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## 1. Introduction

Difficulties in simulating complex partition functions.

$$
Z=\int d A \exp \left(-S_{0}+i \Gamma\right), Z_{0}=\int d A e^{-S_{0}}
$$

Sign problem:
The reweighting $\langle\theta\rangle=\frac{\left\langle\theta e^{T}\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle e^{T}\right\rangle_{0}}$ requires configs. $\exp \left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)\right]$
$\left\langle^{*}\right\rangle_{0}=\left(\right.$ V.E.V. for the phase-quenched partition function $\left.Z_{0}\right)$
Various methods to address the sign problem:
(Complex Langevin Method (CLM), factorization method, Lefschetz-thimble method...)
In the following, we discuss CLM.

## 2. The Euclidean IKKT model

IKKT model [N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, hep-th/9612115]
$\Rightarrow$ Promising candidate for nonperturbative string theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=\int_{\mathrm{N}} d A d \psi e^{-\left(S_{\mathrm{b}}+S_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \\
& S_{\mathrm{b}}=-\frac{N}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}\right]^{2}, \quad S_{\mathrm{f}}=N \operatorname{tr} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma^{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left[A_{\mu}, \psi_{\beta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Euclidean case after Wick rotation $A_{0} \rightarrow i A_{D}, \Gamma^{0} \rightarrow-i \Gamma_{D}$. $\Rightarrow$ Path integral is finite without cutoff.
[W. Krauth, H. Nicolai and M. Staudacher, hep-th/9803117, P. Austing and J.F. Wheater, hep-th/0103059]

- $\mathrm{A}_{\mu}, \Psi_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ Hermitian traceless matrices.

$$
\mu=1,2, \cdots, D, \alpha, \beta= \begin{cases}1,2,3,4 & (D=6) \\ 1,2, \cdots, 16 & (D=10)\end{cases}
$$

- Originally defined in D=10 ( $\psi$ : Majonara-Weyl) We consider the simplified $D=6$ case as well
$(\psi:$ Weyl, not Majorana $\mathrm{d} \psi \rightarrow \mathrm{d} \psi \mathrm{d} \bar{\Psi})$


## 2. The Euclidean IKKT model

- Matrix regularization of the type IIB string action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mathrm{Sh}}=\int d^{2} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{g} \alpha\left(\frac{1}{4}\left\{X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right\}^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \Gamma^{\mu}\left\{X_{\mu}, \psi\right\}\right)+\beta \sqrt{g}\right\} . \\
& -i[X, Y] \leftrightarrow\{X, Y\}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \varepsilon^{a b} \partial_{a} X \partial_{b} Y, \operatorname{tr} \leftrightarrow \int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{g} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Eigenvalues of $\mathrm{A}_{\mu}$ : spacetime coordinate $\Rightarrow \mathscr{N}=2$ SUSY

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}=\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}+\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \quad \tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}=i\left(\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}-\delta_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}\right) \quad \text { where } \\
& \delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} A_{\mu}=i \varepsilon\left(\mathscr{E} \Gamma_{\mu}\right) \psi, \quad \delta_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} \psi=\frac{i}{2}\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}\right] \Gamma^{\mu \nu} \varepsilon, \delta_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} A_{\mu}=0, \quad \delta_{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \psi=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}^{(a)}, \tilde{\delta}_{\xi}^{(b)}\right] A_{\mu}=-2 i \delta^{a b} \varepsilon\left(\mathscr{C} \Gamma_{\mu}\right) \xi,\left[\tilde{\delta}_{\varepsilon}^{(a)}, \tilde{\delta}_{\xi}^{(b)}\right] \psi=0,(a, b=1,2) .
$$

## 2. The Euclidean IKKT model

- Result of Gaussian Expansion Method (GEM)
[T.Aoyama, J.Nishimura, and T.Okubo, arXiv:1007.0883, J.Nishimura, T.Okubo and F.Sugino, arXiv:1108.1293]


## SSB SO(6) $\rightarrow$ SO(3) (In D=10, too, SO(10) $\rightarrow$ SO(3)) Dynamical compactification to 3 -dim spacetime.

