# QCD = a matrix model ?

Hidenori Fukaya (Osaka U.)

P.H. Damgaard (NBI,NBIA) & HF, JHEP0901:052, 2009 S. Aoki (Tsukuba) & HF, PRD 84, 014501 (2011) JLQCD collaboration, PRL104:122002, JLQCD collaboration, PRD 83:074501, JLQCD collaboration, arXiv:1111.0417

# 1. Introduction

JLQCD (+ TWQCD) collaboration is simulating lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry using overlap fermion action.













# **1. Introduction**

### JLQCD (+TWQCD) collaboration

KEK: G. Cossu, X. Feng, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko,H. Matsufuru, S. Motoki, J. Noaki, K. Takeda,S. Ueda, N. Yamada

Univ. of Tsukuba: S. Aoki, N. Ishizuka, K. Kanaya, Y. Kuramashi, Y. Taniguchi, A. Ukawa, T. Yoshie

RIKEN(BNL): E. Shintani

Nagoya: H. Ohki

Osaka: H. Fukaya, S-W. Kim, T. Onogi,

Hiroshima: K.I. Ishikawa, M. Okawa

Taipei (TWQCD): T.W. Chiu, T.H. Hsieh, K. Ogawa

# **1. Introduction**



Q. Is QCD a matrix model?

# A. Yes, but only in a finite volume at very low energy.

(Lattice QCD simulation is performed in a finite V.)



# Contents

- ✓ 1. Introduction
  - 2. Low energy QCD in finite V (Review) (= a matrix model)
  - 3. Corrections from *field theory*
  - 4. Application to lattice QCD analysis
  - 5. Summary



Spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking

[Nambu, 1961] (2008 Nobel Prize)
 Chiral symmetry breaking and constituent mass

 $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \neq 0$   $\rightarrow$  "effective" quark action  $\mathcal{L}$  acquires constituent mass \_\_\_\_\_

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \bar{q}(D+m)q + C\bar{q}q\bar{q}q + \cdots$$

 $\rightarrow \bar{q}(D+m+2C\langle \bar{q}q \rangle)q+\cdots$ 

 $\sim \Lambda_{QCD} \sim 300 {
m MeV}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  hadron masses are ~ O(1) GeV.

Pion effective theory

(pseudo) Nambu-Goldstone boson = pion described by Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [Weinberg 1979]



- Origin of mass = chiral symmetry breaking.
- Hadrons consist of quarks.
- But



 Chiral symmetry breaking generate ~90% of mass.



# **2. Low energy QCD in finite V** Finite volume = Pion physics.

Lattice size = 2-5fm.

Correlation length (1/M) of QCD particles

Pions(140MeV) ~ 1.4fm Kaons(500MeV)~ 0.4fm Rho (800MeV)~0.26fm Proton (1GeV) ~0.2fm  $e^{-M_{\pi}L} = 0.03 - 0.25$ 

Finite V correction = chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) weakly coupled = analytically calculable.

 $e^{-M_{\rho}L} < 0.0005$ 





### Our strategy



![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

# 2. Low energy QCD in finite V Pion correlator at $V=\infty$ (in Euclidean space-time,)

$$\int d^3x \langle P^a(x) P^b(0) \rangle = A \delta^{ab} \int \frac{dp_4}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ip_4 t}}{p_4^2 + M_\pi^2}$$
$$\propto \exp(-M_\pi t)$$

### Pion correlator at finite V (in the p-expansion) (periodic boundary for t-direction)

$$\int d^{3}x \langle P^{a}(x)P^{b}(0) \rangle = B\delta^{ab} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{p_{4}} \frac{e^{ip_{4}t}}{p_{4}^{2} + M_{\pi}^{2}}$$

$$p_{4} = 2\pi n_{t}/T \quad (n_{t}: \text{integer})$$

$$= B\delta^{ab} \int dp \sum_{n} \delta(p - 2\pi n/T) \frac{1}{T} \frac{e^{ipt}}{p^{2} + M_{\pi}^{2}} \qquad \left(\sum_{k} \delta(p - 2\pi k/T) = \sum_{n} \frac{Te^{ipnT}}{2\pi}\right)$$

$$\propto \frac{\cosh(M_{\pi}(t - T/2))}{\sinh(M_{\pi}T/2)}$$
<sup>12</sup>

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

BUT... In the limit  $\ M_\pi 
ightarrow 0$  ,

$$V = \infty : \quad \exp(-M_{\pi}t) \to 1$$
$$V \neq \infty : \quad \frac{\cosh(M_{\pi}(t - T/2))}{\sinh(M_{\pi}T/2)} \to \frac{2}{M_{\pi}T} \to \infty$$

Infra-red divergence due to finite V ??? despite we have IR cut-off 1/V<sup>1/4</sup>? Something wrong ! Exp->Cosh is not enough !

