Microscopic description of induced fission based on the generator coordinate method

"Fundamentals in density functional theory (DFT2022)" YITP Kyoto December 12, 2022

K. Uzawa(Kyoto U.)

Collaborators:

K. Hagino(Kyoto U.) G.F. Bertsch(U. of Washington)

Introduction: Induced Fission



M. Bender et al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 47 113002 (2020) .

Phenomenological model: The statistical model, Transport theoretical approach ... **Microscopic approach**: Density Functional Theory or Generator Coordinate Method

Introduction: Induced Fission





Phenomenological model: The statistical model, Transport theoretical approach ... **Microscopic approach**: Density Functional Theory or Generator Coordinate Method

Introduction: Induced Fission



Phenomenological model: The statistical model, Transport theoretical approach ... **Microscopic approach**: Density Functional Theory or Generator Coordinate Method

Motivation

Understanding of microscopic mechanism of fission & Unified theory for the various fission phenomena (induced fission, cluster decay, and so on)

Approach based on GCM and CI(configuration interaction)

Advantages

✓Treat spontaneous and induced fission in the same framework

✓ Combination with reaction theories (K-matrix theory, R-matrix theory...)

Extension of the GCM ansatz

In GCM, we superpose mean-field wavefunction $|\Phi(Q)
angle$

 $|\Psi\rangle = \int dQ f(Q) |\Phi(Q)\rangle$

We extend GCM ansatz and superpose excited states $|\Phi_i(Q)\rangle = \prod_{ph} a_p^{\dagger} a_h |\Phi(Q)\rangle$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i} \int dQ f_i(Q) |\Phi_i(Q)\rangle$$

excited states *i* at each O



1. Superpose mean field wave function (GCM ansatz)

 $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i} \int dQ f_i(Q) |\Phi_i(Q)\rangle$



1. Superpose mean field wave function (GCM ansatz)

 $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i} \int dQ f_i(Q) |\Phi_i(Q)\rangle$





1. Superpose mean field wave function (GCM ansatz)

 $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i} \int dQ f_i(Q) |\Phi_i(Q)\rangle$

2. Calculate GCM kernel and decay width Γ $N(Q,Q')_{i,j} = \langle \Phi_i(Q) | \Phi_j(Q') \rangle$ $H(Q,Q')_{i,j} = \langle \Phi_i(Q) | \hat{H} | \Phi_j(Q') \rangle$

$$\Pi(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{Q})_{i,j} - \langle \Psi_i(\mathcal{Q}) | \Pi |$$
$$(\Gamma_j)_{kk'} = \gamma_j N_{kj} N_{k'j}$$

3. Calculate Green's function

$$G(E) = (H - i\Gamma/2 - EN)^{-1}$$



4. Obtain transmission coefficient $T_{ab}(E)$ using the Datta formula

$$T_{ab}(E) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_a G(E) \Gamma_b G^{\dagger}(E))$$

 $T_{ab} \equiv \left| S_{a,b} \right|^2$

Transition probability from channel *a* to *b*

Neutron absorption (channel *a*) Formation of the compound nucleus

Fission or Capture (channel *b*)



Hamiltonian and model space

$$H = V(Q) + H_{ph} + H_{ran} + H_{pair}$$

Fission barrier

$$V(Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & (Q = -1, 1) \\ B_h(>0) & (Q = 0) \end{cases}$$

Particle-hole excitation

$$H_{ph} = d \sum_{\alpha: n_{\alpha} > 0} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} + d \sum_{\alpha: n_{\alpha} \le 0} a_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$$



Hamiltonian and model space

$$H = V(Q) + H_{ph} + H_{ran} + H_{pair}$$



Neutron width :

$$(\Gamma_n)_{kk'} = \gamma_n \Sigma_{i:Q=-1} N_{ik} N_{ik'}$$

Fission width:

$$\left(\Gamma_{f}\right)_{kk'} = \gamma_{f} \Sigma_{i:\mathbf{Q}=1} N_{ik} N_{ik'}$$

Capture width:

 $(\Gamma_c)_{kk'} = \gamma_c \Sigma_{i:Q=-1} N_{ik} N_{ik'}$

Q = -1 : compound nucleus $\Rightarrow \Gamma_n$, Γ_c

$$Q = 1$$
 : fission doorway states $\Rightarrow \Gamma_f$



Overlap kernel

GCM basis $|Q\rangle$ is not orthogonal basis

Overlap kernel N(Q, Q') represents the size of non-orthogonality

$$\langle Q|Q'\rangle = N(Q,Q') = \exp(-\lambda(Q-Q')^2)$$

Applying generalized Wick's theorem to the overlap between excited states



Result 1. Effects of the pairing on the induced fission

In low energy induced fission, pairing does not completely vanish

Result 2. Effects of the ono-orthogonal basis

DFT generates non-orthogonal GCM basis

(c.f. R-matrix approach by Lynn)



PROTON YIELDS (%)

Nucl. Phys. A 502, 213 (1989).

Result 1. Effects of Pairing

Calculate $T_{n,f}(E)$ with different G

 $H_{pair} = -G \mathbf{P}^{\dagger} \mathbf{P}$

For simplicity, we use orthogonal basis here

Pairing strength : $0 \le G \le 0.05$ MeV

Random interaction : $v_{np} = 0.03 \text{ MeV}$

Barrier height : $B_h = 4 \text{ MeV}$

Energy scale : d = 1 MeV

Decay width : $\gamma_n = 0.001$ MeV, $\gamma_f = 0.1$ MeV



Energy averaged transmission coefficient $\langle T_{n,f} \rangle$

$$\langle T_{n,f} \rangle = \frac{1}{1 \text{MeV}} \int_{E^* - 0.5}^{E^* + 0.5} T(E) \, dE$$

• w/o random interaction:

⇒Pairing increase fission probability

• w random interaction (realistic):

⇒Pairing competes with random interaction



Result 2. Effects of the non-orthogonality

Non-orthogonality enhances fission probability?

$$\langle Q|Q'\rangle = N(Q,Q') = \exp(-\lambda(Q-Q')^2)$$

Pairing strength: G = 0.05 MeV Random interaction : $v_{np} = 0.03$ MeV Barrier height : $B_h = 4$ MeV



E (MeV)

Relation between $\langle T_{n,f} \rangle$ and barrier height B_h

Overlap parameter $\lambda = 2$

w residual interaction w/o residual interaction



Summary

Conclusion

- Apply GCM+CI approach to the barrier transmission problem
- Pairing increases fission probability and competes random interaction
- Non-orthogonality of the basis increases the transmission coefficient

Future perspectives

- Compare with the B-W theory and justify the transition states hypothesis
- Realistic calculation with the basis obtained using DFT