

Introduction to the BV Formalism and Homotopy Algebras

Motivation: Yang-Mills theory

The Yang-Mills action in R_ξ -gauge is

$$S = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu}^a)^2 - \bar{c}^a \partial^\mu (\mathcal{D}_\mu c)^a + \frac{\xi}{2} (b^a)^2 + b^a \partial^\mu A_\mu^a \right)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

$$(\mathcal{D}_\mu c)^a = \partial_\mu c^a + g f_{bc}^a A_\mu^b c^c$$

c^a ghost		1
A_μ^a gauge potential		0
b^a Nakanishi-Lautrup		0
\bar{c}^a anti-ghost		-1

This action is invariant under
a fermionic symmetry:

$$Qc^a = -\frac{1}{2}gf_{bc}^a c^b c^c$$

$$QA_\mu^a = (\mathbb{D}_\mu c)^a$$

$$Qb^a = 0$$

$$Q\bar{c}^a = b^a$$

called the BRST symmetry,
and we have $Q^2 = 0$.

The first ingredient is \mathbb{Z} -graded
vector spaces

$$V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k$$

$$\Rightarrow V \otimes W = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (V \otimes W)_k$$

$$(V \otimes W)_k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} V_i \otimes W_j$$

$$\Rightarrow V^* = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (V^*)_k$$

$$(V^*)_k := (V_{-k})^*$$

$$\Rightarrow V[l] = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (V[l])_k$$

$$(V[l])_k := V_{k+l}$$

Given V , $Q: \mathcal{L}^\infty(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty(V)$

where $\mathcal{L}^\infty(V) = \odot^{\bullet} V^*$, which

is of degree 1 and $Q^2 = 0$

(V, Q) is called a Q vector space.

Take an ordinary vector space \mathfrak{g} w/ basis e_a and consider $\mathfrak{g}[1]$

$$(\mathfrak{g}[1])_k = \mathfrak{g}_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g} & k = -1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let us introduce coordinates on

$$\mathfrak{g}[1], \xi^a: \mathfrak{g}[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Hence } |\xi^a| = 1, \quad Q: \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow$$

$C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}[t])$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \xi^b \xi^c f_{cb}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^a}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q^2 = \frac{1}{2} [Q, Q] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow f_{ab}^c$ are structure const.
of a Lie algebra

Hence, the differential graded
algebra $(C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}[t]), Q)$

is the Chevalley-Eilenberg description
of a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$

Define

$$a := \xi^a \otimes e_a \in (\mathfrak{g}[t])^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Qa &:= Q\xi^a \otimes e_a \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \xi^b \xi^c f_{cb}^a \otimes e_a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2} \xi^b \xi^c \otimes f_{bc}^a e_a \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \xi^b \xi^c \otimes [e_b, e_c] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} [a, a]'
\end{aligned}$$

BV formalism

Consider $\mathcal{L}_{\text{BRST}}[1]$ \mathbb{Z} graded vector space of fields of some theory. Say Φ^I are the fields of ghost number $|\Phi^I|_{gh}$. Then the anti field Φ_I^+ has ghost number $|\Phi_I^+| = -1 - |\Phi^I|_{gh}$,

$$Q_{\text{BV}} \Phi_I^+ = (-1)^{|\Phi^I|_{gh}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{BRST}}}{\delta \Phi^I} + \dots$$

Formally, this doubling corresponds to

$$\mathcal{L}_{BV}[I] := T^*[-I] (\mathcal{L}_{BRST}[I])$$

This comes with a canonical symplectic structure

$$\omega := \delta\phi_I^+ \wedge \delta\phi^I$$

with $|\omega| = -1$. Hamiltonian vector fields V_F for F a

function $V_F \lrcorner \omega = \delta F$. Thus the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} := V_F \lrcorner V_G \lrcorner \omega$$

