

Learning the dynamics of biological networks



ENS Paris A.Walczak

Università Sapienza Rome A. Cavagna I. Giardina O. Pohl E. Silvestri M.Viale

Aberdeen University F. Ginelli

Thierry Mora Laboratoire de physique statistique École normale supérieure, Paris & CNRS

IST Austria G.Tkacik

> Vision Institute S. Deny O. Marre

Princeton University W. Bialek M. Berry



interacting spins



(any) interacting agents



spontaneous magnetization

interacting spins



(any) interacting agents



spontaneous magnetization

interacting spins



spontaneous magnetization

(any) interacting agents



spontaneous magnetization

two modeling approaches



two modeling approaches



two modeling approaches





$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$$



 \circ N agents / units described by a variable σ

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$



 \circ N agents / units described by a variable σ

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$$

• Maximize the entropy $S = -\sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) \log P(\sigma)$



ullet N agents / units described by a variable σ

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$$

• Maximize the entropy $S = -\sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) \log P(\sigma)$

under the constraint that observables $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots$ have the same average as the data

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{model}} = \langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{data}}$

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_a
angle$ is typically a moment, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i
angle, \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j
angle$



 \circ N agents / units described by a variable σ

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$$

• Maximize the entropy $S = -\sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) \log P(\sigma)$

under the constraint that observables $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots$ have the same average as the data

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{model}} = \langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{data}}$$

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_a
angle$ is typically a moment, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i
angle, \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j
angle$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_a \mathcal{O}_a(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right] \quad \text{e.g.} \quad P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{i} h_i \sigma_i + \sum_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j} - \frac{E/k_B T}{E/k_B T}$$



ullet N agents / units described by a variable σ

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N)$$

• Maximize the entropy $S = -\sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) \log P(\sigma)$

under the constraint that observables $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots$ have the same average as the data

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{model}} = \langle \mathcal{O}_a \rangle_{\text{data}}$$

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_a
angle$ is typically a moment, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i
angle, \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j
angle$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_a \mathcal{O}_a(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right] \quad \text{e.g.} \quad P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_{i} h_i \sigma_i + \sum_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j} - E/k_B T \quad (\text{disordered}) \text{ lsing model}$$



Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule

$$P(\{J_a\}|\text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data}|\{J_a\})P(\{J_a\})}{P(\text{data})}$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule

$$P(\{J_a\}|\text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data}|\{J_a\})P(\{J_a\})}{P(\text{data})} \propto \left[\prod_{m=1}^M P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^m|\{J_a\})\right]P(\{J_a\})$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule

over datapoints

$$P(\{J_a\}|\text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data}|\{J_a\})P(\{J_a\})}{P(\text{data})} \propto \left(\prod_{m=1}^M P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^m|\{J_a\})\right) P(\{J_a\})$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule over datapoints flat prior
$$P(\{J_a\}|\text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data}|\{J_a\})P(\{J_a\})}{P(\text{data})} \propto \left(\prod_{m=1}^{M} P(\sigma^m|\{J_a\})\right] P(J_a)$$

Given the functional form

$$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{a} J_{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]$$

what parameters J_a explain the data best?

Bayes rule over datapoints flat prior
$$P(\{J_a\} | \text{data}) = \frac{P(\text{data} | \{J_a\}) P(\{J_a\})}{P(\text{data})} \propto \left(\prod_{m=1}^{M} P(\sigma^m | \{J_a\})\right] P(\sigma^m)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log P}{\partial J_a} = 0 \Rightarrow -M \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial J_a} + \sum_{a} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathcal{O}_a(\sigma^m) = 0 \quad \text{(maximum likelihood)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O}_a(\sigma) \rangle_{\text{model}} = \langle \mathcal{O}_a(\sigma) \rangle_{\text{data}} \quad \text{satisfies the constaints}$$

collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



\circ co-variations in protein families \Rightarrow contact prediction

Weigt et al. PNAS 2009; Morcos et al. PNAS 2011 Marks et al PLoS ONE 2012; Sulkowska et al PNAS 2012



collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



co-variations in protein families => contact prediction

Weigt et al. PNAS 2009; Morcos et al. PNAS 2011 Marks et al PLoS ONE 2012; Sulkowska et al PNAS 2012

diversity of antibody repertoires in the immune system
Mora Walczak Callan Bialek PNAS 2010



collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



co-variations in protein families riangle contact prediction Weigt et al. PNAS 2009; Morcos et al. PNAS 2011 Marks et al PLoS ONE 2012; Sulkowska et al PNAS 2012



