



## Relating Gauge Theories via the Gauge/Bethe Correspondence (II)

Susanne Reffert IPMU

based on arXiv:1005.4445 with D. Orlando





## Intro/Summary

Use techniques from integrable models to relate susy gauge theories.

Tool: Gauge/Bethe correspondence as stated by Nekrasov/Shatashvili.

Statement: 3 different N=(2,2) quiver gauge theories in 2d have the same susy ground states:



Correspondence to an integrable spin chain: tJ model Can apply this technique in a general context.

## Outline Part I







## Outline Part II

- \* Gauge/Bethe correspondence
- \* The tJ model
- \* Supergroup symmetry
- \* Bethe ansatz
- \* The Dictionary
- \* Quiver gauge theories
- \* Relation via brane cartoons
- \* Generalizations/Open questions
- \* Summary





The Gauge/Bethe Correspondence Relates N=(2,2) gauge theories in 2d to integrable spin chains. The susy vacua of the gauge theory correspond to the Bethe spectrum of the spin chain. Generators of chiral ring correspond to commuting Hamiltonians. Nekrasov, Shatashvili Integrable model: spectrum determined by Bethe equations. Gauge theory: ground states determined by eff. twisted superpotential. Correspondence works for all Bethe solvable spin chains. Spin chains with supergroup symmetry correspond to quiver gauge theories.





## The Gauge/Bethe Correspondence







## The Gauge/Bethe Correspondence

What are the parameters of a spin chain?







## The Gauge/Bethe Correspondence

gauge theory			integrable model
number of nodes in the quiver	r	r	rank of the symmetry group
gauge group at <i>a</i> -th node	$U(N_a)$	Na	number of particles of species <i>a</i>
effective twisted superpotential	$\widetilde{W}_{\mathrm{eff}}(\sigma)$	$Y(\lambda)$	Yang–Yang function
equation for the vacua	$e^{2\pi d\widetilde{W}_{\text{eff}}} = 1$	$e^{2\pi i \mathrm{d}Y} = 1$	Bethe ansatz equation
flavor group at node <i>a</i>	$U(L_a)$	La	effective length for the species <i>a</i>
lowest component of the twisted chiral superfield	$\sigma_i^{(a)}$	$\lambda_i^{(a)}$	rapidity
twisted mass of the fundamental field	$\widetilde{m}_{k}^{\mathrm{f}(a)}$	$\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_k^a + \nu_k^{(a)}$	highest weight of the represen- tation and inhomogeneity
twisted mass of the anti-fundamental field	$\widetilde{m}^{ar{\mathrm{f}}}{}^{(a)}_k$	$\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_k^a - \nu_k^{(a)}$	highest weight of the represen- tation and inhomogeneity
twisted mass of the adjoint field	$\widetilde{m}^{\mathrm{adj}(a)}$	$\frac{1}{2}C^{aa}$	diagonal element of the Cartan matrix
twisted mass of the bifundamental field	$\widetilde{m}^{\mathrm{b}(ab)}$	$\frac{1}{2}C^{ab}$	non-diagonal element of the Cartan matrix
FI-term for $U(1)$ -factor of gauge group $U(N_a)$	$ au_a$	ô <sup>a</sup>	boundary twist parameter for particle species <i>a</i>





# estattottootottotta





#### The tJ model

#### Properties:

- \* spin chain of length L
- \* periodic boundary conditions
- \* 3 particle species: spin up, spin down, empty
- \* sl(112) symmetry
- \* most important feature: 3 inequivalent choices of
- Cartan matrix Essler, Korepin
- \* 3 different (but equivalent) sets of Bethe equations
- \* spectrum the same for all 3 cases
- \* fundamental rep. (spin 1/2) at each lattice point
- \* no inhomogeneities





D



#### The tJ model

Electrons on a lattice of length L,  $\uparrow$ ,  $\downarrow$ ,  $\circ$ Hilbert space:  $\mathscr{H}_k = \mathbb{C}^{(1|2)}$ Fundamental representation of sl(1|2)creation/annihilation operators:  $c_{k,s}^{\dagger}, c_{k,s}, \quad s = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$  $|s\rangle_k = c_{k,s}^{\dagger}|\circ\rangle_k$ vacuum projector on single occupancy  $S_k^- = c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\downarrow} , \quad S_k^+ = c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\uparrow} , \quad S_k^z = \frac{1}{2} \left( n_{k,\uparrow} - n_{k,\downarrow} \right)$  $n_{k,s} = c_{k,s}^{\dagger} c_{k,s}$  $n_k = n_{k,\uparrow} + n_{k,\downarrow}$  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{L-1} \left| -t \mathcal{P} \sum_{s=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left( c_{k,s}^{\dagger} c_{k+1,s} + \text{h.c.} \right) \mathcal{P} + J \left( \mathbf{S}_k \cdot \mathbf{S}_{k+1} - \frac{1}{4} n_k n_{k+1} + 2n_k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|$ 

spin interaction

nearest neighbor hopping





#### The tJ model

Number of holes, up and down spins:

 $N_{h} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} (1 - n_{k}), \quad N_{\uparrow} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} n_{k,\uparrow}, \quad N_{\downarrow} = \sum_{k=1}^{L} n_{k,\downarrow}, \quad N_{e} = N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}.$ 

Single occupancy:  $L = N_h + N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}$ 

tJ model has supergroup symmetry.

