

$\Lambda(1405)$ and molecule resonances



Tetsuo Hyodo^a,

Daisuke Jido^b, and Atsushi Hosaka^c

Tokyo Institute of Technology^a YITP, Kyoto^b RCNP, Osaka^c

supported by Global Center of Excellence Program
“Nanoscience and Quantum Physics”


2009, Nov. 28th 1

Classification of resonances

Resonances in two-body scattering

- Knowledge of interaction (potential)
- Experimental data (cross section, ...)

Dynamical state: two-body molecule, quasi-bound state, ...



e.g.) Deuteron in NN, positronium in e^+e^- , ...

CDD pole: elementary particle, independent state, ...

L. Castillejo, R.H. Dalitz, F.J. Dyson, *Phys. Rev.* 101, 453 (1956)



e.g.) J/ψ in e^+e^- , ...

Chiral unitary approach

Description of meson-baryon scattering, s-wave resonances

- Interaction \leftarrow chiral symmetry
- Amplitude \leftarrow unitarity (coupled channel)

$$T = \frac{1}{V^{-1} - G}$$

$V \sim$ interaction : ChPT at given order

$G \sim$ loop function : subtraction constant (**cutoff**)

N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel, W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A594, 325 (1995),

E. Oset, A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A635, 99 (1998),

J. A. Oller, U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B500, 263 (2001),

M.F.M. Lutz, E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A700, 193 (2002),

... many others


By construction, generated resonances are all dynamical?



Not always...

(Known) CDD pole in chiral unitary approach


Explicit resonance field in V (interaction)



U.G. Meissner, J.A. Oller, Nucl. Phys. A673, 311 (2000)


D. Jido, E. Oset, A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C66, 055203 (2002)

Contracted resonance propagator in higher order V



G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich, E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B321, 311 (1989)

V. Bernard, N. Kaiser, U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A615, 483 (1997)



J.A. Oller, E. Oset, J.R. Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D59, 074001 (1999)

Is that all? subtraction constant?

CDD pole in subtraction constant?

Phenomenological (standard) scheme

--> V is given, “ a ” is determined by data

$$T = \frac{1}{(V^{(1)})^{-1} - G(\underline{a})}$$

leading order

$$T = \frac{1}{(V^{(1)} + V^{(2)})^{-1} - G(\underline{a'})}$$

next to leading order



“ a ” represents the effect which is not included in V .

CDD pole contribution in G ?

Natural renormalization scheme

--> fix “ a ” first, then determine V

to exclude CDD pole contribution from G ,
based on theoretical argument.

Natural renormalization condition

Conditions for natural renormalization

- Loop function G should be negative below threshold.
- T matches with V at low energy scale.

“ a ” is uniquely determined such that

$$G(\sqrt{s} = M_T) = 0, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad T(M_T) = V(M_T)$$

matching with low energy interaction

K. Igi, K. Hikasa, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 034005 (1999)

U.G. Meissner, J.A. Oller, *Nucl. Phys. A* **673**, 311 (2000)

crossing symmetry (matching with u-channel amplitude)

M.F.M. Lutz, E. Kolomeitsev, *Nucl. Phys. A* **700**, 193 (2002)

We regard this condition as the **exclusion of the CDD pole contribution from G .**

Two renormalization schemes

Phenomenological scheme

V is given by ChPT (for instance, leading order term),
fit cutoff in G to data

Natural renormalization scheme

determine G to exclude CDD pole contribution,
 V is to be determined

Same physics (scattering amplitude T)

$$T = \frac{1}{V_{\text{ChPT}}^{-1} - G(a_{\text{pheno}})} = \frac{1}{(V_{\text{natural}})^{-1} - G(a_{\text{natural}})}$$

↑ Effective interaction
Origin of the resonance

Pole in the effective interaction

Leading order V : Weinberg-Tomozawa term

$$V_{\text{WT}} = - \frac{C}{2f^2} (\sqrt{s} - M_T) \quad \text{C/f}^2 : \text{coupling constant}$$

no s-wave resonance

$$T^{-1} = \underset{\uparrow \text{ChPT}}{V_{\text{WT}}^{-1}} - \underset{\uparrow \text{data fit}}{G(a_{\text{pheno}})} = (V_{\text{natural}})^{-1} - \underset{\uparrow \text{given}}{G(a_{\text{natural}})}$$

