

On $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{sp}(V_{\text{OBC}}) \subset \text{sp}(V_{\text{SIBC}})$

Ken Shiozaki

YITP

June 1, 2026

Abstract

In this note, I give one explanation of $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{sp}(V_{\text{OBC}}) \subset \text{sp}(V_{\text{SIBC}})$ using properties of Hermitian systems.

1 Outline of the explanation

Let V be a block Toeplitz operator of the form

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{-1} & A_{-2} & \cdots & & \\ A_1 & A_0 & A_{-1} & & & \\ A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Here the A_j are square matrices and are assumed to be sufficiently short-ranged. Correspondingly, define the block Toeplitz matrix

$$V_N = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{-1} & A_{-2} & \cdots & A_{-N+1} & A_{-N} \\ A_1 & A_0 & A_{-1} & & & A_{-N+1} \\ A_2 & A_1 & A_0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ A_{N-1} & & & & A_0 & A_{-1} \\ A_N & A_{N-1} & \cdots & & A_1 & A_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

What we want to show is the following lemma, corresponding to Lemma 11.1 of Ref. [1]:

$$\Lambda_w(V) \subset \text{sp}(V). \quad (3)$$

Here $\Lambda_w(V) := \text{“}\limsup \text{sp}(V_n)\text{”}$ is defined as the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which there exists a sequence of eigenvalues λ_{n_j} of V_{n_j} , with $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$, such that $\lambda_{n_j} \rightarrow \lambda$. On the other hand, the spectrum of V is defined by

$$\text{sp}(V) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda - V \text{ is not invertible}\}. \quad (4)$$

Looking at the proof of Lemma 11.1 of Ref. [1], the lemma (3) follows immediately from the following theorem, which corresponds to Theorem 3.7 (or part of it) of [1]:

$$V \text{ is invertible} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_n^{-1}\|_p < \infty. \quad (5)$$

Here $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ is the limit superior

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} a_k, \quad (6)$$

and the norm statement holds for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.¹ In particular, in the $p = 2$ norm, the statement $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_n^{-1}\|_2 < \infty$ can equivalently be phrased as saying that, for sufficiently large n , the smallest singular value of V_n is bounded from below, $\sigma_{\min}(V_n) > \delta > 0$, since $\|V_n^{-1}\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}(V_n^{-1}) = \sigma_{\min}(V_n)^{-1}$.

Indeed, assuming (5), (3) is proved as follows. Let $\lambda_0 \notin \text{sp}(V)$. By (5), there is a sufficiently large n_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0$, one can take $\|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| < M < \infty$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{2M}$, there is no eigenvalue of V_n that approaches λ when $n \geq n_0$. Indeed,

$$\|(V_n - \lambda)^{-1}\| = \|(V_n - \lambda_0 + \lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1}\| \quad (8)$$

$$= \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}(1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1}\| \quad (9)$$

$$\leq \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| \times \|(1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1}\| \quad (10)$$

$$\leq \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| \times \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{(\lambda - \lambda_0)(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\}^k \right\| \quad (11)$$

$$\leq \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{|\lambda - \lambda_0| \times \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\|\}^k \quad (12)$$

$$= \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| \times \frac{1}{1 - |\lambda - \lambda_0| \times \|(V_n - \lambda_0)^{-1}\|} \quad (13)$$

$$\leq M \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = 2M. \quad (14)$$

Thus eigenvalues of V_n do not exist within distance $1/M$ of λ_0 . Hence $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_w(V)$. Notice that this argument does not depend on the choice of norm.

Let us “prove” Theorem (5) in the case $p = 2$. It suffices to show that, when V is invertible, the smallest singular value of V_n has a “gap” for sufficiently large n . Double the degrees of freedom and introduce the Hermitian Hamiltonians

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} & V \\ V^\dagger & \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_n = \begin{pmatrix} & V_n \\ V_n^\dagger & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

¹Memo:

$$\|A\|_p := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_p}{\|x\|_p}, \quad \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_n |x_n|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|x\|_\infty = \sup_n |x_n|.$$

In particular, for $p = 2$,

$$\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^\dagger A)} = \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad (7)$$

that is, it is equal to the largest singular value of A .

