Jet Gravitational Waves

Ofek Birnholtz, Eli Leiderschneider, Dimitry Ofengeim

e GRB **TReX MultiJets**

Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symposium February 2024, Kyoto Japan

Tsvi Piran

- Jets are everywhere
- GW from jet acceleration (and deceleration)
- Astrophysical sources and Limits
- Lunar Detectors
- (Lorentz Invariance Violation and 221009A)

Outline

Relativistic Jets are Everywhere

Many jets are transients



From: Mirabel and Rodriguez 2002

The EM or even neutrino signals arrive from large distances form the black hole. The acceleration regions are hidden.





AGN 3C219 in Radio

We CAN see directly jet acceleration

Acceleration of $\sim 10^{50}$ erg from rest to $c \rightarrow$ Jet-GW







A point source



One and two point source





One sided jet



The amplitude from one sided jet

One sided jet





Two sided jets





One or two jets







A single Accelerated Ring





1.5

The temporal structure



Decceleration





 $(2m\Gamma_{
m max}/r)$ 1.51.0 $\overset{\prime}{+}$ 0.5 $^{+}$ 0.0 -2







The temporal structure









$0.1 - 10 \ sec \Rightarrow 0.1 - 10 \ Hz$



We CAN measure Acceleration, Collimation, Composition





15

We CAN measure Acceleration, Collimation, Composition

 $0.1 - 10 \ sec \Rightarrow 0.1 - 10 \ Hz$

GWs



Birnholtz & TP 2013





Jet-GW Sources

 $h \approx \frac{GE}{c^4 d}$





10⁵¹erg; $z \gtrsim 0.05$ h~10⁻²⁴

Counts per Second





17

The first GRB



July 2nd 1967 by Vela 4a (noticed only in 1969) Published Klebsadel, Strong & Olson 1973





GRBs' light curves



Variable - very different light curves Time scale for a long GRB a few dozen se, for a short GRB 1-2 sec



Jet-GW Sources

 $h \approx \frac{GE}{c^4 d}$



Might be stronger than the GW from the collapse itself



10^{51} erg; $z \gtrsim 0.05$

10⁵¹erg; $d \approx 20Mpc$

h~10⁻²⁴ @0.1Hz

h~10⁻²² @0.1Hz





A Relativistic jet inside a star







The Jet is choked leaving a cocoon







The Jet is choked leaving a cocoon







The Jet is choked leaving a cocoon



Pais & TP, 2022













Evidence for Jets in SNe



SN2017iuk - 100,000 km/sec



Izzo et al, 2019

Jet-GW Sources

 $h \approx \frac{GE}{c^4 d}$

Might be stronger than the GW from the collapse itself





10^{51} erg; $z \gtrsim 0.05$

h~10⁻²⁴ @0.1Hz







10⁴⁷erg; Galactic, L-V-K frequency

h~10⁻²² @0.1Hz

h~10⁻²² @ kHz





Preparation for this GW detection

If we don't know how it looks, we won't know how to look. Once detected - it will be a revolution.



Lunar Gravitational Antenna







DECIHz detectors







Lunar GW Detectors



Gravitational-wave Lunar Observatory for Cosmology GLOC



Lunar Gravitational Waves Antenna LGWA





Lunar Seismic and Gravitational Antenna LSGA

Lunar GW Detectors





The Idea







Lunar Seismic and Gravitational Antenna

Lunar Seismic and Gravitational Antenna (LSGA) PI's S. Katsanevas EGO/UPC/APC, Co-PIs: P. Lognonné UPC/IPGP, S. DellAgnello INFN



