Smart and Human

常翔学園 **摂南大学**

Monte Carlo Studies of Dynamical Compactification of Extra Dimensions in a Model of Nonperturbative String Theory

Takehiro Azuma (Setsunan Univ.) JPS meeting 2015, Waseda Univ. Mar. 24 12:00-12:15

with Konstantinos N. Anagnostopoulos and Jun Nishimura

1. Introduction



Difficulties in putting complex partition functions on computers. $Z = \int dA \exp(-S_0 + i\Gamma), \ Z_0 = \int dA e^{-S_0}$

e.g. lattice QCD, matrix models for superstring theory

- 1. Sign problem: The reweighting $\langle \mathscr{O} \rangle = \frac{\langle \mathscr{O} e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_0}{\langle e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_0}$ requires configs. exp[O(N²)] $\langle * \rangle_0 = (V.E.V.$ for the phase-quenched partition function Z_0)
- 2. Overlap problem: Discrepancy of important configs. between Z_0 and Z.

2. Factorization method



Method to sample important configurations for Z.

[J. Nishimura and K.N. Anagnostopoulos, hep-th/0108041 K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T.A. and J. Nishimura, arXiv:1009.4504]

We constrain the observables $\Sigma = \{ \mathcal{O}_k | k = 1, 2, \cdots, n \}$ correlated with the phase Γ .

They are normalized as $\tilde{\mathscr{O}}_k = \mathscr{O}_k / \langle \mathscr{O}_k \rangle_0$ The distribution function factorizes as

$$\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n \delta(x_k - \tilde{\mathscr{O}}_k) \right\rangle = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\langle e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_0}}_{=1/C} \times \underbrace{\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n \delta(x_k - \tilde{\mathscr{O}}_k) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho^{(0)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\frac{\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n \delta(x_k - \tilde{\mathscr{O}}_k) e^{i\Gamma} \right\rangle_0}{\left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n \delta(x_k - \tilde{\mathscr{O}}_k) \right\rangle_0}}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle_0}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} w(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \times \underbrace{\left\langle w(x_1$$



- Simulation of Z_x with a proper choice of the set Σ \Rightarrow sample the important region for Z.
- Evaluation of the observables $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_k \rangle$
 - Peak of the distribution function ρ at V=(system size) $\rightarrow \infty$. = Minimum of the free energy $\mathscr{F} = -\frac{1}{N^2}\log\rho$
- ⇒Solve the saddle-point equation $\frac{1}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \log \rho^{(0)} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{1}{N^2} \log w$
- Applicable to general systems with sign problem.

3. The model



IKKT model (or the IIB matrix model)

⇒Promising candidate for nonperturbative string theory

[N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, hep-th/9612115]



- Euclidean case after the Wick rotation $A_0 \rightarrow iA_{10}$, $\Gamma^0 \rightarrow -i\Gamma_{10}$.
- A_{μ} , $\Psi_{\alpha} \Rightarrow N \times N$ Hemitian matrices (μ =1,2,...,d=10, α , β =1,2,...,16)
- Eigenvalues of $A_{\mu} \Rightarrow$ spacetime coordinate
- Dynamical emergence of the spacetime due to the Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB) of SO(10).

Result of Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM)



$$\langle \lambda_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle \lambda_d \rangle (= R^2) \gg \langle \lambda_{d+1} \rangle = \cdots = \langle \lambda_{10} \rangle (= r^2)$$

10 dim. vol<mark>ume V=R</mark>^d × r^{10-d}

Extended d dim. (10-d) dim.

Shrunken

Extended d-dim. and shrunken (10-d) dim. at $N \rightarrow \infty$ SSB SO(10) \rightarrow SO(d)

Main Results of GEM

[J. Nishimura, T. Okubo and F. Sugino, arXiv:1108.1293]

- •Universal compactification scale $r^2 \cong 0.15$ for SO(d) ansatz (d=2,3,...7).
- Constant volume property except d=2
 V=R^d × r^{10-d}=l¹⁰, l²≅0.38
- •SSB SO(10) \rightarrow SO(3).

SETSUNAN UNIVERSITY 🗸

Mechanism of SSB in Euclidean case



Partition function of the model:

$$Z = \int dAde^{-S_B} \left(\int d\psi e^{-S_F} \right) = \int dA \underbrace{e^{-S_0}}_{=e^{-S_B}|\text{Pf}\mathcal{M}|} e^{i\Gamma}$$

The Pfaffian PfM is complex in the Euclidean case ⇒Complex phase Γ is crucial for the SSB of SO(10). [J. Nishimura and G. Vernizzi hep-th/0003223]

No SSB with the phasequenched partition function.

$$Z_0 = \int dAe^{-S_0}$$
<*>_0=V.E.V. for Z_0

SETSUNAN UNIVERSITY



Results of the Monte Carlo simulation

It turns out sufficient to constrain only one eigenvalue λ_{d+1} $\Sigma = \{\lambda_{d+1} \text{ only}\}$ Corresponds to the SO(d) vacuum $\langle \lambda_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle \lambda_d \rangle (= R^2) \gg \langle \lambda_{d+1} \rangle = \cdots = \langle \lambda_{10} \rangle (= r^2)$

 $\tilde{\lambda}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_n / \langle \lambda_n \rangle_0$ corresponds to $(r/I)^2 \simeq 0.15/0.38 = 0.40$ (GEM)]

Solve the saddle-point equation for n=d+1.

$$\frac{1}{N^2} f_n^{(0)}(x) = -\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{N^2} \log w_n(x) \text{ where}$$

$$f_n^{(0)}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dx} \log \langle \delta(x - \tilde{\lambda}_n) \rangle_0, \quad w_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle e^{i\Gamma} \rangle_{n,x} = \langle \cos \Gamma \rangle_{n,x}$$

$$\langle * \rangle_{n,x} = \left\{ \text{V.E.V. for } Z_{n,x} = \int dA e^{-S_0} \delta(x - \tilde{\lambda}_n) \right\}$$

The solution \bar{x}_n corresponds to $\bar{x}_n = \langle \tilde{\lambda}_{d+1} \rangle_{SO(d)}$ in the SO(d) vacuum.

SETSUNAN UNIVERSITY







Comparison of the free energy



SETSUNAN UNIVERSITY 🌽

X

5. Summary



We have studied the dynamical compactification of the spacetime in the Euclidean IKKT model.

Monte Carlo simulation via factorization method ⇒We have obtained the results consistent with GEM:

Universal compactification scale for SO(2,3,4) vacuum.
SO(2) vacuum is disfavored.