

C^* -geometric phase: Viennot–Lages formalism (draft)

Ken Shiozaki

May 31, 2026

I summarize the formulation of geometric phases in open quantum systems introduced in [1]. Some examples are also computed in [2].

Let \mathcal{H}_S and \mathcal{H}_E be the Hilbert spaces of the system and the environment, respectively. The Hilbert space of the composite system consisting of the system and the environment is $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$. We write the inner product on \mathcal{H}_S as $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_S$, the inner product on \mathcal{H}_E as $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_E$, and the inner product of the total system as $\langle \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$. We also write the wave function of the total system as ψ , or as $|\psi\rangle\rangle$. We denote the Hamiltonians of the system and the environment by H_S and H_E , respectively, and the interaction between the system and the environment by H_I . The Hamiltonian of the total system is

$$H = H_S \otimes 1_E + 1_S \otimes H_E + H_I. \quad (1)$$

We write $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_S) = \{\rho \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S) | \rho^\dagger = \rho, \rho \geq 0, \text{tr}_S \rho = 1\}$. For a wave function ψ of the total system, the density matrix ρ of the system is

$$\rho = \text{Tr}_E |\psi\rangle\rangle \langle\langle \psi|. \quad (2)$$

In the Viennot–Lages formulation, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ of the total system is regarded as a C^* -module over the operator algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ of the system. The C^* -module $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ is equipped with the following inner product:

$$\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \times \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S), \quad (3)$$

$$(|\psi\rangle\rangle, |\phi\rangle\rangle) \mapsto \langle\langle \psi | \phi \rangle \rangle_* := \text{Tr}_E |\phi\rangle\rangle \langle\langle \psi|. \quad (4)$$

More explicitly, if we write a basis of $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ as $|n\rangle \otimes |a\rangle$ and write

$$\phi = \phi_{na} |n\rangle \otimes |a\rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\psi = \psi_{na} |n\rangle \otimes |a\rangle, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\langle\langle \phi | \psi \rangle \rangle_* = \text{Tr}_E \sum_{namb} \phi_{na}^* \psi_{mb} \text{Tr}_E [|m\rangle \otimes |b\rangle \langle n| \otimes \langle a|] = \sum_{nm} \sum_a \phi_{na}^* \psi_{ma} |m\rangle \langle n|. \quad (7)$$

This inner product has the following properties:

- It is linear from the right and anti-linear from the left. Namely, for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ and $\psi, \phi, \chi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$,

$$\langle\langle \psi | A\phi + B\chi \rangle \rangle_* = \text{Tr}_E [(A|\phi\rangle\rangle + B|\chi\rangle\rangle) \langle\langle \psi|] \quad (8)$$

$$= A \langle\langle \psi | \phi \rangle \rangle_* + B \langle\langle \psi | \chi \rangle \rangle_*, \quad (9)$$

$$\langle\langle A\psi + B\chi | \phi \rangle \rangle_* = \text{Tr}_E [(\langle\langle \psi | A^\dagger + \langle\langle \chi | B^\dagger)] \quad (10)$$

$$= \langle\langle \psi | \phi \rangle \rangle_* A^\dagger + \langle\langle \chi | \phi \rangle \rangle_* B^\dagger. \quad (11)$$

- It is “Hermitian.” Namely, for $\psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$,

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_*^\dagger = \left(\sum_{nm} \sum_a \phi_{na}^* \psi_{ma} |m\rangle \langle n| \right)^\dagger \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{nm} \sum_a \phi_{na} \psi_{ma}^* |n\rangle \langle m| \quad (13)$$

$$= \langle \psi | \phi \rangle_* \quad (14)$$

- It is positive definite. Namely, for $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$,

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle_* = \sum_{nm} \sum_a \psi_{na}^* \psi_{ma} |m\rangle \langle n| \quad (15)$$

is $\psi\psi^\dagger$ if $\psi = (\psi)_{na}$ is regarded as a rectangular matrix, and therefore it is positive semidefinite:

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle_* \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, $\psi\psi^\dagger = 0$ means that all singular values of the rectangular matrix ψ are zero, so $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle_* = 0$ implies $\psi = 0$.

