

北海道大学 大西 明

Introduction

 --- 核子・ハドロン物質の相図

 Selected Topics of Recent Developments

 --- Penta Quark
 --- Hypernuclear Physics
 --- Mean Field from Pions
 --- RHIC Physics

3. Summary





Various State of Matter and Relation to Various Field of Physics

Hierarchies in Nuclear Physics

- * Quarks and Gluons
 - QCD (Perturbative, Lattice), Chiral Quark Model (NJL etc), ...

* Hadrons

Chiral Perturbation, Hadron Lagrangian, BB Interaction, Effective Interaction, Model Space, ...

* Finite Nuclei

Equation of State, Statistical Model,

* Nuclear/Hadronic/Quark Matter

Compact Astrophysical Objects, Early Universe, ...

Nuclear Physics = Physics of Four (or Three)Hierarchies



Experimentally Estimated Phase Diagram



by Esumi, Matter03

Thermal freeze-out parameters from the particle ratios



- Almost complete reconstruction of particle ratios by the statistical thermal model.
- · Thermal model prediction in AuAu 200 GeV central.

 $T_{ch} = 177 \text{ MeV}, m_{B} = 29 \text{ MeV}$

Theoretically Expected QCD Phase Diagram

Zero Chem. Pot.





JLQCD Collab. (S. Aoki et al.), Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 73 (1999) 459. Finite μ: Fodor & Katz, JHEP 0203 (2002), 014.

Zero Chem. Pot. : Cross Over Finite Chem. Pot.: Critical End Point

Very Recent Progress (A. Nakamura)

Phase of Determinant

The phase of the fermion determinant really fluctuate ?



A. Nakamura, Oral Presentation at Matter03, Dec. 1–3, YITP



Hyperon, Kaon, Baryon Rich QGP, Color Superconductor, ...

YITP 50-th Anniversary, 12/8-9, 2003, YITP Deep Inside the Neutron Star



Various Hadronic (and QGP) Phases appear as the Density Increases

What is Expected in the Neutron Star Core ?

Nucleon Superfluid

$$({}^{1}S_{0}, {}^{3}P_{2})$$

Pion Condensation

Strangeness

Hyperon Matter

Tsuruta-Cameron (66), Langer-Rosen (70), Pand-haripande (71), Itoh(75), Glendenning, Weber-Weigel, Sugahara-Toki, Schaffner-Mishustin, Balberg-Gal, Baldo et al., Vidana et al., Nishizaki-Yamamoto-Takatsuka, Kohno-Fujiwara et al., ...

Kaon Condensation

Kaplan-Nelson(88), Forkel-Rho et al.(SUNY), Davidson-Miller, Claymans et al., Politzer-Wise, Miller et al., Muto-Tatsumi, Brown-Thorsson-Lee-Rho-Min, Fujii et al., Yabu et al, Maruyama et al., Ellis-Knorren-Prakashi (with Y), Li-Ning, Li-Brown, Tiwari-Prasad-Singh, Glendenning-Schaffner,

Quark-Gluon Plasma

We cannot understand Highly Dense Hadronic Matter without the Knowledges of Strangeness Nuclear Physics

Importance of Strangeness Degrees of Freedom

Constituents:

$$p, n, e^{\pm}, \mu^{\pm}, \Lambda, \Sigma^{\pm, 0}, \dots$$

Chemical Equilibrium:

× Strangeness (Weak)

 \times Lepton (γ Emission)

$$\mu_i = B_i \mu_B + Q_i \mu_Q$$



Negatively Chaged or Neutral Baryons are Favored

$$E_{F}^{*}(n) + U(n) + \mu_{e} = M^{*}(\Sigma^{-}) + U(\Sigma^{-}) \qquad \sum \text{ appears}$$
$$E_{F}^{*}(n) + U(n) = M^{*}(\Lambda^{-}) + U(\Lambda^{-}) \qquad \Lambda \text{ appears}$$

Example: Neutron Star Max. Mass



Maximum Mass Reduction ~0.5-1.0 M_{sun}

Solution Star Description Star







Attractive Potential for $\sum \rightarrow \sum$ appears at around $\rho \approx 2 \rho_0$

Repulsive Potential for \sum $\rightarrow \sum$ does not appear

Max. Mass and Compositions are SENSITIVE to Interaction !!