Then

$$\{F, G\} = (-)^{|\phi^I| q_h} (|F| q_h + 1)$$

↑

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta\phi^I} \frac{\delta G}{\delta\phi_I^+}$$

anti-bracket

$$- (-)^{(|\Phi^I|_{g_{\mu+1}})(|F|_{g_{\mu+1}})} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \Phi_I^+} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \Phi^I}$$

We have

$$\{F, G\} = (-)^{(|F|_{g_{\mu+1}})(|G|_{g_{\mu+1}})} \{G, F\}$$

A BV action $S_{BV} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{L}_{BV}[I])$

subject to

$$\{S_{BV}, S_{BV}\} = 0$$

known as the classical master equation.

$$S_{BV} \Big|_{\Phi_I^+ = 0} = S_0 \quad \swarrow \text{original action}$$

$$(Q_{BV} \Phi^I) \Big|_{\Phi_I^+ = 0} = Q_{BRST} \Phi^I$$

and

$$Q_{BV} = \{S_{BV}, -\}$$

$$\text{Note } Q_{BV}^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{S_{BV}, S_{BV}\} = 0$$

Let's move on to L_∞ -algebras.

As before

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a^{\underline{I}} \otimes e_{\underline{I}} \\ &= \phi^{\underline{I}} \otimes e_{\underline{I}} + \phi_{\underline{I}}^+ \otimes e^{\underline{I}} \\ &\in (\mathcal{L}_{BV}[1])^* \otimes \mathcal{L}_{BV} \end{aligned}$$

of degree 1.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{BV} a &= \{S_{BV}, a\} \\ &= -f(a) \\ &= -\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i!} \mu_i'(a, \dots, a) \end{aligned}$$

The μ_i ' encode i -ary graded
antisymmetric linear maps

$$\mu_i : \mathcal{L}_{BV} \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_{BV} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{BV}$$

$$\mu_i'(a) := (-1)^{|a|^{\pm}|g_h|} a^{\pm} \otimes \mu_i(e_{\pm})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i'(a_1, \dots, a_i) := & (-1)^{\left[i \sum_{j=1}^i |a_j^{\pm}|_{g_h} + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=2}^i |a_j^{\pm}|_{g_h} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |e_{\pm k}|_{\mathcal{L}_{BV}} \right]} \\ & a_1^{\pm} \dots a_i^{\pm} \otimes \mu_i(e_{\pm}, \dots, e_{\pm}) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}_{BV}^2 = 0$, we get the
homotopy Jacobi identities

$$\sum_{i_1+i_2=i} \sum_{\sigma \in \bar{S}_h(i, i)} (-1)^{i_2} \mathcal{F}(\sigma; l_1, \dots, l_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{i+1}(\mu_i(l_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, l_{\sigma(i)}), l_{\sigma(i+1)}, \dots, l_{\sigma(i)}) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall l_1, \dots, l_i \in \mathcal{L}_{BV}$. Here

$$l_1 \wedge \dots \wedge l_i = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; l_1, \dots, l_i)$$

$$l_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge l_{\sigma(i)}$$

The sum is over all (i, j, i) unshuffles σ which consist of permutations σ of $\{1, \dots, i\}$ so that the first i , and the last $i-i$, images of σ are ordered.

The pair $(\mathcal{L}_{BV}, \mu_i)$ is called an L_∞ -algebra. Since we have ω , this L_∞ -algebra comes w/ an inner product

$$\langle l_1, l_2 \rangle := (-1)^{k_1} \epsilon_{\mathcal{L}_{BV}}(\omega(\sigma(l_1), \sigma(l_2)))$$

where $\sigma : \mathcal{L}_{BV} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{BV}[1]$. This
 inner product has ghost number
 0 and L_0 -degree -3 and
 it's cyclic

$$\langle l_1, \mu_i(l_2, \dots, l_{i+1}) \rangle$$

$$= \pm \langle l_{i+1}, \mu_i(l_1, \dots, l_i) \rangle$$

Now, using \langle, \rangle

$$S = \sum_i \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \langle a, \mu_i(a, \dots, a) \rangle_{-3}$$

