Smart and Human

常翔学園

摂南大学

Complex Langevin analysis of the spontaneous breaking of 10D rotational symmetry in the Euclidean IKKT matrix model (arXiv:2002.07410)

Takehiro Azuma (Setsunan Univ.)

Asia-Pacific Symposium for Lattice Field Theory

Aug. 5th 2020, 14:00-14:20

with Konstantinos N. Anagnostopoulos (NTUA), Yuta Ito (KEK),
Jun Nishimura (KEK, SOKENDAI), Toshiyuki Okubo (Meijo Univ.)
and Stratos Kovalkov Papadoudis(NTUA)

1. Introduction

Difficulties in simulating complex partition functions.

$$Z = \int dA \exp(-S_0 + i\Gamma), \quad Z_0 = \int dA e^{-S_0}$$

Sign problem:
The reweighting $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \dfrac{\langle \mathcal{O} e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_0}{\langle e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_0}$ requires configs. $\exp[O(N^2)]$

$<*>_0$ = (V.E.V. for the phase-quenched partition function Z_0)

Promising candidate for nonperturbative string theory

[N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, hep-th/9612115] (a.k.a. "IKKT model")

$$Z = \int dA d\psi e^{-(S_b + S_f)}$$

$$S_b = -\frac{N}{4}\mathrm{tr}[A_\mu, A_\nu]^2, \quad S_f = N\mathrm{tr}\bar\psi_\alpha(\Gamma^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}[A_\mu, \psi_\beta]$$

·***Euclidean*** case after Wick rotation $A_0 \rightarrow iA_{10}, \Gamma^0 \rightarrow -i\Gamma_{10}$.

⇒Path integral is finite without cutoff.

[W. Krauth, H. Nicolai and M. Staudacher, hep-th/9803117, P. Austing and J.F. Wheater, hep-th/0103059]

·A_μ, Ψ_α ⇒N×N Hemitian traceless matrices.
  (μ=1,2,…,10, α,β=1,2,…,16)

·Eigenvalues of A_μ : spacetime coordinate⇒$\mathcal{N}$=2 SUSY

$$Z = \int dA \, de^{-S_{\mathrm{b}}} \underbrace{\left(\int d\psi \, e^{-S_{\mathrm{f}}} \right)}_{= \mathrm{Pf}\,\mathcal{M} = |\mathrm{Pf}\,\mathcal{M}| e^{i\Gamma} = e^{-S_{\mathrm{f,eff}}}} = \int dA \underbrace{e^{-S}}_{e^{-(S_{\mathrm{b}} + S_{\mathrm{f,eff}})}}$$

‧ Pf $\mathcal{M}$'s *complex phase Γ* contributes to the Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB) of SO(10).

‧ Result of Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM)

[T.Aoyama, J.Nishimura, and T.Okubo, arXiv:1007.0883、J.Nishimura, T.Okubo and F.Sugino, arXiv:1108.1293]

SSB **SO(10)→SO(3).**
Dynamical compactification to 3-dim spacetime.

Complex Langevin Method (CLM)

⇒Solve the complex version of the Langevin equation.

[Parisi, Phys.Lett. 131B (1983) 393, Klauder, Phys.Rev. A29 (1984) 2036]

$$\frac{d(A_\mu)_{ij}}{dt} = -\boxed{\frac{\partial S}{\partial (A_\mu)_{ji}}} + \eta_{\mu,ij}(t) = -\left\{ \frac{\partial S_b}{\partial (A_\mu)_{ji}} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial (A_\mu)_{ji}} \mathscr{M}^{-1} \right) \right\} + \eta_{\mu,ij}(t)$$

$$\underbrace{\phantom{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial (A_\mu)_{ji}} \mathscr{M}^{-1} \right)}}_{=\partial \log \mathrm{Pf} \mathscr{M}/\partial(A_\mu)_{ji}}$$

drift term

・A_μ : Hermitian→general complex traceless matrices.

・η_μ: Hermitian-matrix white noise obeying the probability distribution
$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}\int \mathrm{tr}\eta^2(t)dt \right)$$

3. Complex Langevin Method

CLM does not work when it encounters these problems:

(1) Excursion problem: A_μ is too far from Hermitian
   ⇒ Gauge Cooling minimizes the Hermitian norm

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{-1}{10N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{10} \text{tr}[(A_\mu - (A_\mu)^\dagger)^2]$$

(2) Singular drift problem:
   The drift term dS/d$(A_\mu)_{ji}$ diverges due to $\mathcal{M}$'s near-zero eigenvalues.