E.g. Nishizaki-Takatsuka-Yamamoto, PTP 108 (02) 703.

G-matrix Approach



Nishizaki-Takatsuka-Yamamoto, PTP 108 (2002),703. G-matrix for NN and YN, YY + Three Baryon Int.(phen.)

Max. Mass

Fig. 4. The fractions of constituent particles as functions of the baryon density. (a) TNI3 only for the NN part (TNI3), (b) TNR3 for the YN and YY parts and for the NN part (TNI3u).

Hyperon Composition



Fig. 9. The mass of a neutron star in units of the solar mass M_☉ as functions of the central baryon density ρ_c with use of (a) TNI3 and (b) TNI2. The notation here is the same as in Fig. 8.

by S. Yamada Crucial Role of Neutrinos

There is a critical luminosity!

confirmed by analytic models
 Burrows & Ghoshy 93
 Janka 01

Accurate treatment of neutrino transport is mandatory !



Janka & Mueller 95

Hyperons in Supernova Explosion

Supernova explode in pure 1D hydro with Rel. EOS.
With ν transport shock tends to stall.
3 % increase of ν flux leads to hydrodynamical

explosion (Janka and Mueller 1995)

 Hyperons increase explosion energy by around 4 % (TM1 + SU(3), Ishizuka, AO, Sumiyoshi,

Yamada, in preparation)



Hyperons may play crutial roles in dense matter, such as in neutron stars and supernova explosion.



Normal/Unstable Nuclei, Fragment Formation, ...

Nuclear Caloric Curve

J. Pochadzalla et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 1040. (GSI-ALLADIN collab.)



Boiling Temperature is Clearly Seen

Fragment Yields are assumed to follow Equilibrium Statistics

 $Y_{f} \propto g_{f} \exp\left(\left(B_{f} + Z \mu_{p} + N \mu_{n}\right)/T\right)$ $\rightarrow \frac{Y(^{4} He)/Y(^{3} He)}{Y(^{7} Li)/Y(^{6} Li)} \propto \exp\left(\Delta B/T\right)$

Phase Diagram in RMF and NSE





Supernova Nucleosynthesis is affected by Nuclear EOS at High and Low Density,Low–E Nuclear Reactions, Neutrino–Nuclear (and Hyperon) Reactions,

What should be done ?

- Ingredients of Nuclear/Hadronic Matter Study
 - Composition
 - Quark/Gluon, Hyperon, Meson
 - Interaction
 - Hyperon Int.
 - Density Deps. of Symmetric Energy
 - Statistics
 - Thermalization Deg. in Nuclear Reaction
- We Need
 - More Data and Expeirmental Evidences
 - Theoretial Developments
 - Exchange of Knowledgd with Other Field of Devoice



Selected Topics of Recent Developments

* Quarks and Gluons

Discovery of a New Constituent --- Penta Quark

* Hadrons

Change of Paradigm --- YN Interaction

* Finite Nuclei

Discovery of New Finite Systems --- Kaonic Nucleus

Determining New Interaction --- Double Hypernuclei

Development in Understanding --- New Roles of Pions

* Nuclear/Hadronic/Quark Matter

New State of Matter --- Quark Gluon Plasma



Discovery of Penta Quark State

LEPS @ Spring8 $\gamma + n \rightarrow K^{-} + \Theta^{+}, \quad \Theta^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} + n, \quad \Theta^{+} = uudd \ \overline{s}$ M = 1540±10 MeV, Γ < 25 MeV, Gaussian significance 4.6 σ



T. Nakano et al. (LEPS Collaboration) Phys.Rev.Lett.91 (2003) 012002 ; hep-ex/0301020

 $\Theta^+ = uudd \bar{s}$

 N^*

 Σ^*

 $\Xi^+ = uuss \overline{d}$

Impact of Penta Quark Discovery

 $= ddss \overline{u}$

First Manifestly Exotic Hadron

Elementary Particles

Gauge Bosons, Leptons, Quarks, Mesons, Baryons, Penta Quarks,

Low Mass (threshold + 100 MeV) \rightarrow Quark-Quark Interaction Narrow Width < 25 MeV \rightarrow Wave Function Member of Anti-Decouplet \rightarrow Other Members to be discovered

