

A case study of 2HDM in the Higgs basis

Yongtae Heo (Chonnam Nat'l Univ.)

第49回 北陸信越地区 素粒子論グループ研究会 プログラム 2022/07/10 Online

Main Ref.: 2204.05728 [hep-ph] Y.H., Dong-Won Jung, Jae Sik Lee

1. Introduction – ρ parameter

SMの<u>ヒッグス場の自発的対称性の破れの結果</u>、
 W, Zボソンの質量比は、電弱ゲージ結合定数で決まる

$$\frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2} = \cos^2 \theta_w = \frac{g^2}{g^2 + g'^2} \,.$$

•
$$\rho \equiv \frac{m_W^2}{m_z^2 \cos^2 \theta_w}$$
 とすると、標準模型では $\rho_0 = 1$ となる。 $\left(\rho \equiv \frac{1}{1 - \Delta \rho}, \rho_0 = 1, \Delta \rho = 0 \right)$

• New Physicsがあると、その影響で <u>ρ値の補正</u>が生じる (または<u>ボソンの質量</u>)

$$m_W^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2} \right) = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_\mu} \left(1 + \Delta r \right) \; .$$

1. Introduction – Peskin-Takeuchi parameter

- <u>ρ値の補正</u>を見るために、Peskin-竹内 parameter S, T, U (通称 Oblique parameter)が よく使われている。新模型構築の際、精密な実験で測定された S, T, U 値を 新模型は満たさなければならない。
 - 標準模型では S=T=U=0.
 新模型の影響で

S, Tが大きくなる。

(U≪1より無視)

そして 2022年4月7日…
 理論値と7σ離れた
 <u>Wボソン質量値が報告される</u>。



1. Introduction – Peskin-Takeuchi parameter

 <u>ρ値の補正</u>を見るために、Peskin-竹内 parameter S, T, U (通称 Oblique parameter)が
 よく使われている。新模型構築の際、精密な実験で測定された S, T, U 値を

 新模型は満たさなければならない。
 ^{0.4} Fixed U = 0

$$m_W^{\rm CDF} = 80.4335 \pm 0.0094 \,\,{\rm GeV}$$

[CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., Science 376 (2022) 6589

U = 0	PDG 2021			CDF 2022			
	Result	Correlation		Result	Correlation		
$14\mathrm{dof}$	$\chi^2_{\rm min} = 15.48$	S	T	$\chi^2_{\rm min} = 17.82$	S	T	
S	0.05 ± 0.08	1.00	0.92	0.15 ± 0.08	1.00	0.93	
T	0.09 ± 0.07		1.00	0.27 ± 0.06		1.00	

Table III. Same as Tab. II but for S and T with $\Delta U = 0$.

[C.-T. Lu, L. Wu, Y. Wu, and B. Zhu, arXiv:2204.0379]



Figure 1. The 1- and 2- σ allowed regions in S-T plane from the electroweak fits using the PDG 2021 data set with the old value of m_W (green region) and the new CDF value of m_W (red region).

1. Introduction – New Physics

- Models with extended Higgs sectors:
 - ヒッグス場が1つでなければならない理由はないので、スカラーセクターを拡張する。
 - Minimal Supersymmetry Standard Model (MSSM)などでは自然にヒッグス場2つが現れる。
 - 模型の例
 - 1. SM with additional Higgs singlet
 - 2. <u>Two Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM)</u>: type I, II, III, IV, ...
 - 3. 2HDM with singlet extensions: N2HDM, S2HDM, 2HDMS, ...
 - 4. Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)
 - 5. MSSM with one extra singlet (NMSSM)
 - 6. MSSM with more extra singlets (μv SSM)
 - 7. SM/MSSM with Higgs triplets

1. Introduction

・<u>今まで最も正しい測定であるCDF実験で W-mass anomalyが観測された</u>。

この場合、2HDMの予言はどうなるか?

