Subtracted Second RPA method and nuclear collective states

Hiroyuki Sagawa RIKEN/University of Aizu

YKIS2022

Development of Physics of Unstable Nuclei

2022年5月23日—2022年5月27日 京都大学基礎物理学研究所

Effects of the Skyrme tensor force on 0⁺, 2⁺, and 3⁻ states in ¹⁶O and ⁴⁰Ca nuclei with second random phase approximation

M. J. Yang,¹ C. L. Bai,¹ H. Sagawa,² and H. Q. Zhang³

¹College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chendu 610065, China ²Center for Mathematics and Physics, University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Fukushima 965-8560, Japan RIKEN, Nishina Center, Wako, Saitama, Japan ³China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China PHYSICAL REVIEW C 103, 054308 (2021)

Second RPA and Extended RPA

J. Da Providecia, Nucl. Phys. 61, 87 (1965) K. Takayanagi, K. Shimizu and A. Arima, Nucl.Phys. A444, 436 (1985) K. Takayanagi, K. Shimizu and A. Arima, Nucl.Phys. A477, 205 (1988) C. Yannouleas, Phys. Rev. C3, 1159 (1987)

SRPA (Second RPA based on density functional theory)

P. Papakonstantinou and R. Roth, Phys. Lett. B 671, 356 Phys. Rev. C 81, 024317 (2010). D. Gambacurta, M. Grasso, and F. Catara, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 38, 035103 (2011). D. Gambacurta, M. Grasso, V. De Donno, G. Co', and F. Catara, Phys. Rev. C 86,021304(R) (2012).

M. Tohyama and P. Schuck, Eur. Phys. J. A 32, 139 (2007).

M. Tohyama, Phys. Rev. C 87, 054330 (2013).

SSRPA (subtracted SRPA): subtract double-counting contribution)

V. I. Tselyaev, Phys. Rev. C 88, 054301 (2013).

P. Papakonstantinou, Phys. Rev. C 90, 024305 (2014).

D. Gambacurta, M. Grasso, and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034303 (2015)

D. Gambacurta, M. Grasso, and J. Engel, PHYS. REV. LETT. 125, 212501 (2020)

RPA ground state is defined as

$$|\Psi\rangle = e^{\hat{S}}|\Phi\rangle,$$

where

$$\hat{S} = \sum_{ph} C_{ph}(t) a_p^{\dagger} a_h,$$

SRPA operator is

$$\hat{S} = \sum_{ph} C_{ph}(t) a_p^{\dagger} a_h + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{php'h'} \hat{C}_{pp'hh'}(t) a_p^{\dagger} a_{p'}^{\dagger} a_h a_{h'}.$$

The basic idea is the same as the coupled cluster model with s- and d- pairs.

Hohenberg- Kohn (HK) theorem [29] and the Kohn-Sham (KS) procedure

the energy-density functional $E[\rho]$ is universal: in the presence of an additional local Hermitian operator $\lambda Q(\mathbf{r})$, with λ an arbitrary constant, $E[\rho]$ is modified in a simple way,

$$E[\rho] \to E_{\lambda}[\rho] = E[\rho] + \lambda \int d\mathbf{r} Q(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}).$$
 (1)

system's density changes from the unperturbed ground-state density ρ_0 to a new one, ρ_{λ} , given by

$$\rho_{\lambda} = \rho_0 + \lambda \int d\mathbf{r} \, R(\omega = 0, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') Q(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (2)$$

In the KS approach, the fundamental philosophy or the essential assumption is EDF(in our case Skyrme interaction) is exact to produce the binding energy and the ground state density

In the adiabatic limit, $\omega \rightarrow 0$ $R(\omega = 0) = R_{KS}^{RPA}$,

since a small amplitude limit of TDHF is RPA.

By using a time-dependent Kohn-Sham procedure as a time dependent Hohenberg Kohn (HK) theorem (known as Runge-Gross theorem) gives the energy dependent response function

$$R(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = R_{\mathrm{KS}}^{0}(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') + \int d\mathbf{r}_{1} d\mathbf{r}_{2}$$
$$\times R_{\mathrm{KS}}^{0}(\omega, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{1}) V(\omega, \mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}) R(\omega, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}'),$$

where R_{KS}^0 is the bare Kohn-Sham (mean-field) response and $V(\omega)$ is a frequency-dependent effective interaction obtained from the time-dependent energy-density functional $\mathcal{E}[\rho(t),t]$.

But since R_{KS}^{RPA} is correct (as correct as the Skyrme functional, anyway) in the adiabatic limit, we must modify the SRPA so that it gives the RPA response at $\omega = 0$.

