



AdS₅ Black Hole Entropy Without SUSY

Finn Larsen

University of Michigan and Leinweber Center for Theoretical Physics

Yukawa ITP (Kyoto), May 30, 2019 .

Microscopics of Black Hole Entropy

- The Bekenstein-Hawking area law for black hole entropy:

$$S = \frac{A}{4G_N} .$$

- In *favorable cases* string theory offers a *statistical* interpretation of the entropy $S = \ln \Omega$: specific constituents, ...
- Precise agreements were found *in the classical limit* but also beyond: *higher derivative* corrections, *quantum* corrections, ...
- These developments are among the *most prominent successes* of string theory as a *theory of quantum gravity*.

AdS₅ Holography

- The best studied example of holography:
String theory on AdS₅ × S⁵ is dual to *N=4 SYM* in $D = 4$.
- *Microscopic details* well understood (Quantum Field Theory!)
- The *classical* entropy of black holes in AdS₅ is a *crude target*: just the asymptotic density of states.
- Yet: *no quantitative agreements* have been established in this context.

Recent Progress?

Several groups claimed *precise agreements* between entropy of *supersymmetric* AdS₅ black holes and the spectrum of N=4 SYM:

- Cabo-Bizet, Cassani, Martelli, and Murthy 1810.11442.
- Choi, Kim, Kim, and Nahmgoong 1810.12067.
- Benini and Milan 1811.04017.

But: they *do not agree with each other* and they are *unclear about relation to previous negative results*.

This Talk (Draft Plan)

One option:

- Review recent (and not so recent) work *authoritatively*.
- Also *add generalizations* and nuanced insights.
- Bonus: *jokes* about errors and misunderstandings (by others).

Drawbacks:

- *Technicalities* of subject not central to this workshop.
- Disclosure: many *aspects remain confusing to me*.

Actual Talk

Goals:

- Study AdS₅ black holes *away from the supersymmetric* limit.
- Connect formal developments in string theory to physical regime *central to this workshop*.
- Simple model for *microscopic description of AdS₅* black holes.
- Along the way: *critical review* of some work in the area.

Drawback:

- Legitimate questions about foundations.

FL+ Jun Nian, Yangwenxiao Zeng (work supported by DoE).

Quantum Numbers

- Geometry: $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ has a (SUSY extension of) $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$ symmetry.
- Fields in $SO(2, 4)$ representations:
conformal weight E , angular momenta $J_{a,b}$.
- Fields in $SO(6)$ representations: R-charges Q_I with $I = 1, 2, 3$.
- So asymptotic data of black holes in AdS_5 :
Mass M , Angular momenta $J_{a,b}$ and 3 $U(1)$ charges Q_I .

Classical Black Holes

- General solution (Wu 2011) .

Independent mass M , angular momenta $J_{a,b}$, $U(1)$ charges Q_I .
Not widely known (and exceptionally complicated).

- BPS mass (***“ground state energy”***): $M = \sum_I Q_I + g(J_a + J_b)$.
Notation: coupling of gauged supergravity is $g = \ell_5^{-1}$.
- General BPS ***supersymmetric*** solution: Gutowski+Reall 2005.
- Feature: quantum numbers Q_I, J_a, J_b are related by ***a nonlinear constraint*** so ***rotation is mandatory***.
- Another feature: Only 2 SUSY's preserved $\frac{1}{16}$ ***of maximal***.

The Constraint on Charges

$$\frac{1}{2}N^2 J_a J_b + Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 = \left((Q_1 Q_2 + Q_2 Q_3 + Q_1 Q_3) - \frac{1}{2}N^2 (J_a + J_b) \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{2}N^2 + (Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3) \right)$$

- Literature: black holes must have ***no closed timelike curves***
- Better:

$$M - M_{\text{BPS}} = M - \sum_I Q_I - g(J_a + J_b) = (\dots)^2 + (\dots)^2$$

BPS saturation gives $(\dots)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ ***conditions give constraint.***

- But physics origin? null state condition from SUSY algebra??

