### Linear growth of structure in Projected Massive Gravity Yusuke Manita (Kyoto University) Based on on-going work with Rampei Kimura(WIAS)



KYOTO UNIVERSITY

2021年 観測論的宇宙論ワークショップ



### What accelerate the current universe?

#### Dark energy?



#### Modified gravity?

©NASA/WMAP

### What accelerate the current universe?

#### Dark energy?



### Modified gravity?

©NASA/WMAP

### Massive gravity

# $\mathscr{L}_{\text{grav}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$

Massive spin 2 field theory Light mass modifies the law of gravity at long distances

Accelerated expansion without dark energy?

$$\sqrt{-gR+\mathscr{L}_{mass}}$$

### Mass term

 Linear massive gravity linear with respect to  $h_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$  in EOM

Conflict with the test of gravity in solar system [van Dam, Veltman, Zakharov (1970)]



#### [Fierz, Pauli (1939)]

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{grav}} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{GR}}^{(2)} - \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2 m^2}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} (h_{\mu\alpha} h_{\nu\beta} - h_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\beta})$ 



### Mass term

 Linear massive gravity linear with respect to  $h_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$  in EOM

Conflict with the test of gravity in solar system

 Non-linear massive gravity non-linear with respect to  $h_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu}$  -

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{grav}} = \frac{M_{\text{pl}}^2}{2} R[g_{\mu\nu}] - M_{\text{Pl}}^2 m^2 U_{\text{mass}}[g_{\mu\nu}, f_{\mu\nu}]$$

Most non-linear massive gravity are ghostly… [Boulware, Deser (1972)]

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{grav}} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \sqrt{-g}R + \mathscr{L}_{\text{mass}}$$

#### [Fierz, Pauli (1939)]

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{grav}} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{GR}}^{(2)} - \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2 m^2}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\mu\nu} (h_{\mu\alpha} h_{\nu\beta} - h_{\mu\nu} h_{\alpha\beta})$$

#### [van Dam, Veltman, Zakharov (1970)]

fiducial metric  
-
$$f_{\mu\nu}$$
 in EOM



### dRGT massive gravity Solution of the second non-linear massive gravity.

 $U_n$ : Symmetric polynomials of  $\mathscr{K}^{\mu}_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \left(\sqrt{g^{-1}f}\right)^{\mu}_{\nu}$  $U_2 = \frac{1}{2} ([\mathscr{K}]^2 - [\mathscr{K}^2]), \quad U_3 = \frac{1}{6} ([\mathscr{K}]^3 - 3[\mathscr{K}][\mathscr{K}^2] + 2[\mathscr{K}^3]),$  $U_4 = \frac{1}{24} ([\mathscr{K}]^4 - 6[\mathscr{K}]^2 [\mathscr{K}^2] + 3[\mathscr{K}^2]^2 + 8[\mathscr{K}][\mathscr{K}^3] - 6[\mathscr{K}^4])$ 



[de Rham, Tolly & Gabadaze, (2010)]

 $\mathscr{L}_{mass}[f,g] = M_{\rm Pl}^2 m_g^2 \left[ U_2(\mathscr{K}) + \alpha_3 U_3(\mathscr{K}) + \alpha_4 U_4(\mathscr{K}) \right]$ 

 $\alpha_n$ : constant parameters

### dRGT massive gravity Solution of the second non-linear massive gravity. [de Rham, Tolly & Gabadaze, (2010)]



- Strongly coupling problem [Gümrüolüoğlu, Lin & Mukohyama, (2011)]
- Non-linear instability [Gümrüolüoğlu, Lin & Mukohyama, (2012)]



### No viable solution for FLRW cosmology in dRGT

### Extension of dRGT

- Assumptions of dRGT
  - 1. It has Minkowski solution as vacuum solution. 2. No Boulware-Deser ghost at the decoupling limit. 3. It holds internal Poincaré symmetry.  $\rightarrow$  Remove! It's not necessary for general massive gravity.

Internal Lorentz sym.

 $\phi^a \to \Lambda^a_{\ b} \phi^b, \quad \phi^a \to \phi^a + c^a$ 

Phase of massive gravity [Dubovsky (2004)] Minimal theory of massive gravity [de Felice, Mukohyama(2015)] etc

 $\phi^a$ : Stuckelberg fields. 4 scalar like NG boson.

### $\phi^a \to \Lambda^a{}_b \phi^b$ , $\phi^a \rightarrow \phi^a + c^a$

### Internal Translation sym. $\phi^a \to \Lambda^a{}_b \phi^b, \quad \phi^a \to \phi^a + c^a$

Generalized massive gravity [De Rham, Keltner, Tolly(2014)] Projected massive gravity [Gümrükcüoğlu, Kimura, Koyama(2020)] No strong coupling problem in FLRW!

