



# Hermitian Bulk – Non-Hermitian Boundary Correspondence

## Kohei Kawabata

(Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo)

PRX Quantum 4, 030315 (2023) arXiv:2405.10015

## PRX Quantum 4, 030315 (2023)







Frank Schindler (Princeton → Imperial College London)

Kaiyuan Gu (Princeton) Biao Lian (Princeton)

## arXiv:2405.10015



Shu Hamanaka (Kyoto)



Tsuneya Yoshida (Kyoto)

# Outline

**1. Introduction** 

2. Non-Hermitian topology (review)

3. Hermitian bulk – non-Hermitian boundary correspondence

4. Non-Hermitian topology in Hermitian topological matter

# **Non-Hermitian physics**

Despite the enormous success, the existing framework of topological phases is **confined to Hermitian systems at equilibrium**.

Richer properties appear in non-Hermitian systems!

☆ Non-Hermiticity arises from dissipation, i.e., exchanges of energy or particles with an environment.
EI-Ganainy *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **14**, 11 (2018)

Photonic lattices with gain/loss



### Finite-lifetime quasiparticles

Bulk Fermi arc due to non-<br/>Hermitian self-energyKozii & Fu, arXiv:<br/>1708.05841



# Non-Hermitian topological systems



#### • Exceptional point Nondiagonalizable gapless point (Jordan matrix)



# **Non-Hermitian skin effect**

☆ Non-Hermitian skin effect

Lee, PRL **116**, 133903 (2016); Yao & Wang, PRL **121**, 086803 (2018); Kunst *et al.*, PRL **121**, 026808 (2018)

Localization of an extensive number of eigenmodes due to non-Hermitian topology

Mechanical metamaterials



Brandenbourger et al., Nat. Commun. 10, 4608 (2019)

Photonic lattice



Weidemann et al., Science 368, 311 (2020)



Helbig *et al.,* Nat. Phys. **16**, 747 (2020)

Active matter



Palacios et al., Nat. Commun. 12, 4691 (2021)

# Skin effect in quantum physics

### Skin effect has been observed also in recent quantum experiments.

• Quantum walk (single photons)

Xiao et al., Nat. Phys. 16, 761 (2020)



Ultracold atoms

Liang *et al.*, PRL **129**, 070401 (2022)





# Skin effect in quantum physics

Non-Hermitian topology is relevant even to more generic open quantum systems that are not characterized by Hamiltonians.

Master equation

 $d\hat{\rho}/dt = \mathcal{L}\hat{\rho}$ Non-Hermitian superoperator (Liouvillian)



**Chiral damping** due to the skin effect

Song, Yao & Wang, PRL **123**, 170401 (2019)



Slowdown of relaxation due to the skin effect



Haga et al., PRL 127, 070402 (2021); Mori et al., PRL 125, 230604 (2020)

## Motivation

Non-Hermiticity gives rise to unique topological phases that have no Hermitian counterparts.

Meanwhile, open systems can be embedded in larger closed systems.





# **Results (1)**

We find a new topological correspondence between Hermitian bulk and non-Hermitian boundary.

*d*-dim Hermitian bulk ········ (*d*-1)-dim non-Hermitian boundary

Topological boundary modes + non-Hermiticity (dissipation) → intrinsic non-Hermitian topology

#### New boundary phenomena:

- corner skin effect of chiral edge modes
- chiral hinge modes due to non-Hermiticity

Schindler, Gu, Lian & <u>Kawabata</u>, PRX Quantum **4**, 030315 (2023) cf. Nakamura, Inaka, Okuma & Sato, PRL **131**, 256602 (2023)

# Results (2)

We develop the same correspondence even in Hermitian topological insulators (without non-Hermicity)



The self-energy captures the particle exchange between the bulk and boundary, and detects Hermitian topology in the bulk and non-Hermitian topology at the boundary.