- <u>Higgs-basis</u> 2HDMに基づいて、その様相をみて、
 実験でその検証の可能性を考える。
- ・今後の流れ
 - Higgs-basis 模型の説明
 - CP-Conservingにおける模型のConstraints
 - 模型の予言

2. Higgs basis 2HDM

2. Φ basis 2HDM

• 通常の Φ basis 2HDM (Type II)

$$\Phi_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{1} + \phi_{1} + ia_{1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{2} = e^{i\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{2} + \phi_{2} + ia_{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$v = \sqrt{v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}}, \quad \tan \beta = \frac{v_{2}}{v_{1}}, \quad s_{\beta} = \sin \beta, \ c_{\beta} = \cos \beta$$

• Potential $V_{\Phi} = \mu_1^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \mu_2^2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + m_{12}^{*2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$ $+ \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$ $+ \lambda_5 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_5^* (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \lambda_6 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_6^* (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$ $+ \lambda_7 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_7^* (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$

2. Higgs basis 2HDM potential

• Higgs basis

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{1} &= c_{\beta} \Phi_{1} + e^{-i\xi} s_{\beta} \Phi_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} G^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + \varphi_{1} + iG^{0}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{2} = -s_{\beta} \Phi_{1} + e^{-i\xi} c_{\beta} \Phi_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} H^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\varphi_{2} + ia) \end{pmatrix} \\ \bullet \begin{pmatrix} G^{+} \\ H^{+} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1}^{+} \\ \phi_{2}^{+} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} G^{0} \\ a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1} \\ \varphi_{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} \\ \phi_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bullet v = \sqrt{v_{1}^{2} + v_{2}^{2}} , \quad t_{\beta} = \tan(\beta) = v_{2}/v_{1} \end{aligned}$$

• Potential
$$V_{\mathcal{H}} = Y_1 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1) + Y_2 (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) + Y_3 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) + Y_3^* (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)$$

+ $Z_1 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)^2 + Z_2 (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2)^2 + Z_3 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1) (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) + Z_4 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)$
+ $Z_5 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2)^2 + Z_5^* (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)^2 + Z_6 (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1) (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) + Z_6^* (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1) (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)$
+ $Z_7 (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) (\mathcal{H}_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) + Z_7^* (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_2) (\mathcal{H}_2^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}_1)$

2. 質量項 in the Higgs Basis

• Mass terms

$$V_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{mass}}} = M_{H^{\pm}}^2 H^+ H^- + \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_1 \ \varphi_2 \ a) \mathcal{M}_0^2 \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \\ a \end{pmatrix}$$

- The charged Higgs boson mass: $M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = Y_2 + \frac{1}{2}Z_3v^2$
- The neutral Higgs bosons:

$$\mathcal{M}_0^2 = M_A^2 \operatorname{diag}(0,1,1) + \mathcal{M}_Z^2$$

•
$$M_A^2 = M_{H^{\pm}}^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}Z_4 - \Re e(Z_5)\right]v^2$$
,
• $\mathcal{M}_Z^2 = v^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2Z_1 & \Re e(Z_6) & -\Im m(Z_6) \\ \Re e(Z_6) & 2\Re e(Z_5) & -\Im m(Z_5) \\ -\Im m(Z_6) & -\Im m(Z_5) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

• 3×3 real and symmetric mass-squared matrix \mathcal{M}_0^2 :

•
$$(\varphi_1 \ \varphi_2 \ a)^T_{\alpha} = O_{\alpha i} (H_1 \ H_2 \ H_3)^T_i$$
,

• $O^T \mathcal{M}_0^2 O = \text{diag}(M_{H_1}^2, M_{H_2}^2, M_{H_3}^2)$

2. Input parameters

• The tadpole conditions relate the quadratic parameters $Y_{1,3}$ to $Z_{1,6}$

$$Y_1 + Z_1 v^2 = 0;$$
 $Y_3 + \frac{1}{2}Z_6 v^2 = 0.$

• In this work we consider the **CP-conserving** case assuming $\Im m(Y_3) = \Im m(Z_{5,6,7}) = 0$.

•
$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^T_{\alpha} = O_{\alpha i}(h, H)^T_i, \quad O = \begin{pmatrix} C_{\gamma} & S_{\gamma} \\ -S_{\gamma} & C_{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}$$

• The quartic couplings

$$Z_{1} = \frac{1}{2v^{2}} \left(c_{\gamma}^{2} M_{h}^{2} + s_{\gamma}^{2} M_{H}^{2} \right), \qquad Z_{4} = \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left(s_{\gamma}^{2} M_{h}^{2} + c_{\gamma}^{2} M_{H}^{2} + M_{A}^{2} - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} \right)$$
$$Z_{5} = \frac{1}{2v^{2}} \left(s_{\gamma}^{2} M_{h}^{2} + c_{\gamma}^{2} M_{H}^{2} - M_{A}^{2} \right), \qquad Z_{6} = \frac{1}{v^{2}} \left(-M_{h}^{2} + M_{H}^{2} \right) c_{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$$

- In the decoupling limit of $Z_6 \rightarrow 0$, $M_h^2 = 2Z_1v^2$
- Using these conditions and Higgs masses

