Hydro 2024 2024 6/26

Microscopic cut-off dependence of an entropic force in interface propagation of stochastic order parameter dynamics Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 057101(2024)

Yutaro Kado and Shin-ichi Sasa

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto



Background: Description of phenomena

- Existence of deterministic equations: Macroscopic fluid
- Absence of deterministic equations:

Order parameter near a critical point

Hohenberg and Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 435 (1977) Locally conserved quantities in one or two dimensions D. Forster, D. R. Nelson, and M. J. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A 16, 732 (1977)

Background: Infrared divergence

Fluctuating hydrodynamic equation

- - \rightarrow Violation of scale separation between macro and meso

 \rightarrow Infrared divergence of Renormalized transportation coefficients in 1D and 2D

 \rightarrow Deterministic hydrodynamic equation **CANNOT** describe 1D and 2D Fluid.



Purpose and Result

Another violation of scale separation?

Result: Propagation velocity of a planer interface in $d \geq 2$ by stochastic order parameter dynamics

→ Dependence on the microscopic ultraviolet cut-off

Model (1/2) Space region: $D \equiv [-\infty, \infty] \times [0, L_y]^{(d-1)}$, Order paramter ϕ

Cut-off wave length: k_c i.e. $\phi(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ ($|\mathbf{k}| > k_c$)

Free energy functional: $\mathscr{F}(\phi) \equiv \int_{D} d^{d} r \left\{ f(\phi) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (\partial_{x_{i}} \phi)^{2} \right\}^{T}$

constant characterizing the interface energy: κ

Free energy density: $f(\phi)$, Two local minima: ϕ_1, ϕ_2

Hohenberg and Halperin (1977) modelA



Model (2/2)

$\rightarrow \quad \partial_t \phi = -\Gamma[-f'(\phi) - \kappa \sum_{x_i}^{n} (\partial_{x_i} \phi)^2] + \sqrt{2\Gamma T} \eta$ Noise: $\langle \eta(\mathbf{r}, t)\eta(\mathbf{r}', t')\rangle = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\delta(t - t'), \langle \eta(\mathbf{r}, t)\rangle = 0$ Transport coefficient: Γ , Temperature: T

Equation of motion: $\partial_t \phi = -\Gamma \frac{\delta \mathscr{F}}{\delta \phi} + \sqrt{2\Gamma T} \eta$ i=1

Interface and Boundary condition

 x_2, \dots, x_d - direction: Periodic

The interface perpendicular to x_1 - direction



 $x_1(=x)$ - direction: $\phi(-\infty, x_2, \dots, x_d, t) = \phi_1, \phi(\infty, x_2, \dots, x_d, t) = \phi_2$





The case T=0 : Trivial case

 $\partial_t \phi = -\Gamma[f'(\phi) - \kappa \partial_x^2 \phi] - (1)$

Substitute $\phi(x, x_2, \dots, x_d, t) = \phi_0(z), z \equiv x - c_0 t$ to ①:

 $-c_0\partial_z\phi_0 = -\Gamma[f'(\phi_0) - \kappa\partial_z^2\phi_0]$

Propagation velocity: $c_0 = \frac{\Gamma(f(\phi_2) - f(\phi_1))}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz (\partial_z \phi_0(z))^2}$



 \mathcal{X}

The case $T \neq 0$: Interface driven by the entropic force $f(\phi_1) = f(\phi_2), \ f''(\phi_1) \neq f''(\phi_2)$

Steady solution at T = 0: $\phi = \phi_0(x)$

The interface is static. \rightarrow

In the case $T \neq 0$, fluctuation in bulk regions drives the interface.

Steady velocity: $c = -\frac{\Gamma T(s(\phi_2) - s(\phi_1))}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz (\partial_z \phi_0(z))^2}$,

Entropy density in bulk: $s(\phi_i)$,

When d = 1, $s(\phi_2) - s(\phi_1) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\xi_2} - \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right)$, Correlation length: $\xi_j = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{f''(\phi_j)}}$ Previous research: G. Costantini, et al. PRL, 87, 114102 (2001)









Problem

- Derivation in d -D
 - \rightarrow Previous research : Not applicable
 - \rightarrow New method