- diversity of antibody repertoires in the immune system
 Mora Walczak Callan Bialek PNAS 2010
- DNA motifs of transcription factor binding sites Santolini Mora Hakim Plos ONE 2014

collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



- co-variations in protein families riangle contact prediction Weigt et al. PNAS 2009; Morcos et al. PNAS 2011 Marks et al PLoS ONE 2012; Sulkowska et al PNAS 2012
- diversity of antibody repertoires in the immune system Mora Walczak Callan Bialek PNAS 2010
- DNA motifs of transcription factor binding sites Santolini Mora Hakim Plos ONE 2014
- collective behaviour of mice Shemesh et al. eLife 2013



collective activity of neural populations

Schneidman et al. Nature 2006 Shlens et al J. Neuroscience 2006 Tang et al J. Neuroscience 2008 Tkacik et al PLoS CP 2014



- co-variations in protein families riangle contact prediction Weigt et al. PNAS 2009; Morcos et al. PNAS 2011 Marks et al PLoS ONE 2012; Sulkowska et al PNAS 2012
- diversity of antibody repertoires in the immune system Mora Walczak Callan Bialek PNAS 2010
- DNA motifs of transcription factor binding sites Santolini Mora Hakim Plos ONE 2014
- collective behaviour of mice Shemesh et al. eLife 2013
- collective behaviour of bird flocks

Bialek et al. PNAS 2012; Cavagna et al. PRE 2014 Bialek et al. PNAS 2014





- maximum entropy gives a "steady-state" picture.
- what about the dynamics?
- ad hoc dynamics such as Glauber, Metropolis may be wrong

- maximum entropy gives a "steady-state" picture.
- what about the dynamics?
- ad hoc dynamics such as Glauber, Metropolis may be wrong
- (a) solution: maximum entropy over trajectories $P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T)$



constraints on cross-time correlations, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^{t'} \rangle$

- maximum entropy gives a "steady-state" picture.
- what about the dynamics?
- ad hoc dynamics such as Glauber, Metropolis may be wrong
- (a) solution: maximum entropy over trajectories $P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T)$



constraints on cross-time correlations, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^{t'} \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ "action"

$$\implies P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i,j,t,t'} J_{ij}^{t-t'} \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^{t'}\right)$$

- maximum entropy gives a "steady-state" picture.
- what about the dynamics?
- ad hoc dynamics such as Glauber, Metropolis may be wrong
- (a) solution: maximum entropy over trajectories $P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T)$



constraints on cross-time correlations, e.g. $\langle \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^{t'} \rangle = \mathcal{A}$ "action"

$$\implies P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^T) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i, j, t, t'} J_{ij}^{t-t'} \sigma_i^t \sigma_j^{t'}\right)$$

not the same as:

$$P(\sigma_{i,t}|\{\sigma_{j,t'}\}_{t' < t}) = \frac{1}{Z(\{\sigma_{j,t'}\}_{t' < t})} \exp\left[h_i \sigma_{i,t} + \sum_{j,t' < t} J_{ij}^{t-t'} \sigma_{i,t} \sigma_{j,t'}\right]$$

example I:flocks of birds

example I:flocks of birds

aligned collective motion


• velocity of bird $ec{v}_i, \quad ec{s}_i = ec{v}_i / \|ec{v}_i\|$

• velocity of bird $ec{v}_i, \quad ec{s}_i = ec{v}_i / \|ec{v}_i\|$

• constrain correlation functions $C_{ij} = \langle \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j \rangle$



$$P(\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j\right) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-H)$$
(Heisenber

(Heisenberg model on lattice)

ullet velocity of bird $ec{v}_i, \quad ec{s}_i = ec{v}_i / \|ec{v}_i\|$

• constrain correlation functions $C_{ij} = \langle \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j \rangle$



$$P(\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j\right) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-H)$$
(Heisenber

