



Symmetry classification of typical quantum entanglement

Kohei Kawabata (Princeton → UTokyo ISSP)

Phys. Rev. B 108, 085109 (2023)

Collaborators





Yuhan Liu (UChicago)

Jonah Kudler-Flam (IAS, Princeton)

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Symmetry classification of typical quantum entanglement

3. Weingarten calculus

4. Connection with mesoscopic condensed-matter physics

Quantum entanglement

Quantum entanglement lies at the heart of quantum physics.

Entanglement characterizes quantum phases of matter:

- Quantum critical phenomena
- Topological phases

Entanglement also provides a foundation of thermalization or lack thereof.



Typical entanglement: Page curve

★ Entanglement entropy of typical states in chaotic (nonintegrable) systems:

maximal and proportional to the volume of the subsystem (**volume law**)

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{\log 2}{2}N - \frac{1}{2}$$

thermalization

☆ Relevant to black-hole physics ("Page curve")

Page, PRL 71, 1291 (1993)



☆ Entanglement of typical Gaussian states in free fermions "free-fermion (Gaussian) Page curve"

single-particle quantum chaos and thermalization in free fermions

Liu *et al.*, PRB **97**, 245126 (2018); Bianchi *et al.*, PRB **103**, L241118 (2021)

Quantum chaos

 \bigstar Quantum chaos manifests itself in spectral statistics.

Integrable quantum systems: Poisson statistics

Berry & Tabor, Proc. R. Soc. A **356**, 375 (1977)

Nonintegrable quantum systems: random-matrix statistics

quantum chaos & thermalization



3/24

Altland-Zirnbauer symmetry

A Quantum chaos (random matrices) is classified by the tenfold AZ symmetry.

time reversal
$$T^{-1}H^*T = H$$

particle hole $C^{-1}H^*C = -H$
chiral $S^{-1}HS = -H$

Altland & Zirnbauer, PRB **55**, 1142 (1997)

e.g., Tenfold symmetry classification of the SYK model symmetry-enriched behavior of quantum chaos

\Rightarrow AZ symmetry is also relevant to the physics of free fermions.

- Anderson localization and transition
- Topological insulators and superconductors



You *et al.*, PRB **95**, 115150 (2017) Cotler *et al.*, JHEP **2017**, 118

Motivation

Typical entanglement characterizes quantum chaos.

Quantum chaos (spectral statistics) is classified according to the tenfold Altland-Zirnbauer symmetry.

How does symmetry affect typical entanglement?

In general, little has been understood about the role of symmetry in entanglement theory.

Results

☆ We develop the tenfold classification of typical entanglement (free-fermion Page curves) based on AZ symmetry!

AZ class	TRS	PHS	CS		Classifying space	β	lpha	$\langle S_0 angle$	$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle$
A	0	0	0	\mathcal{C}_0	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N\right)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	N/A	0	σ_0^2
AIII	0	0	1	\mathcal{C}_1	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	1	0	$2\sigma_0^2$
AI	+1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_0	$O(2N) / O(N) \times O(N)$	1	N/A	$-(\log 2 - 1/2)$	$2\sigma_0^2$
BDI	+1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_1	O(N)	1	0	$-\left((3/2)\log 2-1\right)$	$4\sigma_0^2$
D	0	+1	0	\mathcal{R}_2	$\mathrm{O}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	0	$\left(1-\log 2 ight)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
DIII	-1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_3	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	1	$\left(\log 2\right)/4$	σ_0^2
AII	-1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_4	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	N/A	$\left(\log 2 - 1/2 ight)/2$	$\sigma_0^2/2$
CII	-1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_5	${ m Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	3	$\left(\left(3/2 \right) \log 2 - 1 ight)/2$	σ_0^2
С	0	-1	0	\mathcal{R}_6	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	2	$-\left(1-\log 2 ight)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
CI	+1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_7	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{O}\left(N ight)$	1	1	$-\left(\log 2 ight)/2$	$4\sigma_0^2$

Relevant to symmetry-enriched quantum chaos and thermalization in free fermions.

