Development of density functional theory for superconductors: recent progress

Ryosuke AKASHI (明石遼介) QST(量子科学技術研究開発機構) (office in TITech, Ookayama)

RA and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 057006 (2013); K .Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, RA, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 214515 (2020); RA, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 104510 (2022).

Research scope

Mission: Making electronic materials accurately calculable



Perfect magnetic repulsion



From Wikipedia "superconductivity"

Zero resistivity



Perfect magnetic repulsion





Pairing is caused by phonons

From Wikipedia "superconductivity"



Pairing is caused by phonons

From Wikipedia "superconductivity"

Phonon mechanism for Cooper pairing



Phonon mechanism for Cooper pairing

Origin of the pairing interaction

An electron attract ions (= exciting phonons)



Phonon mechanism for Cooper pairing

Origin of the pairing interaction

The electron flies away, but the ion distortion lasts, which in turn attracts another electron. (=phonon absorption)



Essential ingredients of accurate SC theory

Electrons:

KS eigenstates are <u>plausible</u> single particle states.

Not exact



Essential ingredients of accurate SC theory



Exact harmonic eigenmodes are in principle available.

Essential ingredients of accurate SC theory



Migdal-Eliashberg theory for phonon SC

A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 34, 1438 (1958); G. M. Eliashberg, *ibid.* **38**, 966 (1960); J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity* (Benjamin, NY, 1964)

$$H = H_{\rm el} + H_{\rm ph} + H_{\rm el-ph} + H_{\rm el-el}$$

Green's function (amplitude of creation/annihilation process)

$$\mathbf{G}_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle Tc_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}(t)c_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle & -\langle Tc_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}(t)c_{n-\mathbf{k}-\sigma}(0)\rangle \\ -\langle Tc_{n-\mathbf{k}-\sigma}^{\dagger}(t)c_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger}(0)\rangle & -\langle Tc_{n-\mathbf{k}-\sigma}^{\dagger}(t)c_{n-\mathbf{k}-\sigma}(0)\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{pmatrix} G_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) & F_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) \\ F_{n\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) & -G_{n\mathbf{k}-\sigma}(-t) \end{pmatrix}$$

When anomalous components (F) become nonzero, system becomes superconducting.

Migdal-Eliashberg theory for phonon SC

A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 34, 1438 (1958); G. M. Eliashberg, *ibid.* **38**, 966 (1960); J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity* (Benjamin, NY, 1964)

$$H = H_{\rm el} + H_{\rm ph} + H_{\rm el-ph} + H_{\rm el-el}$$

Green's function (amplitude of creation/annihilation process)

$$\mathbf{G}_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} G_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) & F_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) \\ F_{n\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) & -G_{n\mathbf{k}-\sigma}(-t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Dyson equation

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_0^{-1} - \mathbf{\Sigma}$$

Approximate self energy



electron emit/absorb phonon

Migdal-Eliashberg theory for phonon SC

A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 34, 1438 (1958); G. M. Eliashberg, *ibid.* **38**, 966 (1960); J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of superconductivity* (Benjamin, NY, 1964)

$$H = H_{\rm el} + H_{\rm ph} + H_{\rm el-ph} + H_{\rm el-el}$$

Green's function (amplitude of creation/annihilation process)

$$\mathbf{G}_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} G_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) & F_{n\mathbf{k}}(t) \\ F_{n\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) & -G_{n\mathbf{k}-\sigma}(-t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Dyson equation

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_0^{-1} - \mathbf{\Sigma}$$

Approximate self energy



electrons interact via screened Coulomb

First-principles Migdal-Eliashberg theory

A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 34, 1438 (1958); G. M. Eliashberg, *ibid.* 38, 966 (1960); J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity (Benjamin, NY, 1964)



Dyson equation

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_0^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

Approximate self energy



First-principles superconducting calc.

- 1, Calculate el and ph state by KS
- 2, Solve the Dyson eq.

