ー次元量子気体の二重極振動の減衰に おける量子位相滑り



I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 025303 (2013)

熱場の量子論とその応用 京都大学基礎物理学研究所 2013年8月27日

Outline:

Damping rate ⇔ Nucleation rate

1. Introduction

- 2. Hand-waving picture
- 3. Numerical corroboration in the hardcore boson limit
- 4. Mechanism of the damping in softcore bosons
- 5. Finite temperature effects
- 6. Conclusions

1. Introduction

1.1. Experiments on transport of 1D lattice bosons











 They observed a dissipative flow (significant damping) even though the flow velocity is much smaller than the critical value predicted by the Gutzwiller mean-field theory.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Maximum velocity} & \mbox{MF critical velocity for} & V_{\rm 0} = 2.0 \; E_{\rm R} \\ \sim 0.1 \; E_{\rm R} d/\hbar \ll 0.3 \; E_{\rm R} d/\hbar \sim \end{array}$

• The damping rate rapidly increases when deepening the lattice.

Interpretation by Polkovnikov et al. PRA (2005):

This breakdown of superfluidity is due to phase slips via thermal activation or quantum tunneling.



1.4. Other 1D superfluids (superconductors)



The concept of phase slip is central also to the understanding of 1D superfluids (superconductors) in these condensed matter systems.

QPS in ultracold atoms

ms 📃

Unified view of 1D superfluids

Study of QPS in a highly controllable manner



- We find the relation between G and F: $G(v) \propto \Gamma(v) / v$
- •Using the relation, we elucidate the mechanism of the damping at T=0.
- Universal damping behavior:



2. Hand-waving picture



2. Hand-waving picture



3. Numerical corroboration of the relation

3.1. 1D hardcore Bose-Hubbard model with a single barrier potential $\hat{H} = -J \sum_{j} (\hat{c}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j+1} + \text{h.c.}) + V \sum_{j} \hat{m}_{j} \hat{m}_{j+1} + \sum_{j} \left[\Omega(j - X_{c}(t)/d)^{2} + \lambda \delta_{j,0} \right] \hat{m}_{j},$ $J : \text{Hopping energy, } V : \text{Nearest neighbor interaction, } \lambda : \text{Strength of the barrier potential}$ $\Omega : \text{Curvature of the trapping potential, } X_{c} : \text{Displacement of the trap center,}$ Advantages:

- Nucleation rate of a quantum phase slip at T=0: $\Gamma \propto v^{2K-1}$ when $v \ll v_c$ & K>1
- The Tomonaga-Luttinger (TL) parameter K at v=0.5 is related to V/J as

$$K = \left[2 - \frac{2}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{V}{2J}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

e.g. M. Cazalilla et al., RMP (2011)

 This model is numerically solvable with TEBD [G. Vidal, PRL (2004)], which can precisely capture quantum phase slips.

I. Danshita & A. Polkovnikov, PRB (2010); PRA (2012)



 $(\lambda << J)$ Yu. Kagan et al., PRA (2000)

 $(\lambda >> J)$ H. P. Büchler et al., PRL (2001)

3.2. Dipole oscillation and damping rate





In the fitting region, Fitting region -2.0 the damping rate obeys -2.5 $G \propto v^{\eta}$ $(f' + 3.0)^{-3.0}$ $(f' + 2.5)^{-3.5}$ $(f' + 2.5)^{-3.5}$ $(f' + 2.5)^{-3.5}$ The power η $\lambda / J = 0 \bullet$ hardly changes 0.2 for different λ . 0.4 • 0.6 $n \simeq 2K -$???? -4.5 0.8 1.0 0 -5.0 1.2 🗆 V/J = -1-5.5 1.4 -1.5 -0.5 -2.0-1.0 $\log_{10}(v_{\max}\hbar/(Jd))$

i) $Gt_1 < 1/4$ (thin solid), ii) $G > 10G_0$ (thick solid)

iii) $x_0 \geq d$ (dashed), iv) $v_{
m max} \leq v_{
m c}/5$ (dotted)