 $\mathcal{I} = \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3; Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7\} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}' = \{v; M_{H^{\pm}}, M_{H_1}, M_{H_2}, M_{H_3}, \gamma; Z_3; Z_2, Z_7\}$

3. Constraints

3. Conditions for the potential (1/3); UNIT

- Constraints 1: Perturbative Unitarity (UNIT)
 - The three scattering matrices in CP-conserving

$$\mathcal{M}_{1}^{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{00} - I & \eta^{T} \\ \eta & E + I \times \mathbf{1}_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{2}^{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 3\eta_{00} - I & 3\eta^{T} \\ 3\eta & 3E + I \times \mathbf{1}_{3 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{3}^{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 2Z_{1} & 2Z_{5} & \sqrt{2}Z_{6} \\ 2Z_{5} & 2Z_{2} & \sqrt{2}Z_{7} \\ \sqrt{2}Z_{6} & \sqrt{2}Z_{7} & Z_{3} + Z_{4} \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 3}$$

•
$$\eta_{00} = Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3$$
, $I = Z_3 - Z_4$, $\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \Re e(Z_6 + Z_7) \\ 0 \\ Z_1 - Z_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $E = \begin{pmatrix} Z_4 + 2\Re e(Z_5) & 0 & -\Re e(Z_7) \\ 0 & Z_4 & 0 \\ -\Re e(Z_7) & 0 & Z_1 + Z_2 - Z_3 \end{pmatrix}$

D. J. and L. L., JHEP 12 (2018) S. K. and K. Y., Phys. Lett. B 751 (2015), 289-296

3. Simplified UNIT constraints

- The 3 scattering matrices $\mathcal{M}_{1,2,3}^S$ and I should have their moduli smaller than 4π
- When $= Z_{\{6,7\}} = 0$ or $Z_{\{1,2,3,4,5\}} = 0$

$Z_{\{6,7\}} = 0$	$Z_{\{1,2,3,4,5\}} = 0$		
$ Z_3 \pm Z_4 < 4\pi,$	$\sqrt{ Z_6 ^2 + Z_7 ^2} < 2\sqrt{2}\pi,$		
$\left Z_3 \pm 2 Z_5 \right < 4\pi,$	$17.12 + 17.12 + 17^2 + 7.12 < \frac{4\pi}{2}$		
$ Z_3 + 2Z_4 \pm 6 Z_5 < 4\pi,$	$\int \sqrt{\frac{ z_6 }{\sqrt{\frac{ z_6 }{1 + z_7 } + z_6 + z_7 }} - \frac{3}{3}$		
$\left Z_1 + Z_2 \pm \sqrt{(Z_1 - Z_2)^2 + 4 Z_5 ^2} \right < 4\pi,$			
$\left Z_1 + Z_2 \pm \sqrt{(Z_1 - Z_2)^2 + Z_4^2} 2 Z_5 \right < 4\pi,$			
$\left 3Z_1 + 3Z_2 \pm \sqrt{9(Z_1 - Z_2)^2 + (2Z_3 + Z_4)^2} \right < 4\pi.$			

• Combining them,

$$|Z_{1,2,5}| < \frac{2\pi}{3}, \quad |Z_{6,7}| < \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi}{3}, \quad |Z_3 - Z_4| < 4\pi \cup |2Z_3 + Z_4| < 4\pi \cup |Z_3 + 2Z_4| < 4\pi$$

A case study of 2HDM in the Higgs basis

3. Conditions for the potential (2/3); BFB

• Constraints 2: Bounded from below (BFB)

 $Z_1 \ge 0, \quad Z_2 \ge 0;$ $2\sqrt{Z_1Z_2} + Z_3 \ge 0, \quad 2\sqrt{Z_1Z_2} + Z_3 + Z_4 - 2|Z_5| \ge 0;$ $Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 + 2|Z_5| - 2|Z_6 + Z_7| \ge 0.$

G. C. B., P. M. F., L. L., M. N. R., M. S. and J. P. S., Phys. Rept. 516 (2012) D. J. and L. L., JHEP 12 (2018)



Mexican hat $\lambda > 0, \mu < 0 \mathcal{O}$ 2HDM ver.

- The couplings Z_2 and Z_7 have no direct relations to the masses and mixing of Higgs bosons
- But they are interrelated with the other five quartic couplings of $Z_{1,3-6}$ through the UNIT and BFB conditions.

3. Conditions for the potential (3/3); EWPO

- Constraints 3: Electroweak Precision Observables, **S** and **T** parameters.
- The electroweak oblique corrections to the so-called **S**, **T** and **U**.

