#### Radiative Neutrino Mass Generation and Dark Matter

Takashi Toma

Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology

IPPP Internal Seminar 7th June 2013



#### Outline

- Introduction
- 2 Neutrino Mass Generation
- 3 Dark Matter
- 4 A Concrete Model of Radiative Seesaw
  - Constraints
  - Coannihilation Effect of DM
  - Direct Detection of (Leptophilic) DM
- 5 Further Extension of Radiative Seesaw
  - SUSY with anomalous U(1)
  - Indirect detection of DM with flavor symmetry
- 6 Summary

#### Introduction

Neutrino mass differences are confirmed by the neutrino oscillations.

$$\bullet \Delta m_{ij}^2 \approx 10^{-3 \, \sim -5} \, \, [\mathrm{eV}^2]$$

■ Mixing angles of the PMNS matrix  $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.320$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.49$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.026$ .

Neutrinos should be massive.

There are many experimental evidences of DM.

- Rotation curves of spiral galaxy
- CMB observations
- Gravitational lensing
- Large scale structure of the universe

The existence of DM is crucial.





#### Neutrino Mass Generation

Seesaw mechanism (Type I, Type II, Type III...)
 In Type I seesaw, some heavy right-handed neutrinos N<sub>R</sub> are introduced.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= -\phi^{\dagger} \overline{\ell_L} y_{\nu} N_R - \frac{1}{2} \overline{N_R^c} M N_R + \text{h.c.} \\ &\to - \overline{\nu_L} m_D N_R - \frac{1}{2} \overline{N_R^c} M N_R + \text{h.c.} \qquad m_D = y_{\nu} \langle \phi \rangle \end{split}$$

Mass matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow m_\nu = -m_D M^{-1} m_D^T + \cdots$$
(if  $m_D \ll M$ )

Typical scale

· If Yukawa coupling is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ,  $m_D \sim 100$  GeV and  $M \sim 10^{14}$  GeV. Super heavy  $N_R \rightarrow$  light neutrino masses. Inverse seesaw mechanism

Small lepton number violation  $(\not\!\!\!L) \to \text{light neutrino masses.}$ Three singlets  $S_L$  are added.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\overline{\nu_L} m_D N_R - \overline{N_R^c} M S_L^c - \frac{1}{2} \overline{S_L} \mu S_L^c$$

Mass matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D & 0 \\ m_D^T & 0 & M \\ 0 & M^T & \mu \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} m_\nu \sim m_D M^{-1} \mu M^{T^{-1}} m_D^T \\ \text{(if } \mu \ll m_D, M \end{pmatrix}$$

Typical scale of  $\mu$  is keV.  $M \sim 10$  TeV,  $m_D \sim 100$  GeV  $\rightarrow m_{\nu} \sim 0.1$  eV.

Note : generally  $\frac{1}{2}\overline{N_R^c}\mu'N_R$  also exists.

- Radiative neutrino mass generation
  - $\cdot$  forbid Dirac mass term

Type I seesaw

$$\left( egin{array}{cc} {\sf loop} & 0 \ 0 & M \end{array} 
ight) \quad 
ightarrow \quad m_
u \sim -rac{1}{(4\pi)^2}m_DM^{-1}m_D^T$$

Inverse seesaw

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{loop} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M \\ 0 & M^{\mathsf{T}} & \mu \end{pmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad m_{\nu} \sim \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} m_D M^{-1} \mu M^{\mathsf{T}^{-1}} m_D^{\mathsf{T}}$$

where  $\textit{m}_{D} \sim \textit{y}_{\nu} \langle \phi 
angle$ 

features

- $\cdot$  Smallness of neutrino masses is natural.
- $\cdot$  Existence of DM is correlated with neutrino mass generation.