### Projected massive gravity

Assumption  $\phi^a \to \Lambda^a_{\ b} \phi^b$ ,  $\phi^a \to \phi^a + c^a$ 

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[ G(X) R + \frac{6G'(X)^2}{G(X)} [Y] + m^2 U(X, [Z], [Z^2], [Z^3]) \right]$$

Non-minimal coupling

Where,  $X = \eta_{ab} \phi^a \phi$ 

### Generalized Massive Gravity also holds the assumption.

5dof (No BD ghost)

Counter term

New graviton mass

$${}^{b}, \quad Y^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \left(g^{-1}f^{(G)}\right)^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \quad Z^{\mu}{}_{\nu} := \left(g^{-1}f^{(p)}\right)^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$$
$$f^{(G)}_{\mu\nu} = \phi_{a}\phi_{b}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{a}\partial_{\nu}\phi^{b}, \quad f^{(p)}_{\mu\nu} := \left(\eta_{ab} - \frac{\phi_{a}\phi_{b}}{X}\right)\partial_{\mu}\phi^{a}\partial_{\nu}\phi^{b}$$

[de Rham, Keltner & Tolly, (2014)] [Gümrükçüoğlu, Kimura & Koyama(2020)] [Kenna-Allison, Gümrükçüoğlu & Koyama(2020)] [Kenna-Allison, Kimura, Gümrükçüoğlu & Koyama(2021)]



## Our goal

### We investigate the linear growth of density fluctuations after matter dominance with projected massive gravity.

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} \left[ G(X)R + \frac{6G'(X)^2}{G(X)} [Y] + m^2 U(X, [Z], [Z^2], [Z^3]) \right] + S_{\text{m}}[g, \psi],$$
Non-minimal coupling Counter term New graviton mass CDM



Set-up

## Back ground equations

- Open FLRW metric  $ds^2 =$
- Stückelberg fields
- Background equation

$$3G\left[\left(H + \frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\right)^2 - \frac{\kappa}{a^2}\right] = \frac{\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} + \frac{\rho_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \qquad \text{Friedry}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_g + 3H\left(\rho_g + p_g\right) - \frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\left(\rho_g - 3p_g + \rho\right) = 0 \qquad \text{Stücked}$$

 $\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho = 0$ 

$$= -dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa x^{i} x^{j}}{1 + \kappa x^{k} x^{k}} \right) dx^{i} dx^{j}$$

$$\phi^0 = f(t)\sqrt{1 + \kappa(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}, \qquad \phi^i = f(t)\sqrt{\kappa} x^i,$$

nann equation

elberg equation

Conservation of CDM

#### where,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{mass}} := \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{mass}}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \text{diag} \cdot \left(\rho_g, p_g, p_g, p_g\right)$$
  
Effective energy-momentum tensor for the mass term

 $T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{matter}} := \text{diag.}(\rho, 0, 0, 0)$  $I \mu \nu$ 

Energy-momentum tensor for the CDM.



### Linear perturbations

- Gauge fixing  $\delta \phi^a = 0$
- $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu}$ Metric perturbation

 $h_{ii} = 2\psi \Omega_{ii} +$ 

- $T^0_{\ 0} = -(\rho +$ Matter perturbation
- Gauge invariants  $\Phi = \phi - \dot{\zeta}, \quad \Psi = \psi - H\zeta - \frac{1}{6}D^{i}$ where,  $\zeta \equiv -aB + \frac{1}{2}a^{2}\dot{S}.$
- Linear EOM can be expressed only with these gauge invariants.

$$ddx^{\nu} = -(1+2\phi)dt^2 + 2a\partial_i Bdtdx^i + a^2(\Omega_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j,$$
  
 $-\left(D_i D_j - \frac{1}{3}\Omega_{ij} D_l D^l\right)S.$ 

$$\delta \rho$$
),  $T^0_i = a \rho (\partial_i B + a \partial_i v)$ ,  $T^i_0 = -\rho \partial^i v$ ,  $T^i_j = 0$ 

$$D^{i}D_{i}S, \quad \tilde{v} = v + \frac{1}{2}\dot{S}, \qquad \Delta \equiv \frac{\delta\rho - \dot{\rho}\zeta}{\rho} - 3a^{2}H\tilde{v},$$
  