M. E. P. and T. T., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990), 964-967 M. E. P. and T. T. , Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992), 381-409

• Fixing
$$U = 0$$
, by M_Z^2 / M_{BSM}^2

$$\frac{\left(S - \widehat{S_0}\right)^2}{\sigma_S^2} + \frac{\left(T - \widehat{T_0}\right)^2}{\sigma_T^2} - 2\rho_{ST} \frac{\left(S - \widehat{S_0}\right)\left(T - \widehat{T_0}\right)}{\sigma_S \sigma_T} \le R^2 (1 - \rho_{ST}^2)$$
 $(R^2 = 9.21 \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CLs})$

• Performing a global fit of electroweak data with the high-precision CDF measurement while fixing U = 0, one may find the large central values of the oblique parameters *S* and *T* together with the standard deviations such as

$$(\widehat{S_0}, \sigma_S) = (0.15, 0.08), \quad (\widehat{T_0}, \sigma_T) = (0.27, 0.06), \quad \rho_{ST} = 0.93$$

3. Expressions of S and T in 2HDM

• Then, the S and T parameters take the following forms:

$$S = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[F_{\Delta}' \left(M_{H^{\pm}}, M_{H^{\pm}} \right) - c_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta}' (M_A, M_H) - s_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta}' (M_A, M_h) \right]$$

$$T = -\frac{\sqrt{2}G_F}{16\pi^2 \alpha_{\rm EM}} \left[F_{\Delta} \left(M_A, M_{H^{\pm}} \right) + c_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta} \left(M_H, M_{H^{\pm}} \right) + s_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta} \left(M_h, M_{H^{\pm}} \right) - c_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta} (M_A, M_H) - s_{\gamma}^2 F_{\Delta} (M_A, M_h) \right]$$

• One-loop functions

$$\begin{split} F_{\Delta}(m_0, m_1) &= F_{\Delta}(m_1, m_0) = \frac{m_0^2 + m_1^2}{2} - \frac{m_0^2 m_1^2}{m_0^2 - m_1^2} \ln \frac{m_0^2}{m_1^2}, \\ F_{\Delta}'(m_0, m_1) &= F_{\Delta}'(m_1, m_0) = -\frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{4}{3} - \frac{m_0^2 \ln m_0^2 - m_1^2 \ln m_1^2}{m_0^2 - m_1^2} - \frac{m_0^2 + m_1^2}{(m_0^2 - m_1^2)^2} F_{\Delta}(m_0, m_1) \right] \\ F_{\Delta}(m, m) &= 0, \quad F_{\Delta}'(m, m) = \frac{1}{3} \ln m^2 \end{split}$$

[Particle Data Group], PTEP 2020 (2020) no.8 D. T., Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978), 1626

4. Analysis

4. Scatter plots of *S* versus $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_A$

- Combining UNIT, BFB, and EWP constraints (abbr. UNIT \bigoplus BFB \bigoplus ELW_{95%})
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to $(1.5 \text{ TeV})^2$
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- Parameter range;
 - $S = -0.03 \sim 0.05$,
 - S is negative (positive) when $M_{H^{\pm}} > (<)M_A$.
 - The narrow region around 0 about 0.004 is not allowed



4. Scatter plots of *T* versus $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_A$

- Combining UNIT, BFB, and EWP constraints (abbr. UNIT ⊕ BFB ⊕ ELW_{95%})
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to $(1.5 \text{ TeV})^2$
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- Parameter range;
 - $T = 0.13 \sim 0.22$, *T* is positive and sizable, thus, $M_{H^{\pm}} = M_A$ is forbidden.
 - The region $-40 \lesssim M_{H^{\pm}} M_A \lesssim 20 \text{ GeV}$ is ruled out



4. Scatter plots of *S* versus *T*

- Combining UNIT, BFB, and EWP constraints (abbr. UNIT ⊕ BFB ⊕ ELW_{95%})
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to $(1.5 \text{ TeV})^2$
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- Parameter range;
 - $S = -0.03 \sim 0.05$,
 - $T = 0.13 \sim 0.22$.

$$\cdot \frac{(S-\widehat{S_0})^2}{\sigma_S^2} + \frac{(T-\widehat{T_0})^2}{\sigma_T^2} - 2\rho_{ST} \frac{(S-\widehat{S_0})(T-\widehat{T_0})}{\sigma_S\sigma_T} \le 9.21^2 (1-\rho_{ST}^2)$$

$$\cdot (\widehat{S_0}, \sigma_S) = (0.15, 0.08), \ (\widehat{T_0}, \sigma_T) = (0.27, 0.06), \ \rho_{ST} = 0.93$$