### Examples of Models

Zee model

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$
$\phi_2$	2	1
$h^+$	1	1

- · neutrino mass (1-loop level)
- Ma model

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
Ni	1	0	-1
$\eta$	2	1/2	-1

- · neutrino mass (1-loop level)
- $\cdot$  DM candidates ( $N_1$  or  $\eta^0$ )



## Examples of Models

Zee-Babu model

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$
$h^+$	1	1
<i>k</i> <sup>++</sup>	1	2

- $\cdot$  neutrino mass (2-loop level)
- Krauss-Nasri-Trodden model

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$S_1^+$	1	1	+1
$S_{2}^{+}$	1	1	+1
N <sub>R</sub>	1	0	-1

- · neutrino mass (3-loop level)
- · DM candidate  $(N_R)$

Non-self conjugate coupling is required.





Dark Matter

# Dark Matter

#### Nature of DM

- · stable (or long lifetime)
- $\cdot$  non-relativistic particle
- $\cdot$  electrically neutral
- · no electromagnetic interaction (at tree level)

#### DM candidate

In order to include DM we have to stabilize a particle.

 $\to \ \mathbb{Z}_2 \ \text{parity}$ 

 $\cdot$  Neutralino, Lightest KK Particle, Right-handed neutrino, Gravitino, Axion etc..

#### DM Thermal Production

- DM number density is determined by decoupling from thermal bath in the universe.
- Number density follows the Boltzmann equation.

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = -\frac{\Gamma Y_{\text{eq}}}{Hz} \left[ \left( \frac{Y}{Y_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 - 1 \right], \quad z \equiv \frac{m}{T}, \quad \Gamma \equiv \langle \sigma v \rangle \, n_{\text{eq}}, \quad Y \equiv \frac{n}{s}$$



approximate solution

$$\Omega h^2 pprox rac{1.04 imes 10^9 \ [{
m GeV}^{-1}]}{\sqrt{g_*} m_{
m pl} \langle \sigma v 
angle} = 0.12 \ ({
m Planck})$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \leftrightarrow & \langle \sigma \nu \rangle \approx 3 \times 10^{-26} \; [\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}] \\ &= 3 \times 10^{-9} \; [\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}] \end{array}$$

- Non-thermal production of DM arXiv:0810.4147
  - $\cdot$  a metastable particle ( $\chi_2$ ) decays into DM ( $\chi_1$ )
  - $\cdot$  simuletaneous Boltzmann equation



## A Concrete Model of Radiative Seesaw

## The Simplest Model (Ma Model)

New particles

	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
Ni	1	0	-1
$\eta$	2	1/2	-1

- Neutrino mass generation at 1-loop level
- Include DM candidates  $N_1$  and  $\eta^0$

#### Interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_{\mu}\eta)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}\eta) + \overline{N_{i}}i\partial N_{i} - \frac{M_{i}}{2}\overline{N_{i}^{c}}N_{i} + h_{\alpha i}\overline{\ell_{\alpha}}\eta^{\dagger}N_{i} + \text{h.c.} - \mathcal{V}(\phi,\eta)$$
  

$$\mathcal{V}(\phi,\eta) = m_{\phi}^{2}|\phi|^{2} + m_{\eta}^{2}|\eta|^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}|\phi|^{4} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}|\eta|^{4}$$
  

$$+\lambda_{3}|\phi|^{2}|\eta|^{2} + \lambda_{4}(\phi^{\dagger}\eta)(\eta^{\dagger}\phi) + \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}\left[(\phi^{\dagger}\eta)^{2} + (\eta^{\dagger}\phi)^{2}\right]$$
  

$$= \langle \eta \rangle = 0 \text{ is assumed.}$$

φ and η do not mix after the symmetry breaking.
 assumed that new particles are less than a few TeV.

Takashi Toma (IPPP)

 $\eta^0$  split after electroweak symmetry breaking.

$$\eta^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta_R + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\eta_I, \quad \text{mass eigenstates } (\eta_R, \eta_I)$$

$$(m_{\nu})_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{h_{\alpha i} h_{\beta i} M_{i}}{(4\pi)^{2}} \left[ \frac{m_{R}^{2}}{m_{R}^{2} - M_{i}^{2}} \log\left(\frac{m_{R}^{2}}{M_{i}^{2}}\right) - \frac{m_{I}^{2}}{m_{I}^{2} - M_{i}^{2}} \log\left(\frac{m_{I}^{2}}{M_{i}^{2}}\right) \right] \\ \sim (h\Lambda h^{T})_{\alpha\beta} \qquad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}, \Lambda_{3})$$