Liu, Kudler-Flam & Kawabata, PRB 108, 085109 (2023)

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Symmetry classification of typical quantum entanglement

3. Weingarten calculus

4. Connection with mesoscopic condensed-matter physics

Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) symmetry

Random free fermion (two-body complex Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model)

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} H_{ij} \hat{c}_j$$

Altland-Zirnbauer (AZ) symmetry classification Altland & Zirnbauer, PRB 55, 1142 (1997)

time reversal: $T^{-1}H^*T = H$ $(T^*T = \pm 1)$ (antiunitary) particle hole: $C^{-1}H^*C = -H$ $(C^*C = \pm 1)$ (antiunitary) chiral: $S^{-1}HS = -H$ $(S^2 = 1)$ (unitary) T, C, S: unitary matrices

Tenfold internal symmetry classes for Hermitian matrices

Relevant to band insulators and superconductors

Random matrix theory

\Rightarrow AZ symmetry classifies the universality classes of Hermitian random matrices.

• Time-reversal symmetry changes the bulk spectral correlations.



Threefold spectral correlations (Wigner & Dyson)

Atas et al., PRL **110**, 084101 (2013)

• Particle-hole or chiral symmetry changes the spectral correlations around zero eigenvalue.

cf. chiral symmetry breaking in QCD Verbaarschot, PRL 72, 2531 (1994)

cf. stability of zero modes in superconductors Beenakker, RMP 87, 1037 (2015)

β: bulk (time-reversal symmetry)

AZ class	TRS	PHS	CS		Classifying space	β	α	$\langle S_0 angle$	$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle$
A	0	0	0	\mathcal{C}_0	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	N/A	0	σ_0^2
AIII	0	0	1	\mathcal{C}_1	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	1	0	$2\sigma_0^2$
AI	+1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_0	$\mathrm{O}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{O}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{O}\left(N ight)$	1	N/A	$-\left(\log 2-1/2\right)$	$2\sigma_0^2$
BDI	+1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_1	${ m O}\left(N ight)$	1	0	$-\left((3/2)\log 2-1\right)$	$4\sigma_0^2$
D	0	+1	0	\mathcal{R}_2	$\mathrm{O}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	0	$\left(1 - \log 2\right)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
DIII	-1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_3	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	1	$\left(\log 2 ight)/4$	σ_0^2
AII	-1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_4	$\operatorname{Sp}(2N)/\operatorname{Sp}(N) imes\operatorname{Sp}(N)$	4	N/A	$\left(\log 2 - 1/2 ight)/2$	$\sigma_0^2/2$
CII	-1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_5	${ m Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	3	$\left(\left(3/2 \right) \log 2 - 1 ight)/2$	σ_0^2
С	0	-1	0	\mathcal{R}_6	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	2	$-\left(1-\log 2 ight)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
CI	+1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_7	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{O}\left(N ight)$	1	1	$-\left(\log 2\right)/2$	$4\sigma_0^2$

α: zero (chiral or particle-hole symmetry)

Topological insulators

☆ Periodic table of topological insulators and superconductors

1	AZ Sym	metry		Dimension								
Class	TRS	PHS	\mathbf{CS}	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
А	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	
AIII	0	0	1	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	\mathbb{Z}	
AI	+1	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	
BDI	+1	+1	1	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	
D	0	+1	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	
DIII	-1	+1	1	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	
AII	-1	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	
CII	-1	-1	1	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	
С	0	-1	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	0	
CI	+1	-1	1	0	0	0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}	

Schnyder, Ryu, Furusaki & Ludwig, PRB 78, 195125 (2008); NJP 12, 065010 (2010)

Kitaev, AIP Conf. Proc. 1134, 22 (2009)

Free-fermion Page curve (1)

We study typical entanglement entropy of random free fermions $\hat{H} = \sum_{ij} \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} H_{ij} \hat{c}_j$

Single-particle eigenstates are randomly chosen by the Haar measure

• Free-fermion (Gaussian) Page curve

Liu, Chen & Balents, PRB **97**, 245126 (2018) Bianchi, Hackl & Kieburg, PRB **103**, L241118 (2021) Bianchi *et al.*, PRX Quantum **3**, 030201 (2022)



Free-fermion Page curve was studied without symmetry (i.e., class A or D)

Effect of symmetry?



