产科学省科学研究費補助金「新学術領域研究」

波天体の多様な観測による宇宙物理学の新

【公募研究(H25-26)

「ニュートリノ駆動型超新星爆発からの重力波」

Supernovae generating binary neutron stars

Yudai Suwa

Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto U.

Collaboration with: T. Yoshida (U. Tokyo), M. Shibata (YITP), H. Umeda, K. Takahashi (U. Tokyo)



First of all



http://www.gw.hep.osaka-cu.ac.jp/gwastro/overview.html



Binary neutron stars



- * one of the best candidates of strong gravitational wave (GW) sources
- * will be detected by GW in a couple of years (?)
- * estimated merger rates ~1-4000 /gal/Myr, large uncertainty!

Abadie+ 2010

- * NSs are born to supernovae (SNe)
- supernova surveys might be able to give constraint on NS merger rates

Binary evolutions



* There are two SNe

- first one may be usual (type-lbc or type II)
- second one explodes

 after close binary
 interactions, e.g. common
 envelope phase (if they
 are close enough)
- How does a second SN look like? Is there any difference from normal SNe?

Tauris & van den Heuvel 2006

27/12/2016

Ultra-stripped supernovae?





Yudai Suwa @ GW symposium

Small ejecta mass

Tauris+ 2013



What we have done

[Suwa, Yoshida, Shibata, Umeda, Takahashi, MNRAS, 454, 3073 (2015)]

Monthly Notices of the ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY MNRAS 454, 3073–3081 (2015)



doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2195

Neutrino-driven explosions of ultra-stripped Type Ic supernovae generating binary neutron stars

Yudai Suwa,^{1,2*} Takashi Yoshida,^{1,3} Masaru Shibata,¹ Hideyuki Umeda³ and Koh Takahashi³

ABSTRACT

We study explosion characteristics of ultra-stripped supernovae (SNe), which are candidates of SNe generating binary neutron stars (NSs). As a first step, we perform stellar evolutionary simulations of bare carbon–oxygen cores of mass from 1.45 to 2.0 M_{\odot} until the iron cores become unstable and start collapsing. We then perform axisymmetric hydrodynamics simulations with spectral neutrino transport using these stellar evolution outcomes as initial conditions. All models exhibit successful explosions driven by neutrino heating. The diagnostic explosion energy, ejecta mass, Ni mass, and NS mass are typically $\sim 10^{50}$ erg, $\sim 0.1 M_{\odot}$, $\sim 0.01 M_{\odot}$, and $\approx 1.3 M_{\odot}$, which are compatible with observations of rapidly evolving and luminous transient such as SN 2005ek. We also find that the ultra-stripped SN is a candidate for producing the secondary low-mass NS in the observed compact binary NSs like PSR J0737–3039.

Stellar evolutionary simulations-1: setups

* Stellar evolution code for massive stars

(Umeda, Yoshida, Takahashi 2012; Takahashi, Yoshida, Umeda 2013; Yoshida, Okita, Umeda 2014)

 $\frac{\partial P}{\partial M_r} = -\frac{GM_r}{4\pi r^4} - \frac{1}{4\pi r^4} \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial t^2},$ $\frac{\partial r}{\partial M_r} = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2 \rho},$ $\frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial \ln P} = \min(\nabla_{\rm ad}, \nabla_{\rm rad}),$ $\frac{\partial L_r}{\partial M_r} = \epsilon_{\rm nucl} - \epsilon_{\nu} + \epsilon_{\rm grav}.$

* Nucleosynthesis and energy generation

network with ~300 species

Initial condition

- bare CO cores (mimicking mass loss)
- composition: central abundance of massive stars just after He burning
- $X_{\rm C}({\rm C}) = 0.33 0.36$



Stellar evolutionary simulations-2: results

[Suwa, Yoshida, Shibata, Umeda, Takahashi, MNRAS, 454, 3073 (2015)]



Time before core collapse (year)



Yudai Suwa @ GW symposium

Explosion simulations-1: setups

- * **2D** (axial symmetry) (ZEUS-2D; Stone & Norman 92)
- * MPI+OpenMP hybrid parallelized
- * Hydrodynamics+spectral neutrino transfer (neutrino-radiation hydrodynamics)

See

Suwa et al., PASJ, 62, L49 (2010) Suwa et al., ApJ, 738, 165 (2011) Suwa et al., ApJ, 764, 99 (2013) Suwa, PASJ, 66, L1 (2014) Suwa et al., MNRAS, 454, 3073(2015) Suwa et al., ApJ, 816, 43 (2016) for more details