First-principles Migdal-Eliashberg theory

A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. 34, 1438 (1958); G. M. Eliashberg, *ibid.* 38, 966 (1960); J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity (Benjamin, NY, 1964)



Dyson equation

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_0^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

Approximate self energy



First-principles superconducting calc.

1 Calculate el and phistate by KS No one in this 60 years has faithfully solved ME equation with real KS basis!

What is difficult for faithful ME equations?



What is difficult for faithful ME equations?



Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005.



Typical phonon mediated superconductors

Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005; Floris et al., 2005; Sanna et al., 2007.



High-pressure sulfur hydride

RA. Kawamura, Tuneyuki, Nomura and Arita, Phys. Rev. B 91, 224513 (2015)



Extension to electronic fluctuations?

1, Extensions of DFT for superconductors

2, Faithful solution of the Migdal-Eliashberg eqs.

Extensions of DFT for superconductors

DFT for superconductors (SCDFT) Oliveira, Gross, Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2430 (1988); Luders, Marques, Gross et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).

Ab initio Hamiltonian for normal state electrons

$$H = T_e + U_{ee} + V_e$$

$$T_e : \text{Electrons, kinetic term} \qquad V_e : \text{one-body potential term}$$

$$U_{ee} : \text{e-e, interaction term}$$

$$n(r) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(r) \rangle$$

Normal-state Kohn-Sham Eq.

$$\left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_0^e(r) - \mu \right] \varphi(r) = \epsilon_i \varphi(r)$$

Kohn-Sham potential (functional of electron density)

DFT for superconductors (SCDFT) Oliveira, Gross, Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2430 (1988); Luders, Marques, Gross et al., Phys. Rev. B 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).

Ab initio Hamiltonian for superconductivity

$$\begin{split} H &= T_e + U_{ee} + T_n + U_{nn} + U_{en} (+\Delta) \\ T_e & : \text{Electrons, kinetic term} \\ U_{ee} & : \text{e-e, interaction term} \\ U_{en} & : \text{e-n, interaction term} \\ \end{split}$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \qquad \text{:electron normal density}$$

$$\Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) = \langle \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \hat{\Phi}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \rangle \qquad \text{:nuclei density}$$

$$\chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \qquad \text{:electron anomalous density}$$

DFT for superconductors (SCDFT) Oliveira, Gross, Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2430 (1988); $H = T_e + U_{ee} + T_n + U_{nn} + U_{en} (+\Delta)$ $\int \frac{n(r) = \sum \langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(r) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(r) \rangle}{\Gamma(\underline{R}) = \langle \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\underline{R}) \hat{\Phi}(\underline{R}) \rangle} \frac{n(r) = \langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma}(r) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(r) \rangle}{\chi(r, r') = \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(r) \hat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(r') \rangle}$

Kohn-Sham Bogoliubov-deGennes Eq. + Born-Oppenheimer Eq.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{e}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu \end{bmatrix} u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \int \Delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}') = E_{n}u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{e}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu \end{bmatrix} v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \int \Delta_{0}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}') = E_{n}v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} -\frac{\nabla_{R_{\alpha}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{n}(\underline{\boldsymbol{R}}) \end{bmatrix} \Phi(\underline{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \mathcal{E}_{n}\Phi(\underline{\boldsymbol{R}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $v_0^e(r) \Delta_0(r, r')$ $v_0^n(\underline{R})$ {n, χ , Γ } dependent Kohn-Sham potentials

The "gap" equation <u>Self-consistent KS-BdG Eq. + BO Eq.</u>

M. Lüders, et al., PRB 72, 024545 (2005)

Decoupling of dependencies

$$\begin{split} v_0^e([n,\chi,\Gamma];\mathbf{r}) &\approx v_0^e([n^{\mathrm{GS}},\Gamma_{\underline{\mathbf{R}}_0}];\mathbf{r}) \\ v_0^n([n,\chi,\Gamma];\underline{\mathbf{R}}) &\approx v_0^n([n^{\mathrm{GS}},\Gamma];\underline{\mathbf{R}}). \end{split}$$