4. Scatter plots of $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_A$ versus $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_H$

- The correlations among the mass differences
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to (1.5 TeV)²
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- As $M_{H^{\pm}}$ increases, the mass difference between the charged and neutral Higgs bosons $|M_{H^{\pm}} - M_{A,H}|$ converges to the value of about 100 GeV



4. Scatter plots of $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_A$ versus $M_H - M_A$

- The correlations among the mass differences
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to (1.5 TeV)²
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- As $M_{H^{\pm}}$ increases, the mass difference between the charged and neutral Higgs bosons $|M_{H^{\pm}} - M_{A,H}|$ converges to the value of about 100 GeV
- $|M_H M_A| \lesssim 250 \ (50) \ {\rm GeV}$ when $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500 \ (900) \ {\rm GeV}$



4. Scatter plots of $M_{H^{\pm}} - M_H$ versus $M_H - M_A$

- The correlations among the mass differences
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to (1.5 TeV)²
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
- As $M_{H^{\pm}}$ increases, the mass difference between the charged and neutral Higgs bosons $|M_{H^{\pm}} - M_{A,H}|$ converges to the value of about 100 GeV
- $|M_H M_A| \lesssim 250 \ (50) \ {\rm GeV}$ when $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500 \ (900) \ {\rm GeV}$



4. Scatter plots of $M_{H^{\pm}}$ versus γ

- The correlations among the heavy Higgs-boson masses and the mixing angle γ .
- The heavy Higgs masses squared are scanned up to $(1.5 \text{ TeV})^2$
 - The red points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 500$ GeV, the blue points are for $M_{H^{\pm}} > 900$ GeV.
 - The blue points are for UNIT ⊕ BFB constraints, the magenta points are for UNIT ⊕ BFB ⊕ ELW_{95%} constraints.



4. The normalized distributions of couplings



4. The normalized distributions of couplings

- In UNIT \oplus BFB \oplus ELW_{95%} constraints,
 - Z_1 and γ take values near to 0 less likely,
 - while Z_5 positive ones more likely,
 - Z_2 and Z_7 distributions remain almost the same since they are irrelevant to the masses of Higgs bosons and the mixing angle γ ,
 - Z_3 and Z_6 distributions undergo some change,
 - Z_4 distribution changes most drastically excluding the region $|Z_4| \lesssim 1$
 - Reminder: $Z_4 = \frac{1}{\nu^2} \left[s_{\gamma}^2 M_h^2 + c_{\gamma}^2 M_H^2 + M_A^2 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right]$
 - Taking $\gamma = 0$ and $M_H = M_A$ for the simplicity, $Z_4 = v^{-2} 4 \Delta_M \overline{M}$ $\Delta_M \equiv M_A - M_{H^{\pm}}, \quad \overline{M} \equiv (M_A + M_{H^{\pm}})/2$



 $\therefore Z_4$ can not vanish in the presence of the misalignment between $M_{H^{\pm}}$ and M_A , which is required to achieve the sizable central value of the *T* parameter.

4. Scatter plots of M_A **versus** M_H



• The mass difference $|\Delta_M|$ approaches to 100 GeV as $M_{H^{\pm}}$ grows.

•
$$\overline{M} \equiv \frac{\left(M_A + M_{H^{\pm}}\right)}{2} = \frac{Z_4 v^2}{4\Delta_M} \le \frac{v^2}{4} \left(\frac{Z_4}{\Delta_M}\right)_{\max} \le \frac{v^2}{4} \frac{|Z_4|_{\max}}{|\Delta_M|_{\min}}.$$

• We find that $\left(\frac{Z_4}{\Delta_M}\right)_{\max} \sim \frac{6}{(100 \text{ GeV})}$ leading to the upper limit of about 1 TeV

5. Conclusion

- We consider the implication of the recent CDF W-mass anomaly in the general framework of 2HDM.
- We find that the large deviation of the *S* and *T* parameters
 from their SM values of zero leads to <u>the upper limit of about 1 TeV</u>
 on the masses of the heavy charged and neutral Higgs bosons
 when it is combined with the theoretical constraints from the perturbative
 unitarity (UNIT) and for the Higgs potential to be bounded from below (BFB).