$\lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{D}\right)$ : eigenvalues of $T_{\mu v}=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu} A_{v}\right)$

$$
\rho_{\mu}=\frac{\left\langle\lambda_{\mu}\right\rangle}{\left.\left.\sum_{v=1}^{D}\right\rangle \lambda_{\nu}\right\rangle}= \begin{cases}\begin{array}{ll}
0.30 & (\mu=1,2,3) \\
\hline 0.035 & (\mu=4,5,6)
\end{array}\end{cases}
$$

$$
(D=6) \text { arXiv:1007.0883 (D=6) }
$$



Shrunken
(D-d) dim.


## 2. The Euclidean IKKT model

$Z=\int d A d e^{-S_{\mathrm{b}}} \underbrace{\left(\int d \psi e^{-S_{\mathrm{f}}}\right)}=\int d A \underbrace{e^{-S}}_{e^{-\left\{\left\{_{\mathrm{b}}-\log (\text { deevPf } / / R)\right\}\right.}}$
$=\operatorname{det} / \operatorname{Pf} \mathscr{M}=|\operatorname{det} / \operatorname{Pf} \mathscr{M}| e^{i \Gamma}$.

- Integrating out $\psi$ yields det $\mathscr{M}$ in $\mathrm{D}=6$ ( $\mathrm{Pf} \mathscr{M}$ in $\mathrm{D}=10$ )
- det/Pf $\mathscr{M}$ 's complex phase contributes to the Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking (SSB) of SO(D). Under the parity transformation $A_{D} \Rightarrow-A_{D}$, det/PfM is complex conjugate $\Rightarrow$ det/PfM is real for $A_{D}=0$ (hence ( $D-1$ )-dim config.).
For the d-dim config, $\frac{\partial^{m} \Gamma}{\partial A_{\mu_{1}} \cdots \partial A_{\mu_{m}}}=0(\mathrm{~m}=1,2, \ldots,(\mathrm{D}-1)-\mathrm{d})$
The phase is more stationary for lower d.


## 2. The Euclidean IKKT model

No SSB with the phase-quenched partition function.

$$
Z_{0}=\int d A e^{-S_{0}}=\int d A e^{-S_{b}}|\operatorname{det} / / P f \mathscr{M}| \quad<^{\star}>_{0}=\text { V.E.V. for } Z_{0}
$$

[J. Ambjorn, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, W. Bietenholz, T. Hotta and J. Nishimura, hep-th/0003208,0005147, K.N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, J.Nishimura arXiv:1306.6135, 1509.05079]



## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Complex Langevin Method (CLM)
$\Rightarrow$ Solve the complex version of the Langevin equation.
[Parisi, Phys.Lett. 131B (1983) 393, Klauder, Phys.Rev. A29 (1984) 2036]

## "Real" case

$x_{k}(\mathrm{t})$ and the action S are real ( t : fictitious time)

$$
\dot{x}_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)=-\underbrace{\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}(t)}}_{\text {drift term }}+\eta_{k}(t)
$$

$\cdot \eta_{\mu}$ : White noise obeying the probability distribution

$$
\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)
$$

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Probability distribution of $x^{(\eta)}{ }_{k}(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(x ; t)=\left\langle\prod_{k} \delta\left(x_{k}-x_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{\eta} \text { where } \\
& \langle\cdots\rangle_{\eta}=\frac{\int \mathscr{D} \eta \cdots \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)}{\int \mathscr{D} \eta \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)}\left\langle\eta_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{l}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{\eta}=2 \delta_{k l} \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This obeys the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right) P
$$

Time-independent solution $P_{\text {time-indep. }}(x) \propto e^{-S}$
Equivalent to the path integral.