When the state $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ of the total system is normalized, the density matrix ρ of the system is given as the *-norm by

$$\rho = \|\psi\|_*^2 = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle_*. \quad (17)$$

Definition 1 (Eigenoperator and *-eigenvector [1]). *Let H be the Hamiltonian of the total system. A pair $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$, $\phi_E \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$ satisfying the following conditions is called an eigenoperator of H and a mixed eigenstate whose eigenoperator is E , respectively:*

$$[E \otimes 1_E, H] = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$H\phi_E = E\phi_E. \quad (19)$$

In other words, the stationary Schrodinger equation of the total system is regarded as an eigenvalue problem as an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ -module, and a constraint is imposed on the eigenvalue E as an operator of the system. When $E = \lambda 1_S$, this is just the ordinary eigenvalue equation itself.

Some properties of the eigenoperator E and the mixed eigenstate ϕ_E are summarized in the appendix of [1].

- (i) (Property 9 in [1]) Let \mathcal{L} be the Lindblad operator. The eigenoperator E and the mixed eigenstate ρ_E satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_E) = E\rho_E - \rho_E E^\dagger, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(E\rho_E - \rho_E E^\dagger) = E\mathcal{L}(\rho_E) - \mathcal{L}(\rho_E)E^\dagger. \quad (21)$$

In [1], it is claimed from this property that the eigenoperator E can be found if the Lindblad operator \mathcal{L} is given, even when the Hamiltonian H of the total system is unknown.

- (ii) (Property 10 in [1]) E is almost Hermitian in the following sense:

$$\text{tr}_S[\rho_E(E - E^\dagger)] = 0. \quad (22)$$

- (iii) (Proposition 11 in [1]) For any E_0 satisfying $[H, E_0 \otimes 1_\mathcal{E}] = 0$, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\phi_{E,\lambda}$ be a solution of the ordinary eigenvalue equation

$$H\phi_{E,\lambda} = (E_0 + \lambda)\phi_{E,\lambda}. \quad (23)$$

Then, for the eigenoperator $E = E_0 + \lambda$, $\phi_{E,\lambda}$ is a mixed eigenstate.

Proof. (i) follows from

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_E) = \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}[H, |\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|] = \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}[E\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E| - |\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|E^\dagger] = E\rho_E - \rho_E E^\dagger, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(E\rho_E - \rho_E E^\dagger) = \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}([H, E|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|] - [H, |\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|E^\dagger]) \quad (25)$$

$$= \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}(HE|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E| - E|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|H - H|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|E^\dagger + |\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|E^\dagger H) \quad (26)$$

$$= \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}(EH|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E| - E|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|H - H|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|E^\dagger + |\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|HE^\dagger) \quad (27)$$

$$= E\mathcal{L}(\rho_E) - \mathcal{L}(\rho_E)E^\dagger. \quad (28)$$

- (ii) follows from

$$\text{tr}_S[\rho_E(E - E^\dagger)] = \text{tr}_S \text{tr}_\mathcal{E}(|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|(E - E^\dagger)) \quad (29)$$

$$= \langle\langle\phi_E|(E - E^\dagger)|\phi_E\rangle\rangle \quad (30)$$

$$= \langle\langle\phi_E|(H - H^\dagger)|\phi_E\rangle\rangle \quad (31)$$

$$= 0. \quad (32)$$

- (iii) is obvious. \square

Fix E and examine the ambiguity of the eigenvector ϕ_E . Let K be the unitary transformation group of the environment and the symmetry group that keeps the Hamiltonian of the total system invariant:

$$K = \{k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{E}) | (1_S \otimes k)H(1_S \otimes k^\dagger) = H\}. \quad (33)$$

Let G_E be the largest subgroup of invertible operators of the system that keeps the eigenspace with eigenoperator E invariant. Namely, G_E is defined as the largest subgroup $G_E \subset \mathcal{GL}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ such that

$$G_E \ker(H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E}) = \{g\phi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{E} | g \in \mathcal{GL}(\mathcal{H}_S), H\phi = E\phi\} \subset \ker(H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E}) \quad (34)$$

holds. When $E = \lambda 1_S$, G_E is nothing but the subgroup of invertible operators that keeps the eigenspace invariant in the presence of degeneracy. Choosing $\phi_E \in \ker(H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E})$ is nothing but choosing one vector from the degenerate eigenspace. The group $G_E \times K$ can be regarded as the ambiguity of the eigenvector ϕ_E . Namely, when $gk \in G_E \times K$,

$$(H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E})\phi_E = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E})gk\phi_E = k(H - E \otimes 1_\mathcal{E})g\phi_E = 0. \quad (35)$$

The transformation $\phi_E \mapsto gk\phi_E$ induces the transformation of the density matrix

$$\rho_E = \langle \phi_E | \phi_E \rangle_* \Rightarrow \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}}[gk|\phi_E\rangle\rangle\langle\langle\phi_E|g^\dagger k^\dagger] = g\rho_E g^\dagger. \quad (36)$$

Notice that, because g is not unitary, the property $\text{tr}_S[\rho_E] = 1$ is not preserved.