We develop the tenfold classification of free-fermion Page curve based on AZ symmetry!

Liu, Kudler-Flam & Kawabata, PRB 108, 085109 (2023)

Free-fermion Page curve (2)

☆ We numerically calculate the average and variance of entanglement entropy.(we focus on the half filling and half bipartition)



Tenfold different universal behavior!

☆ We derive the tenfold Page curves analytically, by the Weingarten calculus for different symmetry classes.

Standard (Wigner-Dyson) class (1)

★ Time-reversal symmetry $T^{-1}H^*T = H$ $(T^*T = \pm 1)$

Class A: no symmetry \rightarrow unitary U(N)

Class AI: TRS with +1 \rightarrow orthogonal O(N)

Class All: TRS with -1 \rightarrow symplectic Sp(N)

(classifying spaces of single-particle eigenstates)

12/24

We take eigenstates Haar-randomly from U(N), O(N), and Sp(N)

$$\langle S \rangle = \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(N + 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) + o(1) \quad \beta = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{class AI}) \\ 2 & (\text{class A}) \\ 4 & (\text{class AII}) \end{cases}$$

The leading term \propto N does not change even in the presence of TRS. The constant term does depend on TRS.

Standard (Wigner-Dyson) class (2)

Constant term of the average entanglement entropy

$$\langle S_0 \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \begin{cases} < 0 & (\text{class AI}) \\ = 0 & (\text{class A}) \\ > 0 & (\text{class AII}) \end{cases}$$

TRS with +1 decreases average entanglement TRS with -1 increases average entanglement

Variance of entanglement entropy

$$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\beta} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \log 2 \right) + o(1) \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{class AI}) \\ 2 & (\text{class A}) \\ 4 & (\text{class AII}) \end{cases}$$

TRS with +1 (-1) increases (decreases) the variance of entanglement entropy Consistent with the level repulsion in random matrices

13/

Chiral class

$$\bigstar \text{ Chiral symmetry } S^{-1}HS = -H \quad \left(S^2 = 1\right)$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h \\ h^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad h \in \begin{cases} U(N) & (\text{class AIII}) \\ O(N) & (\text{class BDI}) \\ Sp(N) & (\text{class CII}) \end{cases}$$

(depending on time-reversal symmetry)

Average entanglement entropy

The volume-law term does not change.

The constant term does depend on chiral symmetry:

$$\langle S_0 \rangle = \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2}\log 2 - 1\right)$$

Variance of entanglement entropy

$$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle = \frac{4}{\beta} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \log 2 \right) + o(1)$$
 (twice larger than the standard class)

Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) class

★ Particle-hole symmetry $C^{-1}H^*C = -H$ ($C^*C = \pm 1$) Classifying spaces: $\begin{cases} O(2N)/U(N) & (class D) \\ Sp(N)/U(N) & (class C) \\ U(N)/O(N) & (class CI) \\ U(2N)/Sp(N) & (class DIII) \end{cases}$

Classes D & C

$$\langle S_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha) (1 - \log 2)$$
 $\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{class D}) \\ 2 & (\text{class C}) \end{cases}$

(random-matrix index for zero eigenvalue)

15/24

Classes CI & DIII

$$\langle S_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) \log 2 \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{class CI}) \\ 4 & (\text{class DIII}) \end{cases}$$

☆ We develop the tenfold classification of free-fermion Page curves based on AZ symmetry!

AZ class	TRS	PHS	CS		Classifying space	β	α	$\langle S_0 angle$	$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle$
A	0	0	0	\mathcal{C}_0	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N\right)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	N/A	0	σ_0^2
AIII	0	0	1	\mathcal{C}_1	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	1	0	$2\sigma_0^2$
AI	+1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_0	$O(2N) / O(N) \times O(N)$	1	N/A	$-(\log 2 - 1/2)$	$2\sigma_0^2$
BDI	+1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_1	O(N)	1	0	$-\left((3/2)\log 2-1\right)$	$4\sigma_0^2$
D	0	+1	0	\mathcal{R}_2	$\mathrm{O}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	0	$\left(1 - \log 2\right)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
DIII	-1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_3	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	1	$\left(\log 2\right)/4$	σ_0^2
AII	-1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_4	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	N/A	$\left(\log 2 - 1/2 ight)/2$	$\sigma_0^2/2$
CII	-1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_5	${ m Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	3	$\left((3/2) \log 2 - 1 \right) / 2$	σ_0^2
С	0	-1	0	\mathcal{R}_6	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	2	$-\left(1-\log 2 ight)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
CI	+1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_7	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{O}\left(N ight)$	1	1	$-\left(\log 2 ight)/2$	$4\sigma_0^2$