When 
$$\lambda_5 \ll 1$$
,  $m_R^2 \approx m_I^2 = M_\eta^2$   
 $(m_\nu)_{\alpha\beta} \simeq \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{2\lambda_5 h_{\alpha i} h_{\beta i} \langle \phi^0 \rangle^2}{(4\pi)^2 M_i} I\left(\frac{M_i^2}{M_\eta^2}\right)$   
 $I(x) = \frac{x}{1-x} \left(1 + \frac{x \log x}{1-x}\right)$ 

The parameters are constrained by various aspects.

Neutrino mass scale  $m_{
u} \sim 10^{-1}$  eV

Neutrino mixing

$$U_{PMNS}^{T}m_{\nu}U_{PMNS} = \operatorname{diag}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)$$

- Lepton flavor violation (LFV)  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  : Br( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ )  $\leq 2.4 \times 10^{-12} \rightarrow 5.7 \times 10^{-13}$  (now)  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ ?
- Thermal relic density of DM
  - $\cdot$  N<sub>1</sub> is assumed to be DM here.
  - · Leptophilic DM.
  - · degenerated with  $N_2$ .

To derive the neutrino mass scale

$$rac{\lambda_5 h^2}{10^{-11}} \sim rac{M_i}{\langle \phi \rangle} \left( rac{\sqrt{\Delta m^2}}{0.05 \ {
m eV}} 
ight)$$

 The structure of the neutrino mass matrix is determined by the Yukawa matrix h<sub>αi</sub>.
 The flavor structure:

$$h_{\alpha i} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & \epsilon_2 & h'_3 \\ h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \\ h_1 & h_2 & -h_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_i \ll h_i$$

 $\epsilon_i$  are understood as deviations from the Tri-bimaximal mixing.  $\rightarrow \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3} + \epsilon, \ \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon', \ \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0 + \epsilon''.$ 

$$U_{PMNS}^{T}m_{\nu}U_{PMNS} = \operatorname{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$$

#### Constraints from LFV



The experimental bounds

• Br 
$$(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$$

Br 
$$(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$$

• Br 
$$(\tau \rightarrow e\gamma) < 3.3 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$\operatorname{Br}\left(\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\gamma\right) = \frac{3\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}}{64\pi G_{F}^{2}M_{\eta}^{4}} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{\alpha i}^{*}h_{\beta i}F_{2}\left(\frac{M_{i}^{2}}{M_{\eta}^{2}}\right)\right|^{2}\operatorname{Br}\left(\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\nu_{\alpha}\overline{\nu}_{\beta}\right)$$

where  $\operatorname{Br}(\mu \to e\nu_{\mu}\overline{\nu}_{e}) \simeq 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Br}(\tau \to \mu\nu_{\tau}\overline{\nu}_{\mu}) \simeq 0.174$ ,  $\operatorname{Br}(\tau \to e\nu_{\tau}\overline{\nu}_{e}) \simeq 0.178$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Yukawa couplings  $h_{\alpha i}$  should be small. or

Special structure of Yukawa matrix.

#### Constraint from DM Relic Density

The lightest right-handed neutrino  $N_1$  is assumed to be DM candidate.

- The channel:  $N_1 N_1 \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \overline{\ell_{\beta}}$  (t, u-channel) Expansion in terms of  $v^2$ ,  $\sigma v = a + bv^2 + \mathcal{O}(v^4)$
- Fermionic DM is often suppressed by chiral suppression.
- The annihilation cross section has no s-wave due to chiral suppression.

$$\sigma \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\alpha=e}^{\tau} \frac{|h_{\alpha 1}|^4}{24\pi} \frac{M_1^2 \left(M_1^4 + M_{\eta}^4\right)}{\left(M_1^2 + M_{\eta}^2\right)^4} \mathbf{v}^2 \propto \mathbf{v}^2$$

ightarrow the Yukawa couplings  $h_{lpha i}$  should be large.