Successive calculations

1, Normal-state Kohn-Sham Eq.

$$-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_0^e(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu \bigg] \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{r}) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i(\boldsymbol{r})$$

2, Normal-state BO Eq. (Harmonic level in practice)

$$\left[\sum_{\alpha} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{n}(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}})\right] \Phi(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}}) = \mathcal{E}_{n} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}})$$

3, Equation for anomalous density

The "gap" equation Lüd

Lüders, Marques, Gross et al., PRB **72**, 024545; 024546 (2005).



Exchange-correlation kernels



Free energy for phonon-mediated ex-corr kernels



The "gap" equation

Lüders, Marques, Gross et al., PRB 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).



Exchange-correlation kernels



Free energy for phonon-mediated ex-corr kernels



Г

R M

Х

R

-20

Г

ХМ



First-principles calculation method for superconducting T_c

Electron correlation

Conventional phonon SC

DFT for superconductors Luders, Marques et al., 2005; Sanna, Pellegrini, Gross 2020. Intermediate, ph+el. fluctuation

Migdal-Eliashberg theory

Sano, Koretsune, Tadano, RA, Arita, 2016; Sanna *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2020 Plasma oscillation RA and Arita, 2013; Davydov et al., 2020.

Spin fluctuation Essenberger et al., 2014.

Unconventional SC Unprecedented (cf. Downfolding)

First-principles calculation method for superconducting T_c

Electron correlation















RA and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 057006 (2013); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 061016 (2014);



Plasmon pairing cooperates with phonons, enhancing Tc.This enhancement is more or less ubiquitous.Cf. hydrides: RA et al., Phys. Rev. B 91, 224513 (2015).

Spin fluctuation

<u>Unconventional</u>: Possible origin of pairing D. J. Scalapino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1383 (2012); F. Essenberger, et al., PRB **94**, 014503 (2016)

<u>Conventional</u>:

Suppression of pairing due to exchange effect

N. F. Berk and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 433 (1966); H. Rietschel and H. Winter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1256 (1979).



Ex. effect is significant in transition metals having *d*-electrons

Interaction via spin and charge fluctuations

C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979); G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)

RPA (no spin dep.) - $W(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}$ + ...

Interaction via spin and charge fluctuations

C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979); G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB **32**, 2156 (1985); F. Essenberger et al., PRB **90**, 214504 (2014) Spin dependent interaction



Interaction via spin and charge fluctuations

C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979); G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB **32**, 2156 (1985); F. Essenberger et al., PRB **90**, 214504 (2014) Spin dependent interaction



$$V(G_{++}+G_{+-}) \simeq -\frac{\delta^2 E_{\rm xc}^{\rm LSDA}}{\delta n \delta n} \qquad V(G_{++}-G_{+-}) \simeq \frac{\delta^2 E_{\rm xc}^{\rm LSDA}}{\delta m \delta m}$$

J. J. Hamlin, Physica C 514, 59 (2015).



L														
	Ce 5 GPa 1.7 K	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu 142 GPa 2.75 K	Gd	Тb	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu 174 GPa 12.4 K
т,	Th =1.37 K	Ра тс=1.4 К	U 1.2 GPa 2.4 K	Np	Pu	Am 6 GPa 2.2 K T _c =0.79 K	Cm	Bk	Cf	Es	Fm	Md	No	Lr

Calculated data from S. Y. Savrasov and D. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16487 (1996)							
	V	Nb					
λ	1.19	1.26					
$\omega_{ m ln}(m K)$	245	185					

Tc (Nb)>Tc (V) by spin fluctuations?