- Numerical simulation when d = 2
 - \rightarrow No research

Steady propagation velocity of a (d - 1)-D planer interface d-D



Numerical result



Derivation (1/4)

We derive the formula in 2D. $(x, y) \equiv (x_1)$

- \cdot Treat the noise as perturbation to stationary solution: ϕ_0
 - Scaling by small dimensionless parameter ϵ : $T = \epsilon^2 T'$, $Y = \epsilon y$
 - co-moving coordinate $z \equiv x \Theta(Y, t)$, position of interface: $\Theta(Y, t)$
 - Perturbation solution: $\phi(x, y, t) = \phi_0(z) + \epsilon \rho_1(z, y, t) + O(z)$

This perturbation method is generalization of Y. Kuramoto, Prog. Theor. Pays. 63,1885-1903 (1980), M. Iwata and S.-I., Sasa, PRE 82,11127 (2011).

,
$$x_2$$
), $\Gamma \equiv 1$

$$(\epsilon^2), \qquad \partial_t \Theta = \epsilon \Omega_1([\Theta]) + \epsilon^2 \Omega_2([\Theta]) + \epsilon^2$$



Derivation (2/4) We obtain $\partial_t \Theta = \epsilon \Omega_1([\Theta]) + \epsilon^2 \Omega_2$

$$\begin{split} \Omega_1([\Theta]) &= -\frac{\sqrt{2T'}(u_0,\eta)}{(u_0,u_0)}, \\ (\partial_t - \hat{L}_z - \kappa \partial_y^2)\rho_1(z,t) &= \sqrt{2T'}\hat{Q}\eta \end{split}$$

$$\Omega_2([\Theta]) = \kappa \partial_Y^2 \Theta + \left[\frac{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)}{(u_0, u_0)} - \Omega_1([\Theta])(u_0, \partial_z \rho_1) \right]$$

• Calculate stationary propagation velocity: $c \equiv \langle \partial_t \Theta \rangle_{ss}$

$$\rightarrow \langle \partial_t \Theta \rangle_{\rm ss} = \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, u_0)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2) \rangle_{\rm ss}}{(u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho_1^2)} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\langle (u_0, \frac{1}{2} f^{(3)}(\phi_0) \rho$$

$$_2([\Theta]) + O(\epsilon^3).$$

$$(a,b) \equiv \frac{1}{L_y} \int_0^{L_y} dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx a(x,y) b(x,y)$$

$$\cdot \text{ Operator: } \hat{L}_z \equiv -f^{(2)}(\phi_0(z)) + \kappa \partial_z^2, \text{ 0 eigen function: } u_0(z) \equiv \partial_z^2$$

$$\cdot \text{ Projection: } \hat{Q}a(x) \equiv a(x) - \frac{(u_0,a)}{(u_0,u_0)} u_0(x)$$





n bulk regions $-\kappa \langle (\partial_z \rho_1)^2 \rangle_{\rm ss}] |_{z=-\infty}^{z=\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \langle \partial_z \rho_1 \hat{L}_z \rho_1 \rangle_{\rm ss}$ $(y,t)\rangle_{ss} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \langle (\partial_y \rho_1(z,y,t))^2 \rangle_{ss} |_{z=-\infty}^{z=\infty}$

symmetry: $\langle \partial_z \rho_1(z, y, t) \partial_t \rho_1(z, y, t) \rangle_{ss} = 0$

 $-\kappa\partial_y^2)\rho_1(z, y, t) = \sqrt{2T'}\hat{Q}\eta \, \mathrm{by}\partial_z\rho_1(z, y, t),$

culate expectation



Derivation (4/4)

- Entropy density : $-Ts(\phi_i) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^i$ Here, $\mu_1 = -1$, $\mu_2 = 1$
- Approximate by linearized fluctuation

 $\rightarrow \epsilon^2 f^{(2)}(\phi_0(\mu_i \infty, y)) \langle \rho_1(\mu_i \infty, y)^2 \rangle$

$$e^{2}f^{(2)}(\phi_{0}(\mu_{i}\infty))\langle \rho_{1}(\mu_{i}\infty,y)^{2}\rangle_{ss}$$

$$\rangle_{ss} = \int_{|p| < k_c} \frac{dp^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{T\xi_i^{-2}}{p^2 + \xi_i^2} + O(T^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

Remark

• When $d \ge 2$, velocity also diverges.

