# Estimation of Pseudo Magnetic Field for Isotropic/Anisotropic Dirac Cones

Toshikaze Kariyado

MANA, NIMS

2 Nov 2017

arXiv:1707.08601

## Motivation & Background

Landau levels without an external magnetic field.

### Essence

Dirac cones shift as gauge field



Any system with Dirac cones!

Even for a system inert to magnetic field: charge neutral particles, photons, phonons...

# Example: Graphene under Strain

<sup>†</sup>Theory: F. Guinea *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **6**, 30 (2010). Exp.: N. Levy *et al.*, Science **329**, 544 (2010).



## Example: Artifitial System K. K. Gomes et al., Nature 483, 306 (2012).

### 2D electrons on Cu surface with arranged molecule deposition



# Quantum Oscillation

#### Strained 3D Weyl semimetal



T. Liu, D. I. Pikulin, and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 95, 041201 (2017).

# Valley Imbalance



# Valley Imbalance

### Valley dependent Lorentz force in strained graphene



A. Chaves et al., Phys. Rev. B 82, 205430 (2010).

# Valley Imbalance

### Landau level splitting in strained graphene



B. Roy, Z.-X. Hu, and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 87, 121408 (2013).

# Topic

1. Simple setup for pseudo magnetic field generation

not necessary strain

2. Concise formula to estimate pseudo magnetic field

- Counting number of "observable" Landau levels
- Effects of anisotropy of Dirac cones
- 3. Application to an exsisting material
  - 3D Dirac cones in an antiperovskite family

# Setup

### "Simplest" configuration



#### **Important Parameters**

- L: thickness of the buffer layer
- Δk: size of the Dirac cone shift

See also, A. G. Grushin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. X **6**, 041046 (2016). C. Brendel *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **114**, 3390 (2017). H. Abbaszadeh *et al.*, arXiv:1610.06406.

# Formulation

$$H_{\vec{k}}^{(\pm)} = \hbar v (\vec{k} \pm \vec{k}_0) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \longleftrightarrow H^{(\pm)} = \hbar v (-i\vec{\nabla} \pm \vec{k}_0(y)) \cdot \vec{\sigma}$$
$$\vec{A}^{(\pm)} = \mp \frac{\hbar}{e} \vec{k}_0(y), \quad |\vec{B}| = |\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}| \sim \frac{\hbar}{e} \frac{\Delta k}{L} = \frac{\hbar}{ea^2} \frac{R}{N}$$
$$\Delta k = \frac{2\pi R}{a}, \quad L = Na$$



# Formulation

$$H_{\vec{k}}^{(\pm)} = \hbar v (\vec{k} \pm \vec{k}_0) \cdot \vec{\sigma} \longleftrightarrow H^{(\pm)} = \hbar v (-i\vec{\nabla} \pm \vec{k}_0(y)) \cdot \vec{\sigma}$$
  
$$\vec{A}^{(\pm)} = \mp \frac{\hbar}{e} \vec{k}_0(y), \quad |\vec{B}| = |\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}| \sim \frac{\hbar}{e} \frac{\Delta k}{L} = \frac{h}{ea^2} \frac{R}{N}$$
  
$$\Delta k = \frac{2\pi R}{a}, \quad L = Na$$
  
R: Dirac cone shift, N: buffer thickness  
bulk 1  
$$\vec{A} = \frac{\mu}{a} \frac{\Delta k}{k} = \frac{\mu}{k} \frac{\lambda}{k}$$

# Formulation R: Dirac cone shift, N: buffer thickness

typical case

$$a \sim 5 \text{\AA} \rightarrow |\vec{B}| \sim 1.6 \times 10^4 \times \frac{R}{N} \text{[T]}$$

observable Landau levels

$$E_n = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi v^2 \hbar^2 R|n|}{Na^2}} < \frac{\hbar v \Delta k}{2} \quad \rightarrow \quad |n| < \frac{\pi}{4} NR$$



Toy Model

### $H_{\vec{k}} = [1 + \delta + 2(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)]\sigma_z + 2\alpha \sin k_y \sigma_y$

$$H_{\vec{k}} \sim -\sqrt{3} [(\tilde{k}_x - \tilde{\delta})\sigma_z + \tilde{\alpha}k_y\sigma_y]$$
$$\tilde{k}_x = k_x - \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad \tilde{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}$$

 $\tilde{\delta} \leftrightarrow A_x \quad \& \quad \tilde{\alpha} \leftrightarrow v_y/v_x$ 

# Results R: Dirac cone shift, N: buffer thickness







# Discussion 1.0 0.5 Energy 0.0 buffer -0.5-1.00.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 $k_x [2\pi/a]$ bulk1 k<sub>x</sub>





wave function tail hits the boundary  $\rightarrow$  no longer Landau level

- extension of the wave function ~  $\sqrt{n}l_B \propto \sqrt{nN/R}$
- extension < thickness  $\rightarrow n < NR$

# Anisotropy

### R: Dirac cone shift, N: buffer thickness



Anisotropy is advantageous for observing the LL structure!

## **Materials**

#### TK and M. Ogata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 083704 (2011).

Antiperovskite A<sub>3</sub>EO (A=Ca,Sr,Ba and E=Sn,Pb) family



### Materials

#### TK and M. Ogata, arXiv:1705.08934, to appear in PRMaterials.



## Materials

Ba<sub>3</sub>SnO (band inversion dominant) vs Ca<sub>3</sub>PbO (SOC dominant)



# Strategy

- Inducing Dirac cone shift by modulating chemical composition
  - ►  $Ca_3SnO \leftrightarrow Sr_3SnO$

• Estimating R instead of  $|B_{pseudo}|$ , to avoid computational burden

# (Quasi) Ab-Initio Estimation: Wannier Interpolation

- 1. Derive effective models for the two end materials  $\mbox{Ca}_3\mbox{SnO}$  and  $\mbox{Sr}_3\mbox{SnO}$
- Interpolate the parameters to obtain a model for Ca<sub>3(1-x)</sub>Sr<sub>3x</sub>SnO



# (Quasi) Ab-Initio Estimation: Wannier Interpolation

- 1. Derive effective models for the two end materials  $\mbox{Ca}_3\mbox{SnO}$  and  $\mbox{Sr}_3\mbox{SnO}$
- Interpolate the parameters to obtain a model for Ca<sub>3(1-x)</sub>Sr<sub>3x</sub>SnO



# (Quasi) Ab-Initio Estimation

▶ heterostructure  $Ca_{3(1-x)}Sr_{3x}SnO$ ,  $a = (a_{x=0} + a_{x=1})/2$ 



## (Quasi) Ab-Initio Estimation

▶ heterostructure  $Ca_{3(1-x)}Sr_{3x}SnO$ ,  $a = (a_{x=0} + a_{x=1})/2$ 



# Fabrication of Films

Sr<sub>3</sub>PbO, molecular beam epitaxy, thickness 200nm-300nm
D. Samal, H. Nakamura, and H. Takagi, APL Mater. 4, 076101 (2016).

 Ca<sub>3</sub>SnO, pulsed laser deposition M. Minohara et al., arXiv:1710.03406.

### Summary

TK, arXiv:1707.08601

 Concise formulae for the pseudo magnetic field & pseudo Landau levels

$$B \sim \frac{h}{ea^2} \frac{R}{N}, \quad |n| < \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{v_x}{v_y} NR$$

Anisotropic Dirac cones are better to observe LL structures.

Estimation of R for an existing material

### Perspective

- Interesting physical consequences!
  - eg. coexistence with a real magnetic field