Effective interaction in natural scheme

$$V_{\text{natural}} = - \frac{C}{2f^2} (\sqrt{s} - M_T) + \boxed{\frac{C}{2f^2} \frac{(\sqrt{s} - M_T)^2}{\sqrt{s} - M_{\text{eff}}}} \quad \text{pole!}$$

$$M_{\text{eff}} = M_T - \frac{16\pi^2 f^2}{C M_T \Delta a}, \quad \Delta a = a_{\text{pheno}} - a_{\text{natural}}$$

There is always a pole for $a_{\text{pheno}} \neq a_{\text{natural}}$


- small deviation \Leftrightarrow pole at irrelevant energy scale
- **large deviation \Leftrightarrow pole at relevant energy scale**

S=-1 and S=0 meson-baryon scatterings

Models for the Meson-baryon scattering :



- E. Oset, A. Ramos, C. Bennhold, *Phys. Lett.* B527, 99 (2002),
- T. Inoue, E. Oset, M.J. Vicente Vacas, *Phys. Rev. C.* 65, 035204 (2002)
- T. Hyodo, S.I. Nam, D. Jido, A. Hosaka, *Phys. Rev. C.* 68, 018201 (2003)
- T. Hyodo, S.I. Nam, D. Jido, A. Hosaka, *Prog. Thor. Phys.* 112, 73 (2004)

K-p total cross sections threshold ratios





	γ	R_c	R_n
exp.	2.36	0.664	0.189
theo.	1.80	0.624	0.225

$\pi\Sigma$ spectrum



πN scattering amplitude



Pole in the effective interaction



$$T^{-1} = V_{\text{WT}}^{-1} - G(a_{\text{pheno}}) = \boxed{V_{\text{natural}}}^{-1} - G(a_{\text{natural}})$$

Pole in the effective interaction (M_{eff}) : pure CDD pole

$z_{\text{eff}}^{\Lambda^*} \sim 7.9 \text{ GeV}$ **irrelevant!**

$z_{\text{eff}}^{N^*} = 1693 \pm 37i \text{ MeV}$ **relevant?**

Difference of interactions $\Delta V \equiv V_{\text{natural}} - V_{\text{WT}}$



==> Important CDD pole contribution in $N(1535)$

Comparison of pole positions

Pole of the full amplitude : physical state ▲

$$z_1^{\Lambda^*} = 1429 - 14i \text{ MeV}, \quad z_2^{\Lambda^*} = 1397 - 73i \text{ MeV}$$


$$z^{N^*} = 1493 - 31i \text{ MeV}$$

**two poles
for $\Lambda(1405)$**

Pole of the V_{WT} + natural : pure dynamical +

$$z_1^{\Lambda^*} = 1417 - 19i \text{ MeV}, \quad z_2^{\Lambda^*} = 1402 - 72i \text{ MeV}$$

$$z^{N^*} = 1582 - 61i \text{ MeV}$$



$\Rightarrow \Lambda(1405)$ is mostly dynamical state

Summary: formulation

We study the origin (dynamical/CDD) of the resonances in the chiral unitary approach



Natural renormalization scheme

Exclude CDD pole contribution from the loop function, consistent with N/D.



Comparison with phenomenology

--> **Pole** in the effective interaction

We extract the CDD pole contribution hidden in the subtraction constant into effective interaction V_{eff} .

Summary: application to $\Lambda(1405)$ and $N(1535)$

Structure of baryon resonances:

Comparison of natural scheme with phenomenological scheme tells us about the structure of baryon resonance.


$\Lambda(1405)$ is mostly **dynamical state**.

: consistent with N_c scaling and em size.

T. Hyodo, D. Jido, L. Roca, Phys. Rev. D77, 056010 (2008)

L. Roca, T. Hyodo, D. Jido, Nucl. Phys. A809, 65 (2008)

T. Sekihara, T. Hyodo, D. Jido, Phys. Lett. B669, 133 (2008)



$N(1535)$ requires **CDD pole contribution**.

: a quark origin state?

: other coupled-channel?