Renaissance of Hadron Spectroscopy ! Birth of Exotic Hadron Spectroscopy ! (K. Imai, Hyp03)

Experimental Confirmations

ITEP (DIANA Collab.), hep-ex/0304040
 (Phys.Atom.Nucl.66(03)1715, Yad.Fiz.66(03)1763

$$K^+ + Xe \rightarrow \Theta^+ \rightarrow K^0_s + p$$
, $M = 1539 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma < 9 \text{ MeV}$

• JLab (CLAS Collab.), hep-ex/0307018

 $\gamma + d \rightarrow K^{-} p \Theta^{+}, \Theta^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} n, M = 1542 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma < 21 \text{ MeV}$

• ELSA (SAPHIR Collab.), hep-ex/0307083 (PLB 572(03)127) $\gamma + p \rightarrow \overline{K}_{s}^{0} \Theta^{+}, \Theta^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} n, M = 1540 \pm 4 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma < 25 \text{ MeV}$

 SPS (NA49 Collab.), hep-ex/0310014 (PRL accepted)

 $p + p \rightarrow \Xi^{--}, \Xi^{--} \rightarrow \Xi^{-} \pi^{-}, M = 1862 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma < 18 \text{ MeV}$

• Bubble chamber (WA21, WA25, WA59, E180, E632),hep-ex/0309042 $v + \text{Ne}(d) \rightarrow \Theta^+, \Theta^+ \rightarrow K_s^0 \text{p}, M = 1533 \pm 5 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma < 20 \text{ MeV}$

by C. Hoehne, 18⁺th Nishinomiya-Yukawa

Particle identification





hep-ex/0310014, accepted by PRL

Theoretical Works

Prediction :

....

D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, and M. Polyakov, ZPA359(97),305. Chiral soliton model (Skyrmion) N(1710) is assumed to be a member of Anti-Decouplet Small mass and narrow width

 $M = 1890 - 180 Y = 1530 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma < 15 \text{ MeV}, J^{\pi} = 1/2^{+}$

 After Discovery, Flood of Papers are coming out.... Jaffe-Wilczek (di-quark, hep-ph/0307341) Karliner-Lipkin (di-quark+tri-quark, hep-ph/0307243) Hosaka (chiral bag, PLB571(03)55) Capstick-Page-Roberts (I=2 pentaquark, hep-ph/0307019) Llanes-Estrada-Oset-Mateu (KπN bound state, nucl-th/0311020) Zhu (QSR, hep-ph/0307345) Matheus et al. (QSR, hep-ph/030900) Sugiyama-Doi-Oka (QSR, hep-ph/0309271) Csikor, Fodor, Katz, Kovacs (hep-lat/0309090) Sasaki (hep-lat/0310014) Lee-Liu (Hyp03)



by Oka, 18-th Nishinomiya-Yukawa Symp.

Questions

- QCD predicts a negative-parity (1/2⁻) pentaquark.
 - Problem: The predictions are very close to the KN threshold.
- Various models suggest positive parity (1/2⁺) pentaquarks.
 - No serious microscopic calculations so far.
- Mass 1540 MeV
 - The quark model prefers 1/2⁻. It may be too light for 1/2⁺.
- Width < 10 MeV ?

Arndt et al. nucl-th/0308012

 Γ < 1 MeV to be consistent with KN PSA

We need more data <u>and</u> new (revolutionary) theoretical ideas!

Recent Developments in Hypernuclear Physics

- Gamma Ray Spectroscopy of Hypernuclei
- Deeply Bound Kaonic Nuclei
- Double Hypernuclei

Gamma Ray Spectroscopy of Hypernuclei



Experiment: BNL-E930-1

Akikawa et al., PRL 88 (2002)082501; Tamura et al., Hyp03

⁹Be $(K^-,\pi^-\gamma)^9_A$ Be

LS splitting $43 \pm 5 \text{ keV}$

Theory:

Hiyama et al, PRL85(2000), 270.