### Many vacua contribute at finite V

This fake IR divergence is due to a fixed vacuum:

$$U(x) = 1 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2\pi(x)}}{F}\right) \in SU(N_f)$$

but at finite V, the vacuum is not uniquely determined: vacuum= moduli = dynamical variable

$$U(x) = \frac{U_0}{V_0} \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi(x)}{F}\right),$$

U<sub>0</sub> should be non-perturbatively treated.

ightarrow  $\epsilon\text{-expansion}$  (is needed for  $M_{\pi}V^{1/4}\ll 1.$  )  $^{_{14}}$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

Pion theory = Chiral perturbation theory

 $q_L \rightarrow V_L q_L, \quad q_R \rightarrow V_R q_R, \quad V_L, V_R \in SU(N)$ but pion's transformation is non-linear. N = # of flavors

$$\begin{split} U(x) &\equiv \exp\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^a\pi^a(x)}{F}\right) \in SU(N) \quad F: \text{pion decay constant} \\ U(x) &\to V_R U(x)V_L^{\dagger} \quad \det U(x) = 1, \quad U(x)^{\dagger}U(x) = 1. \end{split}$$
  
Note:  $\langle U \rangle \neq 0.$ 

#### Pion theory = Chiral perturbation theory

is constructed by collecting all possible terms invariant under  $SU(N)_L \times SU_R(N)$  transformations :  $U(x) \rightarrow V_R U(x) V_L^{\dagger}$ 

 $\operatorname{Tr}[U^{\dagger}(x)U(x)] = N. \qquad \operatorname{Tr}[\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}(x)\partial_{\mu}U(x)], \ \left(\operatorname{Tr}[\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}(x)\partial_{\mu}U(x)]\right)^{2}, \cdots$ 

Note : all (non-trivial) terms have "derivatives".

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\text{Explicit breaking term = quark mass term}}_{\bar{q}\mathcal{M}q = \bar{q}_{L}\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}q_{R} + \bar{q}_{R}\mathcal{M}q_{L}} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{u} & & \\ & m_{d} & \\ & & m_{s} & \\ & & & m_{s} & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\ & \rightarrow & \text{Tr}[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}U(x) + U^{\dagger}(x)\mathcal{M}], \quad \left(\text{Tr}[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger}U(x) + U^{\dagger}(x)\mathcal{M}]\right)^{2}, \cdots \\ & \text{The theory is "perturbative" when} \quad \underbrace{E \sim p \sim m \sim 0.}_{\text{[Gasser & Leutwyler 1987, 1988]}} \end{split}$$

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### ε expansion

Near the massless limit :  $M_\pi \ll 1/L,$ 

we have to change the parametrization

$$U(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\xi(x)}{F}\right) \to U_0 \exp\left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\xi(x)}{F}\right)$$

and non-perturbatively treat  $U_0$  (with  $\int d^4x \,\xi(x) = 0$ .) The  $\varepsilon$  counting rule :

$$\partial_{\mu} \sim \xi(x) \sim \mathcal{M}^{1/4} \sim 1/L \sim 1/T \sim \epsilon \qquad U_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

<u>The mass term is an NLO perturbation in the  $\varepsilon$  expansion.</u>

[Gasser & Leutwyler 1987,1988]

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Pion Lagrangian in the \varepsilon expansion**  $S_{ChPT} = \int d^4x \left[ \frac{F^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr}[\partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}(x) \partial_{\mu} U(x)] - \frac{\Sigma}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} U(x) + U^{\dagger}(x) \mathcal{M}] + \cdots \right]$   $\rightarrow -\frac{\Sigma V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} U_0 + U_0^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}] + \int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^a(x))^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} (\pi^a(x))^2 + \cdots \right]$  **LO=SU(N) matrix model !** NLO correction = field theory

cf. in the p expansion (at large V),

$$\left( \rightarrow -\frac{\Sigma V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} + \mathcal{M}] + \int d^4 x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \pi^a(x))^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} (\pi^a(x))^2 + \cdots \right] \right)$$

constant (we can ignore) + field theory

[Gasser & Leutwyler 1987,1988]

