

The Polyakov–Wiegmann Formula for Non-Simply-Connected Groups

Ken Shiozaki

June 1, 2026

Abstract

When the group G is not simply connected, the Polyakov–Wiegmann (PW) formula receives a correction [1]. This note follows the proof of that correction.

1 The PW Formula

We consider $G = U(n)$ and $G = SO(n)$. Let M be a closed oriented two dimensional manifold. For a smooth map

$$g : M \rightarrow G, \quad (1.1)$$

choose an extension to a three dimensional manifold X ,

$$\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow G, \quad \partial X = M, \quad \tilde{g}|_M = g. \quad (1.2)$$

¹ Define the Wess–Zumino term by

$$H(g) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \text{tr} [(g^{-1}dg)^3], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\text{WZ}[g] := \int_X H(\tilde{g}) \in \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} & (G = U(n)), \\ \mathbb{R}/4\pi\mathbb{Z} & (G = SO(n)). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

For a homotopy of maps $g_t : M \rightarrow G$, $t \in [0, 1]$, one has

$$\text{WZ}[g_1] = \text{WZ}[g_0] + \int_{M \times [0,1]} H(g_t). \quad (1.5)$$

Furthermore, since $\text{tr} [(g^\dagger dg)^3]$ does not depend on the metric of X , $\text{WZ}[g]$ is invariant under changes of the metric on M . We want to compute

- $\text{WZ}[g_0 g_1]$,
- $\text{WZ}[g_0 \oplus g_1]$.

Even if \tilde{g}_0 and \tilde{g}_1 are extensions of g_0 and g_1 , respectively, the product $\tilde{g}_0 \tilde{g}_1$ is not generally an extension of $g_0 g_1$ when the genus of M is nonzero. The same issue appears for direct sums. This happens when the homotopy group $\pi_1(G)$ along cycles in $H_1(M)$ for the maps g_0, g_1 is nontrivial. For instance, on a torus, if g_0 winds in the x direction and can be contracted in the y direction, whereas g_1 winds in the y direction and can be contracted in the x direction, then $g_0 g_1$ cannot be contracted in both the x and y directions.

¹For the existence of such an extension, one should examine $\Omega_2^{\text{SO}}(G)$. Since $\Omega_0^{\text{SO}} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Omega_{i=1,2}^{\text{SO}} = 0$, one has $\Omega_2^{\text{SO}}(G) \cong H_2(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

A direct computation gives

$$H(g_0 g_1) = H(g_0) + H(g_1) + d \operatorname{tr} \left[\frac{1}{4\pi} g_0^{-1} d g_0 g_1 d g_1^{-1} \right]. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus one expects an almost-standard Polyakov–Wiegmann expression

$$\operatorname{WZ}[g_0 g_1] \sim \operatorname{WZ}[g_0] + \operatorname{WZ}[g_1] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g_0^{-1} d g_0 g_1 d g_1^{-1}]. \quad (1.7)$$

Define

$$c[g, h] := \operatorname{WZ}[gh] - \operatorname{WZ}[g] - \operatorname{WZ}[h] - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g^{-1} d g h d h^{-1}]. \quad (1.8)$$

Then:

- $c[g, h]$ depends only on the homotopy classes of g and h .

Indeed, if g_t is a homotopy, then $g_t h$ is also a homotopy, and

$$c[g_1, h] - c[g_0, h] \quad (1.9)$$

$$= \int_{M \times [0,1]} H(g_t h) - \int_{M \times [0,1]} H(g_t) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g_1^{-1} d g_1 h d h^{-1}] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g_0^{-1} d g_0 h d h^{-1}] \quad (1.10)$$

$$= \int_{M \times [0,1]} H(h) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{M \times [0,1]} d \operatorname{tr} [g_t^{-1} d g_t h d h^{-1}] \quad (1.11)$$

$$- \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g_1^{-1} d g_1 h d h^{-1}] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \operatorname{tr} [g_0^{-1} d g_0 h d h^{-1}] \quad (1.12)$$

$$= 0. \quad (1.13)$$

The argument for h is the same. Hence we write

$$c([g], [h]) = c[g, h]. \quad (1.14)$$

Since it depends only on homotopy classes, it is a sum of contributions from the holes of the Riemann surface, and it is enough to compute it for $M = T^2$. For $G = U(n), SO(n)$, since $\pi_2(G) = 0$, a homotopy class on the torus is fixed by specifying the homotopy classes on the two cycles of the torus.

First consider $U(n)$. Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(n_x x + n_y y)} & \\ & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(m_x x + m_y y)} & \\ & 1_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.15)$$

The maps g, h, gh are independent of one direction on the torus after choosing representatives, so

$$\operatorname{WZ}[g] = \operatorname{WZ}[h] = \operatorname{WZ}[gh] = 0. \quad (1.16)$$

Therefore

$$c([g], [h]) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T^2} \operatorname{tr} [g^{-1} d g h d h^{-1}] \quad (1.17)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T^2} (n_x m_y - n_y m_x) dx dy \quad (1.18)$$

$$= -\pi(n_x m_y - n_y m_x) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.19)$$

For $SO(n \geq 2)$, take

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(n_x \sigma_y x + n_y \sigma_y y)} & \\ & 1_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(m_x \sigma_y x + m_y \sigma_y y)} & \\ & 1_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.20)$$

Then

$$c([g], [h]) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T^2} \text{tr} [g^{-1} dg h dh^{-1}] \quad (1.21)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{T^2} 2(n_x m_y - n_y m_x) dx dy \quad (1.22)$$

$$= -2\pi(n_x m_y - n_y m_x) \in \mathbb{R}/4\pi\mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.23)$$

In both cases, the correction to the PW formula depends only on the winding numbers of g and h and is \mathbb{Z}_2 -valued.

For a direct sum, after adding a trivial block to match the sizes of g and h ,

$$\text{WZ}[g \oplus h] = \text{WZ} \left[\begin{pmatrix} gh^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & h \end{pmatrix} \right] \quad (1.24)$$

$$= \text{WZ} \left[\begin{pmatrix} gh^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \text{WZ} \left[\begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & h \end{pmatrix} \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \text{tr} [(gh^{-1})^{-1} d(gh^{-1}) h dh^{-1}] + c([gh^{-1}], 2[h]) \quad (1.25)$$

$$= \text{WZ}[gh^{-1}] + 2\text{WZ}[h] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \text{tr} [(gh^{-1})^{-1} d(gh^{-1}) h dh^{-1}] \quad (1.26)$$

$$= \text{WZ}[g] + \text{WZ}[h] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \text{tr} [g^{-1} dg h^{-1} dh] + c([g], [h^{-1}]) \quad (1.27)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_M \text{tr} [(gh^{-1})^{-1} d(gh^{-1}) h dh^{-1}] \quad (1.28)$$

$$= \text{WZ}[g] + \text{WZ}[h] - c([g], [h]). \quad (1.29)$$

Thus the same type of correction term appears for direct sums.

References

- [1] Krzysztof Gawedzki and Konrad Waldorf, *Polyakov–Wiegmann Formula and Multiplicative Gerbes*, arXiv:0908.1130.