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Putting the real Langevin equation on a computer
$\Rightarrow$ discretized version $\quad=\sqrt{\Delta t} \tilde{\eta}_{k}(t)$

$$
x_{k}^{(\eta)}(t+\Delta t)=x_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)-(\Delta t) \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}+\overbrace{(\Delta t) \eta_{k}(t)}
$$

The white noise obeys $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right) \rightarrow \exp (-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{t} \overbrace{(\Delta t) \eta_{k}^{2}(t)}^{=\eta_{k}^{2}(t)})$
Derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation
$\left\langle f\left(x^{(n)}(t+\Delta t)\right)\right\rangle_{\eta}-\left\langle f\left(x^{(\eta)}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{\eta}=\int f(x) \underline{P(x, t+\Delta t)-P(x, t))} d x$
$=-(\Delta t)\left\langle\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{\eta}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\Delta t)^{2}} 2^{2}\left\langle\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{k}} \tilde{\eta}_{k}(t) \tilde{\eta}_{k}(t)\right\rangle_{\eta}+\mathrm{O}\left((\Delta t)^{2}\right)$
$=(\Delta t)\{-\left\langle\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}\right\rangle_{\eta}+\frac{1}{2}\langle\underbrace{\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{l}}}_{\text {depends on } \eta(0), \cdots, \eta(t-\Delta t)}\rangle_{\eta} \underbrace{\left\langle\tilde{\eta}_{k}(t) \tilde{n}_{l}(t)\right\rangle_{\eta}}_{=2 \delta_{k l}}\}+\mathrm{O}\left((\Delta t)^{2}\right)$ Integration by part
$=(\Delta t)\left\{\int\left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}\right) P(x ; t) d x\right\}+\mathrm{O}\left((\Delta t)^{2}\right)=(\Delta t)\left\{\int f(x)\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right) P(x ; t)\right\} d x\right\}+\mathrm{O}\left((\Delta t)^{2}\right)$

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

## Extension to complex actions

The action $S(x)$ is complex for real $x$.
$x(\mathrm{t})$ is complexified as $\mathrm{x} \Rightarrow \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{iy}$
( $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{z})$ is holomorphic by analytic continuation)

$$
\dot{z}_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)=-\underbrace{\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}(t)}}_{\text {drift term }}+\eta_{k}(t)
$$

$\cdot \eta_{\mu}$ : real white noise obeying $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)$
Probability distribution $P(x, y ; t)=\left\langle\prod_{k} \delta\left(x_{k}-x_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)\right) \delta\left(y_{k}-y_{k}^{(\eta)}(t)\right)\right\rangle_{\eta}$
$\langle\cdots\rangle_{\eta}=\frac{\int \mathscr{D} \eta \cdots \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)}{\int \mathscr{D} \eta \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta_{k}^{2}(t) d t\right)}\left\langle\eta_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{l}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{\eta}=2 \delta_{k l} \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)$

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{t})$ satisfies $\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}=L^{\top} P$
When the boundary term vanishes,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int(L f(x, y)) g(x, y) d x d y=\int f(x, y)\left(L^{\top} g(x, y)\right) d x d y \\
& L^{\top}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right\}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)\right\} \\
& L=\left\{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\left\{-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To justify the CLM, does the following actually hold?
$\underbrace{\mathscr{O}(x+i y)} P(x, y ; t) d x d y \stackrel{?}{=} \int \mathscr{O}(x) \rho(x ; t) d x$
holomorphic $=_{L_{0}^{\top}}$

$$
\frac{\partial \rho(x, t)}{\partial t}=\overbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right)} \rho(x ; t) \Rightarrow \rho_{\text {time-indep. }}(x) \propto e^{-S}
$$

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

At $\mathrm{t}=0$, we choose $P(x, y ; t=0)=\rho(x ; t=0) \delta(y)$
Time evolution at $\mathrm{t}>0$ : we define an observable $\mathrm{O}(z ; \mathrm{t})$
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathscr{O}(z ; t)=\underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}-\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}}_{=\tilde{L}} \mathscr{O}(z ; t) \quad \text { [initial condition } \mathscr{O}(z ; t=0)=\mathscr{O}(z)] \\ \text { Setting y=0, } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{O}(x ; t)=\overbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}-\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}}^{=L_{0}} \boldsymbol{O}(x ; t) & {[\mathscr{O}(x ; t=0)=\mathscr{O}(x)]} \\ \int\left(L_{0} f(x)\right) \&(x) d x=\int f(x)\left(L_{0}^{\top} g(x) d x\right.\end{array}$
$S(z)$ is holomorphic $\Rightarrow O(z ; t)$ remains holomorphic.
$L f(z)=\{-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)+\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}}_{=\partial \partial \partial z_{k}}\} \underbrace{\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial x_{k}}}_{\partial \partial f(z) / \partial z_{k}}+\left\{-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z_{k}}\right)\right\} \underbrace{\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial y_{k}}}_{=i \partial f(z) / \partial z_{k}}$


## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Interpolating function $F(t, \tau)=\int d x d y \mathcal{O}(x+i y ; \tau) P(x, y ; t-\tau)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F(t, \tau)}{\partial \tau} & =\int d x d y\left\{\frac{\partial \mathscr{O}(x+i y ; \tau)}{\partial \tau} P(x, y ; t-\tau)+\mathscr{O}(x+i y ; \tau) \frac{\partial P(x, y ; t-\tau)}{\partial \tau}\right\} \\
& =\int d x d y(\tilde{L} \mathscr{O}(x+i y ; \tau)) P(x, y ; t-\tau)-\int d x d y \mathscr{O}(x+i y ; \tau) L^{\top} P(x, y ; t-\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

integration
by part $\overbrace{=}^{\nabla} \int d x d y \overbrace{\{(\tilde{L}-L) \mathcal{O}(x+i y ; \tau)\}}^{=0} P(x, y ; t-\tau)=0$
Similarly, $\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \int d x \mathscr{O}(x ; \tau) \rho(x ; t-\tau) \triangleq 0_{\text {w.r.t. real } x}$ Integly.

Integration by part is justified when
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{t})$ damps rapidly

- in the imaginary direction
- around the singularity of the drift term
[G. Aarts, F.A. James, E. Seiler and O. Stamatescu, arXiv:1101.3270,
K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, arXiv:1508.02377]


## 3. Complex Langevin Method

at large t?
$\frac{\partial \mathscr{O}(z ; t)}{\partial t}=\tilde{L} \mathscr{O}(z ; t) \Rightarrow \mathscr{O}(z ; t)=e^{t \tilde{L}} \mathscr{O}(z)$
$\int d x d y\left\{e^{\tau \tilde{L}} \mathscr{O}(z)\right\} P(x, y ; t)=\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\tau^{n}}{n!} \int d x d y\left\{\tilde{L}^{n} \mathscr{O}(z)\right\} P(x, y ; t)$
This series should have a finite convergence radius
$\Rightarrow$ Probability of the drift term should fall exponentially.
[K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, arXiv:1606.07627]
Look at the drift term $\Rightarrow$ Get the drift of CLM!!

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Complex Langevin equation for the IKKT model:
$\frac{d\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{i j}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}}+\eta_{\mu, i j}(t)$
$\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}}=\frac{\partial S_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}}-c_{d} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}} \mathscr{M}^{-1}\right) \quad c_{d}= \begin{cases}1 & (D=6 \rightarrow \operatorname{det} \mathscr{M}) \\ \frac{1}{2} & (D=10 \rightarrow \operatorname{Pf} \mathscr{M})\end{cases}$

- $\mathrm{A}_{\mu}$ : Hermitian $\rightarrow$ general comlex traceless matrices.
$\cdot \eta_{\mu}$ : Hermitian white noise obeying $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \operatorname{tr}^{2}(t) d t\right)$


## 3. Complex Langevin Method

CLM does not work when it encounters these problems:
(1) Excursion problem: $A_{\mu}$ is too far from Hermitian $\Rightarrow$ Gauge Cooling minimizes the Hermitian norm
$\mathscr{N}=\frac{-1}{D N} \sum^{D} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A_{\mu}-\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{\dagger}\right)^{2}\right] \quad$ [K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, arXiv:1604.07717]
$A_{\mu}:$ Hermitian $\rightarrow$ general complex traceless matrices.
$\Rightarrow$ We make use of this extra symmetry:
After each step of discretized Langevin equation,

$$
A_{\mu} \rightarrow g A_{\mu} g^{-1}, g=e^{\alpha H}, \quad H=\frac{-1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\left[A_{\mu}, A_{\mu}^{\dagger}\right]
$$

a: real parameter, such that $\mathscr{N}$ is minimized.