Hamanaka, Yoshida & Kawabata, arXiv:2405.10015

# Outline

### **1. Introduction**

## 2. Non-Hermitian topology (review)

3. Hermitian bulk – non-Hermitian boundary correspondence

4. Non-Hermitian topology in Hermitian topological matter

# Complex-energy gaps (1)

8/30

An "energy gap" is needed to define a topological phase. However, a non-Hermitian extension of an "energy gap" is nontrivial since the spectrum is complex.

Energy gap in Hermitian systems:



• Energy regions where states are forbidden to be present.

• They **should be point-like (0D)** since the **real spectrum is 1D**.

Since the complex spectrum is 2D (real and imaginary), such vacant regions can be either 0D or 1D!

# **Complex-energy gaps (2)**



NOTE: The definition that should be adopted depends on the individual physical situations that we are interested in.

# **Point gap: unitary flattening**

### Unitary flattening for a point gap:

A non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with a **point gap** can be continuously deformed into a **unitary matrix** while having symmetry and the point gap.



# Line gap: Hermitian flattening

### Hermitian flattening for a line gap:

A non-Hermitian Hamiltonian with a **line gap** can be continuously deformed into a **Hermitian matrix** while having symmetry and the line gap.



AZ class Gap Classifying space $d = 0$ $d = 1$ $d = 2$ $d = 3$ $d = 4$ $d = 5$ $d = 6$ $d = 7$	SLS AZ class Gap Classifying space $d = 0$ $d = 1$ $d = 2$ $d = 3$ $d = 4$ $d = 5$ $d = 6$ $d = 7$	PH AZ class Gap Classifying space $d = 0$ $d = 1$ $d = 2$ $d = 3$ $d = 4$ $d = 5$ $d = 6$ $d = 7$		
$- \frac{\begin{smallmatrix} A & P & c_1 & 0 & z & 0 & z & 0 & z \\ L & c_0 & z & 0 & z & 0 & z & 0 \\ \hline P & c_0 & z & 0 & z & 0 & z & 0 \\ \hline \end{pmatrix} Kawah$	ata Shiozaki Ueda & Sato	PRX 9 041015 $(2019)^{\frac{2}{3}}_{\frac{2}{3}}$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
AZ class       Gap       Classifying space $d = 0$ $d = 1$ $d = 2$ $d = 3$ $d = 4$ $d = 5$ $d = 6$ $d = 7$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$-\frac{ \begin{matrix} \eta_+ & D \\ L \end{matrix} , \begin{matrix} \mathcal{R}_2 \times \mathcal{R}_3 & \mathcal{I}_2 \oplus \mathcal{I}_2 & \mathcal{I}$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$S_{}$ CI $R_7 \times R_7$ 0 0 0 $2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ $C_1$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{S}_+$ D $egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Classification of	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$AZ^{\dagger}$ class Gap Classifying space $d = 0$ $d = 1$ $d = 2$ $d = 3$ $d = 4$		$\mathcal{R}_4$ 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 0 0 $\mathcal{R}_4$ 0 0 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ 0 0		
$AI^{\dagger} = egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ermitian topological nl			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\frac{\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{S}_{}$ BDI $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{S}_{++}$ DIII $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$- \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{CII}^{\dagger} & \mathcal{R}_5 & 0 & 2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 \\ & \mathcal{R}_4 \times \mathcal{R}_4 & 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$- \underbrace{\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$- \frac{\mathcal{R}_7  0  0  0  2\mathbb{Z}  0  \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z}}{\mathbf{P}  \mathcal{R}_7  0  0  0  2\mathbb{Z}  0  \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z}}$		
SLS AZ class Gap Classifying space P C1 38-told svmm	etry class. 2 types of c	complex gaps $\frac{0}{2Z}$ $\frac{1}{0}$ $\frac{1}{Z_2}$ $\frac{1}{Z_2}$		
$S_+$ AIII L $C_1 \times C_1$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{S}_{+-} \text{ DIII} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	$\mathcal{S}_{+}$ AII $\mathcal{R}_{5}$ 0 2 $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}$ 0 0 $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ 0 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\frac{P_{11}}{P} \xrightarrow{P_{22}} \frac{P_{23}}{P} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \frac{P_{23}}{Z} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \frac{P_{23}}{Z} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \frac{P_{23}}{Z} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \frac{P_{23}}{Z} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} \frac{P_{23}}{Z} \xrightarrow{C_{10}} C$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\mathcal{S}_{+-} \stackrel{\mathrm{CH}}{=} \operatorname{L} \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$- \frac{\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c}$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$S_{-} C \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{R}_7 \times \mathcal{R}_7  0  0  0  2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}  0  \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2  \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$\mathbf{r}  \mathbf{c}_0 \times \mathbf{c}_0  \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{z}  0  \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{z}  0  \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{z}  0  \mathbf{z} \oplus \mathbf{z}  0$				
$\eta_{-}$ All $L$ $C_{0}$ $\mathbb{Z}$ $0$ $\mathbb{Z}$ $0$ $\mathbb{Z}$ $0$ $\mathbb{Z}$ $0$ $\mathbb{Z}$ $0$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\eta_{+-} \subset I$ $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}$ $0  0  0  2\mathbb{Z}  0  \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_2$		