Fixing topology =  $SU(N) \rightarrow U(N)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{Q}^{U_{0}} &= \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta Q} \mathcal{Z}(\theta) \\ &= \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta Q} \int_{SU(N)} dU_{0} \exp\left(\frac{\Sigma V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} e^{i\theta/N_{f}} U_{0} + U_{0}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M} e^{-i\theta/N_{f}}\right]\right) \\ &= \int_{U(N)} dU_{0} (\det U_{0})^{Q} \exp\left(\frac{\Sigma V}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} U_{0} + U_{0}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using Toda-lattice equations,  $\mathcal{Z}_Q^{U_0}$  is analytically integrable:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{Q(n,m)}^{U_0}(\{\mu_i\}) = \frac{\det[\mu_i^{j-1}\mathcal{J}_{Q+j-1}(\mu_i)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n+m}}{\prod_{j>i=1}^n (\mu_j^2 - \mu_i^2) \prod_{j>i=n+1}^{n+m} (\mu_j^2 - \mu_i^2)},$$

$$\mu_i = m_i \Sigma V, \qquad \mathcal{J}_{Q+j-1}(\mu_i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{j-1} K_{Q+j-1}(\mu_i) & \text{for bosons} \\ I_{Q+j-1}(\mu_i) & \text{for fermions} \end{cases}$$
<sup>19</sup>

[Splittorff et al. 2003,2004, Fyodorov et al. 2003]

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

[Shuryak & Verbaarschot, 1993, Another matrix model? Akemann et al. 1997, and many...] Let us consider a different approach from QCD itself:  $\mathcal{Z}_Q^{QCD}(m) = \int dA_\mu \det(D+m)^{N_f} \exp(-S_G(A_\mu))$  $= \int dA_{\mu} [m^{|Q|} \prod (\lambda_i (A_{\mu})^2 + m^2)]^{N_f} \exp(-S_G(A_{\mu}))$ High modes  $(\lambda_i \gg \Lambda_{
m QCD})$  -> weak coupling  $\lambda_i \sim \pm p + O(q^2)$ Low modes  $~~(\lambda_i \ll \Lambda_{
m QCD})$  -> strong coupling  $\lambda_i \sim \text{random}$  and non-degenerate 20

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

Another matrix model ? [Shuryak & Verbaarschot, 1993, Akemann et al. 1997, and many...] Let us consider a different approach from QCD itself:

$$\mathcal{Z}_Q^{QCD}(m) = \int dA_\mu \det(D+m)^{N_f} \exp(-S_G(A_\mu))$$
$$= \int dA_\mu [m^{|Q|} \prod_i (\lambda_i (A_\mu)^2 + m^2)]^{N_f} \exp(-S_G(A_\mu))$$

For low energy part, can we replace ???

$$= \int \prod_{i} d\lambda_{i} [m^{|Q|} \prod_{i} (\lambda_{i}^{2} + m^{2})]^{N_{f}} \exp(-S_{\text{matrix}}(\lambda_{i}))???$$

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{ChRMT} &= \mathsf{ChPT} \qquad S_{\mathrm{matrix}}(\Phi) = \frac{N}{2} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi), \quad (N = 2n + Q) \\ \mathcal{Z}_{Q}^{\mathrm{ChRMT}} &= \int d\Phi \prod_{f}^{N_{f}} \det \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{m} & i\Phi \\ i\Phi^{\dagger} & \hat{m} \end{array} \right) \exp\left(-S_{\mathrm{matrix}}(\Phi)\right) \\ &= \int d\Phi \int d\psi^{*}d\psi \exp\left[ -\frac{N}{2} \Phi_{ij}^{*} \Phi_{ij} - i\sum_{f}^{N_{f}} \left( \psi_{L}^{f*} - \psi_{R}^{f*} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} i\hat{m} & -\Phi \\ -\Phi^{\dagger} & i\hat{m} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi_{L}^{f} \\ \psi_{R}^{f} \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &= \int d\psi^{*}d\psi \exp\left[ \hat{m} \sum_{f} (\psi_{Li}^{f*} \psi_{Li}^{f} + \psi_{Ri}^{f*} \psi_{Ri}^{f}) - \sum_{f,g} \frac{2}{N} (\psi_{Ri}^{f*} \psi_{Li}^{f*}) (\psi_{Li}^{g*} \psi_{Ri}^{g*}) \right] \\ &= \exp\left[ \hat{m} \sum_{f,g} (B_{fg}^{2} - C_{fg}^{2}) - B_{fg} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (\psi_{ii}^{*g} \psi_{Li}^{f} - \psi_{Ri}^{*f} \psi_{Ri}^{g}), \quad C_{fg} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (\psi_{Li}^{*g} \psi_{Li}^{f} + \psi_{Ri}^{*f} \psi_{Ri}^{g}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can use Hubbard–Stratonovich (HS) transformation :