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

(2) Singular drift problem:

The drift term dS/d $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mu}\right)_{\mathrm{ji}}$ diverges due to $\mathscr{M}$ 's near-zero eigenvalues.

We trust CLM when the distribution $p(u)$ of the drift norm $u=\sqrt{\frac{1}{D N^{3}} \sum^{D} \sum^{N}\left|\frac{\partial s}{\partial(A))^{2}}\right|^{2}}$ falls exponentially as $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{u}) \propto \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{au}}$.
[K. Nagata, J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, arXiv:1606.07627]

## 3. Complex Langevin Method

Mass deformation [Y. Ito and J. Nishimura, arXiv:1609.04501]

- $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{D})$ symmetry breaking term $\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2} N \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} m_{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2}$

Order parameters for SSB of SO(D): $\lambda_{\mu}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2}\right\}$

- Fermionic mass term:

$$
\Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}\right), \gamma= \begin{cases}\Gamma_{6} & (D=6) \\ i \Gamma_{8} \Gamma_{9}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{10} & (D=10)\end{cases}
$$

Avoids the singular eigenvalue distribution of $\mathscr{M}$.
This breaks $\mathrm{SO}(6) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(5)(\mathrm{SO}(10) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(7))$
We study the SSB of the remaining symmetry.
Extrapolation (i) $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$ (ii) $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$ (iii) $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}} \rightarrow 0$.

## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

The effect of adding these mass terms





Scattering plots of the eigenvalues of the $4\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-1\right) \times 4\left(\mathrm{~N}^{2}-1\right)$ matrix $\mathscr{M}$ for $\mathrm{D}=6, \mathrm{~N}=24$.
$\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}$ narrows the eigenvalue distribution.
$\Delta S_{f}$ shifts the eigenvalues, to evade the origin.

## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

$$
\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2} N \varepsilon \sum_{u=1}^{D} m_{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \quad \Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}\right)(D=6)
$$

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mu}=(0.5,0.5,1,2,4,8)
$$

$$
u=\sqrt{\frac{1}{D N^{3}} \sum_{\mu=1, i, j=1}^{D} \sum_{j \mid}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{i i}}\right|^{2}} \text { 's distribution p(u) (log-log) }
$$




## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

$\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2} N \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} m_{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \quad \Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}\right)(D=6)$

$$
m_{\mu}=(0.5,0.5,1,2,4,8)
$$

(i) $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$ limit for fixed $\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$
$(\varepsilon, \mathrm{mf})=(0.25,0.65)$

$\left(\varepsilon, m_{f}\right) \rightarrow(0,0)$ extrapolation for finite N
$\Rightarrow$ We cannot observe SSB of $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{D})$.
$\left\langle\lambda_{\mu}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon, m_{\mathrm{f}}}$ at large N

## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

$\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2} N \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} m_{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \quad \Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}\right)(D=6)$
(ii) $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ after $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$
 $\mathrm{m}_{\mu}=(0.5,0.5,1,2,4,8)$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{\mu}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{m}\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) \frac{\left\langle\lambda_{\mu}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon, m_{f}}}{\sum_{v=1}^{D}\left\langle\lambda_{\nu}\right\rangle_{\varepsilon, m_{\mathrm{m}}}}
$$



- $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}} \rightarrow \infty: \Psi$ decouples from $\mathrm{A}_{\mu}$ and reduces to the bosonic IKKT.
- The bosonic IKKT $S_{b}$ does not break $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{D})$.
[T. Hotta, J. Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, hep-th/9811220]
-The SSB of $S O(D)$ is not an artifact of $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ but a physical effect.


## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

$\Delta S_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1}{2} N \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} m_{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\mu}\right)^{2} \quad \Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\beta}\right)(D=6)$
(ii) $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ after $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mu}=(0.5,0.5,1,2,4,8)$

$\varepsilon$

## 4. Result for $\mathrm{D}=6$

## 25


(dotted line: $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}} \rightarrow 0$ limit fixed to GEM results) SSB SO(6) $\rightarrow$ at most SO(3) Consistent with GEM.