#### Coannihilation of DM

If  $N_2$  is degenerated with  $N_1$  ( $M_1 \approx M_2$ ), they can coannihilate:



- The effective annihilation cross section  $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$  includes  $N_1 N_2 \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \overline{\ell_{\beta}}$ ,  $N_2 N_2 \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \overline{\ell_{\beta}}$ .
- s-wave appears due to the coannihilation process  $N_1 N_2 \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \overline{\ell_{\beta}}$  if the Yukawa  $h_{\alpha i}$  are complex.  $\sigma_{\text{eff}} v = a_{\text{eff}} + b_{\text{eff}} v^2$ ,  $a_{\text{eff}} \propto \text{Im}(h_1^* h_2) \neq 0$ .

 $\rightarrow$  It is possible to be consistent with LFV and DM relic abundance.

### Allowed parameter region from

Neutrino mass and mixingLFV



Perturbativity 
$$|h_i| < 1.5$$

• DM relic abundance  $\Omega h^2 = 0.12$ 

$$\xi \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left(h_{1}^{*}h_{2}\right)$$

A:  $2.00 < M_{\eta}/M_1 < 9.80$ B:  $1.20 < M_{\eta}/M_1 < 2.00$ C:  $1.05 < M_{\eta}/M_1 < 1.20$ D:  $1.00 < M_{\eta}/M_1 < 1.05$ 

 $1 \lesssim {\sf DM}$  mass  $\lesssim 3~{\sf TeV}$ 

#### Direct Detection



- Nuclei are made of quarks. → Interactions with quarks are important.
- Many direct detection experiments are performed.
   XENON100, CDMSII, DAMA, CoGeNT, CRESST, KIMS etc.

Detection Rate

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \sum_{\text{nuclei}} \frac{\rho_{\odot}}{m_{DM}} \frac{1}{m_{\text{det}}} \int_{v > v_{\text{min}}} \frac{d\sigma}{dE_R} v f_{\odot} (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_e) d^3 v$$

dσ/dE<sub>R</sub>: cross section (Particle physics dependence)
 ρ<sub>☉</sub>, v: DM local density, velocity (Astrophysics dependence)

- Elastic scattering  $N_1A \rightarrow N_1A$  is highly suppressed.
- Scattring with nuclei occurs inelastically in the model like  $N_1A \rightarrow N_2A$ .
- We need the effective coupling of  $N_1 N_2 \gamma$ .



 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff} = i \frac{\partial_{12} \overline{N_2} \gamma^{\mu} N_1 \partial^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + i \left(\frac{\mu_{12}}{2}\right) \overline{N_2} \sigma^{\mu\nu} N_1 F_{\mu\nu} + i \frac{\partial_{12} \overline{N_2} \gamma^{\mu} N_1 A_{\mu\nu}}{\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_$ 

There are three types of interactions.  $a_{12}, \mu_{12}, c_{12} \propto \xi \equiv \text{Im}(h_2^*h_1) \rightarrow \text{Charge int.} a_{12} \text{ and } c_{12}, \text{Dipole int.} \mu_{12}.$ 

#### Scattering with nuclei



Inelastic Scattering with nuclei occurs when  $\delta m \lesssim 100$  keV

- Photon has small transfer energy  $E_R$ .
- Three types of cross sections are obtained.
  - Charge-Charge coupling:  $\sigma_{CC} \sim (a_{12} + c_{12})^2 Z^2$
  - Dipole-Charge coupling:  $\sigma_{DC} \sim \mu_{12}^2 Z^2$
  - Dipole-Dipole coupling:  $\sigma_{DD} \sim \mu_{12}^2 \mu_N^2$

#### $\sigma_{CD}$ is suppressed.

Comparison of the couplings for  $M_\eta/M_1 = 1.5$ 



- CC coupling is dominant for rather small mass range.
- DD coupling is larger than DC coupling for DAMA and KIMS. → dependence of  $\mu_N$



- The inelastic cross section (CC coupling) is enhanced if DM and η are highly degenerated (light blue region).
  - $\rightarrow$  the behavor of the loop function in the effective couplings. Enhanced by  $a_{12} \propto \frac{M_1^2}{m_{\ell}^2}$  when  $M_1 \approx M_{\eta}$ Some parameter space can be investigated by XENON1T.