A superalgebra can be decomposed into an even and an odd part:  $g = g_0 \oplus g_1$ 

The even part of sl(1|2) is  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = gl(1) \oplus sl(2)$  and is generated by  $S^{\pm}, S^z, Z$ Fermionic generators:  $Q_s^{\pm}, s = \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ 

$$S_{k}^{-} = c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\downarrow}, \quad S_{k}^{+} = c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\uparrow}, \quad S_{k}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( n_{k,\uparrow} - n_{k,\downarrow} \right),$$
$$Q_{k,\downarrow}^{+} = \left( 1 - n_{k,\uparrow} \right) c_{k,\downarrow}^{\dagger}, \quad Z_{k} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} n_{k}.$$

 $Q_{k,\uparrow}^{-} = (1 - n_{k,\downarrow}) c_{k,\uparrow}, \quad Q_{k,\uparrow}^{+} = (1 - n_{k,\downarrow}) c_{k,\uparrow}^{\dagger}, \quad Q_{k,\downarrow}^{-} = (1 - n_{k,\uparrow}) c_{k,\downarrow},$ 





## Supergroup Symmetry

Root decomposition. Lie algebra: reflections of positive root systems are conjugate to each other.

Superalgebra: reflections of odd roots lead to new positive root system (not conjugate).

Here: three choices for Cartan matrix:







()



#### Bethe ansatz

Case A:

$$C^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_1 = N_h, \quad N_2 = N_h + N_h$$

#### Nested Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{p}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2} \lambda_{p}^{a} \\ \lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2} \lambda_{p}^{a} \end{pmatrix}^{L} = \prod_{\substack{(b,j) = \{1,1\} \\ (b,j) \neq \{a,i\}}}^{N_{\hbar}, N_{b}} \frac{\lambda_{p}^{(2)a} - \lambda_{pj}^{(2)b} + i \frac{i N_{hab}}{2} \lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \lambda_{i}^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{i}{2} \\ \lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \lambda_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2} \\ N_{h} + N_{h} \\ 1 \\ = \prod_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \frac{\lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \lambda_{i}^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \lambda_{i}^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{h}.$$

#### Yang Yang counting function:

$$Y_{A}(\lambda) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \hat{x}(2\lambda_{p}^{(2)}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{p,q=1\\p\neq q}}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \hat{x}(\lambda_{p}^{(2)} - \lambda_{q}^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \hat{x}(2\lambda_{p}^{(2)} - 2\lambda_{i}^{(1)}) + \hat{x}(\lambda) = \lambda \arctan(\lambda^{-1}) + \frac{1}{2}\log(1+\lambda^{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} n_{i}^{(1)}\lambda_{i}^{(1)} + \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} n_{p}^{(2)}\lambda_{p}^{(2)}.$$





#### Bethe ansatz

Case B:  

$$C^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_1 = N_{\downarrow}, \quad N_2 = N_h + N_{\downarrow}.$$

#### Nested Bethe ansatz equations:



#### Yang Yang counting function:

$$Y_B(\lambda) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_h + N_\downarrow} \hat{x}(2\lambda_p^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_h + N_\downarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{N_\downarrow} \hat{x}(2\lambda_i^{(1)} - 2\lambda_p^{(2)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_\downarrow} n_i^{(1)}\lambda_i^{(1)} + \sum_{p=1}^{N_h + N_\downarrow} n_p^{(2)}\lambda_p^{(2)}$$





B



#### Bethe ansatz

Case C:  

$$C^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad N_1 = N_{\downarrow}, \quad N_2 = N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow}.$$

#### Nested Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_p^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_p^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2}} \end{pmatrix}^L = \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\downarrow}} \frac{\lambda_p^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_p^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2}}, \quad p = 1, \dots, N_e,$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^{N_e} \frac{\lambda_p^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_p^{(2)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2}} = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j \neq i}}^{N_{\downarrow}} \frac{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} - i}{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_i^{(1)} + i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_{\downarrow}.$$

#### Yang Yang counting function:

$$Y_{C}(\lambda) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{e}} \hat{x}(2\lambda_{p}^{(2)}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{\downarrow}} \hat{x}(2\lambda_{p}^{(2)} - 2\lambda_{i}^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{N_{\downarrow}} \hat{x}(\lambda_{i}^{(1)} - \lambda_{j}^{(1)}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{e}} \hat{x}(\lambda_{i}^{(1)} - \lambda_{j}^$$