We trust CLM when the distribution p(u) of the drift norm

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10N^3} \sum_{\mu=1}^{10} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial S}{\partial (A_\mu)_{ji}} \right|^2}$$

falls exponentially as p(u)∝e^{-au}.

[K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, arXiv:1606.07627]

Look at the drift term ⇒ Get the drift of CLM!!

Mass deformation [Y. Ito and J. Nishimura, arXiv:1609.04501]

‧SO(D) symmetry breaking term $\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}} = N\dfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{\mu=1}^{10} m_\mu \mathrm{tr}(A_\mu)^2$

Order parameters for SSB of SO(10): $\lambda_\mu = \mathrm{Re}\left\{\dfrac{1}{N}\mathrm{tr}(A_\mu)^2\right\}$

‧Fermionic mass term: $\Delta S_{\mathrm{f}} = N m_{\mathrm{f}}\mathrm{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_\alpha (i\,\Gamma_8 \Gamma_9^\dagger \Gamma_{10})_{\alpha\beta}\,\psi_\beta\right)$

Avoids the singular eigenvalue distribution of $\mathcal{M}$.

Extrapolation (i) N→∞ ⇒(ii)ε_m→0 ⇒ (iii) m_f→0.

4. Result

$$\Delta S_b = N\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{10} m_\mu \mathrm{tr}(A_\mu)^2 \qquad \Delta S_f = Nm_f \mathrm{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_\alpha (i\,\Gamma_8\Gamma_9^\dagger\Gamma_{10})_{\alpha\beta}\,\psi_\beta\right) \qquad \rho_\mu(\varepsilon, m_f) = \frac{\langle\lambda_\mu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_f}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{10}\langle\lambda_\nu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_f}}$$

$m_\mu = (0.5, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8)$

N=128 64 48 32

$\langle\lambda_\mu\rangle$

(i) N→∞
$m_f = 1.00$, $\varepsilon = 0.325$

μ=1,2

μ=3

μ=4

μ=5

μ=6,7

μ=8,9,10

1/N

histogram of fermionic drift

$$u_f = \sqrt{\frac{1}{10N^3}\sum_{\mu=1}^{10}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial S_{f,\mathrm{eff}}}{\partial(A_\mu)_{ji}}\right|^2}$$

$m_f = 1.00$, N=32

all faster than exponential

$\varepsilon_l = 0.150$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.175$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.200$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.225$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.250$
$\varepsilon = 0.275$
$\varepsilon = 0.300$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.325$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.350$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.375$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.400$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.425$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.450$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.475$
$\varepsilon = 0.500$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.525$
$\varepsilon = 0.550$
$\varepsilon_l = 0.575$
$\varepsilon = 0.600$
$\varepsilon = 0.625$

$$\Delta S_{\rm b} = N\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{10} m_\mu {\rm tr}(A_\mu)^2 \qquad \Delta S_{\rm f} = N m_{\rm f} {\rm tr}\left(\bar\psi_\alpha \left(i\,\Gamma_8\Gamma_9^\dagger\Gamma_{10}\right)_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta\right) \qquad \rho_\mu(\varepsilon, m_{\rm f}) = \frac{\langle\lambda_\mu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_{\rm f}}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{10}\langle\lambda_\nu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_{\rm f}}}$$

(ii) ε→0 after N→∞

$$m_\mu = \begin{cases} (0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 8, 8, 8) & (m_{\rm f} = 3.0) \\ (0.5, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8) & (m_{\rm f} < 3.0) \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta S_{\rm f} = Nm_{\rm f}{\rm tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_\alpha (i\Gamma_8\Gamma_9^\dagger\Gamma_{10})_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta\right)$$

(no $\Delta S_{\rm b} = N\dfrac{\varepsilon}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{\mu=1}^{10}m_\mu{\rm tr}(A_\mu)^2$ term)

GEM result for $m_{\rm f}>0$ at 3 loop solutions of SO(6), SO(7) ansatz

$$\rho_\mu(\varepsilon,m_{\rm f}) = \frac{\langle\lambda_\mu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_{\rm f}}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{10}\langle\lambda_\nu\rangle_{\varepsilon,m_{\rm f}}}$$

5. Summary

Dynamical compactification of the spacetime
in the Euclidean IKKT model.

"Complex Langevin Method"
⇒trend of SSB SO(10)→SO(3).

Future works

Application of CLM to the Lorentzian version of the
type IIB matrix model

[K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, K. Hatakeyama, M. Hirasawa, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura, S.K. Papadoudis
and A. Tsuchiya, work in progress]   their talks