Study on the dineutron in the 2⁺₁ resonance of ⁶He

Shoya Ogawa (Kyushu Univ.)

Collaborator : Takuma Matsumoto

Phys. Rev. C 105, L041601 (2022)

Resonance of ⁶He

Recently, by the development of experiments, resonant states of nuclei near the neutron dripline have been intensively pursued.

- ➢ Resonance in ⁶He
 - 2_1^+ appears as a sharp peak in the breakup cross section.



 The existence of the dineutron in the 2⁺₁ state is discussed.
 Y. Kikuchi, et al., PRC 88, 021602(R) (2013) A. Saito, et al., in preparation (2022)

Dineutron in resonance

Double Differential Breakup Cross Section (DDBUX)
⁶He + ¹²C @ 240 MeV/A Y. Kikuchi, et al., PRC 88, 021602(R) (2013)



• Total $(0^+, 1^-, 2^+)$: A peak around $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7$ MeV.

Dineutron in resonance

Double Differential Breakup Cross Section (DDBUX)

 $^{6}He + {}^{12}C @ 240 MeV/A$ Y. Kikuchi, et al., PRC 88, 021602(R) (2013) spatial $c^{0.98+0.27/2-\varepsilon_{nn}}$ $d\sigma_{-} =$ $d^2\sigma$ ε_{n-n} $d^2\sigma$ $-d\varepsilon_{nn-o}$ $\int_{0.98-0.27/2-\varepsilon_{nn}} \overline{d\varepsilon_{nn}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}}$ $\mathcal{E}_{nn-\alpha}$ $d\varepsilon_{nn}$ $d\varepsilon_{nn}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}$ 8 Total 7 (b) Res. dσ/dE_{n-n} [mb/MeV] 16 6 Non-res. dσ/dε_{n-n}dε_{nn-α} [mb/MeV²] 12 5 16 momentum 8 12 4 3 high momentum 8 0 2 0 .5 1.5 $\frac{1.2}{\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} \frac{1.2}{M_{eV_{j}}} \frac{1.2}{0.9} \frac{1.2}{0.6} \frac{1.2}{0.3}$ 0.6 0.9 1.2 0.6 MeVI 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 0 ϵ_{n-n} [MeV] 0.3

- Total (0⁺, 1⁻, 2⁺) : A peak around $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7$ MeV.
- Res. : The cross section gated within the resonant energy of 2_1^+ Shoulder peak around $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow \text{Dineutron in } 2_1^+?$

Motivation

- > The shoulder peak comes from the 2_1^+ state?
 - Although the cross section gated within the resonant energy, it cannot completely exclude the nonresonant contributions from the cross section.
- The shoulder peak comes from the dineutron?
 - The previous study only shows the existence of the peak.
 - The dineutron consists of two neutrons with S = 0. What is the relationship between spin and peak?



Complex Scaling Method (CSM)

CSM successfully describes resonances in the Few-body system.

≻ Complex scaling transformation : $U(\theta)xU^{-1}(\theta) = xe^{i\theta}$

Gaussian Expansion Method



$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} h_{i'j',ij}^{\theta} \\ & -\varepsilon^{\theta} \\ & N_{i'j',ij} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} C_{ij}^{\theta} \\ & N_{i'j',ij} = \langle \tilde{\phi}_{i'} \tilde{\phi}_{j'} | h^{\theta} | \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \rangle \\ & N_{i'j',ij} = \langle \tilde{\phi}_{i'} \tilde{\phi}_{j'} | \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \rangle \\ \end{array} \right]$$

Complex Scaling Method (CSM)

CSM successfully describes resonances in the Few-body system.

≻ Complex scaling transformation : $U(\theta)xU^{-1}(\theta) = xe^{i\theta}$

Gaussian Expansion Method



 \rightarrow General eigenvalue problem for complex symmetric matrices

	ε_r [MeV]	Γ [MeV]
Result	0.823	0.121

Continuum-Discretized Coupled Channels method (CDCC)

 \succ ⁶He (= α+n+n) + Target → 4-body breakup reaction

CDCC can describe 4-body breakup reaction precisely.



 $(h_{^{6}\mathrm{He}} - \varepsilon)\Phi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0 \quad \Phi_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$: eigenstates of ⁶He

 Φ_n

Smoothing factor for CDCC

The cross section obtained by CDCC is discretized with respect to energy.