$$\exp(-A_{fg}B_{fg}^2)\int d\sigma_{fg}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{A_{fg}}(\sigma_{fg})^2 + 2i\sigma_{fg}B_{fg}\right]$$
<sup>23</sup>

### ChRMT = ChPT

$$\mathcal{Z}_Q^{\text{ChRMT}} = \int d\sigma e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{Tr}[\sigma \sigma^{\dagger}]} \det(\sigma + \hat{m})^n \det(\sigma^{\dagger} + \hat{m})^{n+Q},$$
$$\sigma : N_f \times N_f \quad \text{complex matrix}$$

In the limit  $n \to \infty$ , integration around the suddle point, which satisfies  $\sigma(\sigma^{\dagger} + m) = 1$ , leaves unirary part :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Z}_Q^{\text{ChRMT}} &\to \int_{U(N_f)} dU_0 \exp(n\hat{m} \text{Tr}(U_0 + U_0^{\dagger})) \det(U_0^{\dagger})^Q \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_Q^{\text{ChPT}} \ ! \\ \text{if we identify} \quad n\hat{m} = m \Sigma V. \end{split}$$

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Banks-Casher relation** [1980]

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

**B-C relation for non-zero**  $\lambda, m, V, \text{ and } Q$ 

$$\begin{split} \rho(\lambda) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda' \delta(\lambda - \lambda') \rho(\lambda') \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda' \frac{2\epsilon}{(\lambda - \lambda')^{2} + \epsilon^{2}} \rho(\lambda') \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda' \left[ \frac{1}{i(\lambda - \lambda') + \epsilon} - \frac{1}{i(\lambda - \lambda') - \epsilon} \right] \rho(\lambda') \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda' \left[ \frac{1}{i(\lambda - \lambda') + \epsilon} - \frac{1}{i(\lambda - \lambda') - \epsilon} \right] \left\langle \sum_{\lambda_{i}} \frac{\delta(\lambda' - \lambda_{i})}{V} \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi V} \left\langle \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{D + i\lambda + \epsilon} - \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{D + i\lambda - \epsilon} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{m_{v} = i\lambda + \epsilon} - \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{m_{v} = i\lambda - \epsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Re} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{m_{v} = i\lambda}, \end{split}$$

Why Chiral Symmetry broken ?

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$i D = p + g A$$

rmon When 
$$g = 0$$
,  $\lambda = \pm p$   
 $Area(S^3) = 2\pi^2 R^3$   
 $\rho(\lambda) = \frac{2\pi^2 \lambda^3}{V} \times \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^4 \times 3 \times 4 \times \frac{1}{2}$   
 $= \frac{3}{4\pi^2} \lambda^3$ 

Strong coupling

$$\Sigma = \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$$

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

## 2. Low energy QCD in finite V Result of ChPT (= ChRMT ) at LO

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Akemann & Damgaard (1998), Damgaard & Nishigaki (2001)]

Drop around zero = non-perturbative zero-mode fluctuation

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

# 2. Low energy QCD in finite V (Review)

### Summary of Sec.2 Low energy QCD in finite V = Martix model(s)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Banks-Casher relation** 

ε expansion of Chiral Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{\Sigma}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} U_{0} + U_{0}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M} \right] \quad \text{LO = matrix model} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} (\partial_{\mu} \xi)^{2} \qquad \text{NLO = massless pions (10-20\%)} \\ &+ \frac{\Sigma}{2F^{2}} \mathrm{Tr} [\mathcal{M}^{\dagger} U_{0} \xi^{2} + \xi^{2} U_{0}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}] + \cdots, \\ &\qquad \text{NNLO = (perturbative) interactions} \\ &(10-20\%) \end{split}$$

The mass term contribution is underestimated.

