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## Introduction: What is granular material?

Consisting of large enough particles ( 0.1 mm ) so that thermal fluctuations are negligible


M\&M Candies



Forms


Sand \& rock


Snow powders

Grains

## Introduction: What is the jamming transition?

Contact number


Pressure


The jamming transition is a phase transition from fluid to solid at zero temperature
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## Jamming in 2d and 3d

## Frictionless spherical particles

Harmonic Spheres

$$
E=\sum_{i<j} v\left(h_{i j}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i<j} h_{i j}^{2} \theta\left(-h_{i j}\right)
$$



Wet foams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gap function } \\
& h_{i j}=\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|-\sigma_{i j}
\end{aligned} \quad x_{i} \xrightarrow{h_{i j}}
$$

## Jamming in 2d and 3d

## Stability argument by Maxwell

J. C. Maxwell (1864)
\# of constraints > \# of degrees of freedom
\# of constraints = \# of contacts = Nz/2
\# of degrees of freedom = Nd

$$
z \geq 2 d
$$

$$
z_{J}=2 d \text { (isostatic) }
$$

## Jamming in 2d and 3d



Scaling relation $z-2 d \propto\left(\varphi-\varphi_{J}\right)^{0.5}$
The critical exponent does not depend on the spatial dimensions!

## Jamming in 2d and 3d

## Shear modulus



Scaling relation $G \sim\left(\varphi-\varphi_{J}\right)^{1 / 2}$
The critical exponent does not depend on the spatial dimensions!

## Jamming in 2d and 3d

Radial distribution function

$$
g(r)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \neq j} \delta\left(r-\left|\vec{x}_{i}-\vec{x}_{j}\right|\right)
$$




## Jamming in 2d and 3d

## Radial distribution function



## Scaling relation $\quad g(h) \sim h^{-\gamma}, \gamma=0.41 \ldots$

The critical exponent does not depend on the spatial dimensions!

## Jamming in 2d and 3d

## Excess contact number

$$
z-2 d \propto\left(\varphi-\varphi_{J}\right)^{0.5}
$$

## Radial distribution function

$$
g(h) \sim h^{-\gamma}, \gamma=0.41
$$

The critical exponent does not depend on the spatial dimensions. Furthermore, the exponents agree with the mean-field prediction.
P. Charbonneau et al. (2014)

## The upper critical dimension <= 2.
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# Jamming of quasi 1d system 

## Motivation

## The upper critical dimension <=2

## What will happen below the upper critical dimension?

Let we consider the quasi-one-dimensional system

## Jamming of quasi 1d system

## Setting



## Interaction potential

Interaction between
particles

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{N}=\sum_{i<j \uparrow}^{1, N} v\left(h_{i j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} v\left(h_{i}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{N} v\left(h_{i}^{\mathrm{t}}\right) \\
v(h)=k \frac{h^{2}}{2} \theta(-h)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Jamming of quasi 1d system

## Algorithm

We want to determine the jamming transition point, where particles begin to interact and has a finite interaction potential.

1. Start from a random configuration.
2. Increase the packing fraction $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi+\delta \varphi$.
3. Remove contact by the energy minimization.
4. Repeat 2 and 3. $\delta \varphi \rightarrow-\delta \varphi / 2$ each time the transition point is crossed.

## Animation



Ly is fixed during the compression.

## Jamming of quasi 1d system

## Results at the jamming transition point




Does Maxwell's isostatic condition hold in quasi 1d?
Unlike the bulk two dimensional system,
the contact number is not $4(=2 d)$ !

## Mxwell's isostatic condition revisited

\# of constraints = \# of degrees of freedom
\# of degrees of freedom $=\mathrm{Nd}=2 \mathrm{~N}$


Isostatic number: $c_{\text {iso }} \equiv N_{c} / N=2$

Jamming of quasi 1d system

## Maxwells condition revisited



The system is always isostatic!

## Jamming of quasi 1d system

## Scaling of the excess constraints

Excess constraints

$$
\delta c=\frac{c-c_{\text {iso }}}{N}(\propto \delta z)
$$





For small $L y$, we observe $\delta c \sim \delta \varphi$.

# Jamming of quasi 1d system 

## Scaling of the radial distribution

Cumulative distribution function

$$
\operatorname{CDF}(h)=\int_{0}^{h} d h^{\prime} g\left(h^{\prime}\right) \sim h g(h)
$$




For small $L y$, we observe $g(h) \sim h^{\wedge} 0$

## Summary

## 2d and higher dimensions

Isostatic at jamming
(\# of constraints = \# of degrees of freedom)
\# of the excess constraints

$$
\delta z \sim \delta c \sim \delta \varphi^{1 / 2}
$$

Radial distribution function

$$
g(h) \sim h^{-\gamma}
$$

## Quasi 1d

Isostatic at jamming
(\# of constraints = \# of degrees of freedom)
\# of the excess constraints

$$
\delta c \sim \delta \varphi
$$

Radial distribution function

$$
g(h) \sim h^{0}
$$

These results confirmed $1<d_{U P}<2$

## Unsolved Questions

- What is the precise value of $d_{U P}$ ?
- What physical mechanism determines $d_{U P}$ ?
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# Fluctuation near Jamming JCP 158, 056101 (2023) 

Harukuni Ikeda (Gakushuin Univ.)