Appendix - Higgs basis 2HDM potential

- The potential parameters $Y_{1,2,3}$ and Z_{1-7} in the Higgs basis
 - $Y_1 = \mu_1^2 c_\beta^2 + \mu_2^2 s_\beta^2 + \Re e(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi}) s_{2\beta}$,
 - $Y_2 = \mu_1^2 s_\beta^2 + \mu_2^2 c_\beta^2 \Re e(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi}) s^{2\beta}$,
 - $Y_3 = -(\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2)c_\beta s_\beta + \Re e(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi})c_{2\beta} + i\Im m(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi}),$

$$\lambda_{345} = \frac{(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)}{2} + \Re e \left(\lambda_5 e^{2i\xi} \right)$$

- $Z_1 = \lambda_1 c_{\beta}^4 + \lambda_2 s_{\beta}^4 + 2\lambda_{345} c_{\beta}^2 s_{\beta}^2 + [\Re(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) c_{\beta}^2 + \Re(\lambda_7 e^{i\xi}) s_{\beta}^2] s_{2\beta}$,
- $Z_3 = \lambda_3 + 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 2\lambda_{345})c_{\beta}^2 s_{\beta}^2 [\Re(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) \Re(\lambda_7 e^{i\xi})]c_{2\beta}s_{2\beta}$,
- $Z_6 = (-\lambda_1 c_{\beta}^2 + \lambda_2 s_{\beta}^2) s_{2\beta} + 2\lambda_{345} c_{2\beta} c_{\beta} s_{\beta} + \Re(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) (c_{\beta}^2 3s_{\beta}^2) c_{\beta}^2 + \Re(\lambda_7 e^{i\xi}) (3c_{\beta}^2 s_{\beta}^2) s_{\beta}^2 + i |\Im(\lambda_5 e^{2i\xi}) s_{2\beta} + \Im(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) c_{\beta}^2 + \Im(\lambda_7 e^{i\xi}) s_{\beta}^2],$
 - $Z_1 \leftrightarrow Z_2$, $Z_3 \leftrightarrow Z_4$, $Z_6 \leftrightarrow Z_7$; $c_\beta \leftrightarrow s_\beta$, $\lambda_3 \leftrightarrow \lambda_4$, $(\lambda_5 e^{2i\xi}) \leftrightarrow (\lambda_5 e^{2i\xi})^*$, $(\lambda_{6,7} e^{i\xi}) \leftrightarrow -(\lambda_{6,7} e^{i\xi})^*$

•
$$Z_{5} = (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} - 2\lambda_{345})c_{\beta}^{2}s_{\beta}^{2} + \Re(\lambda_{5}e^{2i\xi}) - [\Re(\lambda_{6}e^{i\xi}) - \Re(\lambda_{7}e^{i\xi})]c_{2\beta}c_{\beta}s_{\beta}$$
$$+ i \left[\Im(\lambda_{5}e^{2i\xi})c_{2\beta} - \Im(\lambda_{6}e^{i\xi})c_{\beta}s_{\beta} + \Im(\lambda_{7}e^{i\xi})c_{\beta}s_{\beta}\right]$$

Appendix – Φ basis 2HDM potential

- Potential $V_{\Phi} = \mu_1^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \mu_2^2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + m_{12}^{*2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$ $+\lambda_{1}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})^{2}+\lambda_{2}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})^{2}+\lambda_{3}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})+\lambda_{4}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})$ $+\lambda_{5}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})^{2}+\lambda_{5}^{*}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})^{2}+\lambda_{6}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})+\lambda_{6}^{*}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})$ $+\lambda_{7}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})+\lambda_{7}^{*}(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})$
- Tadpole conditions: the square of the charged Higgs-boson mass

•
$$\mu_1^2 = -v^2 \left[\lambda_1 c_{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 s_{\beta}^2 + c_{\beta} s_{\beta} \Re e(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) \right] + s_{\beta}^2 M_{H^{\pm}}^2$$
,
• $\mu_1^2 = -v^2 \left[\lambda_1 c_{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 s_{\beta}^2 + c_{\beta} s_{\beta} \Re e(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) \right] + s_{\beta}^2 M_{H^{\pm}}^2$,
• $\Im m(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi}) = -\frac{v^2}{2} \left[\lambda_1 c_{\beta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 s_{\beta}^2 + c_{\beta} s_{\beta} \Re e(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) \right] + s_{\beta}^2 M_{H^{\pm}}^2$.

