Soft modes from black hole microstates

Onkar Parrikar

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of Pennsylvania.

It from Qubit Workshop Quantum Information and String Theory, Kyoto 2019





Based on

- V. Balasubramanian, D. Berenstein, A. Lewkowycz, A. Miller, OP & C. Rabideau, arXiv:1810.13440 [hep-th].
- Work in Progress.

Introduction

• It is widely expected that the black hole geometry is a coarse-grained description of a large number of underlying microstates [Strominger, Vafa '96, Lunin, Mathur '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala,

Simon '05, Alday, de Boer, Messamah '06...].



Introduction

• It is widely expected that the black hole geometry is a coarse-grained description of a large number of underlying microstates [Strominger, Vafa '96, Lunin, Mathur '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala,

Simon '05, Alday, de Boer, Messamah '06...].



• Our aim in this talk will be to study the effects of this coarse-graining on the classical phase space and symplectic form for excitations around the black hole geometry.

Introduction

• It is widely expected that the black hole geometry is a coarse-grained description of a large number of underlying microstates [Strominger, Vafa '96, Lunin, Mathur '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05, Alday, de Boer, Messamah '06...].

Universal classical region Micro features

- Our aim in this talk will be to study the effects of this coarse-graining on the classical phase space and symplectic form for excitations around the black hole geometry.
- We will argue that the coarse-graining has a non-trivial effect it leads to an emergent soft mode on the stretched horizon.

Onkar Parrikar (UPenn)

Preliminaries: CFT side

• We will work with an incipient black hole, called the 1/2-BPS superstar, whose microstates are 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

[Myers & Tafjord '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].

Preliminaries: CFT side

- We will work with an incipient black hole, called the 1/2-BPS superstar, whose microstates are 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [Myers & Tafjord '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].
- The $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS sector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory can be reduced to N free fermions in a harmonic-oscillator potential:

$$L = \frac{N}{2} \int dt \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\dot{\lambda}_i^2 - \lambda_i^2 \right)$$

Preliminaries: CFT side

- We will work with an incipient black hole, called the 1/2-BPS superstar, whose microstates are 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [Myers & Tafjord '01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].
- The $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS sector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory can be reduced to N free fermions in a harmonic-oscillator potential:

$$L = \frac{N}{2} \int dt \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\dot{\lambda}_i^2 - \lambda_i^2 \right)$$

• The ground state is given by filling the first N energy levels of the oscillator, which we refer to as the *Fermi sea*. Excited states can be labelled by *Young diagrams*:



• For comparison with gravity, it is convenient to introduce the phase space density u(q, p).

• For comparison with gravity, it is convenient to introduce the phase space density u(q, p).

J

• u is the occupation density for fermions in the one-particle phase space of the harmonic oscillator parametrized by (q, p):

$$\int \frac{dpdq}{2\pi} u(q,p) = N\hbar.$$

- For comparison with gravity, it is convenient to introduce the phase space density u(q, p).
- u is the occupation density for fermions in the one-particle phase space of the harmonic oscillator parametrized by (q, p):

$$\int \frac{dpdq}{2\pi} u(q,p) = N\hbar.$$

• For example, in the classical limit $N \to \infty$, $\hbar \to 0$ with $N\hbar$ fixed, the Fermi sea is given by

$$u(q,p) = \Theta(2\hbar N - q^2 - p^2).$$

which we can pictorially represent as a black disc:



• Here are some further examples:



• The 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are dual to a class of asymptotically AdS₅ solutions in IIB supergravity [Lin, Lunin, Maldacena]

• The 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are dual to a class of asymptotically AdS₅ solutions in IIB supergravity [Lin, Lunin, Maldacena]

$$g = -h^{-2} \left(dt^2 + V_i dx^i \right)^2 + h^2 \left(dy^2 + dx^i dx^i \right) + y e^G d\Omega_3^2 + y e^{-G} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2,$$

$$F_5 = dB \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^3} + d\tilde{B} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}.$$

• The 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are dual to a class of asymptotically AdS₅ solutions in IIB supergravity [Lin, Lunin, Maldacena]

$$g = -h^{-2} \left(dt^2 + V_i dx^i \right)^2 + h^2 \left(dy^2 + dx^i dx^i \right) + y e^G d\Omega_3^2 + y e^{-G} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2,$$

$$F_5 = dB \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^3} + d\tilde{B} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}.$$

• $y \in [0,\infty), \ (x^1,x^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$

• The 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are dual to a class of asymptotically AdS₅ solutions in IIB supergravity [Lin, Lunin, Maldacena]

$$g = -h^{-2} \left(dt^2 + V_i dx^i \right)^2 + h^2 \left(dy^2 + dx^i dx^i \right) + y e^G d\Omega_3^2 + y e^{-G} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2,$$

$$F_5 = dB \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^3} + d\tilde{B} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}.$$

- $\bullet \ y\in [0,\infty), \ (x^1,x^2)\in \mathbb{R}^2.$
- The various functions appearing in this metric can all be expressed in terms of one function $z_0(x_1, x_2)$, which we can think of as a boundary condition on the (x_1, x_2) plane as $y \to 0$.