S. Katsanevas **European Gravitational Observatory** Director Presentation to ELS2022, 25 May 2022

Stavros Katsanevas, Prof. Univ. Paris Cité (UPC) and France: director European Gravitational Observatory (EGO), Antoine Kouchner, Michael Punch Aline Aloni, (UPC) and CNRS/IN2P3, <u>é</u>Prof. UPC and Institut de la Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP), Taichi Kawamura, Sebastien de Raucourt, Eléonore Stutzmann, Pascal Bernard, Nobuaki Fuji, Jean-Philippe, Métaxian (UPC and IPGP), Raphael Garcia, Institut Supérieur de l'Aéronautique et de l'Espace, Supaéro, Toulouse, Anne-Amy Klein, Christian Chardonnet, CNRS/Univ. Paris 13, Paul-Eric Pottie, Observatoire de Paris, Josipa Majstorovic, Univ. de Grenoble, Vincent Bertin, Univ. de Marseille, Phd Students: T. Colin, Y. EL Kadeiri, Michel Chevalier, S. Harer Italy: <u>Simone Dell'Agnello</u>, National Institute of Nuclear Physics (INFN), Marco Muccino, Luca Porcelli, Mattia Tibuzzi, Giovanni Delle Monache, Lorenzo Salvatori, INFN, Elena Pian, INAF, Valerio Boschi INFN/University of Pisa, Akis Gkaitkatzis EGO U.K. Paolo Mazzali, Univ. of Liverpool, M.Farhadiroushan Sergey Shatalin, Athena Chalari, Silixa Germany: Philippe Jousset, Deutsches GeoForschung Zentrum(GFZ), Andreas Haungs, Andreas Rietbrock, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) Helmholtz Centres Israel: Tsvi Piran, Hebrew University, Oded Aharonson, Weizmann Institute Greece: Manolis Plionis, National Observatory of Athens (NOA), Theoharis Apostolatos, Univ. of Athens Poland: Tomek Bulik, Leszek Rozkowski, Astronomical Centre M. Copernikus (CAMK)/Astrocent US: Saul Perlmutter, Laurence Berkeley Laboratory, Karan Jani, Vanderbilt University, Norway M. Landro, L. Amundsen, B. Arntsen Norwegian University of Science and TEchnology





Summary

- Acceleration (and deceleration) of relativistic jets produces memory-type GW signals.
- For typical sources (GRBs, some SNe) the GW frequency is at the deciHz range.
- Some SNe harbor relativistic jets whose GW signals might be stronger than the classical GW signal from the collapse Galactic giant SGR flares may produce signals detected by
- LVC.





221009A



221009A

6,000,000 5,000,000 원 4,000,000 3,000,000 2,000,000 1,000,000

- •Z=0.151 (745 Mpc)
- •E_{iso=}1.5 x 10⁵⁵ erg
- •If $\vartheta_i = 0.7^\circ$ then E=1.15 x 10⁵¹

erg

- •T₉₀=330 sec
- •LHAASO 5000 photons > 500 GeV up to 18 TeV
- The afterglow emission is much less energetic, and it is comparable to other TeV GRBs e.g. 990114c.



Pair production threshold



 $\epsilon_{thr} = m_e^2 / E_\gamma$

Optical Depth and the 18 TeV photon



Figure 4. The optical depth by photon-photon collision as a function of the photon energy for sources Fig. 2 Probability of predicting that LHAASO observes at least one photon from GRB 221009A within 2000 seconds. The vertical dotted line denotes 18 TeV. The coloring of curves located at z = 0.003, 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, from bottom to top. The fast rise at the is consistent with that of Fig. 1. high τ and E_{γ} values is due to the large volume density of CMB photons. The graph is based on the model by [82].

From: Francesini 2021



From: Zhao et al., 2022





Quantum Gravity Effects at low energies



- **Lorentz Violation (or deformation) appears** in various Quantum Gravity Theories.
- **Energy dependent dispersion and speed of light.**



A phenomenological Approach

The simplest leading order low-energy approximation of any theory that breaks Lorentz Invariance at a very high energy scale: ξm_{pl} , for the deformed dispersion relation:

$$E^{2} - p^{2} - m^{2} \approx \pm \left(\frac{E}{\xi_{n} m_{pl}}\right)^{n}$$
$$v \approx c \left[1 \pm \frac{(1+n)}{2} \left(\frac{E}{\xi_{n} m_{pl}}\right)^{n}\right]$$

Higher energy photons will arrive later (or earlier) than low energy ones emitted **simultaneously**.



dt

Fermi



H.E.S.S.; Magic

dt for a cosmological source at z=1 for n=1,2 (ξ=1)





LIV induced change in thresholds



 $\epsilon_{thr} = m_e^2 / E_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{4} (1 - 2^{-n}) \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{\xi_n E_{nl}}\right)^n E_{\gamma},$

=> Several suggestions that the 18 TeV photon is evidence for LIV



A phenomenological Approach

The simplest leading order low-energy approximation of any theory that breaks Lorentz Invariance at a very high energy scale: ξm_{pl} , for the deformed dispersion relation:

$$E^{2} - p^{2} - m^{2} \approx \pm \left(\frac{E}{\xi_{n} m_{pl}}\right)^{n}$$
$$v \approx c \left[1 \pm \frac{(1+n)}{2} \left(\frac{E}{\xi_{n} m_{pl}}\right)^{n}\right]$$

Higher energy photons will arrive later (or earlier) than low energy ones emitted **simultaneously**.