\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
 0 $\in \mathcal{L}_{BV,1}$ 1 $2-i$ i

$$\delta S = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(a) = \sum_i \frac{1}{i!} \mu_i(a, \dots, a)$$

$$= 0$$

$$= \mu_1(a) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2(a, a) + \dots$$

Take $c_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{BV,0}$

$$\delta a = \mu_1(c_0) + \mu_2(a, c_0) + \frac{1}{2!} \mu_3(a, a, c_0) + \dots$$

The action is invariant under these transformations, which we call gauge transformations

Take $c_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{BV,-1}$

$$\delta c_0 = \mu_1(c_1) + \mu_2(a, c_1) + \dots$$

These are called higher gauge transformations.

Take

$$S = \int H_{\mu\nu\lambda} H^{\mu\nu\lambda}$$

$$\rightarrow H_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu\lambda]}$$

$$\rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} \mapsto B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{[\mu} \Lambda_{\nu]} - \partial_{[\nu} \Lambda_{\mu]}$$

$$\rightarrow \Lambda_\mu \mapsto \Lambda_\mu - \partial_\mu \lambda$$

Proof: $[\delta_{c_0}, \delta_{c'_0}] a$

$$= \delta_{c'_0} a + \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} (-1)^i \mu_{3+i}(f, a, \dots, a, c_0, c'_0)$$

where

$$c_0'' = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \mu_{i+2}(a, \dots, a, c_0, c'_0)$$

In the BV formalism, we can write

$$S = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \langle a, \mu_i'(a, \dots, a) \rangle'$$

where

$$a = a^{\underline{I}} \otimes e_{\underline{I}} = \phi^{\underline{I}} \otimes e_{\underline{I}} + \phi_{\underline{I}}^{\dagger} \otimes e^{\underline{I}}$$

Example: Yang-Mills theory

$$c, A, b, \bar{c} \rightsquigarrow c^+, A^+, b^+, \bar{c}^+$$

$$c \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \partial c$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ b \\ \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial(\partial \cdot A) - \square A \\ \bar{c} \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(A^+) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \partial \cdot A^+$$

$$(c, c) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} [c, c]$$

$$(A, c) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} [A, c]$$

$$(A^+, c) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} [A^+, c]$$

$$(A, A) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} \partial \cdot [A, A] + [A; \partial A]$$

$$(c, c^+) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} [c, c^+]$$

$$(A, A^+) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} [A, A^+]$$

$$(A, A, A) \xrightarrow{\mu_3} [A; [A, A]]$$

$$\langle a, \mu_1(a) \rangle + \langle a, \mu_2(a, a) \rangle + \langle a, \mu_3(a, a, a) \rangle$$

$$\int (dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A]) \wedge * (dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A])$$

$$\int dA \wedge * dA \rightsquigarrow \int A \wedge \underbrace{d * dA}_{\mu_1}$$

$$\int dA \wedge *[A, A] \rightsquigarrow \int A \wedge d*[A, A]$$

$$\int [A, A] \wedge *[A, A] \rightsquigarrow \int A \wedge [A, *[A, A]]$$

Gauge fixing

Gauge fixing is implemented by a canonical transformation

$$(\Phi^{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm}^{\pm}) \mapsto (\hat{\Phi}^{\pm}, \hat{\Phi}_{\pm}^{\pm})$$

$$= (\Phi^{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm}^{\pm} + \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \Phi^{\pm}})$$

with Ψ of ghost number -1,

called the gauge fixing fermion.

We need to introduce fields (and their anti fields) of negative ghost number, e.g. the anti-ghost \bar{c} and the Nakanishi-Lautrup field b . In order not to change the Q_{BV} cohomology, they need to transform trivially

$$Q_{BV} \bar{c} = b, \quad Q_{BV} b = 0$$

$$Q_{BV} \bar{c}^\dagger = 0, \quad Q_{BV} b^\dagger = -\bar{c}^\dagger$$

The gauge fixed action

$$S_{BV}^{gf} [\hat{\Phi}^I, \hat{\Phi}_I^\dagger] := S_{BV} \left[\Phi^I, \Phi_I^\dagger + \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \Phi^I} \right]$$

$$\Psi = \int \bar{c} (b - \xi \partial A)$$

How do we do gauge fixing at the path integral level?