Meson Exch. 80–200 keV Quark Model 30–40 keV

Inconsistent with Meson Exchange Potential

Paradigm Change of BB Potential



Before Hypernuclear Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy

Region I: OPEP

Region II: Two Pion Exch., One Boson Exch.

Region III: Pauli blocking and OGE between Quarks

BB interaction is well described by Meson Theory, EXCEPT for the Repulsive Core.

Paradigm Change of BB Potential



After Hypernuclear Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy

Region I: OPEP

Region II: Two Pion Exch., One Boson Exch.

Color Magnetic (OGE) Region III: Pauli blocking and OGE between Quarks

Quarks may play roles also in Region II at least for LS int. for YN

Double A Hypernuclei

Nagara Event --- the Best Event



Takahashi et al, PRL 87 (2001), 212502.



All experiments are consistent except for that of Prowse.

Impact of Narara Event

- H particle : Even if bound, B.E. is very small.
- Lampha: Triple Magic Nuclei
- Z and A: Unambiguously Determined
- **Binding Energy:** $\Delta B_{AA} = 1.01 \pm 0.20^{+0.18}_{-0.11} \text{MeV}$
 - Attraction is Weak
 - BB interaction Model: Nijmegen, Funabashi–Gifu, Ehime, Kyoto–Niigata, Tokyo–Tuebingen,
 - Superfluidity in Hyperons may not realized (Takatsuka)
- Another Double hyper Nucleus (4–H–LL) is also found (BNL–E906).

Discovery of Deeply Bound Kaonic Nucleus



Stopped K on He

⁴ He
$$(K_{Stopped}, n)^{3}_{\overline{K}}$$
 H

T. Suzuki et al.(KEK-PS-E471), Hyp03, nucl-ex/0310018.

B.E. = $165.1 \pm 3.6 \text{ MeV}(\text{Stat. Only})$ $\Gamma = 14.1 \text{ MeV}(\text{Stat. Err.} < 25.1 \text{ MeV})$

Very Deeply Bound (B.E. ~ 165 MeV) Size May be Very Small (T.Suzuki, Large ħω (prel.))

Discovery of Deeply Bound Kaonic Nucleus

Shell Spacing

$$\begin{split} \hbar \omega_{\kappa} \sim \hbar \omega_{N} \sqrt{V_{\kappa}/V_{N}} \sqrt{m_{N}/m_{\kappa}} \\ \hbar \omega_{N} \sim 15 MeV \\ \sqrt{V_{\kappa}/V_{N}} \sim \sqrt{190/50} \\ \sqrt{m_{N}/m_{\kappa}} \sim \sqrt{0.94/0.5} \\ \hbar \omega_{\kappa} \sim 40 MeV \end{split}$$

Neutron Knock-out by Kaon

$$^{16}\mathsf{O}(K^-,n)^{15}_{\overline{K}}\mathsf{N}$$

BNL-E930 parasite

T. Kishimoto et al. PTP Suppl. 149(2003),264.

U~190 MeV

Kishimoto, Hyp03

U can be as deep as 190 MeV. Excited States are observed.



Binding energy of K⁻ and Decay-width



Uncertainty due to the inconsistency between the obtained results and the G-matrix used in the calculation

by Dote, Matter03

Impact of Deeply Bound Kaonic Nuclei

Puzzle in Kbar N Interaction Attractive Chiral pert. --> Kaon cond. (Kaplan & Nelson) Repulsive Scatt. ampl. at threshold (Martin) Kaonic hydrogen X-ray shift (Iwasaki et al.)

Solution:A(1405) = Kbar N bound state Orthogonality of Scatt. State to Bound State Looks repulsive at threshold, Strongly Attractive in Dense Matter

Problem of Kaon Condensation EOS of dense matter would be too soft ! Self-bound "Strange" (Kaon) Star ? (Muto)



Mean Field / Shell Model Picture of Nuclei





Mean Field / Shell Model = Standard Picture of Nuclei.

- Nucleons are filled in the Mean Field potential consisting with central and LS part.
- Nucleon single particle w.f. is specified by nlj (radial, orbital angular momentum, and total angular momentum).