(Heisenberg model on lattice)

• velocity of bird $ec{v}_i, \quad ec{s}_i = ec{v}_i / \|ec{v}_i\|$

• constrain correlation functions $C_{ij} = \langle \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j \rangle$

$$P(\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j\right) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-H)$$

(Heisenberg

• derives from Langevin eqn, equilavent to "social" model, similar to Vicsek's

model on lattice)

$$\frac{d\vec{s}_{i}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{s}_{i}} + \vec{\eta}_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} J_{ij}\vec{s}_{j} + \vec{\eta}_{i}(t)$$
noise
alignment

(does not mean that's the only possible dynamics, or the true one)

parametrization



 $J_{ij} = \begin{cases} J & \text{if j is one i's } n_{\rm c} \text{ first neighbors} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

then symmetrized

parametrization



 $J_{ij} = \begin{cases} J & \text{if j is one i's } n_{\rm c} \text{ first neighbors} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ then symmetrized}$

Equivalent to maximum entropy with constraint on

$$C_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{j \in V(i)} \langle \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j \rangle$$

single snapshot — spatial averaging instead of ensemble averaging

predicting correlation functions



long-range order from local interactions

predicting correlation functions



long-range order from local interactions

























metric or topological ?

r₁



▲ n_c -1/3



r₁



interaction is topological not metric



Bialek et al PNAS 2012



interaction is topological not metric

n_c ~ 21



flock density







Bialek et al PNAS 2012

dynamics (may) matter

we've assumed that neighborhoods are fixed

but birds may exchange neighbors fast



dynamics (may) matter

we've assumed that neighborhoods are fixed

but birds may exchange neighbors fast



dynamics (may) matter

we've assumed that neighborhoods are fixed

but birds may exchange neighbors fast

• the effective number of interaction partners could be larger than the *instantaneous* one.



dynamics (on bird orientations)

$$ullet$$
 constrain $\langle s_i^t s_j^t
angle$ and $\langle s_i^t s_j^{t+1}
angle$

$$P(s^1, \dots, s^T) = \frac{1}{\hat{Z}} \exp\left(-\mathcal{A}\right)$$

"action"
$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(J_{ij;t}^{(1)} s_i^t s_j^t + J_{ij;t}^{(2)} s_i^{t+1} s_j^t \right)$$

dynamics (on bird orientations)

$$ullet$$
 constrain $\langle s_i^t s_j^t
angle$ and $\langle s_i^t s_j^{t+1}
angle$

$$P(s^1, \dots, s^T) = \frac{1}{\hat{Z}} \exp\left(-\mathcal{A}\right)$$

"action"
$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(J_{ij;t}^{(1)} s_i^t s_j^t + J_{ij;t}^{(2)} s_i^{t+1} s_j^t \right)$$

in spin-wave approximation, equivalent to "collective random walk"

$$\pi_i(t+1) = \sum_j M_{ij}(t)\pi_j(t) + \epsilon_i(t)$$
$$\langle \epsilon(t)^{\dagger} \epsilon(t') \rangle = 2(d-1)A(t)^{-1}\delta_{t,t'}$$

A and M functions of $J^{(1)}$ and $J^{(2)}$

\vec{n}_{igat}	$ec{s}$
	1
.	$\rightarrow \vec{\pi}$

dynamics (on bird orientations)

$$ullet$$
 constrain $\langle s_i^t s_j^t
angle$ and $\langle s_i^t s_j^{t+1}
angle$

$$P(s^1, \dots, s^T) = \frac{1}{\hat{Z}} \exp\left(-\mathcal{A}\right)$$

"action"
$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(J_{ij;t}^{(1)} s_i^t s_j^t + J_{ij;t}^{(2)} s_i^{t+1} s_j^t \right)$$

• in spin-wave approximation, equivalent to "collective random walk" alignment strength $\pi_i(t+1) = (1 - \int \delta t n_c) \pi_i(t) + \int \delta t n_{ij}(t) + \epsilon_i(t)$ $\langle \epsilon_i(t) \epsilon_j(t') \rangle = 2(d-1) \delta t \int \delta_{ij} \delta_{tt'}$ temperature

