

Local operator quench and inhomogeneous time evolution Coming soon

Weibo Mao¹, Masahiro Nozaki^{1,2}, Kotaro Tamaoka³, Mao Tian Tan⁴

¹Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
 ²RIKEN Interdisciplinary Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences (iTHEMS), Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan
 ³Department of Physics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Sakura-josui, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan
 ⁴Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang, Gyeongbuk, 37673, Korea





the metric given by [4] we get the dual metric

[a] Unitary process [b] Non-unitary process

In the case of [a], "information" introduced by the smearing is delocalized during the time evolution. In the case of [b], the information introduced by the smearing is spatially localized.

The growth of operator considered is induced by the Euclidean and Lorentzian time evolution. Our undeformed, sine-square deformed, and Mobius Hamiltonians in 2d CFTs on the circle with the circumstance L. The system considered are defined on the spatial circle.

Motivation :

In this setup, entanglement entropy, two point function and so on can be analytically computable.
 It is possible to study non-equilibrium process in the larger system than that numerically-computable.
 We study inhomogeneous Lorentzian time evolution as an extend excited state respect to uniform case.
 SSD/Mobius quenches may make the system have the temperature gradient. Quantum nature may emerge.

 $|\Psi_1
angle = \mathcal{N}_1 e^{-i H_{ ext{M\"obius}} t_1} e^{-\epsilon H_0} \mathcal{O}(x) |0
angle, |\Psi_2
angle = \mathcal{N}_2 e^{-\epsilon H_0} e^{-i H_{ ext{M\"obius}} t_1} \mathcal{O}(x) |0
angle,$ ($|\Psi_3
angle = \mathcal{N}_3 e^{-iH_0t_0} e^{-\epsilon H_{ ext{M\"obius}}} \, \mathcal{O}(x) |0
angle, |\Psi_4
angle = \mathcal{N}_4 e^{-\epsilon H_{ ext{M\"obius}}} \, e^{-iH_0t_0} \mathcal{O}(x) |0
angle,$,

Main Result

Entanglement entropy

Now, by calculating the entanglement entropy where the local operator is inserted at any point in circle, we found that the smear order indeed influence the result. Take x=0 in case a as an example

$$S_{A,1} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \left[\frac{\pi(X_1 - X_2)}{L} \right] \right] & t_{1,-} > t_1 > 0 \\ \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \left[\frac{\pi(X_1 - X_2)}{L} \right] \right] + \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{\sin[\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}]}{\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}} \cdot \frac{(4\pi^2) \sin \left(\frac{\pi X_1}{L} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi X_2}{L} \right) (t_1 - \tilde{t}_{1,-}) (t_1 - \tilde{t}_{2,-})}{L\epsilon \sin \left[\frac{\pi(X_1 - X_2)}{L} \right]} & t_{2,+} > t_1 > t_{1,-} \\ \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{\sin[\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}]}{\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}} \right] + \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{16\pi^6 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi X_1}{L} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi X_2}{L} \right)}{L^4 \epsilon^2} (t_1^2 + \tilde{t}_{1,+} + \tilde{t}_{t_1,-}) (t_1^2 + \tilde{t}_{2,+} + \tilde{t}_{t_2,-}) \right] & t_1 > t_{2,+} \\ S_{A,2} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \left[\frac{\pi(X_1 - X_2)}{L} \right] \right] & t_{2,+} > t_1 > 0 \\ \frac{c}{3} \log \left[\frac{\sin[\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}]}{\pi\alpha_{\mathcal{O}}} \right] + \frac{c}{6} \log \left[\frac{16\pi^6 L^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi X_1}{L} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi X_2}{L} \right)}{(L^2 + 4\pi^2 t_1^2)^2 \epsilon^2} (t_1^2 - \tilde{t}_{1,+} + \tilde{t}_{t_1,-}) (t_1^2 - \tilde{t}_{2,+} + \tilde{t}_{t_2,-}) \right] & t_1 > t_{2,+} \end{cases}$$

$$e^{\hat{A}\hat{B}e^{-\hat{A}}} = \hat{B} + \frac{1}{1!}[\hat{A},\hat{B}] + \frac{1}{2!}[\hat{A},[\hat{A},\hat{B}]] + \cdots$$

$$e^{ItH}\sigma_{j}^{x}e^{-ItH} = \sigma_{j}^{x} + It[H,\sigma_{j}^{x}] - \frac{t^{2}}{2}[H,[H,\sigma_{j}^{x}]] + \cdots =$$

$$\sigma_{j}^{x} + 2J\sigma_{j}^{y}(\sigma_{j+1}^{z} + \sigma_{j-1}^{z}) + f(j,j-1,j+1,j-2,j+2) + \cdots$$
We can see here the higher order of time will depend on wider distance, so called delocalize

Calculation of entanglement entropy



Thus, entanglement entropy for i=1 logarithmically grows with t while i=2 depends on the details of local operator, not t. For i=2 the information about the local operator remains even for large t. While the uniform evolution i=3,4 both do not loss the information of the local operator, and the behavior are periodical.

