The origin of fast radio bursts implied from the cosmic stellarmass density evolution



Tetsuya Hashimoto (橋本哲也) (National Chung Hsing University)

Tomotsugu Goto, Bo Han Chen, Simon C.-C. Ho, Tiger Y.-Y. Hsiao, Yi Hang Valerie Wong, Alvina Y. L. On, Seong Jin Kim, Ece Kilerci-Eser, Kai-Chun Huang, Daryl Joe D. Santos, Ting-Yi Lu, Leo Y.-W. Lin, Cossas, K.-W. Wu, Kaustubha Sen, Chih-Teng Ling, Shotaro Yamasaki

YITP workshop Fast Radio Bursts and Cosmic Transients 7 June 2022

Outline

1. Introduction

2. FRB population analyses TH+2022, MNRAS, 511, 1961 TH+2020c, MNRAS, 498, 3927

3. Testing general relativity using FRBs TH+2021b, PhysRevD, 104, 124026 Sen, TH+2022, MNRAS, 509, 5636

4. A new telescope plan in Taiwan BURSTT Lin et al including TH in prep.



Dark energy

Missing baryon problem

General relativity



cf. Ilya/Ryuichi talks



Cosmic reionization

Dark matter



cf. Prof. Kumar's talk



Missing baryon problem

General relativity



Dark energy

Dark energy

Missing baryon problem

General relativity



cf. Ilya/Ryuichi talks



Cosmic reionization

Dark matter

The origin of FRBs





Problem -

<u>Previous research</u>: tried to 'localize' FRB positions in the sky to reveal their origins → didn't work well

Solution (this work) → We changed the point of view → focus on the history of FRBs (x10 more samples than before)



Introduction: possible FRB origins



Introduction: possible FRB origins



Introduction: Old vs Young



Introduction: Example (LGRBs)



Introduction: Non-repeating FRBs



Introduction: Non-repeating FRBs



Let's see the answer!



CHIME: ~500 non-repeating FRBs in 2021!

Introduction: Old vs Young



Our result: Non-repeater \rightarrow Old



FRB population analyses

Author	free from the z-evolution assumption?	test an old population scenario?	sample	homoge neous sample?	conclusion
TH+2022a	Yes	Yes	CHIME	Yes	Old pop.
Zhang&Zh ang 2022	No	Yes	CHIME	Yes	Old pop.
James+20 22	No	No	ASKAP/ Parkes	No	Young pop.
Arcus+20 21	No	Yes	ASKAP/ Parkes	No	Both young and no-evo pops.
Zhang+20 21	No	Yes	ASKAP/ Parkes	No	Both pops.
TH+2020c	Yes	Yes	Parkes	Yes	Old. pop.

Conclusion



x10 more samples than before

Non-repeater \rightarrow Old objects

TH+2022a

White dwarf Old neutron Old stellar-mass black hole (BH)

star

Credit: Mark Garlick

Credit: B. Kiziltan/T. Karacan.

 high scattering Cautions

Credit: Tetsuya

Hashimoto

faint bursts

missed by CHIME

Dark energy

Missing baryon problem

General relativity



cf. Ilya/Ryuichi talks



Cosmic reionization

Dark matter

The origin of FRBs



cf. Prof. Kumar's talk





Newtonian mechanics



General relativity (GR)



Isaac Newton Albert Einstein

Michelson-Morley experiment

> Speed of light = constant



Testing the speed of light with fast radio bursts (FRBs)

Einstein's weak equivalence principle (WEP)



General relativity assumes c1 = c2

Einstein's weak equivalence principle (WEP)



Introduction: FRB is the ideal laboratory

because they travel the cosmological distances, and we measure millisecond timescales eventually.



Introduction: FRB is the ideal laboratory

e.g. Minazzoli+2019

$$\Delta \gamma \coloneqq \gamma_{2} - \gamma_{1} < (\Delta t_{obs} - \Delta t_{DM}) \frac{6c^{3}}{\Omega_{m}H_{0}^{2}d_{S}^{3}}.$$
 (7)
Observed time lag Time lag due to the
between v_{1} and v_{2} dispersion Distance to the
source

$$\Delta t_{obs} - \Delta t_{DM} < \delta \Delta t_{DM}.$$
 (10) New

Observational uncertainty of the arrival time due to the uncertainty of dispersion measure

Results: the most accurate test than ever!

 $\Delta \gamma = \gamma 1 - \gamma 2$ for photons with v1 and v2, respectively $\Delta \gamma = \gamma 1 - \gamma 2 = 1 - 1 = 0$ if WEP is not violated



than previous works

Sen, TH et al. 2022 WEP with CHIME FRBs



Sen et a **Testing Einstein's Work Using Observations of Fast Radio Bursts** -12

Two recent papers use data from radio telescopes as a way of testing Einstein's Theory of General Relativity



Christopher Carroll Dec 5, 2021 · 5 min read *





Conclusion:





Sen, TH et al. 2022

A new method to constrain the WEP violation using FRBs

The most accurate test than ever:
 Old catalogue: three orders of magnitude better
 New CHIME: even one order of magnitude better

A future FRB telescope in Taiwan BURSTT

What are the bottlenecks?

- lack of localization capability

 e.g., CHIME ~ arcmin
 spec-z, host galaxy, progenitor etc.
- small FoV and low cadence

 e.g., CHIME: 5-10 min per day (<1% of the day)
 missing population of FRBs?
 expensive for follow-up telescopes
- mismatched distance

GWs, neutrinos, high-time resolution follow-up --> nearby Universe cf. Sota's and Yuu's talks

Future FRB telescope in Taiwan

Bustling Universe Radio Survey Telescope



Future FRB telescope in Taiwan

Bustling Universe Radio Survey Telescope



The world-best telescope to detect nearby FRBs

BURSTT will resolve the bottlenecks

- lack of localization capability BURSTT: sub-arcsecond e.g., CHIME ~ arcmin
- small FoV and low cadence BURSTT: 25 times better than that of CHIME e.g., CHIME: 5-10 min per day (<1% of the day)
- mismatch in distance

BURSTT: dedicated to the nearby Universe GWs, neutrinos, high-time resolution follow-up --> nearby Universe cf. Sota's and Yuu's talks

Predictions of BURSTT FRBs

Bustling Universe Radio Survey Telescope in Taiwan (BURSTT)

Number prediction of gravitationally lensed FRBs



Simon C.-C. Ho

Number prediction of Galactic FRB-like events



Conclusion: FRB science is exciting

Dark energy

Missing baryon problem

General relativity





Cosmic reionization

Dark matter

The origin of FRBs







Backup slides

Redshifts derived from dispersion measures

