

Note: On Lattice Approximation Formulae for Topological Invariants

Ken Shiozaki

May 31, 2026

Abstract

We summarize formulations of topological invariants, of the kind discussed in band theory, that are suitable for numerical computation.

1 The Case $S^1 \rightarrow U(N)$

Write a coordinate on S^1 as $k \in [0, 2\pi]$, identifying $k = 2\pi$ with $k = 0$. Consider a continuous map

$$g : S^1 \rightarrow U(N), \quad k \mapsto g_k, \quad (1)$$

The degree of g , or the “one-dimensional winding number” $W_1[g]$, is defined by how many times the $U(1)$ phase $\det g_k$ winds. If g is smooth, it is given by

$$W_1[g] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_0^{2\pi} d \log \det g_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_0^{2\pi} \text{tr} [g_k^\dagger f g_k] \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2)$$

In numerical calculations in band theory and related settings, one often does not have an explicit expression for the $U(N)$ -valued function g_k ; instead, it is given only on a set of lattice points Λ approximating S^1 . For example, take

$$\Lambda = \{k = \frac{2\pi n}{L} \in [0, 2\pi] | n = 0, \dots, L-1\} \quad (3)$$

and consider data consisting of L matrices in $U(N)$,

$$\{g_k\}_{k \in \Lambda} \quad (4)$$

in a situation where neighboring $U(N)$ values g_k and g_{k+1} are sufficiently “close.” More precisely, “close” means that the two points g_k, g_{k+1} satisfy a condition under which the continuous interpolation between them is unique. For instance, if

$$g_{k+1} g_k^\dagger = \gamma \text{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}) \gamma^\dagger \quad (5)$$

is a diagonalization and the arguments θ_n of all eigenvalues $e^{i\theta_n}$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, satisfy $-\pi + \theta_{\text{gap}} < \theta_n < \pi - \theta_{\text{gap}}$ for some finite constant $\theta_{\text{gap}} > 0$, then g_k and g_{k+1} can be interpolated uniquely:

$$g_{k+t} = \gamma \text{diag}(e^{it\theta_1}, \dots, e^{it\theta_N}) \gamma^\dagger g_k, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (6)$$

A finite θ_{gap} also guarantees numerical stability. If a continuous function g_k , $k \in S^1$, is uniquely interpolated from the set of $U(N)$ values $\{g_k\}_{k \in \Lambda}$ on lattice points, then the degree $W_1[g]$ is defined even when g_k itself is specified only on the lattice.

The problem is the following.

Let $|\Lambda|$ be the number of points in the lattice Λ . Give an algorithm which computes the degree $W_1[g]$ from the lattice data $\{g_k\}_\Lambda$, is quantized, and has computational cost $O(|\Lambda|)$.^a Here “quantized” means that the result is always an integer.

^aIt was pointed out that, if polynomial time in $|\Lambda|$ were allowed, one could couple to Wilson–Dirac fermions and look at spectral flow, or construct overlap fermions and compute the index. I therefore changed the requirement to $O(|\Lambda|)$.

We call an algorithm, or a formula for an invariant, satisfying the above requirements simply a lattice approximation formula.

For $W_1[g]$ there is a simple method. Divide the integral into intervals and rewrite it as a sum over pieces. Write the lattice approximation formula for the topological invariant $W_1[g]$ as $W_1^{\text{lat}}[g]$.

$$W_1^{\text{lat}}[g] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \text{Arg}(\det g_{k+1}/\det g_k) \quad (7)$$

is sufficient. Here $-\pi \leq \text{Arg } z < \pi$. Quantization is guaranteed because

$$e^{2\pi i W_1^{\text{lat}}[g]} = \prod_{k=0}^{L-1} \det g_{k+1}/\det g_k = 1 \quad (8)$$

2 $X_2 \rightarrow \text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M})$

As a nontrivial example, consider the degree of a map to a Grassmannian. Let X_2 be an oriented closed two-dimensional manifold, and let $\text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M}) = U(N+M)/(U(N) \times U(M))$ be the complex Grassmannian. Consider a smooth map

$$P : X_2 \rightarrow \text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M}), \quad X_2 \ni k \mapsto P_k, \quad (9)$$

As a concrete representation of P_k , take it to be an orthogonal projection of rank N in the space of $(N+M) \times (N+M)$ matrices:

$$P_k \in \text{Mat}_{(N+M) \times (N+M)}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text{rank}[P_k] = N, \quad P_k^2 = P_k, \quad P_k^\dagger = P_k. \quad (10)$$

Here P_k^\dagger denotes the Hermitian conjugate of the matrix P_k . The degree of P , namely the first Chern character, is given by

$$ch_1[P] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{X_2} \text{Tr}[PdPdP] \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (11)$$