$$ds^{2} = 4GR\left(L(W)dW^{2} + \bar{L}(\bar{W})d\bar{W}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \left(R^{2}e^{2\rho} + 16G^{2}L(W)\bar{L}(\bar{W})e^{-2\rho}\right)dWd\bar{W} + R^{2}d\rho^{2}$$

$$W = x + i\tau = i\bar{w}, \bar{W} = x - i\tau = -iw$$

$$\int \text{In terms of } \left(w^{\text{New},i}, \bar{w}^{\text{New},i}\right) \text{ coordinate}$$

$$ds^{2} = -4GR\left(L(\bar{w})\left(\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{w}_{i}^{\text{New}}}\right)^{2} + L(w)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{w}_{i}^{\text{New}}}\right)^{2}\left(dw_{i}^{\text{New}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \left(R^{2}e^{2\rho} + 16G^{2}L(w)L(w)e^{-2\rho}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{w}_{i}^{\text{New}}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{w}_{i}^{\text{New}}}\right)dw_{i}^{\text{New}}dw_{i}^{\text{New}} + R^{2}d\rho^{2}$$
Here we further do a coordinate transformation and then find the metrics look like AdS₃ space
$$L(w) = \langle T_{ww}(w) \rangle_{i}$$

$$X = \frac{w^{\text{New},i} - \overline{w}^{\text{New},i}}{2i}, \tau = \frac{w^{\text{New},i} + \overline{w}^{\text{New},i}}{2}$$
Analytic continuation $\tau \rightarrow it$
Definition of r [;2 means the coefficient coupled with e^{(2 \rho)]}
$$e^{-\rho} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{\frac{\int_{XZ}(x,t)}{R^{2}}}} \left(r - \sqrt{r^{2} + \frac{f_{XX;2}(X,t)}{R^{2}}}\right)$$

$$ds^{2} = R^{2}d\rho^{2} + f_{XX}(\rho, X, t)dX^{2} + f_{Xt}(\rho, X, t)dXdt + f_{it}(\rho, X, t)dtdt$$
Metric near the boundary (r -> lnf)
For i=1,2



$$\begin{split} S_{A,i,E}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[\frac{\left\langle \mathcal{O}_{n}^{\dagger} \left(z_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}, \bar{z}_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \sigma_{n}(z_{X_{1}}, \bar{z}_{X_{1}}) \bar{\sigma}_{n}(z_{X_{2}}, \bar{z}_{X_{2}}) \mathcal{O}_{n} \left(z_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}, \bar{z}_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \right\rangle^{n}}{\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \left(z_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}, \bar{z}_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \mathcal{O} \left(z_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}, \bar{z}_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \right\rangle^{n}} \right] - \frac{c(1+n)}{24n} \log \left[\prod_{i=1,2} \left| \frac{dz_{X_{i}}}{dw_{X_{i}}} \right| \right] \\ \mathbf{By mapping from the cylinder to the complex plane} \\ (z, \bar{z}) &= \left(e^{\frac{2\pi w}{L}}, e^{\frac{2\pi w}{L}} \right) \text{ and define cross ratio} \\ (z_{c,i}, \bar{z}_{c,i}) &= \left(\frac{\left(z_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} - z_{X_{1}} \right) \left(z_{X_{2}} - z_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right)}{\left(z_{X_{1}} - z_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \left(z_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} - z_{X_{2}} \right)}, \frac{\left(\bar{z}_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} - \bar{z}_{X_{1}} \right) \left(\bar{z}_{X_{2}} - \bar{z}_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right)}{\left(\bar{z}_{X_{1}} - \bar{z}_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} \right) \left(\bar{z}_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i} - \bar{z}_{X_{2}} \right)} \right) \\ S_{A,i,E}^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{1-n} \log \left[|z_{X_{1}} - z_{X_{2}}|^{-4nh_{n}} |1 - z_{c}|^{4nh_{n}} G_{n}(z_{c}, \bar{z}_{c}) \right] \\ &- \frac{c(n+1)}{6n} \log \left(\frac{2\pi}{L} \right) \end{aligned}$$

In 2d holographic CFT, take the Von Neumann limit [2]



Calculation of energy density

The three dimensional gravity corresponds to 2d holographic CFT can be obtained by computing the expectation value of energy density.

Definition of chiral part energy density expectation $\langle T_{ww}(w_X, \bar{w}_X)
angle_{i,E} = \mathrm{tr}(
ho_{i,E}T_{ww}(w_X, \bar{w}_X)) =$



Survival of information about the local operator:

If the local operator is smeared by the Euclidean evolution induced by the homogeneous Hamiltonian, and subsequently we evolve the system with the SSD Hamiltonian, then the entanglement entropy logarithmically grows with time. This behavior is independent of the local operator inserted into the state.

In the different time-ordered non-equilibrium process where we evolve the system and subsequently, the Euclidean evolution smears the local operator, the entanglement entropy saturates to the constant value that depends on the local operator inserted.

Main References

P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory," Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, vol. 6, p. 06002, June 2004.
 C. T. Asplund, A. Bernamonti, F. Galli, and T. Hartman, "Holographic entanglement entropy from 2d CFT: heavy states and local quenches," Journal of High Energy Physics, vol. 2, p. 171, Feb. 2015.
 M. Banados, "Three-dimensional quantum geometry and black holes," in Trends in Theoretical Physics II (H. Falomir, R. E. Gamboa Saravi, and F. A. Schaposnik, eds.), vol. 484 of American Institute of Physics Conference Series, pp. 147–169, July 1999.

[4] M. M. Roberts, "Time evolution of entanglement entropy from a pulse," Journal of High Energy Physics, vol. 2012, p. 27, Dec. 2012



By performing the conformal map from cylinder to the flat space and using the Ward-Takahashi indentity $\langle T_{zz}(z)\mathcal{O}(z_1,\bar{z}_1)\mathcal{O}(z_2,\bar{z}_2)\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{h_{\mathcal{O}}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{1}{z-z_i} \partial_{z_i} \right] \langle \mathcal{O}(z_1,\bar{z}_1)\mathcal{O}(z_2,\bar{z}_2)\rangle$ $\langle V_{ww}(w_X,\bar{w}_X)\rangle_{i,E} = -\frac{c}{24} \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 + h_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\frac{dz_X}{dw_X}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{z_X - z_{\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}} - \frac{1}{z_X - z_{-\epsilon}^{\operatorname{New},i}} \right]^2$