## 5. Result for $D=10$ (preliminary)




$u=\sqrt{\frac{1}{D N^{3}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{D} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left|\frac{\partial S}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}}\right|_{10^{2}}^{10^{-2}}}$

## 5. Result for $D=10$ (preliminary)

 $\mathrm{m}_{\mu}=(0.5,0.5,0.5,1,2,4,8,8,8,8)$(ii) $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ after $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$


Transition from $\mathrm{SO}(7)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ at $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{f}}<2.0$

## 6. Summary

Dynamical compactification of the spacetime in the simplified Euclidean IKKT model.
"Complex Langevin Method" $\Rightarrow$ trend of $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{D}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
Future works
Test various ideas
-Reweighting method [J. Bloch, arxiv:1701.00986]

- Other deformations than the mass deformation
( $\mathrm{z}=1$ :original Euclidean, pure imaginary z : fermion det/Pf is real)
$N \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}\left(z \Gamma_{D}\right)\left[A_{D}, \psi\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{D-1} \bar{\psi}_{k}\left[A_{k}, \psi\right]\right)$ [Y. Ito, J. Nishimura, arXiv:1710.07929]


## 6. Summary

Future works
Application of CLM to other cases
Lorentzian version of the IKKT model generalization to Gross-Witten-Wadia model

BFSS model $S=S_{b}+S_{f}$ ( $D=5,9 \Rightarrow d e t / P f M$ is complex $)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{b}=N \int_{0}^{\beta} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{D}\left(D_{l} X_{\mu}(t)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mu, v=1}^{D}\left[X_{\mu}(t), X_{\nu}(t)\right]^{2}\right\} d t \\
& S_{\mathrm{f}}=N \int_{0}^{B} \mathrm{rr}\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(t) D_{H} \psi_{\alpha}(t)-\sum_{\mu=1}^{p} \sum_{\alpha, n=1}^{p} \bar{\psi}_{\alpha}(t)\left(\Gamma_{\mu}\right) \alpha_{n}\left[X_{\mu}(t), \psi_{n}(t)\right\}\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## backup: example of CLM

Example [G. Aarts, arXiv:1512.05145]
$S(x)=\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{(a+i b)} x^{2},(a, b \in \mathbf{R}, a>0) \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{X})$ is complex for real x . Complexify to $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{y}$.
$S(z)=\frac{1}{2} \sigma z^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(a+i b) \overbrace{(x+i y)^{2}}^{==^{2}}=\frac{a\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)}{2}+i b x y, \frac{\partial S}{\partial z}=\sigma z=(a+i b)(x+i y)$
Complex Langevin equation for this action $\dot{x}(t)=-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)+\eta(t)=(-a x+b y)+\eta(t) \quad \dot{y}(t)=-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)=(-a y-b x)$
The real white noise satisfies
$\left\langle\eta\left(t_{1}\right) \eta\left(t_{2}\right)\right\rangle=2 \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \quad\langle\cdots\rangle=\frac{\int \mathscr{P} \eta \cdots \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \int \eta^{2}(t) d t\right)}{\int \mathscr{D} \eta \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \eta^{2}(t) d t\right)}$

## backup: example of CLM

## Solution of the Langevin equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & e^{-a t} \underbrace{[x(0) \cos b t+y(0) \sin b t]}_{=A(t)}+\int_{0}^{t} \eta(s) e^{-a(t-s)} \cos [b(t-s)] d s \\
y(t)= & e^{-a t}[y(0) \cos b t-x(0) \sin b t]-\int_{0}^{t} \eta(s) e^{-a(t-s)} \sin [b(t-s)] d s \\
\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle= & \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\{\underbrace{e^{-2 a t} A(t)^{2}}_{\rightarrow 0}+2 e^{-a t} A(t) \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{\langle\eta(s)\rangle}_{=0} e^{-a(t-s)} \cos [b(t-s)] d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \underbrace{\left.\left\langle\eta(s) \eta\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle}_{=2 \delta\left(s-s^{\prime}\right)} e^{-a\left(2 t-s-s^{\prime}\right)} \cos [b(t-s)] \cos \left[b\left(t-s^{\prime}\right)\right] d s d s^{\prime}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{2 \int_{0}^{t} e^{-2 a(t-s)} \cos ^{2}[b(t-s)]\right\} d s=\frac{2 a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}
$$

