### Effective field theory approach to

## quasi-single field inflation and effects of heavy fields

# Toshifumi Noumi

(Math Phys Lab, RIKEN)

reference:

· JHEP 1306 (2013) 051 [arXiv:1211.1624]

with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT) and Daisuke Yokoyama (Seoul NU)

• [arXiv:1307.7110] with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT)

@基研研究会 August 21st 2013

## Effective field theory approach to

quasi-single field inflation and effects of heavy fields

O possible probe of high energy physics

(Math Phys Lab, RIKEN)

reference:

· JHEP 1306 (2013) 051 [arXiv:1211.1624]

with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT) and Daisuke Yokoyama (Seoul NU)

[arXiv:1307.7110] with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT)

@基研研究会 August 21st 2013



# Toshifumi Noumi

(Math Phys Lab, RIKEN)

reference:

· JHEP 1306 (2013) 051 [arXiv:1211.1624]

with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT) and Daisuke Yokoyama (Seoul NU)

(arXiv:1307.7110) with Masahide Yamaguchi (TIT)

@基研研究会 August 21st 2013

# inflation



inflation: accelerated expansion of the Universe

- explains horizon problem, flatness problem, ...
- generates primordial curvature fluctuations
  - $\rightarrow$  seeds of structures of the Universe

### **# single-field slow-roll inflation**



a lot of models have been proposed and are being killed by experiments!

# how to distinguish models?

quantum fluctuations during inflation  $\rightarrow$  sees of structures

% initial conditions in standard cosmology





- cosmic expansion cools Universe  $\rightarrow$  CMB temp. fluctuations

CMB as seen by Planck



# as a probe of (very) high energy physics?

models based on high energy theory have been also discussed (ex. supergravity, superstring theory, ...)

one generic feature of such high energy based models:

massive scalar fields other than inflaton

supergravity: generically  $m_{
m scalar} \sim H$ 

extra dimensions: Kaluza-Klein modes

superstring theory: moduli of compactification

can be used as a probe of high energy physics!? can affect primordial curvature perturbations!?

# when heavy fields become relevant?



suppose that the potential has a massive direction in addition to the slow-roll direction



if you roll along the bottom of potential...

- don't feel the massive potential
- single field approximation works well



if you roll along the bottom of potential...

- don't feel the massive potential
- single field approximation works well

#### two typical situation you feel massive potential





turn and climb the potential

potential itself is turning

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{X}}$  in each case, you will feel centrifugal force during the turn



conversion interaction from kinetic term :

 $r^2 \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta \ni \delta r \, \dot{\delta \theta}$ 

two typical situation you feel massive potential



turn and climb the potential

potential itself is turning

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{X}}$  in each case, you will feel centrifugal force during the turn



conversion interaction from kinetic term :

 $r^2 \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta \ni \delta r \, \dot{\delta \theta}$ 

signatures from conversion interaction + heavy fields:

- # quasi-single field inflation [Chen-Wang '09]
  - potentially large non-Gaussianities
  - intermediate shape between local and equilateral types
- # effective sound speed from heavy fields [ex. Achucarro et al '11]
  - heavy fields can change dispersion relations of light fields
- # sudden turning trajectory [ex. Gao et al '12]
  - a kind of resonances in primordial power spectra

would like to discuss effects of heavy fields + conversion more systematically and in more general settings  $\rightarrow$  effective field theory (EFT) approach to inflation

# General action from EFT approach



advantages:

- systematic expansions in perturbations and derivatives
- interactions at different orders are related by symmetry

# EFT approach to inflation [Cheung-Creminelli-Fitzpatrick-Kaplan-Senatore '07]

- simplest case ( $\Leftrightarrow$  single field inflation)

relevant dof = metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  only

% time-diffeo breaking  $\rightarrow$  2 transverse and 1 longitudinal physical modes

(inflaton is eaten by graviton)



- # Generic action with heavy fields [Noumi-Yamaguchi-Yokoyama '12]
- in our case...

relevant dof = metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  + additional massive scalar field  $\sigma$ 

% time-diffeo breaking  $\rightarrow$  2 transverse and 1 longitudinal physical modes

(inflaton is eaten by graviton)

we would like to construct the most general action - constructed from the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\sigma$ - invariant under unbroken time-dependent spatial diffeo - around given FRW background (background field satisfy the eom)  $S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, g^{00}, K_{\mu\nu}, \nabla_{\mu}, t o)$  $K_{\mu\nu}$ : extrinsic curvature on constant-time spatial slices # Generic action with heavy fields [Noumi-Yamaguchi-Yokoyama '12]

1. expand the action around a given FRW background 2. introduced the Goldstone boson  $\pi$  via Stuckelberg method - Goldstone boson  $\pi$  non-linearly realizes time diffeo  $\pi(x) \to \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{x}) = \pi(x) - \xi^0(x)$  with  $t \to \tilde{t} = t + \xi^0(x)$ -  $\zeta = -H\pi$  at the linear order # Generic action with heavy fields [Noumi-Yamaguchi-Yokoyama '12]