• The 1/2-BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM are dual to a class of asymptotically AdS₅ solutions in IIB supergravity [Lin, Lunin, Maldacena]

$$g = -h^{-2} \left(dt^2 + V_i dx^i \right)^2 + h^2 \left(dy^2 + dx^i dx^i \right) + y e^G d\Omega_3^2 + y e^{-G} d\tilde{\Omega}_3^2,$$

$$F_5 = dB \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{S^3} + d\tilde{B} \wedge \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}.$$

- $y \in [0,\infty), \ (x^1,x^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$
- The various functions appearing in this metric can all be expressed in terms of one function $z_0(x_1, x_2)$, which we can think of as a boundary condition on the (x_1, x_2) plane as $y \to 0$.
- **Remark**: *y*-evolution has the effect of coarse-graining.

 For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:

- For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:
 - On the regions where $z_0 = +\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as white regions), S^3 shrinks smoothly as $y \to 0$.

- For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:
 - On the regions where $z_0 = +\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as white regions), S^3 shrinks smoothly as $y \to 0$.
 - On the regions with $z_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as black regions), \tilde{S}^3 shrinks smoothly.

- For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:
 - On the regions where $z_0 = +\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as white regions), S^3 shrinks smoothly as $y \to 0$.
 - On the regions with $z_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as black regions), \tilde{S}^3 shrinks smoothly.
- The correspondence with 1/2 BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM proceeds by identifying the LLM plane (x_1, x_2) with the one-particle phase space (q, p) of the matrix model, and setting

- For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:
 - On the regions where $z_0 = +\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as white regions), S^3 shrinks smoothly as $y \to 0$.
 - On the regions with $z_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as black regions), \tilde{S}^3 shrinks smoothly.
- The correspondence with 1/2 BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM proceeds by identifying the LLM plane (x_1, x_2) with the one-particle phase space (q, p) of the matrix model, and setting

$$z_0(q,p) = \frac{1}{2} - u(q,p).$$

$$2\pi \ell_P^4 = \hbar, \quad \ell_{AdS}^4 = 2N\hbar.$$

- For the solution to be regular, the boundary condition z₀(xⁱ) can only take on the values ±¹/₂:
 - On the regions where $z_0 = +\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as white regions), S^3 shrinks smoothly as $y \to 0$.
 - On the regions with $z_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$ (which we may choose to represent as black regions), \tilde{S}^3 shrinks smoothly.
- The correspondence with 1/2 BPS states in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM proceeds by identifying the LLM plane (x_1, x_2) with the one-particle phase space (q, p) of the matrix model, and setting

$$z_0(q,p) = \frac{1}{2} - u(q,p).$$

 $2\pi \ell_P^4 = \hbar, \ \ell_{AdS}^4 = 2N\hbar.$

• **Remark**: The gravity description makes sense for sufficiently "classical" states.

• We will be interested in states of energy $O(N^2)$, with gravity duals which look like incipient black holes called *superstars* [Myers & Tafjord

'01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].

• We will be interested in states of energy $O(N^2)$, with gravity duals which look like incipient black holes called *superstars* [Myers & Tafjord

'01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].

• In terms of the density u(q, p), a typical state looks like N concentric black and white rings, each with width \hbar .



• We will be interested in states of energy $O(N^2)$, with gravity duals which look like incipient black holes called *superstars* [Myers & Tafjord

'01, Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].

• In terms of the density u(q, p), a typical state looks like N concentric black and white rings, each with width \hbar .



• So, there is no obvious classical limit! On the gravity side, the "geometry" would have Planck-scale topological features close to y = 0.

• It seems natural to coarse-grain the density over some scale $y_0 \gg \ell_P$:



• It seems natural to coarse-grain the density over some scale $y_0 \gg \ell_P$:



• However, translated into gravity, such a "grey" boundary condition does not correspond to a regular geometry – indeed, if we use such a boundary condition in constructing the LLM metric, the resulting geometry has a singularity at y = 0 – the 1/2 BPS-superstar [Myers & Tafjord '01].

We may think of this as a toy model for black hole singularities in general relativity [Balasubramanian, de Boer, Jejjala, Simon '05].



The geometry for $y \gg y_0$ is well-approximated by the superstar, but for $y < y_0$ it is perfectly regular. The purported singularity is replaced by a topologically complex, but regular LLM geometry.