Similarly, $\left\langle y^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{b^{2}}{2 a\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)},\langle x y\rangle=\frac{-b}{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}$
This replicates $\left\langle z^{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle y^{2}\right\rangle+2 i\langle x y\rangle=\frac{a-i b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sigma}$

## backup: example of CLM

Fokker-Planck equation
$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}=L^{\top} P$ where $L^{\top}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\{\underbrace{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)}_{=a x-b y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\{\underbrace{\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)}_{=a y+b x}\}$
Ansatz for its static solution:
$P(x, y)=N \exp \left(-\alpha x^{2}-\beta y^{2}-2 \gamma x y\right)=N \exp (-\beta\left(y+\frac{\gamma x}{\beta}\right)^{2}-\overbrace{\left(\alpha-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{\beta}\right)} x^{2})$
$0=\partial_{t} P=L^{\top} P=[\underbrace{(2 a-2 \alpha)}_{=0 \rightarrow a=\alpha}+x^{2} \underbrace{\left(4 \alpha^{2}-2 a \alpha-2 b \gamma\right)}_{=0 \rightarrow \gamma=a^{2} / b}+y^{2} \underbrace{\left(4 \gamma^{2}+2 b \gamma-2 a \beta\right)}_{=0 \rightarrow \beta=a\left(1+2 a^{2} / b^{2}\right)}+x y \underbrace{(4(2 \alpha-a) \gamma+2 b(\alpha-\beta))}_{=0}] P$
Using $\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} t^{2} e^{-A t^{2}} d t}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-A t^{2}} d t}=\frac{1}{2 A}(A>0)$ we have
$\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\iint x^{2} P(x, y) d x d y}{\iint P(x, y) d x d y}=\frac{1}{2} \div \frac{a\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}{2 a^{2}+b^{2}}=\frac{2 a^{2}+b^{2}}{2 a\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}$

## backup: noisy estimator

Noisy estimator: method to calculate $\operatorname{Tr} A$ using Gaussian random numbers (A: $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix)
$X_{k}, Y_{k}$ independently obey the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$.
$\chi_{k}=\frac{X_{k}+i Y_{k}}{\sqrt{2}} \Rightarrow\left\langle\chi_{j}^{*} \chi_{k}\right\rangle=\delta_{j k}(j, k=1,2, \cdots, n)$
$\sum_{j, k=1}^{n}\left\langle\chi_{j}^{*} A_{j k} \chi_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} A_{j k}\left\langle\chi_{j}^{*} \chi_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} A_{j k} \delta_{j k}=\operatorname{Tr} A$

## backup: noisy estimator

$$
S_{\mathrm{f}}+\Delta S_{\mathrm{f}}=N\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\Gamma_{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left[A_{\mu}, \psi_{\beta}\right]\right)+m_{\mathrm{f}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\bar{\psi}_{\alpha}\left(\gamma_{\alpha \beta}\right) \psi_{\beta}\right)\right\}
$$

Tracelessness of $\Psi \Rightarrow \mathscr{M}$ is a $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}-1\right) \times \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}-1\right)$ matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{M}_{a_{1} a_{2} \alpha, b_{1} b_{2} \beta} & =\left[\left(a_{1}+\left(a_{2}-1\right) N+(\alpha-1)\left(N^{2}-1\right), b_{1}+\left(b_{2}-1\right) N+(\beta-1)\left(N^{2}-1\right)\right) \text { element }\right] \\
& =\mathscr{M}_{a_{1} a_{2} \alpha, b_{1} b_{2} \beta}^{\prime}-\mathscr{M}_{N N \alpha, b_{1} b_{2} \beta}^{\prime} \delta_{a_{1} a_{2}}-\mathscr{M}_{a_{1} a_{2} \alpha, N N \beta}^{\prime} \delta_{b_{1} b_{2}}+\mathscr{M}_{N N \alpha, N N \beta}^{\prime} \delta_{a_{1} a_{2}} \delta_{b_{1} b_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}=1,2, \cdots, N, \text { except for }\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(N, N),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=(N, N) \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2, \cdots, p
$$