# **Intrinsic non-Hermitian topology**

Line-gap topology: stability of Hermitian topology against non-Hermiticity

Point-gap topology: intrinsic non-Hermitian topology

• Hatano-Nelson model Hatano & Nelson, PRL 77, 570 (1996)



$$\hat{H}_{\rm HN} = \sum_{i} \left( J_{\rm R} \hat{c}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i} + J_{\rm L} \hat{c}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1} \right)$$
$$H_{\rm HN} \left( k \right) = J_{\rm R} e^{ik} + J_{\rm L} e^{-ik}$$

### Winding of complex energy!

$$W(E) := \oint \frac{dk}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{dk} \log \det \left(H(k) - E\right)$$

(ill-defined in Hermitian systems)

Gong, Ashida, <u>KK</u> et al., PRX **8**, 031079 (2018)

☆ Skin effect: bulk-boundary correspondence for point-gap topology Emergence of an extensive number of boundary modes!

Okuma, <u>KK</u>, Shiozaki & Sato, PRL **124**, 086801 (2020); Zhang, Yang & Fang, PRL **125**, 126402 (2020)

# Outline

**1. Introduction** 

2. Non-Hermitian topology (review)

# 3. Hermitian bulk – non-Hermitian boundary correspondence

4. Non-Hermitian topology in Hermitian topological matter

# **Non-Hermitian chiral edge modes**

### ☆ Chiral edge modes in 2D topological insulators exhibit 1D non-Hermitian topology and skin effect!



# **Non-Hermitian Chern insulator**

15/30

cf. <u>Kawabata</u> et al., PRB **98**, 165148 (2018)

 $H(\mathbf{k}) = (m + t\cos k_x + t\cos k_y)\,\sigma_x + (t\sin k_x + \underline{i\gamma})\,\sigma_y + (t\sin k_y)\,\sigma_z$ 



 We can realize boundary non-Hermitian topology by a non-Hermitian perturbation only at the boundary.

cf. Nakamura, Inaka, Okuma & Sato, PRL 131, 256602 (2023)

## **Non-Hermitian Dirac surface modes**

# ☆ Dirac surface states in 3D topological insulators exhibit 2D non-Hermitian topology and chiral hinge states!



# **Non-Hermitian 3D topological insulator** 17/30





New type of second-order topological insulator induced by non-Hermiticity!

# Classification

☆ We classify possible non-Hermitian boundary topology for all the tenfold classes of topological insulators.