Relevant to symmetry-enriched quantum chaos and thermalization in free fermions.

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Symmetry classification of typical quantum entanglement

3. Weingarten calculus

4. Connection with mesoscopic condensed-matter physics

Weingarten calculus (1)

17/24

The analytical approaches in the literature are not straightforward to generalize in the presence of symmetry.

We use the symmetry-enriched versions of Weingarten calculus.

Collins, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003**, 953 (2003) Collins & Śniady, Commun. Math. Phys. **264**, 773 (2006)

As a special feature of free fermions, the entanglement entropy is

obtained from the single-particle correlation matrix: Peschel, J. Phys. A **36**, L205 (2003) $C_{ij} := \langle \Psi | \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} \hat{c}_j | \Psi \rangle$

(many-body eigenstate)

 λ_i : eigenspectrum of C

(i.e., single-particle entanglement spectrum)

• average entanglement
$$\langle S \rangle = \int_0^1 d\lambda \ s(\lambda) \langle \underline{D(\lambda)} \rangle$$

density of the ES

 $D(\lambda) := \sum_{i} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{i})$

$$s(\lambda) := -\lambda \log \lambda - (1 - \lambda) \log (1 - \lambda)$$

Weingarten calculus (2)

The average density is obtained from the resolvent:

$$\langle D(\lambda) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\langle R(\lambda + i\varepsilon) \rangle}{\operatorname{resolvent}} R(z) := \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{I}{zI - C} \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{I}{z} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Tr} C^n}{z^{n+1}}$$

Thus, the calculations of average entanglement reduce to $\underline{\langle \operatorname{Tr} C^n \rangle}$ $\int dU \ U_{i_1,j_1} \cdots U_{i_n,j_n}$

systematically calculable by the Weingarten calculus

☆ Depending on different classifying spaces in different symmetry classes, different types of the Weingarten functions are relevant.

Tenfold different values of typical entanglement entropy

Entanglement spectra

19/24

$$\left\langle D\left(\lambda\right)\right\rangle = \frac{N+1-2/\beta}{2\pi\sqrt{\lambda\left(1-\lambda\right)}}$$

Chiral class (AIII, BDI & CII):

BdG class (D, DIII, C & CI):

Standard class (A, AI & AII):

$$\langle D(\lambda) \rangle = \frac{N+1-2/\beta}{\pi\sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}} + \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{2}{\beta}\right)\delta\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$\langle D(\lambda) \rangle = \frac{N-(1-\alpha)/2}{\pi\sqrt{\lambda(1-\lambda)}} - \left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\delta\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Time-reversal symmetry:

global scaling of the entanglement spectrum

Chiral and particle-hole symmetries:

singular peaks at the center of the entanglement spectrum (entanglement zero modes $\lambda = 1/2$)

Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model

Numerical results of the density of the single-particle entanglement spectrum in the quadratic SYK model (*N*=100)



The singular peaks and dips are controlled by particle-hole symmetry

 $\begin{cases} +(1/2)\,\delta\,(\lambda-1/2) & [\text{class D}\,(\beta=2,\alpha=0)] \\ -(1/2)\,\delta\,(\lambda-1/2) & [\text{class C}\,(\beta=2,\alpha=2)] \end{cases}$

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Symmetry classification of typical quantum entanglement

3. Weingarten calculus

4. Connection with mesoscopic condensed-matter physics

Anderson localization

★ Typical entanglement has a similarity to mesoscopic transport phenomena!