• Bare tensor interactions are included in the Effective Central (and LS) interaction.

Where are the Pions in Nuclei?

- **Standard Picture**
 - Pion Effects can be represented by Effective Central and LS int.

Objection !

- Pion Condensation in Nuclei and in Dense Matter
 - Migdal, Tamagaki school, Toki-Weise,
 - Pion Cond. will not be realized if $g'_{NA} > 0.6$.
- Revival : GT strength Sum > 90 % (Sakai et al., RCNP Exp't.)
 - Considered to be Quenched because of the Delta excitation due to large g'_{NA}.
 - GT Strength Sum \rightarrow g'_{NA} < 0.25 (T. Suzuki (Fukui) et al.)
 - Quenching is due to residual interaction.

* Large Tensor and OPEP contribution in Realistic Few-Body Calc. with Realistic NN interaction. (Wiringa et al.)

Let's See Pions in Nuclei AGAIN !

Toki, Sugimoto, Ikeda, PTP 108 (2002), 903; Ikeda/Akaishi/Toki, Cluster8

by Sugimoto, Cluster8

YITP 50-th Anniversary, 12/8-9, 2003, YITP

Mean Field generated by Pions



Mean Field from Pion

$$U_{\pi} = \frac{f_{\pi N}}{m_{\pi}} \tau \sigma \cdot \nabla \phi$$

★τ: Couples
Proton and Neutron States
★ σ · √: Couples
Even and Odd Parity States

Charge Parity Projected HF

 Fill the Nucleus with *Charge and Parity Mixed Single Particle States*
 Project w.f. to *Charge and Parity Eigen State*
 Make Variation

YITP 50-th Anniversary, 12/8-9, 2003, YITP by Sugimoto, Cluster8 Schematic example (⁴He;A=4,Z=2) $(\pi 0s)^{2} (\nu 0s)^{2} \left[\left(\alpha(\pi 0s) + \beta(\nu 0s) + \gamma(\pi 0p) + \delta(\nu 0p) \right) \right]^{2}$ mixed wave function simple (0s)⁴ $= \underbrace{6 \alpha^2 \beta^2 (\pi 0 s)^2 (\nu 0 s)^2}_{\text{Op-Oh}}$ $+6\alpha^{2}\delta^{2}(\pi0s)^{2}(\nu0p)^{2}+6\beta^{2}\gamma^{2}(\nu0s)^{2}(\pi0p)^{2}+24\alpha\beta\gamma\delta(\pi0s)(\nu0s)(\pi0p)(\nu0p)$ 2n-2h⁴He, 0⁺ $+6\gamma^2\delta^2\left(\pi 0p\right)\left(\nu 0p\right)$ $+\underline{12\alpha^{2}\beta\delta(\pi0s)^{2}(\nu0s)(\nu0p)}+\underline{12\alpha\beta^{2}\gamma(\pi0s)(\nu0s)^{2}(\pi0p)}$ $+12\beta\gamma^{2}\delta(\nu 0s)(\pi 0p)(\nu 0p)+12\alpha\gamma\delta^{2}(\pi 0s)(\pi 0p)(\nu 0p)^{2}$ +(other 160 terms (4n, ${}^{4}H$, ${}^{4}Li$, ${}^{4}Be$))

By combining <u>the charge and the parity mixings and projections</u>, we can obtain a wave function which includes <u>the correlations induced by the</u> <u>tensor force.</u>

Pionic Amplitude (Example)



AMD with Coherent Neutral Pions

(Isshiki, Naito, AO, Cluster8)

Pionic amplitude can be as large as MeV in Nuclei !

LS-like Effects of Pions

Ogawa et al. (2003)

- Pion mixes different parity (but same j) states.
- Lower state gains energy, while higher state goes up.

 \rightarrow LS like !



New Type of Mean Field Model just started. Let's see what happens !



YITP 50-th Anniversary, 12/8-9, 2003, YITP High T and/or High p Matter: Hadronic Resonance Matter and QCD Phase Transition

JAMming on the Web http://nova.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/~ohtsuka/



High Energy Heavy-Ion Collision Experiments

Heavy-ion physisists wanted to create QGP for a long time ...