3.3. The damping rate vs the velocity (hardcore BHM)

Four conditions for the fitting region:

3.4. The exponent vs V/J (hardcore BHM)

(c) $\lambda / J = 0.4 \bullet$ 0.6 Using the fitting function, 0.8 🛉 Exponent: η $f(x) = a x^{\eta}$ 1.0 we extract the exponent η . 1.2 🛉 **1.4** ϕ 0 -1.0 -1.5 -0.5 ().() V/J

The damping rate obeys the following scaling formula: $G \propto v^{2K-2} \propto \Gamma/v$

The relation has been corroborated.

4. Mechanism of the damping in a 1D Bose gas in an optical lattice

4.1. The softcore Bose-Hubbard model with no barrier potential

which corresponds to actual experiments.

$$\hat{H} = -J\sum_{j} (\hat{b}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{j+1} + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{j} \hat{n}_{j} (\hat{n}_{j} - 1) + \sum_{j} \Omega(j - X_{c}(t)/d)^{2} \hat{n}_{j}$$



 The damping rate G obeys the power-law with respect to the momentum p.

Previous works have studied dipole oscillations of the same model with different parameters:
I. Danshita and C. W. Clark, PRL (2009); S. Montangero et al., PRA (2009)

4.2. The exponent vs U/J (softcore BHM)



The TL parameter is extracted from the one-body density matrix numerically calculated with TEBD.



Yu. Kagan et al., PRA (2000) H. P. Büchler et al., PRL (2001)

The damping rate obeys the scaling formula for the quantum-phase slips in the presence of a **single impurity** :

rather than that for a periodic potential at a commensurate filling:

$$G \propto v^{2K-2}$$



I. Danshita & A. Polkovnikov, PRA (2012)

4.3. Effective impurities



Transport in the regions near the unit filling points is much more suppressed than in the other regions.

The unit-filling regions act as barrier potentials for the other parts of the gas.

The damping rate obeys the scaling formula for a single impurity.

5. Finite temperature effects









Note: v_c is the mean-field critical velocity and E_J is the Josephson plasma energy.

5.3. Implication to a disorder potential



 $\lim_{x\to\infty} \langle V(x)V(0)\rangle = 0$ Stony Brook: B. Gadway et al., PRL (2011)

In the case of a weak disorder,

$$\Gamma \propto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v^{2K-1} & \operatorname{Regime} \mathbf{A} \\ v T^{2K-2} & \operatorname{Regime} \mathbf{B} \end{array} \right. \Longrightarrow$$

S. Khlebnikov & L. P. Pryadko, PRL (2005)

The same universal damping behavior

→ Localization transition of the Giamarchi-Schultz type (K=3/2)

In the case of a **strong** disorder,

 $\Gamma \sim ???$



The TEBD-based analyses may answer this question.

→ may also address the localization transition in a strong disorder.

6. Conclusions

We have studied the transport of 1D Bose gases in strong connection with quantum nucleation of phase slips.

- We have found the relation between the damping rate G and the phase-slip nucleation rate F: $G(v) \propto \Gamma(v)/v$
- This relation allows to analyze QPS in cold atom experiments (and in the exact TEBD or tDMRG simulations).
- We corroborate that the damping of the dipole oscillation of 1D lattice bosons is due to the nucleation of QPS.
- We suggest that the damping rate vs the flow velocity exhibits the universal behavior, which can be tested in future experiments.
- Such experiments could be interpreted as a quantum simulation of 1D superfluids (or superconductors).

I. Danshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 025303 (2013)

3.2. Isn't it due to trivial finite temperature effects ??

Well-known fact:





1D Bose gases at finite temperatures exhibit superfluidity as long as Yu. Kagan et al. the "lifetime" of superflow is longer than the time scale in experiment. PRA (2000)

Also in the context of liquid ⁴He: T. Eggel et al., PRL (2011)