




# Closing Remarks by a Rehabilitant

March 25, 2009

@ YKIS workshop

Taichiro Kugo, YITP



Amateur see only (too) big problems,

while experts are hooked on (too) detailed problems.

Rehabilitant may be near to Armature.

But let me summarize present problems which we are facing.

# What are the problems?

The standard model is a great theory  
succeeding to  
Maxwell's electromagnetic theory  
Einstein's general relativity.


It describes all the interactions perfectly well up to  
the energy scale  $\sim 100\text{GeV}$ .

One might say

“No problem is the biggest problem of the SM”

# Real Problems (real vs. theoretical)

- “All the interactions are gauge interactions. There are only four interactions.”  
→ Not true!
- The SM contains **Higgs interaction**, which is not a gauge interaction but another **new Interaction**.  
It contains **many** and **arbitrary** parameters: **the problem of masses**. (Yamawaki, Appelquist)
- (Beyond) SM also contains **neutrino (Majorana) mass terms**. (Han, Kusenko)

- 
- Quark masses 6, lepton masses 3
  - CKM mixing angles 3+1
  
  - Neutrino masses 3
  - MNS mixing angles 3+1+3
  
  - Large mixing of MNS in sharp contrast to small mixing of CKM!
  
  - **Higgs** and **Neutrino** shows two **New Interactions** yet to be understood

# Yet other real problems?

(Masiero)

- Dark matter 23%
- Dark energy 73%

Despite the brilliant SM, which describes only 5% matters in our Universe!

- Higgs condensates  $\sim 10^{62}$  %  
QCD chiral condensates, .....

Dark energy will pose a totally different problems.

# Higgs

- Composite or Supersymmetry (=elementary)?

- Composite model = Extended Technicolor

It leads to severe (theoretical) problems:

FCNC → walking technicolor

(Appelquist, Yamawaki)

ETC seems to have no concrete viable models yet

- Supersymmetric model: MSSM

This can predict as many new particles as we have ever known!

Welcome to explain the Dark Matter

in particular, **gravitino** dark matter is attractive.

a priori **raison d'etre**

(Hamaguchi, Ibarra, Strumia, Kribs), PAMELA

This also leads to many (theoretical) problems:

the origin of SUSY breaking

FCNC,  $\mu$ -problem, ....

cf: combined model of SUSY and composite:

Ibe-Kitano's sweet spot model (**Kitano**)



# Neutrino Masses

- In the SM, it is simply a higher dim intr term:


$$\frac{1}{\Lambda} (\bar{L}_i \tilde{H}) \frac{m_{ij}^2}{v^2} (\bar{L}_j \tilde{H}) + \text{h.c.}$$

Too tiny masses  $0.45 \times 10^{-2} \text{ eV}$  ,  $0.85 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$

- If  $m \sim 200 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \Lambda \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \sim \text{GUT scale}$   
 $m \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow \Lambda \sim 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$

Implying the existence of **New energy scale** or **GUT**

Or SM group singlet right handed neutrinos (cf: **Kusenko**)

- 
- If GUT exists, the contrast between Large MNS mixing and Small CKM mixing would be a great clue.

- But the problem there is:

Although the Dirac masses of lepton and down quarks can be connected, we have no idea about the Majorana masses of the right handed neutrinos.

# Theoretical Problems

- GUT:

The existence of GUT is bound to be correct.

Anomaly cancellation or  $\text{Tr } Q = 0$  between quarks and leptons.

Also supported by the tiny neutrino masses and gauge coupling unifications



But leads to a bunch of problems: (in particular, SUSY GUTs)

proton decays (dim 5)

doublet-triplet splitting

FCNC

Contrast between quarks and lepton

# How to understand problems:

- Generations, or how to obtain **chiral generations**

No promising ideas:

enlarging GUT group – horizontal symmetry,

Hodge numbers of CY manifold,

orbifolds, ....

- Dark energy or vacuum condensates

# The point is:

- **Survival Hypothesis** (Georgi)

Quarks and Leptons are light (compared with Planck scale),

because they are **chiral** (complex representation) with respect to the SM group  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

This beautifully explains why they exist, but also pose a difficulty in enlarging the GUT group such that it includes generations.

# Simple Groups do not work

- $SU(n)$ ,  $SO(4k+2)$ ,  $E_6$  allow complex rprs.

But  $SU(n)$  with  $n > 5$ ,  $SO(4k+2)$  with  $k > 2$  have only real (vector-like) rprs wrt the subgroup  $SU(5)$  or  $SO(10)$ .

- $E_7$  and  $E_8$  have only real rprs.

- Needs:

orbifold projection, (Ratz) or

complex structure for extra dimensions, or  
other ideas?



How to calculate Yukawa coupling?

How to break GUT symmetry  
spontaneously?

F-theory approach (Watari, Blumenhagen)

Extra-dimension approach (Gherghetta)



# Dark energy or vacuum condensates

- Many spontaneous symmetry breaking at various stages of the theory:
- They are accompanied by vacuum condensates which would necessarily give (an enormous amount of) vacuum energy.
- SSB's above SUSY scale are of no problem
- SSB's below SUSY scale are the problem.
  - may be a problem of micro-macro relation like measurement problem in quantum mechanics



# Conclusion

- We still have many big problems whose beautiful solutions we can believe to exist and can discover!
- Let us hope that we will soon get important clues from LHC and astrophysical observations.