In band theory, the lattice approximation formula for $ch_1[P]$ is known as the Fukui–Hatsugai–Suzuki formula [1]. We recall the construction. Approximate X_2 by a triangulation, and denote the set of vertices by Λ . An arbitrary polygonal decomposition would also be fine. Each triangle of the lattice Λ is assumed to be sufficiently “small” to satisfy the condition stated below. For each triangle Δ^2 , choose a “local trivialization” $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}$ of P_k , $k \in \Delta^2$:

$$P_k = \Phi_{\Delta^2, k} \Phi_{\Delta^2, k}^\dagger, \quad k \in \Delta^2. \quad (12)$$

Here $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}$ is an orthonormal frame satisfying $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}^\dagger \Phi_{\Delta^2, k} = 1_N$. A direct calculation gives

$$\text{Tr}[PdPdP] = d \, \text{tr} [\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}], \quad k \in \Delta^2, \quad (13)$$

where tr is the trace over $N \times N$ matrices. This gives the expression

$$ch_1[P] = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\Delta^2} \int_{\Delta^2} d \, \text{tr} [\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}] \quad (14)$$

$$= \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\Delta^2} \oint_{\partial\Delta^2} \text{tr} [\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}] \quad (15)$$

Note that the second equality is valid only when $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}$ is defined continuously also in the interior of the triangle Δ^2 . If $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}$ is defined continuously only on the boundary $k \in \partial\Delta^2$ of the triangle, the line integral has an ambiguity by 2π :

$$\oint_{\partial\Delta^2} i\text{tr}[\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}] \sim \oint_{\partial\Delta^2} i\text{tr}[\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}] + 2\pi. \quad (16)$$

In other words, the $U(1)$ phase

$$z_{\Delta^2} := \exp\left[-\oint_{\partial\Delta^2} \text{tr}[\Phi_{\Delta^2}^\dagger d\Phi_{\Delta^2}]\right] \in U(1) \quad (17)$$

is well-defined even when the local trivialization $\Phi_{\Delta^2, k}$ is given only on the boundary $\partial\Delta^2$.

Write the vertices of a triangle as $\Delta^2 = (v_0 v_1 v_2)$. The $U(1)$ phase z_{Δ^2} can be approximated using only the local trivializations $\Phi_{v_0}, \Phi_{v_1}, \Phi_{v_2}$ at the vertices v_0, v_1, v_2 :

$$z_{\Delta^2} \cong z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}} := \exp\left[i \arg \det[\Phi_{v_0}^\dagger \Phi_{v_2} \Phi_{v_2}^\dagger \Phi_{v_1} \Phi_{v_1}^\dagger \Phi_{v_0}]\right] \quad (18)$$

$$= \exp\left[i \arg \det[\Phi_{v_0}^\dagger \Phi_{v_2}] \det[\Phi_{v_2}^\dagger \Phi_{v_1}] \det[\Phi_{v_1}^\dagger \Phi_{v_0}]\right]. \quad (19)$$

The $U(1)$ phase $z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}}$ can also be obtained without introducing the local trivializations Φ_v , using only the orthogonal projections P_v at the vertices:

$$z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}} = Z[P_{v_2} P_{v_1} P_{v_0}] \quad (20)$$

Here, for a diagonalizable square matrix A , $Z[A]$ is defined as the product of the nonzero eigenvalues of A , namely

$$Z[A] := \prod_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(A), \lambda \neq 0} \lambda. \quad (21)$$

We write $\text{Spec}(A)$ for the set of eigenvalues of A , counted with multiplicity. Assume that the lattice Λ is sufficiently “fine” to satisfy the following condition: there exists a finite $\theta_{\text{gap}} > 0$ such that

$$|\text{Arg} z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}}| < \pi - \theta_{\text{gap}}. \quad (22)$$

Under this condition, the argument is defined as a numerically stable real number. Thus the lattice approximation formula for $ch_1[P]$ is obtained as

$$ch_1^{\text{lat}}[P] := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\Delta^2} \text{Arg} z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}}. \quad (23)$$

The quantization $ch_1^{\text{lat}}[P] \in \mathbb{Z}$ follows from

$$e^{2\pi i ch_1^{\text{lat}}[P]} = \prod_{\Delta^2} z_{\Delta^2}^{\text{lat}} = \prod_{\Delta^2} \prod_{v_0 v_1 \in \text{edges in } \partial\Delta^2} \exp i \arg \det[\Phi_{v_1}^\dagger \Phi_{v_0}] \quad (24)$$

because the contributions associated with each edge $v_0 v_1$ cancel between the two adjacent triangles, giving $e^{2\pi i ch_1^{\text{lat}}[P]} = 1$.