SRPA and RPA effective interactions by $U(\omega)$,

$$U(\omega) \equiv V^{\text{SRPA}}(\omega) - V^{\text{RPA}}(\omega) \to 0 \qquad \omega \to 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\nu}^{\dagger} &= \sum_{ph} (X_{ph}^{\nu} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{h} - Y_{ph}^{\nu} a_{h}^{\dagger} a_{p}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{p_{1} < p_{2} \\ h_{1} < h_{2}}} (X_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}^{\nu} a_{p_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{p_{2}}^{\dagger} a_{h_{2}} a_{h_{1}} \\ &- Y_{p_{1}p_{2}h_{1}h_{2}}^{\nu} a_{h_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{h_{2}}^{\dagger} a_{p_{2}} a_{p_{1}}) \end{aligned}$$

RPA equation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B^* & -A^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X^{\nu} \\ Y^{\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \hbar \omega_{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} X^{\nu} \\ Y^{\nu} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^{\nu} \\ X_2^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^{\nu} \\ Y_2^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} A_{11} =& A_{ph;p'h'} \\ &= \langle HF|[a_h^{\dagger}a_p, [H, a_{p'}^{\dagger}a_{h'}]]|HF \rangle \\ &= (E_p - E_h)\delta_{pp'}\delta_{hh'} + \bar{V}_{ph'hp'} \\ \\ B_{11} =& B_{ph;p'h'} \\ &= - \langle HF|[a_h^{\dagger}a_p, [H, a_{h'}^{\dagger}a_{p'}]]|HF \rangle \\ &= \bar{V}_{pp'hh'} \\ A_{12} =& A_{ph;p_1p_2h_1h_2} \\ &= \langle HF|[a_h^{\dagger}a_p, [H, a_{p_1}^{\dagger}a_{p_2}^{\dagger}a_{h_2}a_{h_1}]]|HF \rangle \\ &= U(h_1h_2)\bar{V}_{p_1p_2ph_2}\delta_{hh_1} - U(p_1p_2)\bar{V}_{hp_2h_1h_2}\delta_{pp_1} \\ & U(h_1h_2) \text{ is an anti-symmtrizer.} \\ A_{22} =& A_{p_1p_2h_1h_2;p_1'p_2'h_1'h_2'} \\ &= \langle HF|[a_{h_1}^{\dagger}a_{h_2}^{\dagger}a_{p_2}a_{p_1}, [H, a_{p_1'}^{\dagger}a_{p_2'}^{\dagger}a_{h_2'}a_{h_1'}]]|HF \rangle \\ &= (E_{p_1} + E_{p_2} - E_{h_1} - E_{h_2})U(p_1p_2)U(h_1h_2) \\ &\times \delta_{p_1p_1'}\delta_{p_2p_2'}\delta_{h_1h_1'}\delta_{h_2h_2'} \\ &+ U(h_1h_2)\bar{V}_{p_1p_2p_1'p_2'}\delta_{h_1h_1'}\delta_{h_2h_2'} \\ &+ U(p_1p_2)\bar{V}_{h_1h_2h_1'h_2'}\delta_{p_1p_1'}\delta_{p_2p_2'} \\ &- U(p_1p_2)U(h_1h_2)U(p_1'p_2')U(h_1'h_2') \end{split}$$

 $\times \, \bar{V}_{p_1h_1'p_1'h_1}\delta_{p_2p_2'}\delta_{h_2h_2'}$

In SRPA with subtraction procedure (SSRPA), A_{11} and B_{11} are modified.

$$A_{11'}^{S} = A_{11'} + \sum_{2} A_{12}(A_{22})^{-1}A_{21'} + \sum_{2} B_{12}(A_{22})^{-1}B_{21'}$$
$$B_{11'}^{S} = B_{11'} + \sum_{2} A_{12}(A_{22})^{-1}B_{21'} + \sum_{2} B_{12}(A_{22})^{-1}A_{21'}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{F}^{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11'} + \sum_{2,2'} A_{12}(A_{22'})^{-1}A_{2'1'} + \sum_{2,2'} B_{12}(A_{22'})^{-1}B_{2'1'} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22'} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{F}^{S} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11'} + \sum_{2,2'} A_{12}(A_{22'})^{-1}B_{2'1'} + \sum_{2,2'} B_{12}(A_{22'})^{-1}A_{2'1'} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the coupling amongst the 2p-2h configuration is neglected, A_{22} will becomes diagonal, this approximation is denoted by SRPAD. In SRPAD, A_{22} is calculated by:

$$A_{22}^D = \delta_{p_1 p_1'} \delta_{p_2 p_2'} \delta_{h_1 h_1'} \delta_{h_2 h_2'} (E_{p_1} + E_{p_2} - E_{h_1} - E_{h_2})$$
(8)

The transition operators of the spin-independent modes are:

$$F_{\lambda}^{IS} = \sum r_i^n Y_{\lambda 0}(r_i)$$

$$F_{\lambda}^{IV} = \sum r_i^n Y_{\lambda 0}(r_i) \tau_z(i)$$
(10)

$$B(E_{\lambda}) = |\sum_{ph} b_{ph}(E_{\lambda})|^{2} = |\sum_{ph} (X_{ph}^{\lambda} + (-1)^{J} Y_{ph}^{\lambda}) F_{ph}^{\lambda}|^{2}$$

$$\sum_{ph} (|X_{ph}^{\nu}|^2 - |Y_{ph}^{\nu}|^2) + \sum_{p_1p_2h_1h_2} (|X_{p_1p_2h_1h_2}^{\nu}|^2 - |Y_{p_1p_2h_1h_2}^{\nu}|^2)$$

= $n_1 + n_2 = 1$

$$m_1 = \sum_{\nu} \hbar \omega_{\nu} | < \nu |F| 0 > |^2,$$

can be calculated analytically [86],

$$m_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} A < r^2 >, & \lambda = 0\\ \frac{50}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} A < r^2 >, & \lambda = 2 \end{cases}$$

TABLE I: Isoscalar EWSR m_1 obtained in the analytic formula (15), RPA and SRPA calculations for ¹⁶O and ⁴⁰Ca with SGII interaction.