Dictionary





## The Dictionary

gauge theory			integrable model
number of nodes in the quiver	r	r	rank of the symmetry group
gauge group at <i>a</i> -th node	$U(N_a)$	Na	number of particles of species a
effective twisted superpotential	$\widetilde{W}_{\rm eff}(\sigma)$	$Y(\lambda)$	Yang-Yang function
equation for the vacua	$e^{2\pi d\widetilde{W}_{eff}} = 1$	$e^{2\pi i \mathrm{d}Y} = 1$	Bethe ansatz equation
flavor group at node <i>a</i>	$U(L_a)$	La	effective length for the species <i>a</i>
lowest component of the twisted chiral superfield	$\sigma_i^{(a)}$	$\lambda_i^{(a)}$	rapidity
twisted mass of the fundamental field	$\widetilde{m}_{k}^{\mathrm{f}(a)}$	$\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_k^a + \nu_k^{(a)}$	highest weight of the represen- tation and inhomogeneity
twisted mass of the anti–fundamental field	$\widetilde{m}^{ar{\mathrm{f}}}_k^{(a)}$	$\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_k^a - \nu_k^{(a)}$	highest weight of the represen- tation and inhomogeneity
twisted mass of the adjoint field	$\widetilde{m}^{\mathrm{adj}(a)}$	$\frac{1}{2}C^{aa}$	diagonal element of the Cartan matrix
twisted mass of the bifundamental field	$\widetilde{m}^{\mathrm{b}(ab)}$	$\frac{1}{2}C^{ab}$	non-diagonal element of the Cartan matrix
FI-term for $U(1)$ -factor of gauge group $U(N_a)$	$ au_a$	$\hat{\vartheta}^a$	boundary twist parameter for particle species <i>a</i>







## The Dictionary

#### The tJ model:

- \* spin chain of length L U(L) flavor group
- \* periodic boundary conditions unitary gauge groups
- \* 3 particle species: spin up, spin down, empty
- \* sl(112) symmetry two nodes in quiver
- \* most important feature: 3 inequivalent choices of
- Cartan matrix masses for adj. and bifund. fields
- \* 3 different (but equivalent) sets of Bethe equations <sup>3</sup> gauge theories
- \* spectrum the same for all 3 cases same susy ground states.
- \* fundamental rep. (spin 1/2) at each lattice point
- \* no inhomogeneities tw. mass i/2 for fund. and antifund.







## The Dictionary

#### Use Gauge/Bethe dictionary:



These 3 quiver gauge theories have the same ground states?

Conversely: any quiver gauge theories which can be associated to the same int. model have same ground states.







## Quiver Gauge Theory

**Case A:** 
$$C^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\Lambda = [0 \ 1]$ ,  $N_1 = N_h$ ,  $N_2 = N_h + N_{\downarrow}$ 



Global symmetry group:

B

 $U(L)_Q \times U(L)_{\widetilde{Q}} \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_{\widetilde{B}} \times U(1)_{\Phi}$ 

Broken down to maximal torus by twisted masses.





## Quiver Gauge Theory

#### Effective twisted superpotential:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{W}_{\text{eff}}^{A}(\sigma) &= \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \left[ \left( \sigma_{p}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2} \right) \left( \log(\sigma_{p}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2}) - 1 \right) - \left( \sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \left( \log(-\sigma_{p}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2}) - 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \left[ \left( \sigma_{i}^{(1)} - \sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \left( \log(\sigma_{i}^{(1)} - \sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}) - 1 \right) \right] \\ &- \left( \sigma_{i}^{(1)} - \sigma_{p}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2} \right) \left( \log(-\sigma_{i}^{(1)} + \sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}) - 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{p,q\\p \neq q}}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \left( \sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \sigma_{q}^{(2)} - i \right) \left( \log(\sigma_{p}^{(2)} - \sigma_{q}^{(2)} - i) - 1 \right) - i\tau_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}} \sigma_{i}^{(1)} - i\tau_{2} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{h}+N_{\downarrow}} \sigma_{p}^{(2)} \right] \end{split}$$

#### Corresponds to Y.

Superpotential (compatible to eff. tw. superpotential):

$$W_A(Q^2, \overline{Q^2}, \Phi^2, B^{12}, B^{21}) = \sum_k \left[ a \, Q^2_{\ k} \Phi^2 \overline{Q^2}_k + b \, Q^2_{\ k} B^{21} B^{12} \overline{Q^2}_k \right]$$







### Relation via Brane Cartoons

Different argument for relation brane motions

Hanany, Witten; Hanany, Hori

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9









different ways. But: difficult to turn on 





## Generalization/Open questions

Any spin chain with supergroup symmetry gives rise to several quiver gauge theories.

The supergroup sl(m|n) gives rise to  $\binom{m+n}{m}$  distinct quiver gauge theories.

Open questions:

0

Understand the meaning of twisted masses in gauge theory better.

Reproduce twisted masses in brane realizations.

Study and compare soliton solutions for the different quiver gauge theories corresponding to one spin chain.







The Gauge Bethe correspondence of Nekrasov/ Shatashvili relates the susy ground states of a 2d N=(2,2) gauge theory to the full spectrum of an integrable model. We use this correspondence as a tool to relate different quiver gauge theories which correspond to the same integrable system. Works for all integrable models with supergroup symmetry. Window from the very well controlled integrable models into gauge theory.







# Thank you for your attention?