QCD critical point sweep during black hole formation

A. Ohnishi¹, H. Ueda², T. Z. Nakano^{1,2}, M. Ruggieri¹, K. Sumiyoshi³ 1. YITP, Kyoto U., 2. Kyoto U., 3. Numazu CT

It may be possible to probe the QCD critical point in hot and dense matter formed during black hole formation.



Phys. Lett. B 704 ('11)284 [arXiv:1102.3753 [nucl-th]].



Dense Matter EOS and QCD Phase diagram

- Two important aspects of Nuclear Matter
 - Dense matter EOS is important in compact Astrophysics.
 - \rightarrow Neutron star, Supernova, Black hole formation, NS merger, ...
 - QCD phase diagram structure is roughly determined, if the existence & location of the Critical Point (CP) is known. (CP connects cross over & 1st order phase boudnary.)





Ohnishi, OMEG11, Nov.14-17, RIKEN, Wako, Japan 2

From Supernova Matter EOS to Phase Diagram

Supernova matter EOS

- Lattimer-Swesty EOS (Skyrme-type int. + Droplet) J.M.Lattimer, F.D.Swesty, NPA535('91)331.
- Shen EOS (Relativistic Mean Field + Thomas Fermi) H.Shen et al., NPA637('98)435;PTP100('98)1013.
- Ishizuka EOS (Shen EOS + Hyperons)
 C. Ishizuka, AO, K.Tsubakihara, K.Sumiyoshi, S.Yamada, JPG 35 ('08)085201.
- Does quark matter exist in compact stars ?
 - Suggested in Supernovae: Warm(~20 MeV), mildely dense (~1.8 ρ₀)
 T. Hatsuda, MPLA2('87)805; I. Sagert et al., PRL102 ('09) 081101.
 - Probable in Neutron Stars: Cold (T~0), Dense (ρ_B~5 ρ₀)
 E.g. N. Glendenning, "Compact Stars"; F. Weber, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 54('05)193



$1.97 \pm 0.04 M_{\odot}$ Neutron Star and Hyperons

- **Discovery of 2** M_o Neutron Star
 - → Reject EOS including hyperons with ~ SU(3) BBM coupling
- Three-body force and "apparent" SU(3) sym. breaking helps to support 2 M_o NS even with hyperons.



Nishizaki, Takatsuka, Yamamoto, PTP108('02)703; Schulze, Polls, Ramos, Vidana, PRC73('06),058801.





From Supernova Matter EOS to Phase Diagram

Supernova matter EOS

- Lattimer-Swesty EOS (Skyrme-type int. + Droplet) J.M.Lattimer, F.D.Swesty, NPA535('91)331.
- Shen EOS (Relativistic Mean Field + Thomas Fermi) H.Shen et al., NPA637('98)435;PTP100('98)1013.
- Ishizuka EOS (Shen EOS + Hyperons)
 C. Ishizuka, AO, K.Tsubakihara, K.Sumiyoshi, S.Yamada, JPG 35 ('08)085201.
- Does quark matter exist in compact stars ?
 - Suggested in Supernovae: Warm(~20 MeV), mildely dense (~1.8 ρ₀)
 T. Hatsuda, MPLA2('87)805; I. Sagert et al., PRL102 ('09) 081101; Nishimura talk.
 - Probable in Neutron Stars: Cold (T~0), Dense (ρ_B~5 ρ₀)
 E.g. N. Glendenning, "Compact Stars"; F. Weber, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 54('05)193
 - How about Black hole formation ?
 M. Liebendorfer et al., ApJS 150('04)263; K. Sumiyoshi et al., PRL97('06) 091101; K.Sumiyoshi, C.Ishizuka, AO, S.Yamada, H.Suzuki, ApJL690('09),L43; K.Nakazato et al., ApJ, to appear [arXiv:1111.2900] (Nakazato, Poster)



Dynamical Black Hole Formation



Purpose and Methods

- We compare (T, μ_B) during BH formation and QCD phase transition boundary by using
 - v radiation Hydrodynamics (1D) for BH formation Sumiyoshi et al., PRL97('06)091101;
 - ◆ Shen EOS (npeµ) Shen et al., NPA637('98)435; PTP100('98)1013
 - Grav. collapse of 40 M_{sun} star with WW95 initial condition. S.E.Woosley, T.A.Weaver, ApJS 101 ('95) 181.

Chiral Effective Models for phase boundary and Critical Point

- NJL (Nambu, Jona-Lasinio), PNJL (Polyakov loop extended NJL), PNJL with 8 quark int., PQM (Pol. loop ext. quark meson) models Nambu, Jona-Lasinio('61); Hatsuda, Kunihiro('94), Fukushima('04); Ratti, Thaler, Weise('06); Roessner et al.('07); Kashiwa, Kouno, Matsuzaki, Yahiro('08), Schaefer, Pawlowski, Wambach ('07), Skokov et al. ('10).
- ◆ Vector coupling: unknown → compare results with $G_v/G_s=0, 0.2$
- Flavor SU(2) models are considered.

and discuss how quark matter is formed !