State	analytic one	RPA	SRPA
0^{+}	676.37	673.87	673.87
2^{+}	8454.65	8375.43	8375.43
	40 0		
State	40C	a PDA	SBDV
State	⁴⁰ C analytic one	RPA	SRPA
${\rm State} \ 0^+$	⁴⁰ C analytic one 2889.16	^b a RPA 2879.92	SRPA 2879.92





FIG. 1: IS 0^+ strength distributions for ¹⁶O calculated by SRPA (upper panel) and SSRPA (lower panel) by SGII interaction with 2p-2h energy cutoff 60, 70, and 80 MeV. See the text for more details.

FIG. 2: IS 0⁺ strength distributions in ¹⁶O. The results calculated without and with tensor interaction are shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively. Results of RPA, SRPA, and SSRPA are labelled by purple dash lines, black solid lines, and red solid lines, respectively. The lowest state measured by experiment [3] is represented by an arrow.





FIG. 4: The same as Fig. 2, but for IS 0^+ in 40 Ca nucleus, The experimental data is taken from Pof [5]



FIG. 5: The same as Fig. 4, but for IV 0^+ in 40 Ca.

FIG. 9: The same as Fig. 4, but for IS 2^+ in 40 Ca. Experimental data is taken from Ref.[8].



FIG. 11: The same as Fig. 10, but for IV 2^+ in 40 Ca.



FIG. 12: Isoscalar octupole strength distributions in ¹⁶O calculated without tensor(upper panel) and with tensor(lower panel). Blue dash lines are RPA result, while red solid lines are SSRPA result. Experimental data is taken from *****.

FIG. 14: IS3⁻ in ⁴⁰Ca without(upper panel) with(lower panel) tensor force. Blue lines are RPA results, while red lines are SSRPA results. Experimental data is taken from *****

Gamow-Teller states and 2particle-2hole configurations

D. F. Bertsch and I.Hamamoto, Phys. Rev. C 26, 1323 (1982).





FIG. 3. Four types of amplitude included in the actual calculation. (a) should of course also include the graph with h and h' interchanged.

FIG. 4. Calculated strength distribution P(E) for the Gamow-Teller operator in ⁹⁰Zr. Energies are measured with respect to the ground state of ⁹⁰Nb.

TABLE I. Contributions to Gamow-Teller strength in the region 10-45 MeV excitation in 90 Zr, $\int_{10}^{45} P(E) dE$, with P(E) defined in Eq. (4). The partial sums need not add to the total because of possible coherence of amplitudes.

∫P	Graphs (a) + (b)	Graphs (c) + (d)	Total
Fensor	0.13	0.06	0.20
Central	0.25	0.15	0.36
Fotal	0.38	0.20	0.56

Spreading of the Gamow-Teller Resonance in ⁹⁰Nb and ²⁰⁸Bi Nguyen Dinh Dang, Akito Arima, Toshio Suzuki, and Shuhei Yamaji, PRL79, 1638 (1997)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 125, 212501 (2020)

Gamow-Teller Strength in ⁴⁸Ca and ⁷⁸Ni with the Charge-Exchange Subtracted Second Random-Phase Approximation

D. Gambacurta⁰,¹ M. Grasso⁰,² and J. Engel⁰³

The Gamow-Teller transitions in magic nuclei calculated by the charge-exchange subtracted second random phase approximation

M. J. Yang,¹ C. L. Bai,¹ H. Sagawa,^{2,3} and H. Q. Zhang⁴

¹College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chendu 610065, China ²Center for Mathematics and Physics, University of Aizu, Aizu-Wakamatsu, Fukushima 965-8560, Japan ³RIKEN, Nishina Center, Wako 351-0198, Japan ⁴China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413, China

















SS RPA(subtracted second RPA) model is applied to describe collective states of medium-heavy and heavy nuclei.

Low-monopole states are affected by the tensor force and get a better agreement with experimental data.

Gamow-Teller states of ⁹⁰Zr and ²⁰⁸Pb are also studied by SSRPA and 2p-2states make a larger spreading width on top of the proper excitation energies compared with experimental ones.

Quenching: ⁴⁸Ca 20-35% Ex<20 MeV ²⁰⁸Pb 20-30% Ex<25 MeV

Future perspectives

Ab initio EDF to apply SSRPA