3. write the action schematically as  $S = S_{\pi} + S_{\sigma} + S_{mix}$  $S_{\pi}$  : no  $\sigma$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  single field)  $S_{\sigma}$  : kinetic term of  $\sigma$ , self-interaction of  $\sigma$ , ....  $S_{\rm mix}$  : conversion of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ , ....  $S_{\pi} \ni \int d^4x \, a^3 \left| -M_{\rm Pl}^2 \dot{H} \left( \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2} \right) \right|$  $S_{\text{mix}} \ni \int d^4x \, a^3 \left| -2\beta \dot{\pi} \sigma - \beta \left( \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \sigma \right|$ 

% nontrivial cubic interaction from conversion : typically,  $f_{NL} = \mathcal{O}(1 \sim 10)$ % interactions at different orders are related by symmetry % model is specified by time-dep. parameters such as H(t) and  $\beta$ 

# Example: effects of heavy field oscillations [Noumi-Yamaguchi '13]



heavy field oscillations can occur in the case of

1. turning potential



2. phase transition (of massive direction)

two effects of heavy field oscillations:1. deformations of Hubble parameter2. conversion interactions

### **# Deformations of Hubble parameter**





#### **# conversion interaction**

two dof of scalar perturbations:



- for turning background trajectory...



 $\rightarrow \pi$  -  $\sigma$  conversion appears during the turn

- coupling  $\beta$  oscillates with frequency m

 $\rightarrow$  oscillating  $\pi$ - $\sigma$  interaction:  $\int dt d^3x \, a^3 \beta(t) \dot{\pi} \sigma$ 

two effects of heavy field oscillations:

① Hubble deformation  $\rightarrow \pi - \pi$  interaction

$$\int dt d^3x \, a^3 \left(-M_{\rm Pl}^2 \delta \dot{H}\right) \left[\dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{(\partial_i \pi)^2}{a^2}\right]$$

2  $\pi$  -  $\sigma$  conversion interaction

$$\int dt d^3x \, a^3 \beta(t) \dot{\pi} \sigma$$

 $\divideontimes \, \delta H(t) \text{ and } \beta(t) \text{ is oscillating}$ 

if there are no oscillations...

single slow-roll  $\rightarrow$  almost scale invariant power spectrum



if there are no oscillations...

single slow-roll  $\rightarrow$  almost scale invariant power spectrum











 $k_*$ : scale of turning/transition



in particular,

when inflaton and heavy scalar have canonical kinetic terms,

- power spectrum  $C_{\delta H}(k) + C_{\mathrm{conv}}(k)$ 



- bispectra (non-Gaussianities) are also evaluated

### **# Summary and future direction**

# summary

conversion + heavy scalar via EFT approach

- general action as expansions in derivatives and perturbations
- interactions at different orders are related by symmetry

example: heavy field oscillations

- peak feature and resonance feature
- resonance cancellation for canonical kinetic terms

#### # future direction

tensor correlations from EFT (in progress)

- EFT approach to bottom-up holography
  - non-conformal, non-relativistic,...

detectability of heavy field oscillations

## resonance cancellation

why resonances cancel each other out?

- Hubble deformation effects  $M_{\rm Pl}^2 \delta \dot{H} \pi^2 \sim \dot{\phi}_{\perp}^2 \pi^2$  $\times \delta \dot{H}$  originates from velocity  $\dot{\phi}_{\perp}$ 

- conversion interactions  $\beta \dot{\pi} \sigma \sim \ddot{\phi}_{\perp} \pi \sigma$ 

 $\therefore$  conversion originates from angular velocity  $\frac{q}{d}$ 



- couplings of the two interactions have opposite phases

$$\dot{\phi}_{\perp}^2 \sim \cos^2 mt \rightarrow \ddot{\phi}_{\perp}^2 \sim \sin^2 mt$$

 $\rightarrow$  negative correlation between the two resonances  $\cos^2 mt + \sin^2 mt = 1$ : no oscillations  $\rightarrow$  no resonances



## primordial bispectrum

## **# primordial bispectra**

scalar three-point functions:



#### **# primordial bispectra**

% shape function:  $S(k_1, k_2, k_3) \sim \frac{(3-\text{pt})}{(2-\text{pt})^2}$ 

 $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$ 





Hubble deformation

conversion



#### **# primordial bispectra**



conversion

scale-dependence for equilateral configurations  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$  $\frac{\sum_{i} k_i}{k_{i}}$ 20 40 60 -100- peak at the turning scale - not so large non-Gaussianities  $f_{NL} \sim \tilde{\lambda} \alpha^2 \left(\frac{m}{H_{\rm ex}}\right)^3 \times \mathcal{O}(0.1)$ 

