Flat space physics from AdS/CFT Eliot Hijano

Based on arxiv:1905.02729





Approaches to flat holography



Direct approach

[Hawking, Perry, Strominger '16]



Indirect approach

[Penedones '10] [Fitzpatrick, Kaplan '11] [Paulos et al '17] [EH, '19]







Define a scattering region at the center



Define a scattering region at the center

Consider a local bulk operator in the scattering region



Define a scattering region at the center

Consider a local bulk operator in the scattering region

Reconstruct the operator at the conformal boundary (HKLL)

 $\Phi(X) = \int_{\partial \mathrm{AdS}_{d+1}} d^d x \, K_{\mathrm{HKLL}}(X, x) \mathcal{O}(x) \, .$



Define a scattering region at the center

Consider a local bulk operator in the scattering region

Reconstruct the operator at the conformal boundary (HKLL)

$$\Phi(X) = \int_{\partial \mathrm{AdS}_{d+1}} d^d x \, K_{\mathrm{HKLL}}(X, x) \mathcal{O}(x) \, .$$

Fourier transform = operator in momentum space.

$$\Phi(p) = \int d^D X \, \Phi(X) e^{ip \cdot X}$$

Define a scattering region at the center

Consider a local bulk operator in the scattering region

Reconstruct the operator at the conformal boundary (HKLL)

$$\Phi(X) = \int_{\partial \mathrm{AdS}_{d+1}} d^d x \, K_{\mathrm{HKLL}}(X, x) \mathcal{O}(x) \, .$$

Fourier transform = operator in momentum space.

$$\Phi(p) = \int d^D X \, \Phi(X) e^{ip \cdot X}$$

Large AdS radius limit zooms into the scattering region

Define a scattering region at the center

Consider a local bulk operator in the scattering region

Reconstruct the operator at the conformal boundary (HKLL)

$$\Phi(X) = \int_{\partial \mathrm{AdS}_{d+1}} d^d x \, K_{\mathrm{HKLL}}(X, x) \mathcal{O}(x) \, .$$

Fourier transform = operator in momentum space.

$$\Phi(p) = \int d^D X \, \Phi(X) e^{ip \cdot X}$$

Large AdS radius limit zooms into the scattering region

Several insertions = Scattering amplitudes involving multiple particles

Scattering amplitude:

$$\mathcal{S}\{p_i\} = \lim_{l \to \infty} l^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \left[\prod_i C(p_i) \int dt_i \, e^{\pm i\omega_i t_i} \right] \langle 0|\mathcal{O}(\tau_1, \Omega_1) \cdots |0\rangle$$

$$\tau_i = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \pm i \cosh^{-1} \frac{\omega_i}{k_i} + \frac{t_i}{l}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_i - \chi_i = \frac{\pi}{2} \mp \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Reduces to existing formulae in the literature when all particles are either simultaneously massive or massless.

Some easy examples in AdSd+1:

$$\mathcal{S}\{p_1, p_2\} \sim \delta^{(d)}(p_1 + p_2),$$

$$S\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \sim \delta^{(d)}(p_1 + p_2 + p_3).$$

BMS₃ global block from CFT₂ global block:

 $S\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}_{p'^2 = -m'^2} \sim \delta^{(3)}(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4)\delta(s + m'^2).$



Scattering against a cone (D=2+1)



Same result from the flat limit of a CFT₂ correlator

asymptotically flat geometries.

Current/Future Work

- Soft theorems. How do they arise from flat limits of conformal Ward identities? How do soft theorems look like in 2+1 dimensions?
- Exploration of scattering events in 4D black hole backgrounds. What features of conformal correlators imply unitarity of black hole evolution?
 't Hooft S-matrix ansatz: S=SinShorSout
- Implementation of CFT bootstrap programme. [Paulos et al '17]
- AdS/CFT: Are the divergences of the correlators that turn into S-matrices a further diagnostic of bulk locality?

ありがとうございます!