 $(j+\chi)f^{s}$

$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0,$	$\frac{df}{cdt} + \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \left[\mu \left(\frac{d \ln \rho}{cdt} + \frac{3v}{cr} \right) + \frac{1}{r} \right] \left(1 - \mu^2 \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu}$
$\rho \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = -\nabla P - \rho \nabla \Phi$	$+ \left[\mu^2 \left(\frac{d\ln\rho}{cdt} + \frac{3v}{cr}\right) - \frac{v}{cr}\right] E \frac{\partial f}{\partial E}$
$\frac{\partial e^*}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[(e^* + P) \mathbf{v} \right] = -\rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \Phi + Q_{\nu},$	$= j(1-f) - \chi f + \frac{E^2}{c (hc)^3}$
$\Delta \Phi = 4\pi G\rho,$	$\times \left[(1-f) \int Rf' d\mu' - f \int R \left(1 - f' \right) d\mu' \right].$

- Isotropic diffusion source approximation (IDSA) for neutrino transfer Particles (Liebendörfer+ 09)
- Ray-by-ray plus approximation for multi-D transfer (Buras+ 06)
- EOS: Lattimer-Swesty (K=180,220,375MeV) / H. Shen

hydrodynamics

Explosion simulations-2: movie





Explosion simulations-3: results

[Suwa, Yoshida, Shibata, Umeda, Takahashi, MNRAS, 454, 3073 (2015)]

Model	t_{final}^{a} (ms)	$R_{\rm sh}^{b}$ (km)	E_{\exp}^{c} (B)	$M_{ m NS, \ baryon}^{d}$ (M $_{ m O}$)	$M_{\rm NS, grav}^{e}$ (M _O)	$M_{\rm ej}^{f}$ (10 ⁻¹ M _☉)	$M_{\rm Ni}{}^{g}$ (10 ⁻² M _☉)	$\frac{v_{\rm kick}^{h}}{(\rm km~s^{-1})}$
CO145	491	4220	0.177	1.35	1.24	0.973	3.54	3.20
CO15	584	4640	0.153	1.36	1.24	1.36	3.39	75.1
CO16	578	3430	0.124	1.42	1.29	1.76	2.90	47.6
CO18	784	2230	0.120	1.49	1.35	3.07	2.56	36.7
CO20 ^{<i>i</i>}	959	1050	0.0524	1.60	1.44	3.95	0.782	10.5

* ALL models explode

* Final NS mass $\sim 1.35 - 1.6M_{\odot}$ (baryonic)

 ~ 1.24 -1.44 M_{\odot} (gravitational)

- * Ejecta mass= $M_{CO}-M_{NS} \sim O(0.1)M_{\odot}$
- * Explosion energy $\sim O(10^{50})$ erg
- * Ni mass ~*O(10⁻²)M*⊙



Nucleosynthesis yields and light curves



Yoshida, YS, Umeda, Shibata, Takahashi, submitted

NB) This is one-zone model based on Arnett (1982). Detailed radiation transfer calculations will be done.

- * small kick velocity due to small ejecta mass
- small eccentricity (e~0.1), compatible with binary pulsars J0737-3039 (e=0.088 now and ~0.11 at birth of second NS) Piran & Shaviv 05
- * event rate (~0.1-1% of core-collapse SN) Tauris+13, 15, Drout+13, 14
 - SN surveys (e.g., HSC, PTF, Pan-STARRS, and LSST) will give constraint on NS merger rate



Rapidly evolving supernovae



- * early samples (05ek, 10X, 05E)+10 more discoveries by Pan-STARRS
- * $t_{1/2} < 12 \text{ day}$
- * diffusion time; $\tau_c \propto M_{\rm ej}^{3/4} E_{\rm K}^{-1/4}$ (Arnett 1982) \Rightarrow small $M_{\rm ej}$

- Ultra-stripped SN might be second explosion in close binary forming binary NSs
- * To test this conjecture, we performed
 - stellar evolutionary simulations of bare C/O cores
 - hydrodynamics simulations for neutrino-driven explosions
- * Compatible with parameters explaining observations
 - Drout+13, Tauris+13

- $E_{\exp}=O(10^{50}) \text{ erg}$
- *M*_{ej}~*O(0.1) M*_☉
- $M_{\rm Ni} \sim O(10^{-2}) M_{\odot}$
- $M_{NS} \sim 1.2 1.4 M_{\odot}$ (gravitational)

See

Suwa, Yoshida, Shibata, Umeda, Takahashi MNRAS, 454, 3073 (2015) for more details