• We wish to study the classical phase space of excitations around the superstar.

- We wish to study the classical phase space of excitations around the superstar.
- From the gravity side, we have the symplectic form for type-IIB supergravity:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{grav} \sim \int_{\Sigma} \left(\boldsymbol{\delta} g_{mn} \boldsymbol{\delta} K^{mn} + \boldsymbol{\delta} A_{4;mnpq} \boldsymbol{\delta} F_{5;0}^{mnpq} \right)$$

- We wish to study the classical phase space of excitations around the superstar.
- From the gravity side, we have the symplectic form for type-IIB supergravity:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{grav} \sim \int_{\Sigma} \left(\boldsymbol{\delta} g_{mn} \boldsymbol{\delta} K^{mn} + \boldsymbol{\delta} A_{4;mnpq} \boldsymbol{\delta} F_{5;0}^{mnpq} \right)$$

• For deformations, we can consider greyscale deformations at $y = y_0$: $0 \le \delta u(p,q) \le 1$:



• After some calculation, the gravity symplectic 2-form becomes

• After some calculation, the gravity symplectic 2-form becomes

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{grav} = \int d^2 x \; \boldsymbol{\delta} u \; \boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda,$$

• After some calculation, the gravity symplectic 2-form becomes

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{grav} = \int d^2 x \ \boldsymbol{\delta} u \ \boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda,$$

• Here λ is a would-be "pure-gauge" mode in A_4 :

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} A_4 = d\boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda \wedge (\operatorname{vol}_{S^3} - \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}).$$

• After some calculation, the gravity symplectic 2-form becomes

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{grav} = \int d^2 x \ \boldsymbol{\delta} u \ \boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda,$$

• Here λ is a would-be "pure-gauge" mode in A_4 :

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} A_4 = d\boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda \wedge (\operatorname{vol}_{S^3} - \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}).$$

• This emergent mode is a consequence of evaluating the symplectic form in the universal black hole region $y > y_0$, and taking $\ell_P \to 0$ before sending $y_0 \to 0$.

• After some calculation, the gravity symplectic 2-form becomes

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{grav} = \int d^2x \ \boldsymbol{\delta} u \ \boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda,$$

• Here λ is a would-be "pure-gauge" mode in A_4 :

$$\boldsymbol{\delta} A_4 = d\boldsymbol{\delta} \lambda \wedge (\operatorname{vol}_{S^3} - \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{S}^3}).$$

- This emergent mode is a consequence of evaluating the symplectic form in the universal black hole region $y > y_0$, and taking $\ell_P \to 0$ before sending $y_0 \to 0$.
- But this leads to a puzzle what are we to make of this edge mode from the CFT side?

• Our aim now is to give a CFT description of the "soft mode", and explain why it arises.

- Our aim now is to give a CFT description of the "soft mode", and explain why it arises.
- But first how do we extract the microscopic phase space and symplectic form from the CFT?

- Our aim now is to give a CFT description of the "soft mode", and explain why it arises.
- But first how do we extract the microscopic phase space and symplectic form from the CFT?
- Answer: We can get the microscopic symplectic form by using coherent states and the method of coadjoint orbits [Kirillov, Yaffe '82..., Belin, Lewkowycz, Sarosi '18, Verlinde].

$$\Omega_{CFT}(u_0; \delta_1 u_0, \delta_2 u_0) = \int \frac{dp dq}{2\pi} \, u_0 \, \{\delta_1 \pi, \delta_2 \pi\}_{PB} \, .$$

where $\delta \pi$ is defined in terms of δu_0 by the equation

$$\delta u_0 = \{\delta \pi, u_0\}_{PB} = (\partial_p \delta \pi \partial_q u_0 - \partial_p u_0 \partial_q \delta \pi).$$

• Let us now apply this to the case of interest:



• Let us now apply this to the case of interest:



• The deformation δu_0 take the schematic form

$$\delta u_0(r,\theta) = \sum_n \delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) \delta(r_n - r)$$

where $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ are the microscopic shape-deformations of the rings.

• Let us now apply this to the case of interest:



• The deformation δu_0 take the schematic form

$$\delta u_0(r,\theta) = \sum_n \delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) \delta(r_n - r)$$

where δu_n⁽⁰⁾ are the microscopic shape-deformations of the rings.
Using δu₀ = {δπ, u₀}_{PB}, we can solve for δπ and explicitly obtain the microscopic symplectic form in terms of δu_n⁽⁰⁾.

• Let us now apply this to the case of interest:



• The deformation δu_0 take the schematic form

$$\delta u_0(r,\theta) = \sum_n \delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) \delta(r_n - r)$$

where $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ are the microscopic shape-deformations of the rings.