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### ε expansion is really useful ?

ε expansion

$M_{\pi}L \ll 1$	$M_{\pi}$	L <	$\ll$	1
------------------	-----------	-----	-------	---

p expansion  $M_{\pi}L \gg 1.$ 

Group	Nf	Action	a(fm)	L	Mπ (MeV)
ETMC	2	Twisted mass	0.05-0.100	~3fm	280
MILC	2+1	Staggered	0.045-0.12	3~6 fm	250
RBC/UKQCD	2+1	Domain wall	0.085-0.11	3~4fm	290
JLQCD	2+1	Overlap	0.11	1.8fm	310
PACS-CS	2+1	Wilson	0.09	~3fm	140
BMW	2+1	Wilson	0.065-0.125	3~5fm	190
ALV	2+1	DW on MILC	0.06-0.12	3~4fm	250
HPQCD	2+1	HISQ	0.045-0.15	3~4fm	360

#### But on the lattice,

$$M_{\pi}L = 2 \sim 5.$$

No requirement in original theory (if  $E, p \ll m_{\rho}$ ): both <u>expansions are bad.</u>  $\rightarrow$  Better way of expansion ?

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

### New expansion p-expansion (

$$U(x) = 1 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2\pi(x)}}{F}\right), \quad M_{\pi} \sim \text{LO}$$
  
e-expansion  
$$U(x) = U_0 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2\pi(x)}}{F}\right), \quad M_{\pi} \sim \text{NLO}$$

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

### New expansion

p-expansion  $\left(\sqrt{2}\pi(x)\right)$ 

$$U(x) = 1 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2\pi(x)}}{F}\right), \quad M_{\pi} \sim \text{LO}$$

ε-expansion

$$U(x) = U_0 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi(x)}{F}\right), \quad M_\pi \sim \text{NLO}$$

New *i* (interpolating)- expansion

$$U(x) = U_0 \exp\left(i\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi(x)}{F}\right), \quad M_\pi \sim \text{LO}$$
[Damgaard & HF, 2008]<sup>4</sup>

# **3. Corrections from** *field theory* The pion (chiral) Lagrangian at finite V

= a hybrid system of

matrix model and massive bosonic fields

[Damgaard & HF, 2008]

 $\langle f(U_0,\xi) \rangle = \frac{\left\langle \left\langle f(U_0,\xi)e^{-\mathcal{S}_I^{(1)}(U_0,\xi)} \right\rangle_{\xi} e^{-\mathcal{S}_I^{(2)}(U_0)} \right\rangle_{U_0}}{\left\langle \left\langle e^{-\mathcal{S}_I^{(1)}(U_0,\xi)} \right\rangle_{\xi} e^{-\mathcal{S}_I^{(2)}(U_0)} \right\rangle_{U_0}},$  $S_I^{(i)} \mathbf{s} : (\text{NLO}) \text{ interaction terms}$ 

 $\langle \cdots \rangle_{U_0}$ : non-perturbative group integrals  $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\xi}$ : perturbative integrals

Pion correlator at 1-loop [Aoki & HF, 2011] Because of the mixing of zero and non-zero modes, the calculation is very tedious :

$$\begin{split} \langle P(x)P(0)\rangle &= -\frac{\Sigma^2}{4} (Z_M^{12} Z_F^{12})^4 \mathcal{C}^{0a} + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\mu_1 + \mu_2} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{\text{eff}}}{\Sigma} - (Z_M^{12} Z_F^{12})^2 \right) \mathcal{C}^{0b} \\ &+ \frac{\Sigma^2}{2} (\Delta Z_{11}^{\Sigma} - \Delta Z_{22}^{\Sigma}) \mathcal{C}^{0c} + \frac{\Sigma^2}{2F^2} \bigg[ (Z_F^{12} (Z_M^{12})^2)^2 \mathcal{C}^1 \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{12}'^2) \\ &+ \mathcal{C}^2 \left( \frac{\Sigma}{F^2} \partial_{M^2} \right) \bar{\Delta}(x, M^2) \bigg|_{M^2 = M_{12}^2} \\ &+ \mathcal{C}_{12}^4 \left( \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{11}^2) - \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{12}^2) \right) + \mathcal{C}_{21}^4 \left( \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{22}^2) - \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{12}^2) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j \neq 1} \mathcal{C}_{1j}^5 \left( \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{2j}^2) - \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{12}^2) \right) + \sum_{i \neq 2} \mathcal{C}_{2i}^5 \left( \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{1i}^2) - \bar{\Delta}(x, M_{12}^2) \right) \\ &+ \mathcal{C}_{12}^6 \bar{G}(x, M_{11}^2, M_{22}^2) \\ &+ \mathcal{C}_{12}^7 \left( \bar{G}(x, M_{11}^2, M_{22}^2) - \bar{G}(x, M_{22}^2, M_{22}^2) \right) \bigg] \,, \end{split}$$