Furthermore, introduce a subgroup $J_E^0(\rho_E) \subset G_E$ as follows. For the density matrix $\rho_E = \langle \phi_E | \phi_E \rangle_*$, define the G_E -orbit by

$$G_E \rho_E := \{g\rho_E g^\dagger | g \in G_E\}. \quad (37)$$

We define $J_E^0(\rho_E)$ to be the group obtained by collecting the stabilizers at all points $g\rho_E g^\dagger \in G_E \rho_E$ of the orbit. Namely,

$$J_E^0(\rho_E) := \{j \in G_E | \exists g \in G_E, jg\rho_E g^\dagger j^\dagger = g\rho_E g^\dagger\}. \quad (38)$$

Since

$$j\rho_E j^\dagger = \rho_E \Leftrightarrow gjg^{-1}g\rho_E g^\dagger (gjg^{-1})^\dagger = g\rho_E g^\dagger \quad (39)$$

holds, $J_E^0(\rho_E)$ itself is also the G_E -orbit starting from the stabilizer at ρ_E :

$$J_E^0(\rho_E) = \bigcup_{g \in G_E} g\{j \in G_E | j\rho_E j^\dagger = \rho_E\}g^{-1}. \quad (40)$$

Proposition 1 (Property 11 in [1]). $J_E^0(\rho_E)$ is a normal subgroup of G_E .^a

^aIn the general theory of 2-gauge groups, the image of the inclusion $t : J \rightarrow G$ must be a normal subgroup of G .

Proof. When $j \in J_E^0(\rho_E)$, there exists some $g \in G_E$ such that $jg\rho_E g^\dagger j^\dagger = g\rho_E g^\dagger$. If $h \in G_E$, then $hjh^{-1}hg\rho_E(hg)^\dagger(hjh^{-1})^\dagger = hjg\rho_E g^\dagger j^\dagger h^\dagger = hg\rho_E(hg)^\dagger$, and therefore $hjh^{-1} \in J_E^0(\rho_E)$. \square

Remark: In [1], when ρ_E is not full rank, J^1 is introduced and it is claimed that its Lie algebra is solvable (Property 12), but Eq. (A.10) is incorrect. Since there is no need to introduce J^1 at this stage, I do not introduce J^1 for now.

So far we have considered a single pair H, E . From now on, suppose that H and E depend on points of a parameter space M , and consider the situation where a smooth eigenoperator $E(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ over M is given such that

$$[H(x), E(x) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{E}}] = 0, \quad x \in M. \quad (41)$$

For each patch U^α of M , we give an eigenvector

$$H(x)\phi_E(x) = E(x)\phi_E(x), \quad x \in U^\alpha \quad (42)$$

as a ‘‘gauge fixing.’’ Then the patch transformation of the density matrix $\rho_E^\alpha(x) = \langle \phi_E^\alpha(x) | \phi_E^\alpha(x) \rangle_*$ is given by

$$\rho_E^\alpha(x) = g^{\alpha\beta}(x)\rho_E^\beta(x)g^{\alpha\beta}(x)^\dagger, \quad g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \in G_{E(x)}, \quad x \in U^\alpha \cap U^\beta. \quad (43)$$

It follows from

$$\rho_E^\alpha(x) = g^{\alpha\beta}(x)g^{\beta\gamma}(x)g^{\gamma\alpha}(x)\rho_E^\alpha(x)(g^{\alpha\beta}(x)g^{\beta\gamma}(x)g^{\gamma\alpha}(x))^\dagger, \quad x \in U^\alpha \cap U^\beta \cap U^\gamma \quad (44)$$

that the product $g^{\alpha\beta}(x)g^{\beta\gamma}(x)g^{\gamma\alpha}(x)$ belongs to the stabilizer $\{g \in G_{E(x)} | g\rho_E^\alpha(x)g^\dagger = \rho_E^\alpha(x)\}$ of $\rho_E^\alpha(x)$. The definition of $J_{E(x)}^0(\rho_E(x))$ is obtained by collecting the stabilizers of density matrices that are gauge equivalent, $\rho_E(x) \sim g\rho_E(x)g^\dagger$, so there is no need to attach the patch label, and hence

$$h^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x) := g^{\alpha\beta}(x)g^{\beta\gamma}(x)g^{\gamma\alpha}(x) \in J_{E(x)}^0(\rho_E(x)). \quad (45)$$

Therefore, the cocycle condition is not satisfied and the structure of 2-gauge theory appears; this is the claim of [1].