3 $X_3 \rightarrow U(N)$

Let X_3 be an oriented closed three-dimensional manifold. Consider a smooth map

$$g : X_3 \rightarrow U(N), \quad X_3 \ni k \mapsto g_k, \quad (25)$$

The degree, or the “three-dimensional winding number,” is

$$W_3[g] = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{X_3} \text{tr}[(g^\dagger dg)^3] \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (26)$$

The 3-form $\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \text{tr}[(g^\dagger dg)^3]$ represents the generator of the de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}[U(N)]$ corresponding to the generator of $H^3[U(N), \mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{Z}$.

The lattice approximation formula for $W_3[g]$ is given in [2].

4 $X_4 \rightarrow \text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M})$: An Example of an Open Problem

Let X_4 be an oriented closed four-dimensional manifold, and let $\text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M}) = U(N+M)/(U(N) \times U(M))$ be the complex Grassmannian. Consider a smooth map

$$P : X_4 \rightarrow \text{Gr}_N(\mathbb{C}^{N+M}), \quad X_4 \ni k \mapsto P_k, \quad (27)$$

$$P_k \in \text{Mat}_{(N+M) \times (N+M)}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text{rank}[P_k] = N, \quad P_k^2 = P_k, \quad P_k^\dagger = P_k, \quad (28)$$

The degree of P , namely the second Chern character, is given by

$$ch_2[P] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int_{X_4} \text{Tr}[P(dP dP)^2]. \quad (29)$$

The quantized value depends on the global structure of X_4 . For example, when $X_4 = S^4$ or T^4 , one has $ch_2[P] \in \mathbb{Z}$. A lattice approximation formula for $ch_2[P]$ is an open problem.

5 A More General Problem Setting

For the ten classifying spaces of K -theory and KO -theory, and for a manifold X of general dimension, lattice approximation formulae for topological invariants are mostly open problems. The ten spaces are given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} C_0 \quad \cup_M \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U(2N)/U(2N-M) \times U(2N+M) \\ C_1 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U(N) \\ \hline R_0 \quad \cup_M \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} O(2N)/O(2N-M) \times O(2N+M) \\ R_1 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} O(N) \\ R_2 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} O(2N)/U(N) \\ R_3 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U(2N)/Sp(N) \\ R_4 \quad \cup_M \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Sp(2N)/Sp(2N-M) \times Sp(2N+M) \\ R_5 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Sp(N) \\ R_6 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Sp(N)/U(N) \\ R_7 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} U(N)/O(N) \end{array}$$

Here

$$Sp(N) = \{g \in U(2N) \mid i\sigma_y g^* (i\sigma_y)^\dagger = g\}, \quad i\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} & 1_N \\ -1_N & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (30)$$

is the symplectic group. For a matrix A , we write A^* for its complex conjugate. The spaces C_n are the classifying spaces of K -theory, and the spaces R_n are the classifying spaces of KO -theory.

$$K^{-n}(X) = [X, C_n], \quad (31)$$

$$KO^{-n}(X) = [X, R_n]. \quad (32)$$

In particular, the classification over spheres is given by

$$\tilde{K}^{-n}(S^p) = K^{-n}(X, pt) = \pi_p[C_n] = \pi_0[C_{n+p}], \quad (33)$$

$$\tilde{K}O^{-n}(S^p) = KO^{-n}(X, pt) = \pi_p[R_n] = \pi_0[R_{n+p}], \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} n \bmod 2 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \pi_0[C_n] & \mathbb{Z} & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccccc} n \bmod 8 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ \hline \pi_0[R_n] & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & 0 & 2\mathbb{Z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

Points of the ten spaces are realized either by Hermitian matrices $h^\dagger = h$ satisfying $h^2 = 1$ (that is, matrices with eigenvalues ± 1), or by $U(N)$ -valued matrices $q \in U(N)$, with additional constraints imposed when necessary. The conditions are summarized as follows:

| | |
|-------|---|
| C_0 | h , no constraint |
| C_1 | q , no constraint |
| R_0 | $h, h^* = h$ |
| R_1 | $q, q^* = q$ |
| R_2 | $h, h^* = -h$ |
| R_3 | $q, q^\top = -q$ |
| R_4 | $h, (i\sigma_y)h^*(i\sigma_y)^\dagger = h$ |
| R_5 | $q, (i\sigma_y)q^*(i\sigma_y)^\dagger = q$ |
| R_6 | $h, (i\sigma_y)h^*(i\sigma_y)^\dagger = -h$ |
| R_7 | $q, q^\top = q$ |

The problem is:

For given h, q , give lattice approximation formulae for the topological invariants that characterize the K - and KO -groups.

References

- [1] Takahiro Fukui, Yasuhiro Hatsugai, Hiroshi Suzuki, *Chern Numbers in Discretized Brillouin Zone: Efficient Method of Computing (Spin) Hall Conductances*, arXiv:cond-mat/0503172.
- [2] KS, *A discrete formulation for three-dimensional winding number*, arXiv:2403.05291.