 $\succ \text{Continuous T-matrix} \\ T_{\varepsilon} \simeq \sum_{n} \langle \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(-)} | \Phi_{n} \rangle T_{n}^{\text{CDCC}} \\ \underset{\text{smoothing factor}}{\text{smoothing factor}} \end{cases}$

- wavefunction of ⁶He - $\Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(-)}$: exact state Φ_{n} : discretized state

• Complex Scaled solutions of Lippmann-Schwinger eq. (CSLS) Y. Kikuchi, et al., Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013), 021602(R)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{(-)} | &= \langle \phi_{\varepsilon} | + \langle \phi_{\varepsilon} | V \frac{1}{\varepsilon - h + i\eta} \\ & & \downarrow \\ \hline \frac{1}{\varepsilon - h + i\eta} = U^{-1}(\theta) \sum_{\nu} |\Phi_{\nu}^{\theta}\rangle \frac{1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\theta}} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu}^{\theta} | U(\theta) \\ \langle \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} | &= \langle \phi_{\varepsilon} | + \sum_{\nu} \langle \phi_{\varepsilon} | VU^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\nu}^{\theta}\rangle \frac{1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\theta}} \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu}^{\theta} | U(\theta) \\ & \text{plane wave} : \ \phi_{\varepsilon} = e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} e^{i\boldsymbol{K}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}} \end{split}$$

Double Differential Breakup Cross section (DDBUX)

> Continuous T-matrix with CSLS

$$T(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{K}) = \sum_{n} \langle \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} | \Phi_n \rangle T_n^{\text{CDCC}}$$

0.6 0.3 0

 $\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}$ [MeV]

 ε_{n-n} [MeV]

$$P$$

$$E_{\text{tot}} = E + \varepsilon_0$$

$$DDBUX \\ \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} = \sum_{nn'} T_{n}T_{n'}^{\dagger} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{K} d\mathbf{P} \langle \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} | \Phi_{n} \rangle \langle \Phi_{n'} | \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} \rangle \\ \times \delta \left(E_{\text{tot}} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{P}^{2}}{2\mu} - \varepsilon_{n-n} - \varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{n-n} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2}}{2\mu_{n-n}} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{K}^{2}}{2\mu_{nn-\alpha}} \right) \\ \varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \\$$

• Both of resonant and nonresonant contributions are included.

Cross section for resonant state



DDBUX with the rewritten T-matrix

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} = \sum_{\nu\nu'} \tilde{T}_{\nu}^{\theta}\tilde{T}_{\nu'}^{\theta\dagger} \int d\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{K}d\mathbf{P} \left\langle \Phi_{\mathrm{CSLS}}^{(-)} | U^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\nu}^{\theta} \right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu'}^{-\theta} | U^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\mathrm{CSLS}}^{(-)} \right\rangle$$
$$\times \delta \left(E_{\mathrm{tot}} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{P}^{2}}{2\mu} - \varepsilon_{n-n} - \varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{n-n} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2}}{2\mu_{n-n}} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} - \frac{\hbar^{2}\mathbf{K}^{2}}{2\mu_{nn-\alpha}} \right)$$

Cross section for resonant state



 $\succ \nu = \nu' = \text{Res.}$

 \rightarrow We define this term as a cross section for the resonance.

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{Res.}}}{d\varepsilon_{n-n} d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} \equiv |\tilde{T}_{\text{Res.}}^{\theta}|^2 \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{K} d\mathbf{P}| \left\langle \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} | U^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\text{Res.}}^{\theta} \right\rangle |^2 \\ \times \delta \left(E_{\text{tot}} - \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{P}^2}{2\mu} - \varepsilon_{n-n} - \varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{n-n} - \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2\mu_{n-n}} \right) \delta \left(\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} - \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{K}^2}{2\mu_{nn-\alpha}} \right)$$

• The shape of the cross section is reflected by $\left|\left\langle \Phi_{\text{CSLS}}^{(-)} \middle| U^{-1}(\theta) \middle| \Phi_{\text{Res.}}^{\theta} \right\rangle\right|^2$.

Double differential breakup cross section



> DDBUX for the resonance has a peak structure at the same position as DDBUX for $I^{\pi} = 2^+$ state.

Double differential breakup cross section



> DDBUX for the resonance has a peak structure at the same position as DDBUX for $I^{\pi} = 2^+$ state.

Contribution from 2^+_1



Solid line : shoulder peak around $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7 \text{ MeV}$

Contribution from 2^+_1



→ Solid line : shoulder peak around $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7$ MeV

> Dotted line : S = 0 component has a peak at $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7$ MeV.

 \rightarrow These results indicate existence of the dineutron in the 2⁺₁ state.

Double differential breakup cross section



> DDBUX for the resonance has a peak structure at the same position as DDBUX for $I^{\pi} = 2^+$ state.

Measurable cross section



The same definition as one, which discussed in the previous work.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}} = \int_{D} \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}$$
$$\left(D:\varepsilon_{r}-\frac{\Gamma}{2} \le \varepsilon_{n-n}+\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \le \varepsilon_{r}+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\right)$$

- > The same shoulder peak as in the case of the resonance.
- > S = 0 component of the measurable cross section has also the peak at $\varepsilon_{n-n} = 0.7$ MeV.

The behavior of the resonant cross section and measurable cross section is same.

 \rightarrow The shoulder peak can be expected to come from the dineutron in 2⁺₁.

Summary

We analyze the breakup reaction for ${}^{6}\text{He} + {}^{12}\text{C}$ system, with CDCC and CSLS.