Note we define the BV Laplacian

$$\Delta F := (-)^{|\phi^I|_q + |F|_q} \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta \phi^I \delta \phi^I}$$

Consider

$$Z_\Psi = \int \omega(\phi, \phi^+) \delta(\phi^+ - \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \phi^I})$$

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{qBV}^t[\phi, \phi^+]}$$

measure compatible w/ ω

quantum BV action

$$S_{qBV}^t |_{t=0} = S_{BV}$$

In order for Z_{Ψ} to be independent of Ψ , i.e. $Z_{\Psi+\delta\Psi} = Z_{\Psi}$. This boils down to requiring

$$\Delta e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}}} = 0$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\{S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}}, S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}}\} - 2i\hbar \Delta S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}} = 0$$

This called the quantum master equation.

Define

$$Q_{\text{qBV}} := \{S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}}, S_{\text{qBV}}^{\text{t}}\} - 2i\hbar \Delta$$

Note $Q_{\text{qBV}}^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ quantum master eq.

Now we get

$$Q_{\text{gsv}} \alpha = - \sum_{\substack{L \geq 0 \\ i \geq 1}} \frac{\hbar^L}{i!} M'_{i,L}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)$$

The $M'_{i,L}$ are the higher products of what is known as a quantum L_∞ -algebra, and $Q_{\text{gsv}}^2 = 0$, yields a quantum generalisation of the homotopy Jacobi identities.

Morphisms of L_∞ -Algebras

Goal: generalise a morphism of Lie algebras to L_∞ -algebras

Given (\mathcal{X}, μ_i) and $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mu}_i)$.

A \wedge morphism $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ it's
(closed)

$$\phi_i : \underbrace{\mathcal{X} \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}}_{i\text{-times}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$$

of degree $1-i$ and totally graded
anti-symmetric, for $i \geq 0$.

$$\phi_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_1$$

is constant map

$$0 = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i!} \tilde{\mu}_i(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_0)$$

i.e. ϕ_0 is a Maurer-Cartan element.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i(\mu_i(l_i)) &= \tilde{\mu}_i(\phi_i(l_i)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j!} \tilde{\mu}_{i+1}(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_0, \phi_i(l_i)) \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$\tilde{\mu}_i \phi_0(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i)$$

$$:= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} \tilde{\mu}_{i+j}(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_0, \tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i)$$

for ϕ_0 a Maurer-Cartan element in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

then $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu}_i \phi_0)$ is an L_∞ -algebra.

A curved morphism (\mathcal{L}, μ_i) and

$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu}_i)$ is the same as a morphism

(i.e. $\phi_0 \equiv 0$) between (\mathcal{L}, μ_i)

and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu}_i \phi_0)$

How do Maurer-Cartan elements
behave under morphisms?

Suppose $\Phi: (\mathcal{L}, \mu_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\mu}_i)$

Pick $a \in \mathcal{L}$, and consider

$$\tilde{a} = \phi_0 + \phi_1(a) + \frac{1}{2} \phi_2(a, a) + \dots$$

$$\text{Then } = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \phi_i(a, \dots, a)$$

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i!} \tilde{\mu}_i(\tilde{a}, \dots, \tilde{a})$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \phi_{i+1}(a, \dots, a, f)$$

If now $a \mapsto a + \delta_{c_0} a$ and

$\tilde{a} \mapsto \tilde{a} + \delta_{\tilde{c}_0} \tilde{a}$. If

$$\tilde{c}_0 = \phi_1(c_0) + \phi_2(a, c_0) + \dots \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0$$

Then

$$\delta_{\tilde{c}_0} \tilde{a} = - \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \phi_{i+2}(a, \dots, a, f, c_0)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \phi_{i+1}(\delta_{c_0} a, a, \dots, a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!} \phi_i(a + \delta_{c_0} a, \dots, a + \delta_{c_0} a)$$

$$= \tilde{a} + \delta_{\tilde{c}_0} \tilde{a} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i!} \Phi_{i+2}(a, \dots, a, f, c_0)$$

Hence gauge equivalence classes of Maurer Cartan elements are mapped to gauge equivalence classes of Maurer Cartan elements.

