# Is Cosmic Birefringence model-dependent?

# Lu Yin

asia pacific center for theoretical physics

Lu Yin, Joby Kochappan, Tuhin Ghosh, Bum-Hoon Lee. JCAP 10 (2023) 007. YITP long-term workshop Gravity and Cosmology 2024 01/29-03/01, 2024

### What is Cosmic Birefringence?

### The rotation of the plane of linear polarization of photons





J.R.Eskilt, E.Komatsu, PRD 106 (2022) 6

- **Cosmic birefringence** is a parity-violating phenomenon, which might indicate the new physics beyond the standard cosmology (ΛCDM).
- Traditional explanation involves an axion coupled to the EM tensor via a Chern-Simons coupling.
   Ni (1977); Turner & Widrow (1988)

the effective Lagrangian for axion electrodynamics is  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\theta\partial^{\mu}\theta - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{g_{a}\theta F_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}}_{F^{\mu\nu}}, \qquad (3.7)$ where  $g_{a}$  is a coupling constant of the order  $\alpha$ , and the vacuum angle  $\theta = \phi_{a}/f_{a}$  ( $\phi_{a} = axion$  field). The equations

• The axion can be dark matter or dark energy, which act as a "birefringence material" filling in our Universe



- E-mode : Polarisation directions are parallel or perpendicular to the wavenumber direction
- B-mode : Polarisation directions are 45 degrees tilted w.r.t the wavenumber direction



- E-mode : Polarisation directions are parallel or perpendicular to the wavenumber direction
- B-mode : Polarisation directions are 45 degrees tilted w.r.t the wavenumber direction

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\theta\partial^{\mu}\theta - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + g_{a}\theta F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\sum_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = -4\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$
Parity Odd

The Equation of Motion modified to

$$\left(-\omega_{\pm}^{2}+k^{2}\right)A_{\pm}(\eta)=0 \implies \left(-\omega_{\pm}^{2}+k^{2}\pm 4g_{a}k\theta'\right)A_{\pm}(\eta)=0$$

Different phase velocities for RH(+) and LH(-) photon polarizations

E-modes  

$$\frac{\omega_{\pm}}{k} \simeq 1 \pm \frac{2g_a \theta'}{k}$$
B-modes

Carroll, Field & Jackiw (1990); Carroll & Field (1991); Harari & Sikivie (1992)

• CB rotation angle 
$$\beta = -2g_a \int_{t_{emitted}}^{t_{obs}} dt \dot{\theta} = 2g_a \left[\theta(t_e) - \theta(t_o)\right]$$



E-B mixing by rotation of the linear polarization plane in CMB

$$E_{\ell}^{o} = E_{\ell} \cos(2\beta) - B_{\ell} \sin(2\beta)$$
$$B_{\ell}^{o} = E_{\ell} \sin(2\beta) + B_{\ell} \cos(2\beta)$$

$$E_{\ell}^{\rm o} \pm iB_{\ell}^{\rm o} = (E_{\ell} \pm iB_{\ell})e^{\pm 2i\beta}$$

## Cosmic Birefringence in the CMB

### <E\*B> correlation measures

$$C_{\ell}^{EB} = \frac{1}{2}\sin(4\beta)\left(\tilde{C}_{\ell}^{EE} - \tilde{C}_{\ell}^{BB}\right)$$

EB is generated by the difference between EE and BB spectra

If  $\beta$  = 0, the  $C_l^{EB} = 0$ , EB power spectra is 0.





Observed EB Power Spectrum of Planck

### The past measurements

### Measured of $\alpha + \beta$

- $\alpha + \beta = -6.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ deg}$  (Feng et al. 2006) first measurement
- $\alpha+\beta = -1.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ deg}$  (WMAP Collaboration, Komatsu et al. 2009; 2011)
- $\alpha + \beta = 0.55 \pm 0.82$  deg (QUaD Collaboration, Wu et al. 2009)

#### • ...

- $\alpha + \beta = 0.31 \pm 0.05 \text{ deg}$  (Planck Collaboration 2016)
- $\alpha + \beta = -0.61 \pm 0.22 \text{ deg}$  (POLARBEAR Collaboration 2020)
- Cosmic birefringence
- $\alpha+\beta = 0.63 \pm 0.04 \text{ deg}$  (SPT Collaboration, Bianchini et al. 2020)
- $\alpha+\beta = 0.12 \pm 0.06 \text{ deg}$  (ACT Collaboration, Namikawa et al. 2020)
- $\alpha+\beta = 0.07 \pm 0.09$  deg (ACT Collaboration, Choi et al. 2020)

### The past measurements

Now including the estimated systematic errors on  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 