Density perturbation

#### Linear Equation of motion

$$(0.0) \qquad 0 = 3G\left(2H + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)^2 \Phi - \frac{3}{2}G\left(2H + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right) \left(4\dot{\Psi} + 2\frac{\dot{G}}{G}a\dot{B} - a^2\frac{\dot{G}}{G}\ddot{S}\right) - \left(\frac{4(k^2 + 3\kappa)}{a^2}G + \frac{6(\rho_g + p_g)}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\right)\Psi \\ + 6a^2H\frac{\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\ddot{v} + k^2\frac{\rho_g + p_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}S + \frac{2\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\Delta + \left((k^2 + 3\kappa)\dot{G} + 3a^2\frac{\rho + \rho_g + p_g}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2}\frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)\left(\dot{S} - 2\frac{B}{a}\right) \\ + \frac{3}{4}a^2G\left(2H + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)\left[\left\{2\left(H\frac{\dot{G}}{G} + \frac{\ddot{G}}{G}\right) - 3\frac{\dot{G}^2}{G^2}\right\}\dot{S} + 2\left(3\frac{\dot{G}^2}{G^2} - 2\frac{\ddot{G}}{G}\right)\frac{B}{a}\right], \\ (0.i) \qquad 0 = -4G\left[\left(H + \frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\right)\Phi - \dot{\Psi}\right] - \frac{2a^2\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\ddot{v} + 2a\dot{G}\dot{B} + a\left(2\ddot{G} - \frac{3\dot{G}^2}{G}\right)B - a^2\dot{G}\ddot{S} - a^2\frac{\rho_g + p_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\dot{S} \\ - a^2\left(\ddot{G} + H\dot{G} - \frac{3\dot{G}^2}{2G}\right)\dot{S}, \\ (i,j) \text{ trace} \qquad 0 = 6G\left(2H + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)\dot{\Phi} - 12G\left(3H + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right)\dot{\Psi} - \left(4G\frac{k^2 - 3\kappa}{a^2} + \frac{12p_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\right)\Phi - \left(4G\frac{k^2 + 3\kappa}{a^2} - 6A\right)\Psi - 12G\ddot{\Psi} \\ - k^2AS + \frac{3a^2}{2}G\left(4\frac{\ddot{G}}{G} + 12H\frac{\dot{G}}{G} - 3\frac{\dot{G}^2}{G^2}\right)\ddot{S} - 3aG\left(4\frac{\ddot{G}}{G} + 8H\frac{\dot{G}}{G} - 3\frac{\dot{G}^2}{G^2}\right)\dot{B} \\ - a\left(3c_B + 4\dot{G}\frac{k^2 + 3\kappa}{a^2}\right)B + a^2\left(c_{\dot{S}} + 2\dot{G}\frac{k^2 + 3\kappa}{a^2}\right)\dot{S} + 3a\dot{G}(a\ddot{S} - 2\ddot{B}), \\ (i, j) \text{ traceless} \qquad 0 = -2(\Phi + \Psi) - 2a\frac{\dot{G}}{G}B + \frac{a^2}{G}(M_{\rm GW}^2S + \dot{G}\dot{S}), \\ 0 = \left[2(k^2 + 3\kappa) + \frac{3a^2}{G}\frac{\rho + \rho_g + p_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} - 3a^2\left(4H^2 + \frac{\dot{G}}{G}H + \frac{3\dot{G}^2}{2G^2} - \frac{\ddot{G}}{G}\right)\right]\ddot{v} - 2(3a^2H\dot{v} + \dot{\Delta} + 3\dot{\Psi}), \\ 0 = \Phi + a^2(2H\ddot{v} + \dot{v}), \end{cases}$$

#### Linear Einstein equation

Conservation law of CDM





### Models

$$S_{\rm PMG} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} \left[ G(X) R + \frac{6G'(X)^2}{G(X)} [Y] + m^2 U(X, [Z], [Z^2], [Z^3]) \right]$$

In order to solve, we specify arbitrary functions.

Non-minimal coupling

$$G = 1$$

• The model has a stable parameter regions without ghosts, tachyons, and gradient instability!

 $a_1 < 0 \land a_2 < 0$ 

Mass potential

$$U = (a_1 + a_2 m^2 X)[Z] + b[Z]^2 + c[Z^2]$$



## Result

## **Background solution: Stückelberg field**

Background equation

$$3G\left[\left(H+\frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\right)^2 - \frac{\kappa}{a^2}\right] = \frac{\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} + \frac{\rho_g}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}$$

Friedmann equation

$$\dot{\rho}_g + 3H\left(\rho_g + p_g\right) - \frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\left(\rho_g - 3p_g + \rho\right) = 0$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H\rho = 0$$

Conservation of CDM

Stückelberg equation

Solutions

$$\xi = 0$$

$$\rho_g = 0$$

 $\rho_g$ 



### **Model:** G = 1, $U = (a_1 + m^2 a_2 X)[Z] + b[Z]^2 + c[Z^2]$











### Hubble parameter and equation of state

The solution of the Stückelberg eq.

