

in Driven Granular Matter

Meheboob Alam

Engineering Mechanics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Center for Advanced Scientific Research, BANGALORE (Now@YITP, May-July 2013) (with Dr. Priyanka Shukla and Mr. Istafaul Ansari)

Physics of Glassy and Granular Materials, July 16-19, 2013, YITP, Kyoto, Japan

Outline of Talk

- Introduction
- Granular Hydrodynamics
- Nonlinear Stability and Stuart-Landau Eqn
- Results: 'Bounded' granular convection
- Semi-bounded' granular convection
- Gradient and Vorticity Banding
- Experiments on Vibrated Binary Mixtures
- Conclusions



- **Athermal system**
- Lack of Scale Separation
- **Extended Set** of Hydrodynamic Fields ?

Oscillons and Faraday Waves (Swinney et al 1996)





FIG. 2 Diagram showing the stability regions for different states, as a function of *f* and Γ , for increasing Γ (squares) and decreasing Γ (triangles and circles). The transitions from the flat layer to squares and stripes are hysteretic, but the hysteresis is much smaller for stripes. Oscillons are observed for layers greater than 13 particles deep in a range of *f* which increases with increasing depth. For thinner layers, the phase diagram is similar but without the oscillon region.







Subharmonic (f/2, f/4, ...)





Oscillons (f/2) Umbanhower et.al 1996



Alam & Ansari (2012)

Vibration Driven Granular Matter



Leidenfrost

Eshuis et al 2005

Convection Eshuis et al 2007



Order parameter models for granular Faraday patterns

Patterns can be predicted by the complex Ginzburg-Landau Eqn (Tsimring and Aranson 1997)

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \gamma \psi^* - (1 - i\omega)\psi + (1 + ib)\nabla^2 \psi - |\psi|^2 \psi - \rho \psi$$



 ψ : complex amplitude of subharmonic pattern (order parameter)

 ρ : thickness of the granular layer

 $\gamma \psi^*$: parametric driving

 γ : normalized amplitude

ω:frequency of driving

b:ratio of dispersion to diffusion

Swift-Hohenberg equation describes primary pattern forming bifurcation: square, strips and oscillons *(Crawford and Riecke 1999)*

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = R\psi - (\nabla^2 + 1)^2 \psi + N(\psi)$$

$$N(\psi) = b_1 \psi^3 - b_2 \psi^5 + \varepsilon \nabla (\nabla \psi)^3 - \beta_1 \psi (\nabla \psi)^2 - \beta_2 \psi^2 \nabla^2 \psi$$



Landau-type order parameter model for granular patterns?

"Phenomenological model"

Granular Hydrodynamic Equations

(Savage, Jenkins, Goldhirsch, ...)

Balance Equations

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = -\rho\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}$$
$$\rho\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\nabla\cdot\mathbf{\Sigma}$$

$$\frac{3}{2}\rho \frac{DT}{Dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma} : \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathcal{D}$$

• $\rho = \rho_p \phi$: Bulk density ρ_p : Particle density

- ϕ : Volume fraction
- \bullet ${\bf u}$: Bulk velocity
- $\bullet~T$: Granular temperature

Navier-Stokes-order Constitutive Model Stress Tensor $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = [p(\phi, T) - \zeta(\phi, T) \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{u}] \, \mathbf{I} - 2\mu(\phi, T) \mathbf{S}$ $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \mathbf{I}$ Granular Heat Flux $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\phi, T)\nabla T$ Dissipation term or sink of energy

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\rho_p}{d} f_5(\phi, e) T^{3/2} \sim (1 - e^2)$$

`Bounded' Convection



Khain & Meerson 2003

Heat Loss Parameter $R = 4(1-e)K^{-2}$

Reference Scales

Steady State + No Flow

Base flow: steady, fully developed flow

$$\frac{dp^{0}}{dy} + n^{0} / Fr = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dy} \left(\kappa^{0} \frac{dT^{0}}{dy} \right) = \mathbf{D}^{0}$$

Boundary Conditions

Thermal lower wall $T^{(0)}(y=0) = 1$, Adiabatic upper wall $dT^{0}(y=1)/dy = 0$ Integral relation $\int_{0}^{1} n^{0}(y) dy = 1$



Linear Stability

$$\frac{\partial X'}{\partial t} = LX' + N_2 + N_3 + \dots$$
 where $X = X_{base} + X'$

Boundary Conditions

$$\frac{\partial u'}{\partial y} = 0, v' = 0 \text{ at } y = 0, 1$$
$$\frac{\partial T'}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ at } y = 1 \text{ and } T' = 0 \text{ at } y = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial X'}{\partial t} = LX'$$
 Linear Problem

$$\int \\ L\hat{X} = \omega \hat{X}$$
 Normal Mode

$$L\hat{X} = \omega \hat{X}$$
 Eigenvalue

$$B^{\pm}\hat{X} = 0$$
 Problem





Nonlinear Stability:

Center Manifold Reduction (Carr 1981; Shukla & Alam, PRL 2009)

Dynamics close to critical situation is dominated by finitely many "critical" modes.