Langevin equation

inferring out-of-equilibrium behavior

• infering J, n_c, and a third parameter, the "temperature" T

 $J n_c = \frac{1}{\delta t} \frac{C_{\text{int}} - C_s + G_s - G_{\text{int}}}{2C_{\text{int}} - C'_{\text{int}} - C_s} \quad \text{and similar eq. for T}$

inferring out-of-equilibrium behavior

• infering J, n_c, and a third parameter, the "temperature" T

$$J n_c = \frac{1}{\delta t} \frac{C_{\text{int}} - C_s + G_s - G_{\text{int}}}{2C_{\text{int}} - C'_{\text{int}} - C_s} \quad \text{and similar eq. for T}$$

• if equilibrium – slowly evolving and symmetric n_{ij} – then one recovers the same result as the Heisenberg model, with $J \leftarrow J/T$

$$P(\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_N) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\frac{J}{T} \sum_{ij} n_{ij} \vec{s}_i \vec{s}_j\right)$$

test on simulated data

- simulation of 2D topological model with Voronoi neighbors
- μ is a parameter quantifying how fast birds change neighbors



dynamical maximum entropy works, static maximum entropy doesn't

the retina





multielectrode array recordings



the stimulus



the stimulus



binary neurons

ullet raster ullet binary variables $\sigma_i=0,1$ N ~ 150 neurons



neuron activities are correlated



Ising model $P_2(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{\sum_i h_i \sigma_i + \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j}$

neuron activities are correlated



goal: build the thermodynamics of this correlated system from data

• evaluate $P(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N)$ by modelling or by frequency counting

• evaluate $P(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N)$ by modelling or by frequency counting

define "energy" through Boltzmann law

$$P = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E/k_B T} \longrightarrow E = -\log P$$

ullet evaluate $P(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_N)$ by modelling or by frequency counting

define "energy" through Boltzmann law

$$P = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E/k_B T} \longrightarrow E = -\log P$$

now consider the distribution of energies E

C(E) = number of states with $E(\sigma) < E$

ullet evaluate $P(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_N)$ by modelling or by frequency counting

define "energy" through Boltzmann law

$$P = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E/k_B T} \longrightarrow E = -\log P$$

now consider the distribution of energies E

C(E) = number of states with $E(\sigma) < E$

define a microcanonical entropy :

$$S(E) = \log C(E)$$

Mora Bialek J. Stat. Phys. 2011
density of states



(under natural movie stimulus)

Tkacik Mora Marre Amodei Berry Bialek, arxiv 2014

Zipf's law (interlude)

HUMAN BEHAVIOR

AND THE PRINCIPLE OF LEAST EFFORT

An Introduction to Human Ecology

by

GEORGE KINGSLEY ZIPF, Ph.D. Harvard University

Zipf 1949

Zipf's law (interlude)



Fig. 3-14. Beowulf to T. S. Eliot. Rank-frequency distributions of the words of fifteen English writers from early Old English to the present day.

1000

RANK

1000

1000

~ cumulative distribution

what's the probability of a given energy?



what's the probability of a given energy?





- E scales with N
- its fluctuations scale with N

heat capacity $C = \operatorname{Var}(E) \sim N$

C / N diverges at 2nd order transition critical point (e.g. 2D Ising model)



- E scales with N
- its fluctuations scale with N

heat capacity $C = \operatorname{Var}(E) \sim N$

C / N diverges at 2nd order transition critical point (e.g. 2D Ising model)

link to information theory $E = -\log P$ "surprise" (Shannon 1948)

- equipartition theorem (valid for independent units):
 almost all codewords we see have the same surprise ~ entropy
- basis for compression

specific heat

let's add a spurious temperature — one direction in parameter space

$$P_T(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} e^{-E/T} \qquad C = \operatorname{Var}_T(E/T) = \operatorname{Var}_T(-\log P)$$

(T = 1 corresponds to the real ensemble)

specific heat

let's add a spurious temperature — one direction in parameter space

$$P_T(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} e^{-E/T} \qquad C = \operatorname{Var}_T(E/T) = \operatorname{Var}_T(-\log P)$$