• The square of the charged Higgs-boson mass

•
$$M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = -\frac{\Re e(m_{12}^2 e^{i\xi})}{c_\beta s_\beta} = -\frac{v^2}{2c_\beta s_\beta} \left[\lambda_4 c_\beta s_\beta + 2c_\beta s_\beta \Re e(\lambda_5 e^{2i\xi}) + c_\beta^2 \Re e(\lambda_6 e^{i\xi}) + s_\beta^2 \Re e(\lambda_7 e^{i\xi})\right]$$

Appx. - Interactions with massive vector bosons

• The cubic interactions of the neutral and charged Higgs bosons with the massive gauge bosons Z and W^{\pm} are described by the three interaction lagrangians:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{HVV} &= g M_W \left(W_{\mu}^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2c_w^2} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} \right) \sum_i g_{H_i VV} H_i \,, \\ \mathcal{L}_{HHZ} &= \frac{g}{2c_W} \sum_{i>j} g_{H_i H_j Z} Z^{\mu} \left(H_i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\mu} H_j \right) , \\ \mathcal{L}_{HH^{\pm} W^{\mp}} &= -\frac{g}{2} \sum_i g_{H_i H^+ W^-} W^{-\mu} \left(H_i i \overleftrightarrow{\partial} H^+ \right) + \text{h. c.} \end{aligned}$$

- The normalized couplings
 - $g_{H_iVV} = O_{\varphi_1 i}$, $g_{H_iH_jZ} = \text{sign}[\det(O)]\epsilon_{ijk}g_{H_kVV} = \text{sign}[\det(O)]\epsilon_{ijk}O_{\varphi_1 k}$, $g_{H_iH^+W^-} = -O_{\varphi_2 i} + iO_{ai}$
 - Sum rules

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} g_{H_iVV}^2 = 1 \text{ and } g_{H_iVV}^2 + \left| g_{H_iH^+W^-} \right|^2 = 1 \text{ for each } i = 1,2,3$$

Appx. - Input parameters in CPV

• Using the tadpole conditions and Higgs masses $\{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3; Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7\} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}' = \{v; M_{H^{\pm}}, M_{H_1}, M_{H_2}, M_{H_3}, \{O_{3\times3}\}; Z_3; Z_2, Z_7\}$ • $0 = O_{\gamma}O_{\eta}O_{\omega} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_{\gamma} & s_{\gamma} & 0\\ -s_{\gamma} & c_{\gamma} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\eta} & 0 & s_{\eta}\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -s_{\eta} & 0 & c_{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & c_{\omega} & s_{\omega}\\ 0 & -s_{\omega} & c_{\omega} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\gamma}c_{\eta} & s_{\gamma}c_{\omega} - c_{\gamma}s_{\eta}s_{\omega} & s_{\gamma}s_{\omega} + c_{\gamma}s_{\eta}c_{\omega}\\ -s_{\gamma}c_{\eta} & c_{\gamma}c_{\omega} + s_{\gamma}s_{\eta}s_{\omega} & c_{\gamma}s_{\omega} - s_{\gamma}s_{\eta}c_{\omega}\\ -s_{\eta} & -c_{\eta}s_{\omega} & c_{\eta}c_{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ & Z_1 = \frac{1}{2v^2} \left(M_{H_1}^2 O_{\varphi_1 1}^2 + M_{H_2}^2 O_{\varphi_1 2}^2 + M_{H_3}^2 O_{\varphi_1 3}^2 \right) \\ \bullet \ & Z_4 = \frac{1}{v^2} \left[M_{H_1}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 1}^2 + O_{a1}^2 \right) + M_{H_2}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 2}^2 + O_{a2}^2 \right) + M_{H_3}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 3}^2 + O_{a3}^2 \right) - 2M_{H^{\pm}}^2 \right] \\ \bullet \ & Z_5 = \frac{1}{2v^2} \left[M_{H_1}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 1}^2 - O_{a1}^2 \right) + M_{H_2}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 2}^2 - O_{a2}^2 \right) + M_{H_3}^2 \left(O_{\varphi_2 3}^2 - O_{a3}^2 \right) \right] \\ & - \frac{i}{v^2} \left(M_{H_1}^2 O_{\varphi_2 1} O_{a1} + M_{H_2}^2 O_{\varphi_2 2} O_{a2} + M_{H_3}^2 O_{\varphi_2 3} O_{a3} \right) \\ \bullet \ & Z_6 = \frac{1}{v^2} \left(M_{H_1}^2 O_{\varphi_1 1} O_{\varphi_2 1} + M_{H_2}^2 O_{\varphi_1 2} O_{\varphi_2 1} + M_{H_3}^2 O_{\varphi_1 3} O_{\varphi_2 3} \right) \\ & - \frac{i}{v^2} \left(M_{H_1}^2 O_{\varphi_1 1} O_{a1} + M_{H_2}^2 O_{\varphi_1 2} O_{a2} + M_{H_3}^2 O_{\varphi_1 3} O_{\varphi_3} \right) \end{split}$$