Remember that $\mu_1^2 = 0$. We can therefore consider the cohomology

$$H^{\bullet}_{\mu_1}(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H^k_{\mu_1}(\mathcal{X})$$

$$H^k_{\mu_1}(\mathcal{X}) := \frac{\ker \mu_1|_{\mathcal{X}_k}}{\text{im } \mu_1|_{\mathcal{X}_{k-1}}}$$

A curved morphism $\phi : (\mathcal{X}, \mu_1) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mu}_1)$ is called a quasi-isom. whenever ϕ_* induces an isomorphism

on cohomologies. i.e.

$$H_{\mu_1}^0(\mathcal{L}) \cong H_{\hat{\mu}_1, \phi_0}^0(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$$

Then there is a bijection between the moduli spaces of solutions to the Maurer Cartan equations.

Two theories are physically equivalent whenever there is a quasi isom. between their underlying L_∞ algebras.

Then:

- Every L_∞ algebra is quasi-isomorphic to an L_∞ -algebra with $\mu_1=0$, this is called the minimal model
- Every L_∞ algebra is quasi-

isomorphic to one where $\mu_{i \geq 3} = 0$, and this is called strictification.

Tree-level scattering

There is an L_∞ -structure on $H_{\mu_1}(\mathcal{L}_{SV})$
 $=: \mathcal{L}_{SV}^\circ$ with products μ_i°

$$h \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{L}_{SV}, \mu_1) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \end{matrix} (\mathcal{L}_{SV}^\circ, 0)$$

where h is called the contracting homotopy of degree -1 s.t.

$$1 = \mu_1 h + h \mu_1 + e p$$

$$p e = 1$$

$$p h = h e = h^2 = 0$$

$$p \mu_1 = 0 = \mu_1 e$$

In the Chevalley - Eilenberg picture

$$H_0 \hookrightarrow \left(C^\infty(\mathcal{L}_{BV}[\hbar]), Q_{BV,0} \right) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{E_0} \\ \xleftarrow{P_0} \end{array} \left(C^\infty(\mathcal{L}_{BV}^0[\hbar]), 0 \right)$$

\uparrow
 free part

If we regard the non-linear part as

$$\delta := Q_{BV} - Q_{BV,0}$$

then the homological perturbation lemma guarantees that

$$H \hookrightarrow \left(C^\infty(\mathcal{L}_{BV}[\hbar]), Q_{BV} \right) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{E} \\ \xleftarrow{P} \end{array} \left(C^\infty(\mathcal{L}_{BV}^0[\hbar]), Q_{BV}^0 \right)$$

$$\bar{E} = E_0 (1 + \delta H_0)^{-1} \quad P = P_0 - H \delta P_0$$

$$H = H_0 (1 + \delta H_0)^{-1} \quad Q_{BV}^0 = E \delta P_0$$

The relation for $Q_{\beta\nu}^{\circ}$ is a recursion relation (in the perturbation), which yields the formulae μ_i° on $\chi_{\beta\nu}^{\circ}$.

Then, for $a_1^{\circ}, \dots, a_n^{\circ} \in H_{\mu_1}^{\prime}(\chi_{\beta\nu}^{\circ})$ the tree-level scattering amplitudes are given by

$$A_n = \langle a_1^{\circ}, \mu_{n-1}^{\circ}(a_2^{\circ}, \dots, a_n^{\circ}) \rangle$$