$\mathscr{M}_{a_{1} a_{2} \alpha, b_{1} b_{2} \beta}^{\prime}=\left(\Gamma_{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{a_{2} b_{1}} \delta_{a_{1} b_{2}}-\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{b_{2} a_{1}} \delta_{a_{2} b_{1}}\right\}+m_{\mathrm{f}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{a_{1} b_{2}} \delta_{a_{2} b_{1}}$. $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}=1,2, \cdots, N$, including $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=(N, N),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=(N, N) \quad \alpha, \beta=1,2, \cdots, \dot{p}$
$\mathscr{M}$ in the scattering plots (without altering det/Pf $\mathscr{M}$ up to a constant)

## unit matrix

 $\mathscr{M}_{a_{1} a_{2} \alpha, b_{1} b_{2} \beta}^{\prime}=\left(\Gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{-1}\right)_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{a_{2} b_{2}} \delta_{a_{1} b_{1}}-\left(A_{\mu}\right) b_{1} a_{1} \delta_{a_{2} b_{2}}\right\}+m_{\mathrm{f}} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{a_{1} b_{1}} \delta_{a_{2} b_{2}}$.
## backup: noisy estimator

$\mathscr{M}$ is a $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}-1\right) \times \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}-1\right)$ matrix ( $\mathrm{p}=4$ for $\mathrm{D}=6$ and $\mathrm{p}=16$ for $\mathrm{D}=10$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Naively calculating $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}} \mathscr{M}^{-1}\right)$ takes CPU cost $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{6}\right)$.
Instead, we use the noisy estimator
$X=($ random number vector)

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}} \mathscr{M}^{-1}\right)=\langle\chi^{*} \frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}} \underbrace{\mathscr{M}^{-1} \chi}_{=\zeta}\rangle
$$

$\mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \mathscr{M} \zeta=\mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \chi$ by conjugate gradient (CG) method.
$\cdot \mathscr{M}^{\dagger} \mathscr{M}$ is symmetric and positive definite.

- $\mathscr{M}$ is sparse $\Rightarrow \mathrm{CPU}$ cost $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$ per CG iteration.
$\cdot$ In solving Langevin eq., we use one noisy estimator $\chi^{*} \frac{\partial \cdot \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{i i}} \mathscr{M}^{-1} \chi$ instead of the average $\left\langle x^{*} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}^{1}}{\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{i}} \mu^{-1} x\right\rangle$


## backup: noisy estimator

Conjugate Gradient (CG) method:
Iterative algorithm to solve the linear equation $A x=b$ (A: symmetric, positive-definite $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix)
Initial config. $\mathbf{x}_{0}=0 \quad \mathbf{r}_{0}=\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}_{0} \quad \mathbf{p}_{0}=\mathbf{r}_{0}$
(for brevity, no preconditioning on $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ here)
$\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}+\alpha_{k} \mathbf{p}_{k} \quad \mathbf{r}_{k+1}=\mathbf{r}_{k}-\alpha_{k} A \mathbf{p}_{k} \quad \alpha_{k}=\frac{\left(r_{k}, r_{k}\right)}{\left(p_{k}, A p_{k}\right)}$
$\mathbf{p}_{k+1}=\mathbf{r}_{k+1}+\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1}\right)}{\left(\mathbf{r}_{k}, \mathbf{r}_{k}\right)} \mathbf{p}_{k}$
Iterate this until $\sqrt{\frac{\left(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}, \mathbf{r}_{k+1}\right)}{\left(\mathbf{r}_{0}, \mathbf{r}_{0}\right)}}<$ (tolerance) $\simeq 10^{-4}$
The approximate answer of $A x=b$ is $x=x_{k+1}$.

## backup: noisy estimator

Required CG iteration time ( $D=6$ )

$\varepsilon$


m f
When we can trust CLM, there is small dependence of CG iter. on N .

In total, the CPU cost for $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{M}}{\partial\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{j i}} \mathscr{M}^{-1}\right)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3}\right)$.