Yukawa couplings in the Higgs basis

• The Yukawa couplings

• The mass terms in the Yukawa interactions

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y_{\text{mass}}} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{u_L^0} \boldsymbol{y}_1^u u_R^0 + \overline{d_L^0} \boldsymbol{y}_1^d d_R^0 + \overline{e_L^0} \boldsymbol{y}_1^e e_R^0 + \text{h.c.} \right)$$
$$u_L^0 = \mathcal{U}_{u_L} u_L, \quad d_L^0 = \mathcal{U}_{d_L} d_L, \quad e_L^0 = \mathcal{U}_{e_L} e_L, \quad u_R^0 = \mathcal{U}_{u_R} u_R, \quad d_R^0 = \mathcal{U}_{d_R} d_R, \quad e_R^0 = \mathcal{U}_{e_R} e_R$$
$$\mathbf{M}_u = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}_{u_L}^\dagger \boldsymbol{y}_1^u \mathcal{U}_{u_R} = \text{diag} (m_u, m_c, m_t),$$
$$\mathbf{M}_d = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}_{d_L}^\dagger \boldsymbol{y}_1^d \mathcal{U}_d = \text{diag} (m_d, m_s, m_b),$$
$$\mathbf{M}_e = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}_{e_L}^\dagger \boldsymbol{y}_1^e \mathcal{U}_{e_R} = \text{diag} (m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau)$$

• Finally $-\mathcal{L}_{Y_{\text{mass}}} = \overline{u_L} \mathbf{M}_u u_R + \overline{d_L} \mathbf{M}_d d_R + \overline{e_L} \mathbf{M}_e e_R + \text{h.c.}$

Couplings of Higgs bosons

• The couplings of the neutral Higgs bosons to two fermions

$$-\mathcal{L}_{H\bar{f}f} = \frac{1}{v} [\bar{u}\mathbf{M}_{u}u]\varphi_{1} + [\bar{u}(\mathbf{h}_{u}^{H} + \mathbf{h}_{u}^{A}\gamma_{5})u]\varphi_{2} + [\bar{u}(-i\mathbf{h}_{u}^{A} - i\mathbf{h}_{u}^{H}\gamma_{5})u]a$$
$$+ \frac{1}{v} [\bar{d}\mathbf{M}_{d}d]\varphi_{1} + [\bar{d}(\mathbf{h}_{d}^{H} + \mathbf{h}_{d}^{A}\gamma_{5})d]\varphi_{2} + [\bar{d}(i\mathbf{h}_{d}^{A} + i\mathbf{h}_{d}^{H}\gamma_{5})d]a$$
$$+ \frac{1}{v} [\bar{e}\mathbf{M}_{e}e]\varphi_{1} + [\bar{e}(\mathbf{h}_{e}^{H} + \mathbf{h}_{e}^{A}\gamma_{5})e]\varphi_{2} + [\bar{e}(i\mathbf{h}_{e}^{A} + i\mathbf{h}_{e}^{H}\gamma_{5})e]a$$

• Hermitian and three anti-Hermitian Yukawa coupling matrices

$$\mathbf{h}_{f}^{H} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{h}_{f} + \mathbf{h}_{f}^{\dagger}}{2}, \qquad \mathbf{h}_{f}^{A} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{h}_{f} - \mathbf{h}_{f}^{\dagger}}{2}, \qquad \mathbf{h}_{f} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}_{2}^{f} \mathcal{U}_{f_{R}}, \quad (f = u, d, e)$$

• The couplings of the charged Higgs bosons to two fermions

$$-\mathcal{L}_{H^{\pm}\overline{f_{\uparrow}}f_{\downarrow}} = -\sqrt{2} \left[\overline{u_R} (\boldsymbol{h}_u^{\dagger} V) d_L \right] H^+ + \sqrt{2} \left[\overline{u_L} (V \boldsymbol{h}_d) d_R \right] H^+ + \sqrt{2} \left[\overline{\nu_L} \boldsymbol{h}_e e_R \right] H^+ + \text{h. c.}$$
$$\mathcal{U}_{u_L}^{\dagger} \mathcal{U}_{d_L} = V_{\text{CKM}} \equiv V \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{h}_u = t_{\beta}^{-1} \frac{M_u}{v}, \ \boldsymbol{h}_d = -t_{\beta} \frac{M_d}{v}, \ \boldsymbol{h}_e = -t_{\beta} \frac{M_e}{v}$$