### Extensions of Radiative Seesaw

## 1. Supersymmetry with anomalous $U(1)_A$

- A pair of inert Higgs  $\eta_u$  and  $\eta_d$  is required.
- $\blacksquare$  Break gauge coupling unification at GUT scale because of additional inert Higgs doublets  $\eta$
- $U(1)_A$  anomaly cancellation by Green-Schwarz mechanism

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -rac{g_A^2 \mathrm{Tr} Q_A}{32\pi^2} lpha(\mathbf{x}) \epsilon^{\mu
u
ho\sigma} F_{\mu
u} F_{
ho\sigma}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = a \epsilon^{\mu 
u 
ho \sigma} F_{\mu 
u} F_{
ho \sigma}, \quad a \to a + \delta_{GS} \alpha(x)$$

Remain accidental  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  parity after the  $U(1)_A$  breaking

Interesting features

- $\blacksquare \ \mathbb{Z}_2$  is softly broken  $\rightarrow$  metastable DM
- lifetime is determined by charge assignment of  $U(1)_A$

$$au \propto \exp(\delta_{GS}^{-1}), \quad \delta_{GS} = rac{\operatorname{Tr} Q_A}{48\pi^2}$$

- We have Multi-component DM (R-parity and softly broken Z<sub>2</sub>) Neutralino and the lightest Z<sub>2</sub> odd particle.
- The positron excess of AMS-02 and PAMELA is explained by the decay of DM.



#### 2. Flavor Symmetry

Introduce flavor symmetry to derive neutrino mixing.

$$\theta_{12} = 34^{\circ}, \quad \theta_{23} = -45^{\circ}, \quad \theta_{13} = -9^{\circ}$$

DM decay channel is also controlled by the symmetry.

Allowed interaction of DMs X and X'

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\lambda_X}{\Lambda^2} LLE^c X^c + \frac{\lambda_{X'}}{\Lambda^2} LLE^c X^{c'}$$

Decay rate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma_X}{dx} &= \frac{|\lambda_X|^2 m_X^5}{48(4\pi)^3 \Lambda^4} x^2 \left(21 - 16x\right), \quad x = \frac{2E_e}{m_X} \\ \Gamma_X &= \frac{|\lambda_X|^2 m_X^5}{16(4\pi)^3 \Lambda^4} \end{aligned}$$

Positron flux from DM

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{v_e}{4\pi b(E)} \frac{\rho(r)}{m_X} \int_E^{m_X/2} dE' \frac{d\Gamma_X}{dE'} I(E, E')$$

Astrophysical dependences are in I(E, E')



arXiv:1304.2680

■ small dump around 120 GeV

- $e: \mu: \tau = 1: 1: 1$  because of the flavor symmetry
- Two-component DM

Best fit :

$$m_X = 244 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_X = 1.2 \times 10^{28} s.$$
  
 $m_{X'} = 1360 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_{X'} = 2.4 \times 10^{27} s.$ 

#### Summary

- **1** The SM should be extended to include neutrino masses and DM.
- 2 In some Radiative Seesaw models, neutrino masses and DM are related.
- 3 Coannihilation plays an important role to be consistent with all the constraints.
- 4 Due to small mass difference between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , inelastic scattering between DM and nuclei is possible even if the DM is leptophilic.

#### Future Works

**1** Gamma-ray from DM annihilation.



Required cross section :  $\sigma v \sim 10^{-27} \text{ [cm}^3/\text{s]}$ 

 $\leftrightarrow$  relic density of DM  $\sigma \nu \sim 10^{-26}~[{\rm cm}^3/{\rm s}]$ 

Large cross section is required  $\leftrightarrow$  DM relic density

- 2 Lepton Flavor Violation  $\mu \to 3e$ . When Yukawa coupling is large enough,  $\mu \to 3e$  can be larger than  $\mu \to e\gamma$ 
  - ightarrow Radiative Seesaw or Inverse Seesaw
- The other extensions of the SM. (model building of new radiative seesaw)

Takashi Toma (IPPP)

# Thank you for your attention!