Different non-Hermitian boundary phenomena appear for different symmetry classes and spatial dimensions.

d = 2 Line-gap topology P		Poir	d = 1nt-gap topology	d = 3 Line-gap topology		d = 2 Point-gap topology	
H class	Invariant	NH class	Invariant (with $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger}$ )	H class	Invariant	NH class	Invariant (with $\mathcal{I}^{\dagger}$ )
A (Z) D (Z)	$C \in \mathbb{Z}$ $C \in \mathbb{Z}$	$\begin{array}{c} A(\mathbb{Z}) \\ D(\mathbb{Z}_2) \\ D^{\dagger}(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$	$W(E_F) = C \pmod{2}$	AIII (Z) DIII (Z)	$W \in \mathbb{Z}$ $W \in \mathbb{Z}$	AIII ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ) DIII ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )	$C(0) = W \pmod{2}$
DIII ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ) AII ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )	$\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$	$\begin{array}{c} D^{+}(\mathbb{Z}) \\ DIII^{\dagger}(\mathbb{Z}_{2}) \\ AII(2\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$	$W(0) = C \pmod{2}$ v(0) = v $W(E_F) = 2v \pmod{4}$	$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{AII}\left(\mathbb{Z}_2\right)\\ \operatorname{CII}\left(\mathbb{Z}_2\right) \end{array}$	$\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{DIII}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\\ \text{AII}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\\ \text{CII}\left(2\mathbb{Z}\right)\end{array}$	$C(0) = W \pmod{2}$ $\nu(E_F) = \nu$ $C(0) = 2\nu \pmod{4}$
C (2Z)	$C \in 2\mathbb{Z}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{AII}^{\dagger} (\mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \text{C}^{\dagger} (2\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$	$\nu(E_F) = \nu$ W(0) = C (mod 4)	CI (2Z)	$W \in 2\mathbb{Z}$	$ ext{CII}^{\dagger}(\mathbb{Z}_2) \\  ext{CI}^{\dagger}(2\mathbb{Z})  ext{}$	$\nu(0) = \nu$ $C(0) = W \pmod{4}$

cf. Nakamura, Bessho & Sato, PRL 132, 136401 (2024)

## **Experiments**





#### **Phononic crystal**



Liu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 113802 (2024)

Wu et al. arXiv:2312.12060

So far, we have realized non-Hermitian topology by explicitly adding non-Hermiticity to the boundaries.

We develop the Hermitian bulk – non-Hermitian boundary correspondence even in Hermitian topological insulators. (no dissipation)



Although no dissipation is added externally, the coupling between the bulk and boundary leads to non-Hermitian topology!

# Outline

**1. Introduction** 

2. Non-Hermitian topology (review)

3. Hermitian bulk – non-Hermitian boundary correspondence

4. Non-Hermitian topology in Hermitian topological matter

# **Non-Hermitian self-energy**

21/30

Single-particle Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\text{bulk}} & T \\ T^{\dagger} & H_{\text{edge}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Single-particle Schrödinger equation:

$$H\begin{pmatrix} |\psi_{\text{bulk}}\rangle\\ |\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle \end{pmatrix} = (E + i\eta) \begin{pmatrix} |\psi_{\text{bulk}}\rangle\\ |\psi_{\text{edge}}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

• Effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian at the boundary:

$$H_{\text{eff}}(E) = H_{\text{edge}} + \underline{\Sigma(E)}$$
  
self-energy  $\Sigma(E) := T^{\dagger} (E + i\eta - H_{\text{bulk}})^{-1} T$ 

 $\bigstar$  Self-energy is generally non-Hermitian and captures the particle exchange between the bulk and boundary.



# **Non-Hermitian self-energy**

21/30



Single-particle Schrödinger equation:

H Topology of the original Hermitian Hamiltonian should leave an imprint on that of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian at the boundary!

$$H_{\mathrm{eff}}(E) = H_{\mathrm{edge}} + \underline{\Sigma(E)}$$

self-energy  $\Sigma(E) := T^{\dagger} (E + i\eta - H_{\text{bulk}})^{-1} T$ 

☆ Self-energy is generally non-Hermitian and captures the particle exchange between the bulk and boundary.