- ➤ We define the DDBUX for the resonance.
- > From the analysis of the cross sections of the resonant states, it is found that two neutrons with S = 0 contribute to the shoulder peak.
- Similar peaks from the S=0 component appears in the measurable cross sections.
- These results strongly support the existence of the dineutron in the 2⁺₁ state of ⁶He.



Hamiltonian of ⁶He

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^{3} t_i - T_G + \sum_{i=1}^{2} V_{n\alpha}(r_i) + V_{nn}(r_3) + V_{nn\alpha}(r_1, r_2, r_3) + V_{\text{Pauli}}$$

 $\succ V_{n\alpha}$: KKNN interaction H. Kanada et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. 61, 1327.



$> V_{nn}$: Minnesota interaction

D. R. Thompson, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 286, 53.

 $>V_{nn\alpha}$: Phenomenological 3-body force We introduce $V_{nn\alpha}$ to reproduce the binding energy of ground state and the resonant energy and decay width of the 2⁺₁ state.

$> V_{Pauli}$: Orthogonal condition model

S. Saito, Prog. Theor. Phys. 41, 705.

Complex Scaling Method (CSM)

Transform the internal coordinates x, y of ⁶He as follow:



$$U(\theta)\mathbf{x}U^{-1}(\theta) = \mathbf{x}e^{i\theta}, \quad U(\theta)\mathbf{y}U^{-1}(\theta) = \mathbf{y}e^{i\theta}$$



Angular density

$$\rho(\theta_{12}) = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{Res.}}^{\theta} | \delta(\theta_{12} - \omega) | \Phi_{\text{Res.}}^{\theta} \rangle$$

This density is defined in [A. T. Kruppa, et al., PRC **89**, 014330 (2013)].



- > This density does not depend on the scaling angle θ .
- > 2⁺₁

 Total : A peak at $\theta_{12} \sim 80^\circ$.
 - S = 0: A peak at $\theta_{12} \sim 30^{\circ} \rightarrow \text{dineutron}?$

Complex scaling transformation

➢ Property of complex scaling transformation
U⁻¹(θ) = U(−θ) = [U(θ)]*

$$\langle g|U(\theta)f\rangle = \langle U^{\dagger}(\theta)g|f\rangle$$

$$\langle g|U(\theta)f\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ g^{*}(x)e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}f(xe^{i\theta})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{i\theta} dx'e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}g^{*}(x'e^{-i\theta})f(x') \qquad (x' = xe^{i\theta})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}g^{*}(xe^{-i\theta})f(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}g(xe^{i\theta})\right]^{*}f(x)$$

$$= \langle U(\theta)g|f\rangle \longrightarrow U(\theta) = U^{\dagger}(\theta)$$

> Property of the scaled Hamiltonian $h^{\theta \dagger} = [U(\theta)hU^{-1}(\theta)]^{\dagger} = U^{-1}(\theta)hU(\theta) = h^{-\theta}$



Optical potential



v_{eff}(s) : Melbourne g-matrix
K. Amos, et al., in Advances in Nuclear Physics, 25, 275 (2000).

NN interaction and the shape of the cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}} = \int_{D} \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha}} d\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \qquad \left(D:\varepsilon_{r}-\frac{\Gamma}{2} \le \varepsilon_{n-n}+\varepsilon_{nn-\alpha} \le \varepsilon_{r}+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\right)$$

Minnesota interaction D. R. Thompson, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 286, 53 (1977)

Gogny-Pires-Tourreil interaction D. Gogny, et al., Phys. Lett. B 32, 591 (1970).



Interference between the resonant and nonresonant states

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-n}}\right)_{\text{interference}} \equiv \int_{D} d\varepsilon_{n-n} 2\operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{\nu \in D'} T_{\nu}^{\theta} T_{\nu_{\mathrm{R}}}^{\theta} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{K} d\mathbf{P} \left\langle \Phi_{\mathrm{CSLS}}^{(-)} | U^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\nu_{\mathrm{R}}}^{\theta} \right\rangle \left\langle \Phi_{\nu}^{-\theta} | U^{-1}(\theta) | \Phi_{\mathrm{CSLS}}^{(-)} \right\rangle \delta_{e.c.} \right]$$

$$(D': \varepsilon_{r} - \Gamma/2 \leq \operatorname{Re}[\varepsilon_{\nu}^{\theta}] \leq \varepsilon_{r} + \Gamma/2, \quad \nu \neq \nu_{R}).$$

$$\left[\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{\theta} \int_{0}^{\theta}$$

Future work

I will investigate the distribution of the correlation angle θ_c .

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-\alpha}d\theta_c} = \int_D d\varepsilon_{n\alpha-n} \frac{d^3\sigma}{d\varepsilon_{n-\alpha}d\varepsilon_{n\alpha-n}d\theta_c}$$
$$(\varepsilon_r - \Gamma/2 \le \varepsilon_{n-\alpha} + \varepsilon_{n\alpha-n} \le \varepsilon_r + \Gamma/2)$$