5.40

 $T_{\rm c}^{\rm exp}({\rm K})$

H. Rietschel and H. Winter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1256 (1979).

9.25

K. Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, RA, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B 102, 214515 (2020)

Kentaro Tsutsumi



<u>Yuma Hizume</u>



Mitsuaki Kawamura (ISSP)



c.f.: M. Kawamura, Y. Hizume and T. Ozaki, Phys. Rev. B 101, 134511 (2020)

K. Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, R. Akashi, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B 102, 214515 (2020)



K. Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, R. Akashi, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B 102, 214515 (2020)



K. Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, R. Akashi, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B 102, 214515 (2020)



K. Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, R. Akashi, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B 102, 214515 (2020)



Spin fluctuation effect is indeed responsible for Tc (Nb)> Tc (V).

Faithful solution of the Migdal-Eliashberg eqs.

Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005;



Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005;

Sanna, Pellegrini, Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 057001 (2020)

Agreement is not perfect (and yet under investigation)

Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005;

SCDFT ex-corr free energy

Unrenormalized KS propagators!

What is difficult for faithful ME equations?

What is difficult for faithful ME equations?

Decomposition to Pauli matrices

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv \frac{i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3}{\bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g}$$

Physically small (Schrieffer, 1964)

1, Static (instantaneous) screening

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv \underline{i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0} + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3$$

$$\xrightarrow{D}_{g \to G} + \overbrace{G}^{W} + \overbrace{G}^{Q} + \overbrace{G}^{W}_{g \to G} + \overbrace{G}^{W}_{g \to$$

Corresponding static SCDFT

Luders, Marques, Gross et al., 2005

Sanna Pellegrini, Gross, 2020 40 Ŀ Expt. \cdot • SCDFT • 30 Tc [K] • 10 • • H 0 9 AI $MgB_2 CaC_6$ Nb Та Pb

Direct solution

Sano, Koretsune, Tadano, RA, Arita, 2016

Sanna, Gross et al., 2018

Wang, Arita et al., 2020

2, +Plasmon assisted superconductivity

RA and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 057006 (2013); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 061016 (2014); Y. Takada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 45, 786 (1978)

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv \frac{i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3}{\bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g}$$

Superconducting DFT result

3, +Plasmonic self energy (Z term)

Davydov, Sanna, Gross et al., 2020

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{D}{\tilde{g}}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{W}{G}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{D}{\tilde{g}}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{W}{G}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{D}{\tilde{g}}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{W}{G}}_{\tilde{g}} + \underbrace{\frac{$$

A. Davydov et al., Phys. Rev. B 102, 214508 (2020)

The Tc enhancement is cancelled partially.

3, +Plasmonic self energy (Z term)

Davydov, Sanna, Gross et al., 2020

Issue summary

ME theory

No one solved this equation with first-principles Kohn-Sham states.

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv \frac{i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3}{\bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g}$$

The chi-W term is extremely demanding.

So is chi-W relevant?

Let's see a very simple system

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

Uniform electron gas (UEG)

- -Ions distribute as a constant medium
- -Electron wavefunctions are all plane waves
- -Good approximation for nearly uniform metals

What I have done:

Solved the Eliashberg equations for UEG with much reduced computational cost, to see the limiting behavior of the chi-term.

Model system

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

Electron: Uniform gas

$$H_{\rm el} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left(\frac{k^2}{2m} - \mu \right) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2} V(\mathbf{q}) c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q}\sigma_1} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}\sigma_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_2 \sigma_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1 \sigma_1}$$

Phonon: Einstein model

$$\frac{|e|ph \ coupling}{|g_{k,k'}^{\nu}|^2} = \frac{\lambda \omega_{\rm E}}{2N_{\rm F}} \qquad \qquad \frac{ph \ propagator}{D_{\nu}(k-k', i(\omega_j - \omega_{j'}))} = -\frac{2\omega_{\rm E}}{(\omega_j - \omega_{j'})^2 + \omega_{\rm E}^2}$$

<u>*W*^{el}: Random phase approximation</u>

$$W^{\rm el} = \frac{V(k - k')}{\varepsilon^{\rm RPA}(k - k', i(\omega_j - \omega_{j'}))}$$

Result: Inverse effective mass

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

Z and χ corrections cancel with each other. Well known in GW community L. Hedin, Phys. Rev. **139**, A796 (1965); . . .