- $\beta = -6.0 \pm 4.0 \pm ??$  deg (Feng et al. 2006)
- $\beta = -1.1 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.5$  deg (WMAP Collaboration, Komatsu et al. 2009; 2011)
- $\beta = 0.55 \pm 0.82 \pm 0.5$  deg (QUaD Collaboration, Wu et al. 2009)
- ...
- $\beta = 0.31 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.28$  deg (Planck Collaboration 2016)
- $\beta = -0.61 \pm 0.22 \pm ??$  deg (POLARBEAR Collaboration 2020)
- $\beta = 0.63 \pm 0.04 \pm$ ?? deg (SPT Collaboration, Bianchini et al. 2020)
- $\beta = 0.12 \pm 0.06 \pm ??$  deg (ACT Collaboration, Namikawa et al. 2020)
- $\beta = 0.07 \pm 0.09 \pm$ ?? deg (ACT Collaboration, Choi et al. 2020)

# Important question: Is Cosmic Birefringence model-dependent ?

The pseudoscalar fields of early dark energy

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\right)^2 - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g\phi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$V_{\rm EDE}(\phi) = m^2 f^2 [1 - \cos(\phi/f)]^n \qquad V_{\rm R\&R}(\phi) = V_0 \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{2n} \qquad V_{\alpha}(\phi) = V_0 \frac{(1 + \alpha_2)^{2n} \tanh\left(\phi/\sqrt{6\alpha_1}M_{\rm Pl}\right)^{2p}}{\left[1 + \alpha_2 \tanh\left(\phi/\sqrt{6\alpha_1}M_{\rm Pl}\right)\right]^{2n}}$$

UL Early Dark Energy model

Rocl 'n' Roll model

V. Poulin et al. (2018)

P. Agrawal et al.(2019)

lpha -attractor model

M. Braglia et al. (2020)

The pseudoscalar fields of early dark energy

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} \phi \right)^{2} - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g \phi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$V_{\text{EDE}}(\phi) = m^{2} f^{2} [1 - \cos(\phi/f)]^{n} \qquad V_{\text{R\&R}}(\phi) = V_{0} \left( \frac{\phi}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \right)^{2n} \qquad V_{\alpha}(\phi) = V_{0} \frac{(1 + \alpha_{2})^{2n} \tanh\left(\phi/\sqrt{6\alpha_{1}}M_{\text{Pl}}\right)^{2n}}{\left[1 + \alpha_{2} \tanh\left(\phi/\sqrt{6\alpha_{1}}M_{\text{Pl}}\right)\right]^{2n}}$$



The pseudoscalar fields of early dark energy



### Difference in EE and EB power spectra



### Difference in EE and EB power spectra



EB power spectra is an important smoking gun for different early dark energy models, beyond the EE spectra

$$\pm 2\Delta_{P,l}(\eta_0,q) = -\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\frac{(l+2)!}{(l-2)!}} \int_0^{\eta_0} \mathrm{d}\eta \tau' e^{-\tau(\eta)} \Pi(\eta,q) \times \frac{j_\ell(x)}{x^2} e^{\pm 2i\beta(\eta)},$$
  
new term  
$$C_\ell^{XY} = 4\pi \int \mathrm{d}(\ln q) \mathcal{P}_s(q) \Delta_{X,l}(q) \Delta_{Y,l}(q),$$

### Best fit results form Planck observation



Parameter	$\Lambda \text{CDM}$	$\alpha$ -attractor	Rock 'n' Roll
$gM_{Pl}$	0	0.16	0.12
$\beta$ at CMB	0	$0.02^{\circ}$	0.15°

- 1. value of Chern-Simons constant term is model-dependent
- 2. current data can not distinguish the two models

## The rotation of the plane results from best fit of g





Parameter	$\Lambda \mathrm{CDM}$	$\alpha$ -attractor	Rock 'n' Roll
$gM_{Pl}$	0	0.16	0.12
$\beta$ at CMB	0	$0.02^{\circ}$	$0.15^{\circ}$

The value of g is model dependent.

Moreover, the rotation angle  $\beta$  is also highly model dependent.

## Conclutions

- **Cosmic Birefringence** is a remarkable parity-violating effect, which is beyond the standard cosmology prediction;
- Recently, new breakthrough in CMB data analysis leads to a hint towards a nonzero CB rotation angle,  $\beta = 0.34 \pm 0.09 \text{ deg}$  (68%CL; nearly full sky)
- We studied EB mode of Rock `n' Roll, and α -attractor scalar models for the first time. The value of g is model dependent. Moreover, the rotation angle β is also highly model dependent.
- The EB spectra alone can <u>not</u> distinguish the two models based on current data. It is an important smoking gun for different early dark energy models, beyond the EE spectra.