Note that the eigenvalues of the OBC Hamiltonian H_n are precisely the singular values $\pm\sigma_j$ of V_n . Now assume that

$$V \text{ is invertible} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H \text{ has a finite energy gap } E_g. \quad (16)$$

For a Toeplitz operator $V = T(a)$, one can show that invertibility of $T(a)$ is equivalent to $a(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ (no gap closing) together with wind $a = 0$ (no zero state of H). Thus (16) holds, and the converse also holds. For a general block Toeplitz operator, one should carefully check whether this is true. (See the next subsection.) Furthermore, make the following “physical” assumption for Hermitian systems:

If H has a finite energy gap E_g ,

$$\text{then, once } n \text{ is sufficiently large compared with } E_g^{-1}, H_n \text{ also has a finite energy gap } E_g. \quad (17)$$

Then, for sufficiently large n , the singular values of V_n are bounded from below:

$$\sigma_j(V_n) \geq E_g. \quad (18)$$

This gives (5) for $p = 2$.

1.1 Invertibility of V and the spectrum of H

We want to show that, when V is invertible, H has a finite energy gap $E_g > 0$. For the spectrum of V , one has

$$V(\mathbb{T}) \subset \text{sp}(V). \quad (19)$$

Therefore, if V is invertible, then $0 \notin V(\mathbb{T})$. Since

$$\det H(k) = |\det V(k)|^2, \quad (20)$$

this means that the bulk of H is gapped. For the spectrum of H , if we use the “common wisdom for Hermitian systems,” then when the bulk of H has an energy gap, the spectrum separates as

$$\text{sp}(H) = H(\mathbb{T}) \cup \{ \text{edge states} \}. \quad (21)$$

Thus it suffices to show that there are no zero-energy edge states. The contraposition

$$H \text{ has a zero-energy edge state} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V \text{ is not invertible} \quad (22)$$

can be shown as follows. A zero-energy state of H is localized at the edge and can be labeled by chirality. In the case

$$\begin{pmatrix} & V \\ V^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |0\rangle \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (23)$$

we have $V|0\rangle = 0$, so V has a localized zero-energy state and is not invertible. In the case

$$\begin{pmatrix} & V \\ V^\dagger & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |0\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (24)$$

we have $V^\dagger|0\rangle = 0$, so again V is not invertible.

Thus, when V is invertible, the bulk $H(\mathbb{T})$ of H has a finite energy gap, and H has no zero-energy edge state.

1.2 Summary

The above discussion can be summarized briefly as follows. We want to show

$$\Lambda_w(V) \subset \text{sp}(V). \quad (25)$$

Assume $\lambda_0 \notin \text{sp}(V)$. Then the corresponding Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$H(\lambda_0) = \begin{pmatrix} & V - \lambda_0 \\ V^\dagger - \lambda_0^* & \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

has a finite energy gap $E_g(\lambda_0)$. Then, by the ‘‘common wisdom for Hermitian systems,’’ the OBC Hamiltonian

$$H_n(\lambda_0) = \begin{pmatrix} & V_n - \lambda_0 \\ V_n^\dagger - \lambda_0^* & \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

should also have a finite energy gap $E_g(\lambda_0)$, provided n is sufficiently large compared with the bulk gap. For the finite matrix $H_n(\lambda_0)$, note that the positive eigenvalues of $H_n(\lambda_0)$ are equal to the singular values of $V_n - \lambda_0$. By the Weyl inequality, any eigenvalue $\lambda_j - \lambda_0$ of $V_n - \lambda_0$ satisfies

$$|\lambda_j - \lambda_0| \geq \sigma_{\min}(H_n(\lambda_0)). \quad (28)$$

Therefore, if n is sufficiently large,

$$|\lambda_j - \lambda_0| \geq \sigma_{\min}(H_n(\lambda_0)) \geq E_g(\lambda_0) > 0. \quad (29)$$

It follows that there is no eigenvalue of V_n approaching λ_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lambda_0 \notin \Lambda_w(V)$.

A Relation between eigenvalues and singular values

In general, the absolute values of the eigenvalues of a matrix can be bounded from below by the smallest singular value. List the eigenvalues λ_j of a matrix A in decreasing order of absolute value, $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_L|$, and similarly list the singular values of A as $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_L$. Noting the Weyl inequalities

$$\prod_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j| \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j, \quad (k = 1, \dots, L-1), \quad (30)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^L |\lambda_j| = \prod_{j=1}^L \sigma_j, \quad (31)$$

we obtain

$$|\lambda_L| = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^L \sigma_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{L-1} |\lambda_j|} \geq \frac{\prod_{j=1}^L \sigma_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{L-1} \sigma_j} = \sigma_L. \quad (32)$$

Taking the $k = 1$ Weyl inequality into account, we have, in the end,

$$\sigma_1 \geq |\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_L| \geq \sigma_L. \quad (33)$$

References

- [1] Böttcher, Albrecht, and Sergei M. Grudsky. *Spectral properties of banded Toeplitz matrices*, **96**, SIAM, 2005.