The Entropy

$$S = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_1 Q_2 + Q_2 Q_3 + Q_1 Q_3 - \frac{1}{2} N^2 (J_a + J_b)}$$

- Q_I and $J_{a,b}$ are **integral** charges.
- **Classical charges** are $\sim N^2$ so the entropy is also $\sim N^2$.
- Flat space limit is nontrivial (bizarre) and not instructive.

Deconfinement

- There are two scales: $g = \ell_5^{-1}$ and G_5 in the problem.
- They are **related as** $\frac{\pi}{4G_5}\ell_5^3 = \frac{1}{2}N^2$
(insert joke and/or cranky comment about practice in literature).
- The classical limit is $Q_I, J_{a,b}, M \sim N^2 \gg 1$.
- This is the **deconfinement phase**.
- Physics question: is the **low temperature phase** deconfined?

(Suspense)

Beyond Supersymmetry

- *Two perturbative paths break supersymmetry.*
- Recall: **extremality** = lowest mass given the conserved charges.
- The obvious path to break extremality: **add energy** (keeping charges fixed).
Description: **raise the temperature** T beyond $T = 0$.
- An **alternative path**: violate **constraint** by **adjusting charges** while preserving $M = M_{\text{ext}}$.
- Description: **“raise” potentials** (for R-charges and angular momentum) from the values required by BPS.

Path I: Heat Capacity

- Black hole mass above BPS bound

$$M = M_{\text{BPS}} + \frac{1}{2}C_T T^2 .$$

- C_T is the **heat capacity** (divided by temperature) of the black hole. (The region of SYK,....).
- Gravity computations give

$$\frac{C_T}{T} = \frac{8Q^3 + \frac{1}{4}N^4(J_1 + J_2)}{\frac{1}{4}N^4 + \frac{1}{2}N^2(6Q - J_1 - J_2) + 12Q^2}$$

- Physics of this quantity: (essentially) the central charge.
A measure of the **number of degrees of freedom** in **low energy excitations**.

Path II: Capacitance

- BPS saturation implies the constraint so it is violated if the ***constraint is not enforced***.
- Then the ***extremal black hole mass exceeds the BPS bound***:

$$M_{\text{ext}} = M_{\text{BPS}} + \frac{1}{2}C_{\varphi}\varphi^2 .$$

- C_{φ} is the ***capacitance*** of the black hole. (The potential φ is defined precisely later)
- Gravity computations give

$$C_{\varphi} = \frac{8Q^3 + \frac{1}{4}N^4(J_1 + J_2)}{\frac{1}{4}N^4 + \frac{1}{2}N^2(6Q^2 + J_1 + J_2) + 12Q^2}$$

- ***Key observation***: $C_{\varphi} = \frac{C_T}{T}$.
- So: excitations violating the constraint “cost” the same as those violating the extremality bound!

Upshot: Gravity Computations

- The gold standard of ground states: *supersymmetric* \equiv BPS.
- Somewhat mysteriously, BPS states must also satisfy a certain constraint.
- Excitations above the ground state “cost” energy $\frac{C_T}{T}$ that depends on BH parameters.
- Violations of the constraint “cost” energy C_φ that depends on BH parameters.
- These two types of excitations “cost” the same energy *even though they are not obviously related*.

Effective Field Theory: UV vs. IR

- All low energy (IR) parameters are ultimately due to UV (microscopic) considerations.
- However, the precise relation between UV and IR is inscrutable in most cases.
- Current setting: enough structure that it may be realistic to compute IR parameters from UV.
Encouragement: IR parameters relative simple functions of UV parameters.
- Moreover: IR theory suggests a symmetry that may have a UV origin.

A Supersymmetric Index

- The gravity regime corresponds to the strongly coupled regime of the dual gauge theory.
- Main idea for reliable analysis: **protected states**.
- **Preserved** supersymmetry allows construction of the **supersymmetric index**:

$$I = \text{Tr} [(-)^F e^{-\Phi_I Q_I + \Omega_a J_a + \Omega_b J_b}]$$

- The grading $(-)^F$ computes (bosons - fermions) such that certain **protected states** will remain independent of coupling.
- Kinney, Maldacena, Minwalla, Raju (2005):
All versions of the index is order ~ 1 (not N^2).
Not sensitive to black hole phase (confined phase).