(T = 1 corresponds to the real ensemble)



specific heat

let's add a spurious temperature — one direction in parameter space

$$P_T(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} e^{-E/T} \qquad C = \operatorname{Var}_T(E/T) = \operatorname{Var}_T(-\log P)$$

(T = 1 corresponds to the real ensemble)



Tkacik Mora Marre Amodei Berry Bialek, arxiv 2014

dynamical criticality

The Journal of Neuroscience, December 3, 2003 • 23(35):11167-11177 • 11167

Neuronal Avalanches in Neocortical Circuits

John M. Beggs and Dietmar Plenz

Unit of Neural Network Physiology, Laboratory of Systems Neuroscience, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892



dynamical approach

10 ms



dynamical approach

10 ms



• proposal: consider statistics over trajectories $P({m \sigma}^1,\ldots,{m \sigma}^L)$



dynamical approach

10 ms



• proposal: consider statistics over trajectories $P({m \sigma}^1,\ldots,{m \sigma}^L)$



• define $E = -\log P(\{\sigma_{i,t}\})$ • calculate specific heat $c = \frac{1}{NL} \operatorname{Var}(E)$

link to dynamical criticality: branching process



$$P(\{\sigma_{i,t}\}) = \prod_{t} \prod_{i=1}^{N} p_i(t)^{\sigma_{i,t}} [1 - p_i(t)]^{1 - \sigma_{i,t}}$$
$$p_i(t) = 1 - \prod_{j} (1 - p_{ij})^{\sigma_{i,t-1}}$$
Beggs & Plenz 2003



branching parameter:

$$\omega = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} p_{ij}$$

link to dynamical criticality: branching process



Shew Yang Petermann Roy Plenz 2009

model for multi-neuron spike trains

sampling 2^N states is hard enough; here 2^{NL} states — we need models let's do something simple • total number of spikes $K_t = \sum \sigma_{i,t} \sigma_{i,t}$

is informative of collective behaviour

Tkacik Marre Mora Amodei Berry Bialek, JSTAT 2013



model for multi-neuron spike trains

sampling 2^N states is hard enough; here 2^{NL} states — we need models let's do something simple • total number of spikes $K_t = \sum \sigma_{i,t}$

is informative of collective behaviour

Tkacik Marre Mora Amodei Berry Bialek, JSTAT 2013

 maximum entropy model with constrains on temporal correlations of K

$$P(K_t, K_{t'}) \qquad |t - t'| < v$$

⇔ all neurons behave the same



model for multi-neuron spike trains

sampling 2^N states is hard enough; here 2^{NL} states — we need models let's do something simple • total number of spikes $K_t = \sum_{i} \sigma_{i,t}$

is informative of collective behaviour

Tkacik Marre Mora Amodei Berry Bialek, JSTAT 2013

 maximum entropy model with constrains on temporal correlations of K

$$P(K_t, K_{t'}) \qquad |t - t'| < v$$

⇔ all neurons behave the same

• "Energy"

$$E = -\sum_{t} h(K_{t}) - \sum_{t} \sum_{u=1}^{v} J_{u}(K_{t}, K_{t+u})$$



solving the problem

$$E = -\sum_{t} h(K_{t}) - \sum_{t} \sum_{u=1}^{v} J_{u}(K_{t}, K_{t+u})$$

define a "super-variable"

$$X_t = (K_t, K_{t+1}, \dots, K_{t+v-1})$$

now becomes a ID model

$$P(\{X_t\}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\sum_{t} H(X_t) + \sum_{t} W(X_t, X_{t+1})\right]$$

can be solved by transfer matrices

(aka forward backward algorithm, or belief propagation in ID)

model predicts avalanche dynamics



model predicts avalanche dynamics



NB: no power laws in avalanche statistics

thermodynamics of spike trains





v = temporal range

Mora Deny Marre PRL 2015

thermodynamics of spike trains



v = temporal range

Mora Deny Marre PRL 2015

scaling with network size



conclusions

- stationary maximum entropy models may capture emergent behaviour in biological data
- but dynamic framework may be necessary to get parameters right
- in neural systems, heat capacity = useful indicator of critical properties
- critical signature enhanced by dynamical approach
- application to other biological contexts?

random flickering checkerboard





random flickering checkerboard







dynamic (rat)