## Motivation

Classical Ising model

$$
T>T_{c}
$$



$$
T=T_{c}
$$



$$
T<T_{c}
$$



Critical fluctuation!

## Ginzburg Criterion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d<d_{\text {upper }}\langle\phi\rangle\langle\phi\rangle \ll\langle\phi \phi\rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { MFT fail } \\
& d>d_{\text {upper }}\langle\phi\rangle\langle\phi\rangle \gg\langle\phi \phi\rangle \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { MFT exact }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Motivation

## Classical Ising model

$$
T>T_{c}
$$



$$
T=T_{c}
$$



Critical fluctuation!

- Does the critical fluctuation appear at the jamming transition point?
- Can we construct the "Ginzburg criteria" for jamming?


## Method

## Sample to sample fluctuation

## Ising model



Transition occurs at $\mathbf{T}>0$
There are thermal fluctuations.

## Jamming



Transition occurs at $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{0}$
No thermal fluctuations $\downarrow$
Sample to sample fluctuation for $10^{\wedge} 3$ samples with different IC

## Method <br> Model

Numerical simulations for frictionless spherical particles in d=2

Harmonic potential

$$
E=\sum_{i<j} v\left(h_{i j}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i<j} h_{i j}^{2} \theta\left(-h_{i j}\right)
$$



Wet foams

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gap function } \\
& h_{i j}=\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|-R_{i}-R_{j}
\end{aligned} \stackrel{x_{i}}{ } \stackrel{h_{i j}}{ }
$$

## Method

## Algorithm

1. Generate a random initial configuration. c. O'Hern etal. (2003)
2. Increase density $\varphi->\varphi+\delta \varphi$.
3. Minimize energy.
4. Repeat 2-3.


## Method

## Control parameter



## Mean values

## Contact number



## Energy


*Results of density control are plotted as a function of the average pressure at each density.

Mean values do not depend on the control parameters!

## Results

## Sample to sample fluctuation



p
Differences are qualitative rather than quantitative

- Pressure control: do not diverge
- Density control: diverge

Results

## Finite size scaling



## Scaling plot



Correlated particles

$$
N_{c o r} \sim \delta \varphi^{-2}
$$

Results

## Finite size scaling



## Scaling plot



Correlated particles

$$
N_{c o r} \sim \delta \varphi^{-2}
$$

## Finite size scaling

## Scaling plot for mean-value



Correlated particles $N_{\text {cor }}^{\text {mean }} \sim \delta \varphi^{-1 / 2}$

## Scaling plot for fluctuation

Correlated particles

$$
N_{\mathrm{cor}}^{\mathrm{fluc}} \sim \delta \varphi^{-2}
$$

## Theory

## Phenomenological model

## Pressure

Mean value

$$
<p>=A \delta \varphi
$$

Mean value + fluctuation

$$
p=A \delta \varphi+\xi,\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle=\Delta / N
$$

## Energy

$E \propto p^{2}=(A \delta \varphi+\xi)^{2} \approx A^{2} \delta \varphi^{2}+2 A \delta \varphi \xi$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle E\rangle=A^{2} \delta \varphi^{2},\left\langle\delta E^{2}\right\rangle=4 A^{2} \delta \varphi^{2} \\
\chi_{e}=\frac{\left\langle\delta E^{2}\right\rangle}{\langle E\rangle^{2}} \propto \delta \varphi^{-2}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Theory

## Phenomenological model

## Pressure

Mean value
$<p>=A \delta \varphi$

Mean value + fluctuation

$$
p=A \delta \varphi+\xi,\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle=\Delta / N
$$

## Contact number

$z-z_{J} \propto p^{1 / 2} \rightarrow z=z_{J}+(A \delta \varphi+\xi)^{1 / 2}$
$\langle z\rangle \approx z_{J},\left\langle\delta z^{2}\right\rangle=A^{-1 / 2} \delta \varphi^{-1 / 2}$

$$
\chi_{z}=\frac{\left\langle\delta z^{2}\right\rangle}{\langle z\rangle^{2}} \propto \delta \varphi^{-1 / 2}
$$



## Summary

- Fluctuations of the pressure control do not diverge.
- Fluctuations of the density control do diverge.
- Correlated volume of the fluctuation diverges as $N_{\text {cor }} \sim \delta \varphi^{-2}$, different from that of the mean value $N_{\text {cor }} \sim \delta \varphi^{-1 / 2}$
C. P. Goodrich et al. (2012)

All those results can not be explained by the current meanfield theory.

## Future Work

Can we construct the mean-field theory for the sample to sample fluctuation?
cf: Random Field Ising model $\left\langle m^{2}\right\rangle_{\text {sample }} \gg\left\langle m^{2}\right\rangle_{\text {thermal }}$
-> Higher upper critical dimension d_u $=6$ than the pure Ising d_u=4