Z: complex amplitude of finite-size perturbation

$$X' = \phi + \psi^{\text{Non-Critical Mode}} \qquad \qquad Z: \text{ complex amplitude of finite-size perturbation} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = Z X^{[1;1]} + \widetilde{Z} \widetilde{X}^{[1;1]} \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_2 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t \end{pmatrix} = N_3 + N_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial \\ \partial t$$

 $\phi = ZX^{[1;1]} + \widetilde{Z}\widetilde{X}^{[1;1]}$ Amplitude
Taking the of the lines
we get an a Taking the inner product of slow mode equation with adjoint eigenfunction of the linear problem and separating the like-power terms in amplitude, we get an amplitude equation (Λ) (n) (\mathbf{n})

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \omega \end{pmatrix} ZX_{11} = N_2 + N_3 \xrightarrow{\Psi} \frac{dZ}{dt} = c^{(0)}Z + c^{(2)}Z |Z|^2 + c^{(4)}Z |Z|^4 + \dots$$

First Landau Coefficient Second Landau Coefficient $c^{(2)} = a^{(2)} + ib^{(2)}$ $c^{(4)} = a^{(4)} + ib^{(4)}$

Cont...



Other perturbation methods can be used:

e.g. Amplitude expansion method (Shukla & Alam, 2011a, JFM) Multiple scale analysis, (TDGL eqn., Saitoh & Hayakawa 2011)

Caution: Ignoring `Slaved' Equations will lead to qualitatively wrong result!

Equilibrium Amplitude and Bifurcation

Cubic Landau Eqn $Z = Ae^{i\theta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{dZ}{dt} = c^{(0)}Z + c^{(2)}Z |Z|^2 \\ \frac{dA}{dt} = a^{(0)}A + a^{(2)}A^3, \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} = b^{(0)} + b^{(2)}A^2 \end{array} \right)$ Real amplitude eqn. Phase eqn. **Cubic Solution** $\frac{dA}{dt} = 0$ \implies $A = 0, \quad A = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(2)}}}$ Supercritical Bifurcation $a^{(0)} > 0, a^{(2)} < 0$

Subcritical Bifurcation $a^{(0)} < 0, a^{(2)} > 0$ $b^{(0)} = 0$ $b^{(0)} \neq 0$ Hopf (oscillatory) bifurcation Pitchfork (stationary) bifurcation

Results: Nonlinear Convection

(Shukla and Alam, 2013)





"Subcritical" and "supercritical" bifurcations in "elastic" limit → Classical Rayleigh-Benard Convection

"Leidenfrost State" to "Convection"



Leidenfrost

(Eshuis et al 2005)





Comparison of density patterns from Experiment, Simulation and Nonlinear Theory Experiment



Conclusions

- ``Double'' roll (subcritical solution) convection (needs verification from simulation)
- New solutions in the quasi-elastic limit (related to classical Rayleigh-Benard convection)
- For semibounded convection, theory agrees with experiment and simulation (qualitatively)

References

Shukla & Alam (2013) Preprint Shukla , van der Meer, Lohse & Alam (2013), Preprint

Thank you





Gradient and Vorticity-Banding Phenomena in a Sheared Granular Fluid



Meheboob Alam (with Priyanka Shukla)

Outline of Talk



- Gradient and Vorticity Banding Phenomer
 Shear-Banding?
 Sheared Granular Fluid
- Granular Hydrodynamic Equations
- Sturat-Landau Equation
- Results for Gradient Banding
 Results for Vorticity Banding
- Summary

Session L32 Granular Flows III, November 19,2012

¹Engineering Mechanics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Center for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore 560064, India ²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata 741252, India

Shear-banding: A misnomer?

Homogeneous/uniform shear flow is **unstable** above some critical applied **shear-rate** or **shear stress** (Hoffman 1972, Olmsted 2008).

Flow becomes inhomogeneous/non-uniform characterized by **coexisting-bands** of different **shear-rate** or **shear stress** (rheological properties).



Shear-Cell Experiments

Shear-Banding in 'Dense' Granular Flow (Savage & Sayed 1984; Mueth et.al. 2000)

► Granular material does not flow homogeneously like a fluid, but usually forms solid-regions that are separated by ``narrow" bands where material yields and flow.