# **Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model (1)**

SSH model:  $H_{\text{SSH}}(k) = (v + t \cos k) \sigma_x + (t \sin k) \sigma_y$ 

• edge mode 
$$|\psi_0\rangle \propto \sum_x \left(-\frac{v}{t}\right)^x |x\rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$$
 (top. phase:  $|v/t| < 1$ )

**OD** self-energy between the bulk and edge:

$$\Sigma(E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & -i\pi \left(t^2 - v^2\right) \delta(E) \theta(t - v) \end{pmatrix}$$

chiral symmetry:  $\sigma_z H_{\rm SSH}(k) \sigma_z = -H_{\rm SSH}(k)$  $\longrightarrow \sigma_z H_{\rm eff}^{\dagger}(E=0) \sigma_z = -H_{\rm eff}(E=0)$ 



## **Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model (2)**

10

$$\Sigma (E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\pi (t^2 - v^2) \delta (E) \theta (t - v) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
topological  
(c)  
$$\stackrel{Im}{\longrightarrow} Re$$
  
(d)  
$$\stackrel{Im}{\longrightarrow} Re$$

23/

### $H_{\text{eff}} (E = 0) = v\sigma_x + \Sigma (E = 0)$

exhibits point-gap topology in OD protected by chiral symmetry (0th Chern number of  $iH_{eff}\sigma_z$ )

ensures the persistence of zero modes

# **Chern insulator (1)**

24/30

 $H_{\text{Chern}}(\mathbf{k}) = (t \sin k_x) \,\sigma_x + (t \sin k_y) \,\sigma_y + (m + t \cos k_x + t \cos k_y) \,\sigma_z$ 

(1st) Chern number: 
$$C_1 = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(m/t) & (|m/t| < 2) \\ 0 & (|m/t| > 2) \end{cases}$$



**1D** self-energy between the bulk and edge:

$$\Sigma(E, k_y) = \frac{t^2 - (m + t\cos k_y)^2}{2(E + i\eta - t\sin k_y)} (\sigma_0 - \sigma_y)$$

$$H_{\text{eff}}(E, k_y) = (t \sin k_y) \,\sigma_y + (m + t \cos k_y) \,\sigma_z + \Sigma \left(E, k_y\right)$$

# **Chern insulator (2)**

25

### The complex spectrum of H<sub>eff</sub> exhibits winding. (i.e., 1D point-gap topology)



As a consequence of the point-gap topology,  $H_{eff}$  also exhibits the non-Hermitian skin effect. (localized at the corners)

# Skin current (1)

What is a physical consequence of the point-gap topology and skin effect in the effective boundary non-Hermitian Hamiltonian?

Skin effect of the chiral edge modes results in the localized current distributions.



- Inflow from the bulk to the edge at one corner
- Outflow from the bulk to the edge at the other corner

# Skin current (2)

*E*-resolved current:  $J(E) = -[H_{edge}, G_{edge}(E)] - [H_{edge}, G_{edge}(E)]^{\dagger}$ 



## **Three dimensions**

**3D topological insulator:**  $H_{3DTI}(\mathbf{k}) = (m + t\cos k_x + t\cos k_y + t\cos k_z) \tau_y$ +  $(t\sin k_x) \sigma_x \tau_x + (t\sin k_y) \sigma_y \tau_x$ +  $(t\sin k_z) \sigma_z \tau_x + \delta (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) \sigma_y \tau_y$ 



 $H_{eff}$  exhibits 2D point-gap topology, leading to the chiral hinge modes! (1st Chern number of  $iH_{eff}\sigma_z$ )

# Classification

When the original Hermitian Hamiltonians respect AZ symmetry, the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonians  $H_{eff}$  respect AZ<sup>+</sup> symmetry.

H<sub>eff</sub> can generally exhibit point-gap topology



cf. Nakamura, Bessho & Sato, PRL 132, 136401 (2024)

## Summary PRX Quantum 4, 030315 (2023) arXiv:2405.10015

• We establish the topological correspondence between the Hermitian bulk and non-Hermitian boundary.

• We find new boundary physics, such as corner skin effect of chiral edge modes and chiral hinge modes due to non-Hermiticity.

• We develop this correspondence even in Hermitian topological insulators.