Anderson localization and transition

disorder induces localization of coherent waves Anderson, PR **109**, 1492 (1958) Anderson localization also leads to a continuous phase transition



Abrahams et al., PRL 42, 673 (1979)

[scaling theory]

$$\beta\left(G\right) := \frac{d\log G}{d\log L}$$

- G : conductance
- L : length scale

Weak localization (quantum correction) 22/24

Perturbative expansion of the beta function around $G \to \infty$

 $\beta = d - 2 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\pi^2 G} + o\left(1/G\right)$ Gor'kov *et al.*, JETP Lett. **30**, 228 (1979) Altshuler *et al.*, PRB **22**, 5142 (1980) Hikami *et al.*, PTP **63**, 707 (1980) Drude term (relevant to Anderson transition) $\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & (class AI) \\ 2 & (class A) \\ 4 & (class AII) \end{cases}$

Average entanglement entropy (our present result):

$$\langle S \rangle = \left(\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(N + 1 - \frac{2}{\beta} \right) + o(1)$$

Same dependence! Originating from the same theoretical mechanism?

Universal conductance fluctuations

Universal conductance fluctuations

Conductance fluctuations in the diffusive regime:

$$\langle \left(\Delta G
ight)^2
angle \propto rac{1}{eta}$$

Lee & Stone, PRL **55**, 1622 (1985) Altshuler, JETP Lett. **41**, 648 (1985)



Washburn & Webb, Adv. Phys. **35**, 375 (1986)

Variance in entanglement entropy:

$$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{\beta} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \log 2 \right) \propto \frac{1}{\beta}$$

Same 1/β dependence!

We find that typical quantum entanglement has a similarity to mesoscopic transport phenomena in old condensed matter physics.

There, the transmission probability seems to hold a parallel role to the single-particle entanglement spectrum.

Mesoscopic transport phenomena: field theory of nonlinear sigma model

$$S[X] = \frac{1}{t} \int d^d r \ G_{AB}[X] \partial_\mu X^A \partial_\mu X^B$$

target space: classified by AZ symmetry

Efetov, Supersymmetry in Disorder and Chaos (1996)

We may have a nonlinear sigma model description of typical entanglement.

Summary Phys. Rev. B 108, 085109 (2023)

- We develop the tenfold classification of typical quantum entanglement (free-fermion Page curve) based on Altland-Zirnbauer symmetry.
- It is relevant to characterization of symmetry-enriched quantum chaos.
- Typical entanglement exhibits a similarity to mesoscopic transport.

AZ class	TRS	PHS	CS		Classifying space	β	α	$\langle S_0 angle$	$\langle (\Delta S)^2 \rangle$
A	0	0	0	\mathcal{C}_0	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N\right)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	N/A	0	σ_0^2
AIII	0	0	1	\mathcal{C}_1	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	1	0	$2\sigma_0^2$
AI	+1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_0	$O(2N) / O(N) \times O(N)$	1	N/A	$-\left(\log 2-1/2\right)$	$2\sigma_0^2$
BDI	+1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_1	O(N)	1	0	$-\left((3/2)\log 2-1\right)$	$4\sigma_0^2$
D	0	+1	0	\mathcal{R}_2	$\mathrm{O}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	0	$\left(1 - \log 2\right)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
DIII	-1	+1	1	\mathcal{R}_3	$\mathrm{U}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	1	$\left(\log 2 ight)/4$	σ_0^2
AII	-1	0	0	\mathcal{R}_4	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(2N ight)/\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight) imes\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	N/A	$\left(\log 2 - 1/2 ight)/2$	$\sigma_0^2/2$
CII	-1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_5	${ m Sp}\left(N ight)$	4	3	$\left((3/2) \log 2 - 1 \right) / 2$	σ_0^2
С	0	-1	0	\mathcal{R}_6	$\mathrm{Sp}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)$	2	2	$-\left(1-\log 2\right)/2$	$2\sigma_0^2$
CI	+1	-1	1	\mathcal{R}_7	$\mathrm{U}\left(N ight)/\mathrm{O}\left(N ight)$	1	1	$-\left(\log 2\right)/2$	$4\sigma_0^2$