Shear-bands are narrow and localized near moving boundary.



Fast particles (yellow) near the inner wall appear to move smoothly while the orange and red particles display more irregular and intermittent motion



 γ_{2}



Mueth et al. 2000

Rheological Signature of Banded States

• Multiple(*) Branches of <u>flow-curve</u>

• Gradient Banding :

Shear-rate > critical shear-rate



* Banding also occurs for monotonic flow-curves (Olmsted 2008)

Rheological Signature....

Multiple Branches in flow curve

Vorticity Banding

shear stress > critical shear stress



Particle Simulations

Gradient banding in 2-dimensional granular shear flow at low density



Tan & Goldhirsch 1997

Vorticity banding in 3-dimensional granular shear flows at low density



Conway & Glasser 2004

Gradient Banding in Granular Shear Flow



Order-parameter description of gradient-banding?

Shukla & Alam (2009, 2011, 2012)

Uniform Shear Flow (homogeneous state)



- \bullet Reference Length: \overline{h}
- Reference Velocity: \overline{U}
- Reference Time: $\overline{h}/\overline{U}$

uniform flow : Steady, Fully developed.boundary condition No-slip, zero heat flux

Uniform Shear Solution

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \mathcal{D} = 0$$

φ(y) = φ⁰ = const.
 u(y) = y
 T(y) = T⁰ = const.

d : diameter

Control Parameters □

•
$$H = \overline{h}/d, \phi^0, \epsilon$$





$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(.) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(.) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(.) \neq 0$$

Linear stability theory fails in `dilute' limit! Linear Theory Particle Simulation



Nonlinear Analysis: Center Manifold Reduction (Carr 1981; Shukla & Alam, PRL 2009)

Dynamics close to critical situation is dominated by finitely many "critical" modes.

Z(t) : complex amplitude of finite-size perturbation

 $\phi = ZX^{[1;1]} + \widetilde{Z}\widetilde{X}^{[1;1]}$ Amplitude
Taking the of the linear we get an a Taking the inner product of slow mode equation with adjoint eigenfunction of the linear problem and separating the like-power terms in amplitude, we get an amplitude equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \omega \end{pmatrix} ZX_{11} = N_2 + N_3 \longrightarrow \frac{dZ}{dt} = c^{(0)}Z + c^{(2)}Z |Z|^2 + c^{(4)}Z |Z|^4 + \dots$$

First Landau Coefficient
$$c^{(2)} = a^{(2)} + ib^{(2)}$$
Second Landau Coefficient
$$c^{(4)} = a^{(4)} + ib^{(4)}$$



Equilibrium Amplitude and Bifurcation

Cubic Landau Eqn $Z = Ae^{i\theta} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{dZ}{dt} = c^{(0)}Z + c^{(2)}Z |Z|^2 \\ \frac{dA}{dt} = a^{(0)}A + a^{(2)}A^3, \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} = b^{(0)} + b^{(2)}A^2 \end{array} \right)$ Real amplitude eqn. Phase eqn. **Cubic Solution** $\frac{dA}{dt} = 0$ \implies $A = 0, \quad A = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(2)}}}$ Supercritical Bifurcation $a^{(0)} > 0, a^{(2)} < 0$

Subcritical Bifurcation $a^{(0)} < 0, a^{(2)} > 0$ $b^{(0)} = 0$ $b^{(0)} \neq 0$ Hopf (oscillatory) bifurcation Pitchfork (stationary) bifurcation

Phase Diagram

Shukla & Alam, J. Fluid Mech. (2011a)



Paradigm of Pitchfork Bifurcations

- Supercritical: $\phi^0 > \phi^{s2}_c$
- Subcritical: $\phi^{s1} < \phi^0 < \phi^{s2}_c \approx 0.559$
- Supercritical: $\phi^s < \phi^0 < \phi^{s1}_c \approx 0.467$
- Subcritical: $\phi^l < \phi^0 < \phi^{sl}_c \approx 0.196$
- Bifurcation from infinity: $\phi^0 < \phi^l_c \approx 0.174$



Conclusions from nonlinear gradient banding

> Problem is analytically solvable

≻Landau coefficients suggest that there is a "sub-critical" (bifurcation from infinity) finite amplitude instability for "dilute" flows even though the dilute flow is stable according to linear theory.

>This result agrees with previous MD-simulation of granular plane Couette flow

≻GCF serves as a **paradigm** of pitchfork bifurcations.