- Using $\delta u_0 = \{\delta \pi, u_0\}_{PB}$, we can solve for $\delta \pi$ and explicitly obtain the microscopic symplectic form in terms of $\delta u_n^{(0)}$.
- Crucially, we must now coarse grain these at some scale $y_0 \gg \epsilon$ to obtain the effective phase space variables.

• We can do this by rewriting $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ in terms of two slowly-varying modes:

$$\delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) = \delta A(r_n, \theta) + \frac{e^{i\pi r/\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \delta B(r_n, \theta).$$

• We can do this by rewriting $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ in terms of two slowly-varying modes:

$$\delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) = \delta A(r_n, \theta) + \frac{e^{i\pi r/\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \delta B(r_n, \theta).$$

• Then, δA is the coarse-grained density $\delta u_{0,coarse}$, which we may identify with the gravitational greyscale fluctuation.

• We can do this by rewriting $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ in terms of two slowly-varying modes:

$$\delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) = \delta A(r_n, \theta) + \frac{e^{i\pi r/\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \delta B(r_n, \theta).$$

- Then, δA is the coarse-grained density $\delta u_{0,coarse}$, which we may identify with the gravitational greyscale fluctuation.
- On the other hand, δB is a slowly varying mode which is not visible in the coarse-grained density because of the oscillatory factor.

• We can do this by rewriting $\delta u_n^{(0)}$ in terms of two slowly-varying modes:

$$\delta u_n^{(0)}(\theta) = \delta A(r_n, \theta) + \frac{e^{i\pi r/\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \delta B(r_n, \theta).$$

- Then, δA is the coarse-grained density $\delta u_{0,coarse}$, which we may identify with the gravitational greyscale fluctuation.
- On the other hand, δB is a slowly varying mode which is not visible in the coarse-grained density because of the oscillatory factor.
- But if we compute the symplectic form, we find

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{CFT} = \int \frac{dpdq}{2\pi} \operatorname{Sign}(\theta - \theta') \boldsymbol{\delta} A(r, \theta) \, \boldsymbol{\delta} B(r, \theta').$$

Onkar Parrikar (UPenn)

• So in summary, upon coarse-graining the full UV density δu_0 , we obtain two effectively independent slowly-varying modes: the greyscale fluctuation δu_{coarse} and its canonical momentum

$$\delta \pi_{coarse}(r,\theta) = \int d\theta' \operatorname{Sign}(\theta - \theta') \delta B(r,\theta').$$

• So in summary, upon coarse-graining the full UV density δu_0 , we obtain two effectively independent slowly-varying modes: the greyscale fluctuation δu_{coarse} and its canonical momentum

$$\delta \pi_{coarse}(r,\theta) = \int d\theta' \operatorname{Sign}(\theta - \theta') \delta B(r,\theta').$$

• Comparing with the gravity result, we find

• So in summary, upon coarse-graining the full UV density δu_0 , we obtain two effectively independent slowly-varying modes: the greyscale fluctuation δu_{coarse} and its canonical momentum

$$\delta \pi_{coarse}(r,\theta) = \int d\theta' \operatorname{Sign}(\theta - \theta') \delta B(r,\theta').$$

• Comparing with the gravity result, we find

$$\delta u|_{y=y_0} \sim \delta u_{coarse}, \ \delta \lambda|_{y=y_0} \sim \delta \pi_{coarse}.$$

• So in summary, upon coarse-graining the full UV density δu_0 , we obtain two effectively independent slowly-varying modes: the greyscale fluctuation δu_{coarse} and its canonical momentum

$$\delta \pi_{coarse}(r,\theta) = \int d\theta' \operatorname{Sign}(\theta - \theta') \delta B(r,\theta').$$

• Comparing with the gravity result, we find

$$\delta u|_{y=y_0} \sim \delta u_{coarse}, \ \delta \lambda|_{y=y_0} \sim \delta \pi_{coarse}.$$

• This leads us to identify the gravitational soft mode at the horizon as an emergent slowly varying mode in the CFT corresponding to *microstate deformations*.

Summary

- We studied the phase space of fluctuations around an incipient 1/2 BPS black hole.
- On the gravity side, we found a new physical soft mode at the stretched horizon.
- We explicitly constructed the coarse-grained phase space from the CFT side, and found that the soft mode is associated with microstate deformations.
- Note that this mode is still an effective IR mode it is merely hidden in the UV part of phase space, and needs to be delicately extracted.
- In recent discussions of the information paradox, analogous "soft hair" have been discussed [Hawking, Perry, Strominger '16, Donnay et al '16...].
- Our discussion may provide hints about how aspects of microstates might get imprinted on such soft modes.