Result: Pairing strength (Eliashberg's largest eigenvalue)

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

$$\phi = \phi^{\rm ph}[Z, \chi, \phi] + \phi^{\rm el}[Z, \chi, \phi]$$
$$\simeq \Lambda \phi$$

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline Parameter setting \\ \hline \lambda = 0.5 \\ \omega_{\rm E} = 0.01 \omega_{\rm plasmon}(r_s) \\ T = 0.05 \omega_{\rm E}(r_s) \end{array}$

Result: Pairing strength (Eliashberg's largest eigenvalue)

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

$$\phi = \phi^{\rm ph}[Z, \chi, \phi] + \phi^{\rm el}[Z, \chi, \phi]$$
$$\simeq \Lambda \phi$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline Parameter setting \\ \hline \lambda = 0.5 \\ \omega_{\rm E} = 0.01 \omega_{\rm plasmon}(r_s) \\ T = 0.05 \omega_{\rm E}(r_s) \end{array}$$

Z and χ corrections both suppress the pairing.

$$Tc \sim \exp(-\Lambda)$$

Results summary

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

$\frac{\text{Mass}}{m^*} = \frac{1 - \Delta_Z}{1 + \Delta_\chi}$

$$\frac{\underline{\text{Pairing}}}{\Lambda} = (1 - \Delta_Z) \left(1 + \Delta_\chi\right)$$

$$\Delta_{Z} = 1 - Z(k = k_{\rm F}, i\omega = 0)$$
$$\Delta_{\chi} = \frac{1}{k_{\rm F}} \left. \frac{\partial \chi(k, i\omega = 0)}{\partial k} \right|_{k = k_{\rm F}}$$

Both are $\sim O(0.1)$

Departure of mass and pairing

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

In the phononic case, they depend on the same factor

 $\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3$

<u>Mass</u>

 $m^* \simeq 1 + \lambda$

termed "mass enhancement"

Pairing

Cf. McMillan Tc formulaMcMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968);
Allen and Dynes, Phys. Rev. B 12, 905 (1975) $T_{\rm c} \sim \exp\left[-\frac{1+\lambda}{\lambda-\mu^*}\right]$

Departure of mass and pairing

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

Pairing renormalization is actually different from mass renorm. Coulomb pairing suppression is not detected as mass renormalization.

Results summary

RA, Phys. Rev. B 105, 104510 (2022)

$$\Sigma_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j) \equiv \frac{i\omega_j(1 - Z_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j))\sigma_0 + \phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_1 + \chi_{n\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_j)\sigma_3}{\bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{G}} + \bigcap_{\tilde{g}$$

	Static scr.	+phi_W ("plasmon")	+Z_W ("pl. self energy")	+chi_W ("pl. self energy")
Effective mass	•••	•••	+	-
Pairing strength	•••	+	-	-

-For accurate Tc both Z_W and chi_W are necessary.
-Pairing weakening by Z_W and chi_W are invisible from mass.
-Static screening approximation is accidentally accurate?

Conclusions

RA and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 057006 (2013); K .Tsutsumi, Y. Hizume, M. Kawamura, RA, and S. Tsuneyuki, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 214515 (2020); RA, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 104510 (2022).

Electron correlation

Plasmon and spin fluctuation effects are incorporated in SCDFT. -they ubiquitously enhance/suppress Tc of phonon superconductors

Eliashberg analysis shows that the Coulomb self energy effects cannot be ignored. -it hardly affects effective mass, but significantly reduces Tc -plasmonic pairing may be largely canceled?

Reviews on other topics

DFT fundamentals

Y. Nomura and RA, arXiv:2210.07647

Machine-learning DFT

R. Nagai and RA, arXiv:2206.15370