2)} = \frac{2\pi^{(D-1)/2}}{\Gamma((D-1)/2)}$$
(5)

The black hole supports an EM field $A_{\alpha} = -Q/((D-3)r^{D-3})$ which interacts with the particle's charge.

6

Following previous works, (Hubeny, 1999), (Bouhmadi-Lopez et al, 2010), (Jacobson & Sotiriou, 2009), the ADM mass M and charge Q of the black hole goes to M + E and Q + q, respectively.

After absorption, the metric function becomes

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{16\pi(M+E)}{(D-2)\Omega_{(D-2)}r^{D-3}} + \frac{8\pi(Q+q)^2}{\Omega_{D-2}(D-2)(D-3)r^{2(D-3)}}$$
(6)

The event horizon would then be located at

$$r_{+}^{D-3} = \frac{M+E}{(D-3)\omega_{D}^{2}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_{D}^{2}(Q+q)^{2}}{(M+E)^{2}}} \right), \quad \omega_{D} = \sqrt{\frac{(D-2)}{(D-3)} \frac{\Omega_{(D-2)}}{8\pi}}.$$
(7)

The metric thus describes a naked singularity when

$$Q+q > \omega_D^{-1}(M+E).$$
(8)

This overcharging condition can be written as follows to provide an upper bound for *E*:

$$E < \omega_D \left(Q + q \right) - M. \tag{9}$$

Kinematics of radial infall

For the particle with velocity $u^{\alpha} = (\dot{T}, \dot{R}, 0, ..., 0)$ to cross the horizon during infall,

$$\dot{\mathsf{R}}^2 > 0 \quad \forall r \ge r_+ \tag{10}$$

$$\dot{T} > 0 \quad \forall r > r_+ \tag{11}$$

Equation of motion

$$ma^{\alpha} = qF^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}u^{\beta} \tag{12}$$

$$E = -p_{\alpha}\xi^{\alpha}_{(t)} = m\dot{f}\dot{T} + \frac{qQ}{(D-3)r^{D-3}}$$
 is conserved. (13)

Thus we get

$$\dot{T} = \frac{1}{mf} \left(E - \frac{qQ}{(D-3)r^{D-3}} \right)$$
(14)

$$\dot{R}^2 = \frac{1}{m^2} \left(E - \frac{qQ}{(D-3)r^{D-3}} \right)^2 - f(r)$$
(15)

Crossing conditions

We can enforce $\dot{T} > 0 \ \forall r > r_+$ if

$$E > \frac{qQ}{(D-3)r_+^{D-3}},$$
 (16)

which is a lower bound on E.

We can enforce $\dot{R}^2 > 0 \ \forall r \ge r_+$ if

$$m < \omega_D Q \sqrt{\frac{2MEq - Q(E^2 + \omega_D^2 q^2)}{Q(M^2 - \omega_D^2 Q^2)}}.$$
 (17)

Combining lower and upper bounds for *E* gives

$$\frac{qQ}{(D-3)r_{+}^{D-3}} < E < \omega_{D} (Q+q) - M.$$
(18)

For this interval in E to exist, we need to require

$$q > r_{+}^{D-3} \left(\frac{M - \omega_D Q}{\omega_D r_{+}^{D-3} - Q/(D-3)} \right).$$
(19)

10

Generalized Hubeny inequalities for D > 4

The set of inequalities constraining the $\{E, m, q\}$ parameter space for a *D*-dimensional RN black hole (M, Q) are then

$$q > r_{+}^{D-3} \left(\frac{M - \omega_{D}Q}{\omega_{D}r_{+}^{D-3} - Q/(D-3)} \right)$$
(20)

$$\frac{qQ}{(D-3)r_{+}^{D-3}} < E < \omega_{D} (Q+q) - M$$
(21)

$$m < \omega_D Q \sqrt{\frac{2MEq - Q(E^2 + \omega_D^2 q^2)}{Q(M^2 - \omega_D^2 Q^2)}},$$
 (22)

where

$$\omega_{\rm D} = \sqrt{\frac{(D-2)}{(D-3)} \frac{\Omega_{(D-2)}}{8\pi}},$$
(23)

is a dimensionless constant.

These reduce to the Hubeny inequalities when D = 4.

In the **extremal case**, we generalize Wald's result to D > 4. The generalized Hubeny inequalities become

$$q > 0$$
 (24a)

$$m < \infty$$
 (24b)

 $q < E < q \tag{24c}$

This has no solution. Thus, extremal RN black holes for D > 4 cannot be overcharged, just as in D = 4.

Near-extremality can be parameterized as

$$M \equiv 1$$
 (25a)

$$Q \equiv \omega_D^{-1} - 2\epsilon^2 \tag{25b}$$

Rewriting inequalities in terms of ϵ and then taking a series expansion around $\epsilon = 0$, we can find a solution similar to Hubeny's.

$$q = A\epsilon \qquad A > \omega_D^{-1/2}$$

$$E = \omega_D (A\epsilon - 2B\epsilon^2) \quad \text{such that} \quad 1 < B < \sqrt{\omega_D}A \qquad (26)$$

$$m = C\epsilon, \qquad C < \sqrt{A^2 \omega_D^2 - B^2 \omega_D}$$

The main difference is the presence of the *D*-dimensional factor ω_D .

Therefore, we can also overcharge nearly-extremal black holes for any D > 4. The caveat is that D can't be very large.

Large D limit



Figure 1: Allowed parameter space in *q* for nearly-extremal BH with $\epsilon = 0.001$. Notice that as $D \to \infty$, $q_{min} \to \infty$. Therefore, as *D* increases, the required charge eventually becomes too large so that the test particle assumption breaks down.

Large D limit



Figure 2: Width of parameter space in *E*, $\Delta E = E_{max} - E_{min}$, for nearly-extremal BH with $\epsilon = 0.001$. (shaded region) As $D \to \infty$, $\Delta E \to 0$.

Large D limit



Figure 3: Allowed parameter space in *m* for nearly-extremal BH with $\epsilon = 0.001$. As $D \rightarrow \infty$, $m \rightarrow 0$.

Summary and Outlook

Summary

- 1. Extremal higher-dimensional black holes cannot be overcharged. (Generalization of Wald's result in D = 4)
- 2. Nearly-extremal higher-dimensional black holes can be overcharged. (Generalization of Hubeny in D = 4)
- 3. In the large *D* limit, overcharging becomes systematically difficult to achieve.

Work in progress

Does Jacobson-Sotiriou overspinning generalize to nearly-extremal Myers-Perry black holes?

Outlook

Can the higher-dimensional self-force act as a cosmic censor?

Thank you