Recent Claims

Claim: *protected* versions of partition functions increase as $\sim N^2$.

Methodology:

- Localization.
- Enumeration of Free Fields.
- Integrable Systems/localization.

There are similarities and differences between the reported results and several known errors.

Central Point: Boundary Condition

- Euclidean path integral: ***rotation becomes imaginary***.

- Boundary conditions are twisted:

$$(\tau, \phi, \psi) \equiv (\tau + \beta, \phi - i\Omega_a\beta, \psi - i\Omega_a\beta)$$

- The preserved spinor has ***anti***periodic boundary conditions.

- SUSY requires ***complex potentials*** $\Phi_I, \Omega_{a,b}$

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 - \Omega_a - \Omega_b = 2\pi i$$

- This was overlooked/not stressed by Kinney et.al.

(but considered in an appendix)

- This point is ***technical but important***.

SUSY Localization

- Upshot: ***exploit SUSY to compute path integral exactly.***
- Strategy: deform integrand (without changing integral).
Pick deformation so saddle point “approximation” becomes exact.
- Result of SUSY localization:

$$\ln Z = \frac{N^2}{2} \frac{\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{\Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

Pro and con of SUSY localization:

- Pro: ***principled and very powerful.***
- Con: dominant saddle typically ***unphysical.***
So computation is “magic”

Alternative: Free Field Theory

- The theory: 2 gauge d.o.f. + 6 scalars + fermion superpartners.
All of them with $U(N)$ gauge indices.
- Single particle index (just $U(1)$):

$$1 - \frac{\prod_I (1 - e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_I})}{(1 - e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_1})(1 - e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_2})} .$$

- Challenges: **multiple particle states** and $U(N)$ indices.

Analysis

Special Korean maneuver:

- **First** assume that the rotation is slow $\Omega_a \ll \Phi_I$ (“Cardy Limit”)
- Argue (**assume**) that $U(N)$ gauge indices just give a factor N^2 .
- **Then** sum over multiparticle states
- Apply result for any Ω_a .

Result of free field computation:

$$\ln Z = \frac{N^2 \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{2 \Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

A “Miracle”

- Compute the entropy as the ***Legendre transform of the free energy*** (partition function $\ln Z$ as function of the potentials).
- ***Reality condition*** on the resulting entropy gives the constraint.
- Moreover, the ***real part*** of the Legendre transform ***gives the correct black hole entropy***.
- The justification of these steps is dubious but ***they suggest a free field representation of the strongly coupled limit***.

Historical Comments

- The *joint* representation of the black hole entropy and the constraint as the free energy

$$F = \frac{N^2 \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{2 \Omega_1 \Omega_2}$$

was known since '17 (Hosseini, Hristov, Zaffaroni).

- Recent derivations derive (find) the same answer *.
- A more general formula for any $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory (the “*generalized SUSY Casimir*”)

$$F = \frac{16}{27} (3c - 2a) \frac{\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3}{\Omega_a \Omega_b}$$

- Outlook: the free field representation of the entropy may be justified for some purposes.

Beyond Supersymmetry

- Assume result for SUSY partition function.
- Apply when constraint

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3 - \Omega_a - \Omega_b = 2\pi i$$

is violated (by a little bit).

- Apply away from extremality $T \neq 0$ (by a little bit)
- Result: leading order gives ***correct specific heat*** and ***capacitance***

Protection With No SUSY

- Model: a *family of parameters* where free gas description applies.
- Each is protected by BPS, but “which” BPS varies over parameter space.
- Slow motion on parameter space also protected (at first order away from BPS.)
- Disclosure: work in progress.

Final Comment

- Leading order away from BPS: nearAdS₂ limit.
- Much recent study (SYK,...) in the IR.
- My agenda: connect IR parameters to UV.