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

where

$$\begin{array}{l} \left[ \text{Aoki \& HF, 2011} \right] \\ \mathcal{C}^{0a} \equiv \left\langle ([U_0]_{12} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{21})([U_0]_{21} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{12}) + \frac{1}{2}([U_0]_{12} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{21})^2 + \frac{1}{2}([U_0]_{21} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{12})^2 \right\rangle_{U_0}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{0b} \equiv \left\langle \frac{[U_0 + U_0^{\dagger}]_{11}}{2} + \frac{[U_0 + U_0^{\dagger}]_{22}}{2} \right\rangle_{U_0}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{0c} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \langle ([U_0]_{12} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{21})^2 - ([U_0]_{21} - [U_0^{\dagger}]_{12})^2 \rangle_{U_0}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{1} \equiv \left\langle ([U_0]_{11} + [U_0^{\dagger}]_{22})([U_0]_{22} + [U_0^{\dagger}]_{11}) + \sum_{j \neq 1}^{N_f} [U_0]_{1j} [U_0^{\dagger}]_{j1} + \sum_{i \neq 2}^{N_f} [U_0]_{2i} [U_0^{\dagger}]_{i2} \\ \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^2 &\equiv \left\langle 2([\mathcal{R}]_{11} + [\mathcal{R}]_{22}) - \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{[\mathcal{R}]_{1j} [\mathcal{R}]_{j1}}{m_j - m_1} - \sum_{i \neq 2} \frac{[\mathcal{R}]_{2i} [\mathcal{R}]_{i2}}{m_i - m_2} \right\rangle_{U_0}, \\ \mathcal{C}^3_{ij} &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle ([U_0]_{ji})^2 + ([U_0^{\dagger}]_{ij})^2 \rangle_{U_0} + \frac{\langle [\mathcal{R}]_{ij} [U_0^{\dagger}]_{ij} + [U_0]_{ji} [\mathcal{R}]_{ji} \rangle_{U_0}}{m_i - m_j} + \frac{\langle ([\mathcal{R}]_{ij})^2 + ([\mathcal{R}]_{ji})^2 \rangle_{U_0}}{2(m_i - m_j)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{ij}^{4} &\equiv \langle [U_{0}]_{ij} [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{ji} \rangle_{U_{0}} + \frac{\langle [\mathcal{R}]_{ji} [U_{0}]_{ij} + [\mathcal{R}]_{ij} [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{ji} \rangle_{U_{0}}}{m_{j} - m_{i}} + \frac{\langle [\mathcal{R}]_{ij} [\mathcal{R}]_{ji} \rangle_{U_{0}}}{(m_{j} - m_{i})^{2}}, \\ \mathcal{C}^{5} &\equiv - \left\langle ([U_{0}]_{12} + [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{21})([U_{0}]_{21} + [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{12}) + \frac{1}{2}([U_{0}]_{12} + [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{21})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}([U_{0}]_{21} + [U_{0}^{\dagger}]_{12})^{2} \right\rangle_{U_{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{ij}^{6} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle ([U_0]_{ji} + [U_0^{\dagger}]_{ij})^2 \rangle_{U_0} + \frac{\langle ([\mathcal{R}]_{ij} + [\mathcal{R}]_{ji})([U_0]_{ji} + [U_0^{\dagger}]_{ij}) \rangle_{U_0}}{m_i - m_j}$$

$$38$$

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **3. Corrections from** *field theory* The zero-mode integrals