Chiral Effective Models

NJL, PNJL, PQM, ...
 = Quark models with chiral symmetric interaction

$$L = \overline{q} \Big[i \gamma^{\mu} \underline{D}_{\mu} - g_{\sigma} (\underline{\sigma} + i \gamma_{5} \tau \cdot \pi) \Big] q + \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\mu} \sigma + \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \pi \cdot \partial_{\mu} \pi - \underbrace{U_{\sigma} (\sigma, \pi)}_{\text{chiral}} - \underbrace{U_{\Phi} (\Phi, \overline{\Phi})}_{\text{Polyakov}}$$

$$F_{\text{eff}} \equiv \Omega / V = U_{\sigma} (\sigma, \pi = 0) + U_{\Phi} (\Phi, \overline{\Phi}) + \underbrace{F_{\text{therm}}}_{\text{therm}} + \underbrace{U_{\text{vac}} (\sigma, \Phi, \overline{\Phi})}_{\text{particle exc. q zero point}}$$
(PQM)

- Spontaneous breaking & restoration of chiral symmetry
- Phase diagram with critical point (CP)





QCD phase diagram in Asymmetric Matter

- Characteristic features of Compact Star Matter
 - Hot and/or Dense
 - Unbalanced n and p yields (Isospin Asymmetric Matter)

Isospin chemical potential $\delta \mu = (\mu_n - \mu_p)/2 = (\mu_d - \mu_u)/2 > 0$

- T_{CP} (critical point T) decreases at finite δμ
 - Decrease of effective number of flavors



How is quark matter formed during BH formation ?

- Highest $\mu_B \sim 1300 \text{ MeV} > \mu_c$ (1000-1100 MeV in eff. models) → Quark matter is formed before BH formation
- Highest T ~ 90 MeV > T_{CP} (at $\delta\mu$ ~50 MeV) Core evolves below CP, Off-center goes above CP → *CP sweep*
- **Convenient to consider 3D phase diagram (T, \mu_{\rm B}, \delta\mu)**



Ohnishi, CPOD, Nov. 7-11, 2011, Wuhan, China 10

How is quark matter formed during BH formation ?

Model dependence to form quark matter \rightarrow Three ways





Ohnishi, CPOD, Nov.7-11, 2011, Wuhan, China 11

Swept Region of Phase Diagram during BH formation

- CP location in Symmetric Matter
 - Lattice QCD μ_{CP}=(400-900) MeV
 - Effecitve models
 μ_{CP}=(700-1050) MeV
- CP in Asymmetric Matter (E.g. δμ=50 MeV)
 - T_{CP} decreases at finite $\delta\mu$.
 - \rightarrow Accessible (T, μ_B) region during BH formation

M.A.Stephanov, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.153 ('04)139; FK02:Z. Fodor, S.D.Katz, JHEP 0203 (2002) 014 LTE:S. Ejiri et al., Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. 153 (2004) 118; Can: S. Ejiri, PRD78 (2008) 074507 Stat.:A. Andronic et al., NPA 772('06)167





What happens at CP sweep ?

- Large density fluctuation is expected around CP.
- Three layers (hadron, mixed, quark) merges to be one at a time.



What kind of signal do we expect ? I would like to have your idea



- Dynamical BH formation would form *Hot(T~90 MeV)*, *Dense(ρ_B~5ρ₀)*, *Asymmetric(Y_C~(0.1-0.3)) matter*, which provides a *Unique* opportunity to probe right-upper region of the QCD phase diagram.
 - High $\mu_{\rm B}$ ~1300 MeV \rightarrow *baryon rich QGP formation*.
 - High $\delta \mu \sim 120 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow \text{lower T}_{CP}$

 \rightarrow *CP sweep* , Cross over, or 1st order

- Comparison of hadronic EOS hydro. results and effective model phase diagram is relevant, since hybrid EOS should be consistent with the hadronic EOS at low T and low ρ_B.
- What is the signal ?
 - No clear signal is proposed. (v curve ? GW ? sound mode ?)
 - Hadron-Quark matter EOS with CP is necessary.

J. Steinheimer et al., PRC84 ('11)045208; D. Blaschke et al., PTPS 186 ('10)81.



Thank you for your attention !

Collaborators

H. Ueda (Kyoto U.), T.Z.Nakano (Kyoto U./YITP),
M. Ruggieri (YITP), K. Sumiyoshi (Numazu),
K. Tsubakihara (Hokkaido U.), C. Ishizuka (Tokyo U. of Sci.),
S. Yamada (Waseda), H. Suzuki (Tokyo U. Sci.).

CP sweep:
A.Ohnishi, H.Ueda, T.Z.Nakano, M.Ruggieri, K.Sumiyoshi, Phys. Lett. B 704 ('11)284 [arXiv:1102.3753 [nucl-th]].
EOS with Hyperons:
C. Ishizuka, AO, K.Tsubakihara, K.Sumiyoshi, S.Yamada, J. Phys. G 35 ('08)085201.
K. Tsubakihara, H. Maekawa, H. Matsumiya, A. Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010), 065206.
Hyperons in BH formation:
K. Sumiyoshi, C. Ishizuka, A. Ohnishi, S. Yamada, H. Suzuki, Astrophys. J. Lett. 690 (2009), L43
K. Nakazato, S. Furusawa, K. Sumiyoshi, A. Ohnishi, S. Yamada, H. Suzuki, Astrophys. J., to appear [arXiv:1111.2900 [astro-ph.HE]].