➢Gradient banding corresponds to shear localization and density segregation

> Origin of gradient banding is tied to lower dynamic friction (μ/p)

Vorticity Banding



Alam & Shukla, J. Fluid Mech. (2013a) vol 716 Shukla & Alam, J. Fluid Mech. (2013b) vol 718

Conway & Glasser, 2004, Phys. Fluids

Vorticity banding instability (linear)



Gradient-banding modes stationary modes in all the flow density regime.

Vorticity banding modes

stationary in dilute density limits & traveling in moderately dense density limit

Vorticity.... (nonlinear)





Vorticity Banding in Dense 3D Granular Flow

(Grebenkov, Ciamarra, Nicodemi, Coniglio, PRL 2008, vol 100)



Vorticity Banding in Dense 3D Granular Flow

(Grebenkov, Ciamarra, Nicodemi, Coniglio, PRL 2008, vol 100)



Bifurcation Scenario in vorticity and gradient banding Shukla & Alam, J. Fluid Mech. (2013b)



Conclusions from nonlinear vorticity banding

- Quintic-order Landau Equation is derived for vorticity banding instability
- Analytical solutions for first and second Landau coefficients have been obtained.
- Bistable nature of nonlinear vorticity banding (stationary & oscillatory) states has been confirmed.
- Localization of shear stress (viscosity) and pressure along the spanwise direction.

Overall Conclusions

 Hydrodynamic justification for gradient and vorticity banding in a sheared granular fluid using nonlinear stability theory.
 Unified description of gradient and vorticity banding in terms of shear and viscosity localization, respectively.

References:

Alam & Shukla (2013a), J. Fluid Mech., vol. **716**, 131-180 Shukla & Alam (2013b), J. Fluid Mech., vol. **718**, 349-413 Shukla & Alam (2011a), J. Fluid Mech., vol. **666**, 204-253 Shukla & Alam (2009) Phys. Rev. Letts , vol. **103** , 068001. Shukla & Alam (2013c) Phys Fluid (submitted)

Segregation-driven Patterns, Controlled Convection and Kuramoto's Equation (?)

Meheboob Alam & IstafaulHaque Ansari

Engineering Mechanics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, India



Physics of Glassy and Granular Materials (Satellite Meeting of STATPHYS 25) @YITP, 16-19 July 2013

Outline of talk

- Introduction
- Experimental Setup and Procedure
- Particle Image Velocimetry
- Phase Diagram and Patterns
- Conclusions and Outlook

Details of Experimental Setup



Sketch of experimental setup.



Experimental Setup



Experimental Procedure



Dimensionless Control Parameters:

- Shaking accelaration: $\Gamma = A\omega 12 / g$
- > *A* is the shaking amplitude
- $\blacktriangleright \omega = 2\pi/\tau$, where τ is the time period.
- \triangleright g is the acceleration due to gravity.
- Number of particle layers at rest $F = F \downarrow g + F \downarrow s = h \downarrow 0 / d$

Fϵ(2.5, 10)

Adaptive PIV (Dantec Dynamics)







- "Adaptive PIV" iteratively optimizes the size and shape of each interrogation area (IA).
- Interrogation window is chosen iteratively until desired particle density is reached.

Undulation Waves







Undulation Waves [7/2 Waves]



 $t=\tau$

Undulation Wave (UW) + Gas



Alam & Ansari (2012) (APS DFD Meeting, San Diego, Nov. 2012)

Synchronous (period-2) + Disordered (gas) states

Convection + Leidenfrost





Particle Simulations?

- Only `qualitative' agreement with MD simulation
- All phase-coexisting patterns are found in simulations, but (i) the life-time of `UW+Gas' from simulations is found to be orders-of-magnitude lower than that from experiments (ii) vertical segregation is not well reproduced by present simulation, (iii)
- § Simulation help from Mr. Rivas N.

¶ Simulations (impact model, etc) are currently being improved

Ansari, Rivas & Alam (June 2013, submitted)

Conclusions

- We discovered novel phase-coexisting patterns (Alam & Ansari 2012) in vibrated binary granular mixtures:
 - Bouncing bed + Granular gas
 Small (F
 - Undulations + Granular gas
 - Leidenfrost + Granular gas
 - Leidenfrost + Convection
 - Leidenfrost + Horizontal segregation
 - Bouncing Bed + Vertical Segregation



In all *phase-coexisting states*, steel balls are in gaseous phase and the glass balls are in a Leidenfrost /Bouncing Bed/Undulation state.

all F

- Segregation is due to non-equipartition of granular energy between heavier and lighter particles.
- Convection can be controlled in a binary mixture
- Alam & Ansari (2012, APS's DFD Meeting, San Diego)
- Ansari, Rivas & Alam (2013, submitted)

Thank You