#### "simplest" example (in 2+1 flavor theory)

where  $I_{\nu}$ 's and  $K_{\nu}$ 's are modified Bessel functions,  $\mu_v = m_v \Sigma V, \ \mu = m_{ud} \Sigma V, \ \mu_s = m_s \Sigma V.$  <sup>39</sup>

Pion correlator at 1-loop [Aoki & HF, 2011]

$$\begin{split} &\int d^3x \langle P(x)P(0) \rangle = C_{PP} \frac{\cosh(M_{\pi}^{NLO}(t-T/2))}{\sinh(M_{\pi}^{NLO}T/2)} + D_{PP} \\ &D_{PP} \text{ cancels IR divergence: } D_{PP} \underset{M \to 0}{\sim} -2 \frac{C_{PP}}{M_{\pi}^{NLO}T} + E + \cdots, \\ &\text{disappears in the p-regime: } \lim_{M_{\pi} \to \text{large}} D_{PP} \sim \exp(-m\Sigma V) \to 0. \end{split}$$

(  $C_{PP}, D_{PP}, M_{\pi}^{NLO}$ : functions of  $\Sigma$  and  $F_{\pi}$  )

$$\rightarrow_{V \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-M_{\pi}t)$$

40

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dirac eigenvalue density at one-loop

$$\begin{split} \rho_Q(\lambda) &= \Sigma_{\rm eff} \ \hat{\rho}_Q^\epsilon(\lambda \Sigma_{\rm eff} V, \{m_{sea} \Sigma V\}) + \rho^p(\lambda), \\ \hat{\rho}_Q^\epsilon &: \text{same function as at LO of $\epsilon$ expansion} \\ & \text{(in terms of modified Bessel functions)} \\ & \text{but} \quad \Sigma_{\rm eff} \quad \text{includes} \quad m_{sea} \text{ dependence at NLO.} \\ \rho^p &: \text{NLO logarithmic curve (chiral-logs)} \\ & \text{from the non-zero mode in the bulk.} \\ (\text{ IR finite. Q-independent.}) \end{split}$$

[P.H.Damgaard & HF JHEP0901:052,2009]

#### Dirac eigenvalue density at one-loop

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### QCD simulation with exact chiral symmetry [JLQCD & TWQCD collaborations, 2006-2011]

2+1-flavor overlap Dirac fermions [Neuberger 98] Iwasaki gauge action,  $\beta$ =2.3, 1/a ~ 1.759 GeV. Lattice size : L=16 [1.8 fm], T=48. Topology fixed: Q=0 (or 1) Quark masses :  $m_s = 0.08$ , 0.100,  $m_{ud} = 0.002, 0.015, 0.025, 0.035, 0.050, 0.080, 0.100$ (~3 MeV) (30 MeV <)ε-regime, p-regime

### **4. Application to lattice QCD analysis** lattice QCD results JLQCD [2007 - 2010]

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

[JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)]

#### Comparison with NLO ChPT

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

[JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)]

#### m<sub>ud</sub> dependence

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

[JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)]

#### volume dependence

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### [JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)] topology dependence

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **4. Application to lattice QCD analysis** [JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)] **Extraction of low-energy constants**

Using the NLO formula, we determine 3 free parameters:

$$\Sigma = [234(04)(17) \text{ MeV }]^3,$$
 (Model  $F = 71(3)(8) \text{ MeV },$   
 $L_6^r = 0.00003(07)(17)$  (a)

(MS-bar scheme at 2GeV)

(at 770 MeV)

![](_page_48_Figure_6.jpeg)

[JLQCD, PRL 104,122002 (2010)]

From finite V to infinite V

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Pion 2pt function

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

Chiral "interpolation" for  $F_{\pi}$ 

 $F_{\pi} = 125(4) \binom{+5}{-0} \text{ MeV}$ 

bigger than our previous analysis using p-regime data only :

 $F_{\pi} = 119(4) \, \text{MeV}$ 

Linear fit looks better than NLO ChPT fit, though...

![](_page_51_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_7.jpeg)

# 5. Summary

Low energy QCD in finite V

= Martix model(s)

+ ~10-20% correction from field theory,

which is useful for lattice QCD analysis.

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Banks-Casher relation** 

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **5. Summary**

$$\int d^3x \langle P(x)P(0) \rangle = C_{PP} \frac{\cosh(M_{\pi}^{NLO}(t - T/2))}{\sinh(M_{\pi}^{NLO}T/2)} + D_{PP}$$