LBL-Bevalac: 800 A MeV GSI-SIS: 1-2 A GeV BNL-AGS (1987-): 10 A GeV CERN-SPS (1987-): 160 A GeV BNL-RHIC (2000-): 100+100 A GeV CERN-LHC (2004(?)-): 3 + 3 A TeV







Jet Quenching at RHIC (I)



6/18 Press Release

Colored partons will lose energy in colored gas environment (=QGP)

Since High Energy Particles are expected to come from Jet Fragmentation, they are suppressed if QGP is formed.

by Esumi, Matter03



d+Au

Jet Quenching at RHIC (II)

d + Au: Initial State Effects



at RHIC compared to p+p collisions !

by Esumi, Matter03

9

10

8



Jet Energy Loss also lead to reduction of back-to-back correlation

Elliptic Flow (I)



by Esumi, Matter03



Low Momentum : Hydrodynamical calc. with Early Thermalization High Momentum : Reduction from Hydro. calc.

Elliptic Flow (II)

(Hirano and Nara)

Jet + Hydro

What is the Origin of Elliptic Flow ?

- Hydrodynamics
- Jet Energy Loss

- Coalescence

Fragmentation & Recombination Fries, Nonaka, ...

 $f(\phi) \simeq f_1(\phi) f(\phi)$ $\propto (1 + 2v_2 \cos \phi) \times (1 + 2v_2 \cos \phi)$ $= 1 + 2 \times 2v_2 \cos \phi$

Hydro + Jet Model (Hirano and Nara)







PRC66 (2002) 041901.



PRL 91 (2003) 082301.

Fragmentation and Recombination (Duke U. Group)

Recombination Enhances Intermed. P₊ Hadrons and Baryon V₂.



Fries et al. PRL 90 (2003), 202303, Nonaka et al., nucl-th/0308051

by Esumi, Matter03



Recombination Picture seems to work well ... Parton Elliptic Flow

Hadron Cascade Study (I) : Global Observables



Proton Spectra @ RHIC is too soft in JAM (Proton Puzzle).

* Mean Field Effects are included for AGS and SPS energies

Hadron Cascade Results (II) : High PT Elliptic Flow



We can fit P₇ spectra by changing the "Thickness" of Nuclei, but we cannot Reproduce High P₇ Elliptic Flow

Summary (I)

- 原子核物理学 = 異なる階層が詰まった領域
 - Quark/Gluon Hadron Nuclei Matter
- 大きな最近の発展
 - Penta Quark : Renaissance of Hadron Spectroscopy
 - Gamma-Ray Hypernuclear Spectroscopy : Third Paradigm Change of BB Potential
 - Deeply Bound Kaonic Nucleus : EOS of Dense Matter
 - Double Hypernuclei : Solved the Puzzle for 40 Years
 - Mean Field Model with Pions : Explicit Role of NG Bosons
 - RHIC Physics : QGP formation is PROBABLE

Summary (II)

触れられなかった最近の発展 Many

magic number change, α condensate, n-rich cluster, exotic atom, hypernuclear shrinkage, partial chiral sym. restoration, strange enhancement at SPS energies, developments in chiral models, Color Superconductor, Color Glass Condensate, Chiral and quark model analyses of lattice QCD "Data",

これからの発展の可能性

New (and active) Accelerators (RIBF, J-PARC, Jlab, LHC, SPring8, ...) are closely related to new areas of nuclear/hadronic matter



Thank you

I would like to thank my collaborators C.Ishizuka, K. Sumiyoshi, S. Yamada, H.Maekawa, A. Isshiki, K. Naito, Y. Hirata, Y. Nara, M.Isse, N.Otuka, P.K.Sahu, S.Yamaguchi, J.Randrup, 18-th Nishinomiya Yukawa Symposium Lecturers Profs. Gal, Benhold,Tamura, Takatsuka, Kaplan, Fujiwara, Luts, Oka, Hoehne, Thomas, who provided me PPT files, Profs. Nakamura, Esumi, Sugimoto, Dote, and All of YOU.