

A Note on the Unit-Cell-Center Dependence of the Chern–Simons Term

Ken Shiozaki

May 30, 2026

Berry connection

Let

$$\hat{H} |\phi_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E_n(\mathbf{k}) |\phi_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle \quad (1)$$

be a Bloch state that is an energy eigenstate of a one-particle Hamiltonian \hat{H} . Fixing an origin \mathbf{r}_0 of the system, the cell-periodic state is defined by

$$|u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)} |\phi_n(\mathbf{k})\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The cell-periodic state is not invariant under translations by reciprocal lattice vectors:

$$|u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{G}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)} |u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle. \quad (3)$$

It also depends explicitly on the choice of origin \mathbf{r}_0 . Since $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{R} \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ for a lattice vector \mathbf{R} , the \mathbf{r}_0 dependence of the cell-periodic state is ambiguous only by position vectors within the unit cell.

On the other hand, the Berry connection

$$\mathbf{A}_{mn}^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) = i \left\langle u_m^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \right\rangle \quad (4)$$

is invariant under reciprocal lattice translations:

$$\mathbf{A}_{mn}^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) = i \left\langle u_m^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}) \right\rangle \quad (5)$$

$$= i \left\langle u_m^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \left| e^{i\mathbf{G}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{G}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)} \right| u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \right\rangle \quad (6)$$

$$= i \left\langle u_m^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right| u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) \right\rangle = \mathbf{A}_{mn}^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (7)$$

However, the dependence on the unit-cell center remains. If the Berry connection is instead defined with respect to the unit-cell center $\mathbf{r}_0 + \delta\mathbf{r}_0$, then

$$\mathbf{A}_{mn}^{\mathbf{r}_0+\delta\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{A}_{mn}^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k}) - \delta\mathbf{r}_0 \delta_{mn}. \quad (8)$$

In this sense the Berry connection measures the displacement from the unit-cell center.

It is worth noting that if the \mathbf{k} -dependent Bloch Hamiltonian is defined by

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)} \hat{H} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\hat{\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{r}_0)}, \quad (9)$$

then $H(\mathbf{k})$ itself is independent of \mathbf{r}_0 . The cell-periodic state $|u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ is an energy eigenstate of this Bloch Hamiltonian:

$$H(\mathbf{k}) |u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle = E_n(\mathbf{k}) |u_n^{\mathbf{r}_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle. \quad (10)$$

The choice of unit-cell center \mathbf{r}_0 fixes the flat connection obeyed by the plane-wave basis in which the Bloch Hamiltonian is defined.

Chern–Simons term

If the Chern numbers vanish, there exists a continuous choice of occupied cell-periodic states $|u_n^{r_0}(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ over the entire Brillouin zone. The contribution to the magnetoelectric polarization from the CS term is then

$$\alpha_{\text{CS}} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{BZ} \text{tr} \left[\mathcal{A}^{r_0} d\mathcal{A}^{r_0} + \frac{2}{3} (\mathcal{A}^{r_0})^3 \right], \quad (11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{mn}^{r_0} = \langle u_m^{r_0}(\mathbf{k}) | du_n^{r_0}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle \quad (12)$$

and d is the exterior derivative in momentum space.

Even when the Chern numbers are nonzero, the CS term can be defined mathematically. Extend the connection \mathcal{A} on the Brillouin-zone torus T^3 to a four-dimensional space X satisfying $\partial X = T^3$, and define

$$\text{CS}_3 := \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_X \text{tr} [\mathcal{F}^2] \pmod{1}. \quad (13)$$

Such an X can be constructed as follows. Choose coordinates in which the Chern-number vector $\mathbf{C} = (C_{yz}, C_{zx}, C_{xy})$ is of the form $\mathbf{C} = (0, 0, C_{xy})$. Then the Berry connection \mathcal{A} can be smoothly deformed to a connection \mathcal{A}' whose z component is zero. Take $X = T^2 \times D^2$, and let r be the radius of the two-dimensional disk D^2 . We let $r = 0$ correspond to \mathcal{A}' and $r = 1$ correspond to \mathcal{A} .¹

As an example, consider a model obtained by stacking a single-band Chern insulator with Chern number C in the xy plane at positions $z \in \mathbb{Z} + z_0$ along the z direction. The Berry connection depends on the choice of unit-cell center and is

$$\mathcal{A}^{z_0} = \mathcal{A}_x dk_x + \mathcal{A}_y dk_y - iz_0 dk_z. \quad (14)$$

Define an extension to $T^2 \times D^2$ by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_x dk_x + \mathcal{A}_y dk_y - irz_0 dk_z. \quad (15)$$

Then the curvature is

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{xy} dk_x dk_y - iz_0 dr dk_z, \quad (16)$$

and the CS term becomes

$$\text{CS}_3 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{T^2 \times D^2} \text{tr} [\mathcal{F}^2] \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{T^2 \times D^2} 2\mathcal{F}_{xy} (-iz_0 dr dk_z) dk_x dk_y \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{T^2} \mathcal{F}_{xy} dk_x dk_y \int_0^1 (-iz_0) dr \int_0^{2\pi} dk_z \quad (19)$$

$$= Cz_0. \quad (20)$$

Thus, when the Chern number is nonzero, the CS term depends on the component of the unit-cell center z_0 parallel to the Chern-number vector.

It is a separate question whether the contribution to the physical magnetoelectric polarization is identical to the mathematical CS term. This issue may be discussed in [1].

¹Equivalently, the projection $P(\mathbf{k})$ onto the occupied states can be smoothly deformed to a projection $P'(k_x, k_y)$ that is independent of k_z .

References

- [1] Xin Lu, Renwen Jiang, Zhongqing Guo, and Jianpeng Liu, *Orbital magnetoelectric coupling of three dimensional Chern insulators*, arXiv:2408.16103.