•
$$d$$
-D, $s(\phi_i) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|p| \le k_c} \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\xi_i^2 - p_1^2 + \sum_{l=2}^d p_l^2}{|p|^2 + \xi_i^{-2}}$
 $\rightarrow d = 3, \, s(\phi_i) = \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \Big[\frac{k_c}{\xi_i^2} - \frac{1}{\xi_i^3} \tan^{-1}(\xi_i k_c) \Big] - \frac{1}{36\pi^2} k_c^2$

 We calculate the formula in the case → If $f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)$ ($\neq 0$) is small enough, we can treat it as perturbation.

$$f(\phi_1) = f(\phi_2).$$

Future prospects

- Origin of the cut-off? \rightarrow Microscopic model
- experimental system? \rightarrow spin-crossover complex

\rightarrow estimation of cut-off

- Universality class of interface c.f. KPZ equation

Lattice gas : H. Spohn, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16, 4275 (1983)

Potts model : M. Kobayashi, N. Nakagawa, and S.-i. Sasa PRL, 130, 247102 (2023)

K. Boukheddaden et.al, Physica B 486, 187-191 (2016) S. Miyashita et.al, Prog. Theor. Phys. 114, 719-734 (2005)

• Absence of time-reversal symmetry in Non-eq \rightarrow New driving force



Conclusion

- Steady velocity of interface driven by entropic force in $d \geq 2$
 - Cut-off dependence: $k_c \to \infty$, $|c| \to \infty$

• in numerical simulations, k_c is Wavenumber corresponding to mesh size Δx



SM

Numerical simulation

- Discretization of space: square lattice
- Time development: Heun method
- Estimation of velocity
 - Order parameter integrated in y:

$$\Phi(x,t) = \frac{1}{L_y} \int_0^{L_y} dy \phi(x,y,t)$$

- Position of interface X(t): $\Phi(X(t), t) = 0$
- Time averaged velocity: $V(t) \equiv \frac{X(t) X(t_0)}{t_0}$
- Estimate c by averaged value $\langle V(t) \rangle$



Numerical scheme

• Discretize $(x, y) \in [-L, L] \times [0, L_y]$ as $(i\Delta x, j\Delta x), -N_x$

Discrete Laplacian: $\Delta_2 a(i,j) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} [a(i+1,j) + a(i,j+1)]$

• Time development: Heun method

$$\phi(i, j, n+1) \equiv \phi(i, j, n) + \frac{1}{2}(h_1(i, j, n) + h_2(i, j, n))$$

 $h_1(i, j, n) \equiv \Gamma[-f'(\phi(i, j, n)) + \kappa \Delta_2 \phi(i, j, n)] \Delta t + \sqrt{2\Gamma T} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta t}}{\Lambda_x} \eta(i, j, n),$

$$\begin{split} h_2(i,j,n) &\equiv \Gamma[-f'(\phi'(i,j,n)) + \kappa \Delta_2 \phi'(i,j,n)] \Delta t + \sqrt{2\Gamma T} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta t}}{\Delta x} \eta(i,j,n), \\ \phi'(i,j,n) &\equiv \phi(i,j,n) + h_1(i,j,n) \end{split}$$



$$j \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j < N_y, N_x \Delta x = L, N_y \Delta x = L_y$$

$$(-1) - 4a(i,j) + a(i-1,j) + a(i,j-1)]$$

Initial condition :

$$\phi(i, j, 0) = \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_1}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{i\Delta x}{40}\right) + \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2}$$

- Boundary condition in y: $\phi(i, j + N_{v}, n) = \phi(i, j, n)$
- Boundary condition in *x*: $\phi(-N_x, j, n) = \phi_1, \phi(N_x, j, n) = \phi_2$

Choice of Δx , Δt

Choose appropriate Δx , Δt by 1-D simulation



Dimensionless parameter $\alpha = \frac{2\Gamma\kappa\Delta t}{\Delta x^2}$, Region[change Δt under $\Gamma = 0.1, \kappa = 1600, T = 0.5, \Delta x = 1$ $f(\phi)$: Page 5



Choice of T

0

Choose T to which c is proportional.



Choice of L_y

Confirm the dependence on L_v