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{a_1 \kappa}{2a_2 m^2 a^2 - 2\kappa (3b_1 + c_1)}}$$

Friedmann equation  $\left(\frac{H(a)}{H_0}\right)^2$  $=\frac{8a^2a_2\mu^2\Omega_{\rm m}}{1}$ 

 $w = \frac{p_g}{\rho_g} = -\frac{3\Omega_{\kappa}(3b_1 + c_1) - a_2\mu^2 a^2}{3\Omega_{\kappa}(3b_1 + c_1) - 3a_2\mu^2 a^2}$  $ho_g$ 



$$\frac{(a_1^2 - 8a_2)\mu^2\Omega_{\kappa} + 8(3b + c)\Omega_{\rm m} + 8a(3b + c)\Omega_{\kappa}^2}{8a_2\mu^2a^5 - 8(3b + c)\Omega_{\kappa}a^3}$$

## Equation of state for mass term

where

$$m = \mu H_0,$$
  

$$\kappa = H_0^2 \Omega_{\kappa},$$
  

$$\rho = \frac{3H_0^2 M_{\text{pl}}^2 \Omega_{\kappa}}{a^3}$$



### Normalization

At present time,

 $H(a)|_{a=1} = H_0,$  $w(a)|_{a=1} = w_0,$ 



Equation of state for graviton mass term

 ${\mathcal W}$ 



Hubble parameter

$$a_{1}\mu = -\sqrt{\frac{-16\Omega_{\Lambda}}{1+3w_{0}}(3b+c)}$$

$$a_{2}\mu^{2} = \frac{3(w_{0}+1)}{3w_{0}+1}\Omega_{\kappa}(3b+c)$$
parameter  
 $m = \mu H_{0},$ 
 $\kappa = H_{0}^{2}\Omega_{\kappa},$ 
 $\rho = \frac{3H_{0}^{2}M_{\text{pl}}^{2}\Omega_{\kappa}}{a^{3}}$ 
 $\Omega_{\Lambda} := 1 - \Omega_{\text{m}} - 1$ 

Background is parametrized only by  $\{w_0, H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_\kappa\}$ .

$$(a) := \frac{p_g}{\rho_g} = \frac{1 + 3w_0 - (1 + w_0)a^2}{-1 - 3w_0 + 3(1 + w_0)a^2}$$
  
$$(b) = \frac{\Omega_m}{a^3} + \frac{\Omega_\kappa}{a^2} + \frac{2\Omega_\Lambda}{-(1 + 3w_0) + a^2(1 + w_0)}$$







### Self-acceleration

 $w(a) := \frac{p_g}{\rho_g} = \frac{1 + 3w_0 - (1 + w_0)a^2}{-1 - 3w_0 + 3(1 + w_0)a^2}$ 



3

### Linear growth (quasi-static-limit) sub-horizon behavior





quasi-static limit

 $k \gg H$ 

S = 0

No additional dof!

 $\ddot{\Delta} + 2H\dot{\Delta} - \frac{\rho}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2}\Delta = 0$ 

Same as ACDM!

### At sub-horizon, **GR recovers even without non-linear screening!**



### Linear growth (full numerical)



Model Parameter  $w_0 = -0.9$ b = 1, c = -1

а Scale factor

Super-horizon→Gravitational modification is appeared.

• Sub-horizon $\rightarrow$ Gravitational modification is **suppressed**.

### Current constraint





### There exists a theoretically and observationally consistent parameters!

## Non-minimal coupling model

#### $G = \left(1 + m^2 g X\right)$ Model2

#### $G = \left(1 + m^2 g X\right)^2$ Model3

#### Model2

There are no parameters that are stable for all a(t) > 0. The same behavior is observed when higher order terms are included. (We didn't cover all models.)

#### Model3

There are parameter regions that are stable at least in the limit of  $a \to 0$  and  $a \to \infty$ . We examine the middle region numerically, but no stable region was found. (We didn't cover all models.)

### $U = a_1[Z] + b[Z]^2 + c[Z^2]$

#### $U = a_1[Z] + b[Z]^2 + c[Z^2]$



# Quasi-static-limit for non-minimal coupling model sub-horizon behavior of $G \neq 1$ model



Is the limit taken correctly? It should be easy to find out by numerical calculations, but we can't be sure because we haven't found a stable parameter region yet...



## Summary

## Summary

We investigate the linear growth of structure in self-accelerating solution of **Projected Massive Gravity**.

Background is parametrized only by  $\{w_0, H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_\kappa\}$ .

At sub-horizon, GR recovers even without non-linear screening.

There exists a theoretically and observationally consistent parameters.