Offenghiem & Piran 2023





High Energy





Inconsistent with LIV "solution" for an 18 TeV photon from z=0.151 (EBL)

A constant spectral shape during the first 20-40 seconds => Strong LIV limits

 $\xi_1 > 0.5$ $\xi_2 > 10^{-8}$ n $\xi_n TeV'$







TABLE I. Best-fit parameters for the model given by Eq. 8 and a combined χ^2 fit for both the lightcurve and the spectra of the afterglow of GRB 221009A in the time region 5-100 s. The observational data is taken from [1]. If not specified differently, a fit parameter is dimensionless.

	Best fit	95% confidence interval	
A^{a}	8.1	6.5 - 9.8	
$C_{\mathrm{a.c.}}$	0.25	0.24 - 0.26	
$t_{ m b}{}^{ m b}$	16.0	14.3 - 17.6	
$lpha_1$	1.6	1.1-2.0	
$lpha_2$	-1.02	-1.080.95	
ω	1.5	0.8-2.1	
γ	2.93	2.84-3.02	
$E_{\rm cut}{}^{\rm c}$	3.1	2.1 - 4.1	
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{QG},1}^{(\sigma)}$	d	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{QG},1}^{(-)} \ge 5.9 \ ; \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{QG},1}^{(+)} \ge 6.2$	
${\cal E}_{{ m QG},2}^{(\sigma)}$	e	$\mathcal{E}_{\rm QG,2}^{(-)} \ge 5.8 \times 10^{-8} \ ; \ \mathcal{E}_{\rm QG,2}^{(+)} \ge 4.6 \times 10^{-8} \ ; \ \mathcal{E}_{\rm QG,2}^{(+)} \ge 10^{-8} \ ; \ \ \mathcal{E}_{\rm QG,2}^{(+)} \ge 10^{-8} \ ; \ \ \mathcal{E}_{\rm QG,2$	

- $a [10^{-6} erg^{-1} cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$
- ^b [s]
- ^c [TeV]

^d The formal fit result is $\sigma / \mathcal{E}_{QG,1}^{(\sigma)} = 0.005 \pm 0.083$.

^e The formal fit result is $\sigma(10^{-8}/\mathcal{E}_{QG,2}^{(\sigma)})^2 = -0.009 \pm 0.019$.

From Piran and Offengheim 2013 *LHASSO published last week similar reulsts

 10^{-8}

LIV limits

TABLE II. A comparison of with LIV TOF limits from GRBs 090510, 190114C, and 221009A.

GRB	090510^{a}	190114C	221009A
Red Shift	0.903	0.425	0.151
$\Delta E \ [\text{TeV}]$	$10^{-4} - 0.03$	0.3 - 1	0.2 - 7
$\Delta T_{\rm obs}$ [s]	0.15 - 0.217	30-60	9-14
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{QG},1}^{(\sigma)}$	$11^- \ 5.2^+$	$0.23^- \ 0.45^+$	$5.9^- \ 6.2^+$
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{QG},2}^{(\sigma)}/10^{-8}$	$0.7^- \ 0.77^+$	$0.46^- \ 0.52^+$	$5.8^- \ 4.6^+$

^a From [31] with ML method.



Summary

- Phenomenological models of LIV induces time of flight differences between photons of different energy and threshold shifts for different reactions (e.g. pair production)
- Time of flight LIV limits of GRB 221009: LIV $\xi_1 \approx 5$, $\xi_2 \approx 10^{-8}$ (Best for n=2, comparable to GRB 090510 for n=1)
- A comparable limit for stochastic LIV for GRB 090510 (but some concern?) There is no need for "new physics" by the TeV emission observed in GRB 221009A