Introduce a connection. For a locally given $\phi_E(x)$, define an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ -valued 1-form A so that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\mathcal{A}\|\phi_E\|_*^2 = \langle \phi_E | d\phi_E \rangle_* . \quad (46)$$

In [1], this definition is said to generalize the definition of the Berry connection for non-Hermitian systems with gain and loss due to the environment. Noting that $\rho_E = \|\phi_E\|_*^2$, \mathcal{A} satisfies

$$d\rho_E = \mathcal{A}\rho_E + \rho_E\mathcal{A}^\dagger . \quad (47)$$

Proof.

$$d\rho_E = d\langle \phi_E | \phi_E \rangle_* \quad (48)$$

$$= \langle d\phi_E | \phi_E \rangle_* + \langle \phi_E | d\phi_E \rangle_* \quad (49)$$

$$= \mathcal{A}\|\phi_E\|_*^2 + \|\phi_E\|_*^2\mathcal{A}^\dagger \quad (50)$$

$$= \mathcal{A}\rho_E + \rho_E\mathcal{A}^\dagger . \quad (51)$$

□

Under the gauge transformation

$$\tilde{\phi}_E = gk\phi_E, \quad g \in G_E, k \in K, \quad (52)$$

the connection \mathcal{A} transforms as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\|\tilde{\phi}_E\|^2 = \langle \tilde{\phi}_E | d\tilde{\phi}_E \rangle_* \quad (53)$$

$$= \text{Tr} \mathcal{E}[(dgk|\phi_E\rangle + gdk|\phi_E\rangle + gk|d\phi_E\rangle)\langle\langle\phi_E|g^\dagger k^\dagger] \quad (54)$$

$$= dg\rho_E g^\dagger + g\langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk|\phi_E\rangle_* g^\dagger + g\mathcal{A}\rho_E g^\dagger . \quad (55)$$

Noting that $\|\tilde{\phi}_E\|^2 = g\rho_E g^\dagger$,

$$g^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}g\rho_E = \mathcal{A}\rho_E + g^{-1}dg\rho_E + \langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk|\phi_E\rangle_* . \quad (56)$$

Here, introduce η as a solution of

$$\eta\|\phi_E\|^2 = \langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk|\phi_E\rangle_* . \quad (57)$$

Then

$$g^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}g\rho_E = (\mathcal{A} + g^{-1}dg + \eta)\rho_E . \quad (58)$$

In [1], although it is noted that ρ_E is not invertible in general, the next step concludes that

$$g^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}g = \mathcal{A} + g^{-1}dg + \eta . \quad (59)$$

This, however, is incorrect because it holds only when ρ_E is invertible. In App. B of [1], the gauge transformation when ρ_E is not invertible is discussed in relation to the gauge group J^1 . The term η represents the deviation from an ordinary gauge transformation and is characteristic of 2-gauge theory. Checking the possible values of η , we find that

$$\eta\rho_E + \rho_E\eta = \langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk|\phi_E\rangle_* + \langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk|\phi_E\rangle_*^\dagger = \langle\phi_E|k^\dagger dk + dk^\dagger k|\phi_E\rangle_* = 0 \quad (60)$$

and hence η belongs to the Lie algebra of the stabilizer of ρ_E (a subgroup of $J_E^0(\rho_E)$). In other words, it is the Lie algebra to which the failure of the cocycle condition belongs. Also note from the construction that η arises from a gauge transformation of the environment.

References

- [1] David Viennot, Jose Lages, *A new kind of geometric phases in open quantum systems and higher gauge theory*, arXiv:1101.2852.
- [2] David Viennot, Jose Lages, *C^* -geometric phase for mixed states: entanglement, decoherence and spin system*, arXiv:1101.2852.