



大西 明 (京都大学·基礎物理学研究所)

- Introduction: J-PARC で展開される物理の概観
- ハイペロン生成反応
 - 直接反応理論とグリーン関数法
- 強結合領域における格子 QCD
 - ●格子場の理論の基礎、強結合領域での有効ポテンシャル
 - 強結合格子 QCD で探るクォーク物質の相(コロキウム)
- 高エネルギー重イオン反応における輸送理論
 - 流体模型(完全流体)、輸送方程式、エントロピー生成
- 🛯 まとめ



物理教室コロキウム:

<u> 強結合格子 QCD で探るクォーク物質の相</u> Phase Diagram of Quark Matter from Strong Coupling Lattice QCD

大西 明 (京都大学·基礎物理学研究所)

- Introduction
- Strong Coupling Lattice QCD with 1/g² Correction
- Phase diagram in SC-LQCD and Quarkyonic Phase
- **Summary**

Miura and AO, arXiv:0806.3357 Kawamoto, Miura, AO, Ohnuma, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007), 014502 [arXiv:hep-lat/0512023]



I'm interested in

Quark / Hadron / Nuclear Matter EOS and Phase Diagram



Rich Structure / Astrophysical implications / Accessible in HIC



2008年ノーベル物理学賞

南部さん、小林さん、益川さん、おめでとうございます!



- 南部陽一郎(シカゴ大学教授)
- 小林誠(高エネルギー加速器研究機構教授) 益川敏英(京都産業大学教授・京都大学基礎物理学研究所教授)
- ■ともに「クォーク」の不思議な性質の解明に寄与 → クォークとは何か?どのような性質が解明されたのか?





南部珥論

素粒子・原子核物理において対称性が自発的に 破れて粒子が質量を獲得する機構の発見に対して

■ ノーベル賞受賞理由

- 対称性の自発的破れとは? 「まっすぐ立てた鉛筆は、どの方向に倒れる確率も 同じ(等方的)だが、少しの揺らぎである方向に 倒れ、元に戻ることはない。」
- 南部理論では、 カイラル対称性が自発的に破れる機構を発見し、 生の質量が小さい(5 MeV)クォークが 大きな質量を得ることを示した。







南部理論:カイラル対称性の自発的破れ

- カイラル対称性 クォークと反クォークを複素平面で同じ方向にまわしても エネルギーは変わらない → クォーク・反クォーク対を複素平面でまわしても エネルギーは変わらない
- クォークと反クォークの間には強い引力が働くので、 対を作って同じ方向に凝縮する(空間を埋め尽くす)。





南部理論:カイラル対称性の自発的破れ

- 最も安定な状態(真空)ではクォーク・反クォーク対が凝縮
 - → ある方向が選ばれる(真空での自発的対称性の破れ)
 - → クォークは凝縮体にぶつかって動きにくくなる
 - → 質量の増加





Dept. Phys. Colloquium, Hokkaido U., Dec. 16, 2008

南部理論からの帰結

- カイラル対称性のオーダーパラメータ = カイラル凝縮(クォーク・反クォーク対の凝縮) → 2 つの異なる相が存在
 - カイラル対称性が自発的に破れた相 (Nambu-Goldstone 相)
 - カイラル対称性が回復した相 (Wigner 相)

■ 他のオーダーパラメータは?

- Polyakov Loop (閉じ込め)
 格子 QCD シミュレーション (バリオン密度 = 0) では、 カイラル対称性の回復とほぼ同時に非閉じ込め相転移
- クォーク対凝縮(カラー超伝導)
 低温・超高密度ではクォークの超伝導状態(摂動論的 QCD)
- バリオン密度 低温・高密度では、格子 QCD シミュレーションが困難





Why do we want to study QCD phase diagram ?



How Far Do We Know?



A Conjecture from Large N_c: Quarkyonic Phase

Pisarski, McLerran, 2007

Discussion at large N_c

- Pressure: Gluon = $O(N_c^2)$, Quark = $O(N_c)$, Hadron = O(1)
 - → DECONFINEMENT phase transition (order parameter = Polyakov loop) is independent from quark chemical potential μ as far as μ = O(1).

• Large
$$\mu$$
 (N_c $\mu > M_B$) but low T (T < T_d)

- → Weakly interacting quark gas, but no free gluons (confined).
- = High Density *Confined* Phase



Dept. Phys. Colloquium, Hokkaido U., Dec. 16, 2008

A Conjecture from Large N_c: Quarkyonic Phase

Pisarski, McLerran, 2007

Quark

Confined High Density Matter at Large N_c

Quarkyonic Phase
 (Quarks deeply inside the Fermi Sphere, with baryonic excitations)

Do we really see this phase at Nc=3 ? What happens to Chiral Symmetry ?





OCD

Quarkyonic phase in SC-LQCD

We study the phase diagram in Strong Coupling Lattice QCD (SC-LQCD) with 1/g² correction, and examine the existence of the Quarkyonic (QY) phase.

- Reservations: It is still a "Toy"
 - One species of staggered fermion without quarter/square root $\rightarrow N_f = 4$
 - Leading order in 1/d (d=spatial dim.)
 → No baryon effects (cf. *Par-Tue, Miura*)
 - Mean Field treatment
 - No Diqaurk condensate
 - NLO in 1/g² expansion, ...







Strong Coupling Limit of Lattice QCD

- SCL-LQCD has been a powerful tool in "phase diagram" study !
 - Chiral restoration, Phase diagram, Baryon effects, Hadron masses, Finite coupling effects,
 1.2 σ_g=0 α=0.1



Damgaard,Kawamoto, Shigemoto, PRL53('84),2211



AO, Kawamoto, Miura, 2008





Lattice QCD (1)

QCD Lagrangian

$$L = \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} - m_0) \psi - \frac{1}{2} tr (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

 ψ = Quark, *F* = Gluon tensor, m₀ = (small) quark mass

Lattice Action

$$S_{\text{QCD}} = S_G + S_F^{(s)} + S_F^{(t)} + m_0 \bar{\chi} \chi$$

$$S_{G} = -\frac{1}{g^{2}} \sum_{\text{plaq.}} \text{Tr} U_{ij}(x) + c.c.$$

$$S_{F}^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, j > 0} \left(\overline{X}_{x} U_{j}(x) X_{x+\hat{j}} - \overline{X}_{x+\hat{j}} U_{j}^{+}(x) X_{x} \right)$$

$$S_{F}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} \left(e^{\mu} \overline{X}_{x} U_{0}(x) X_{x+\hat{0}} - e^{-\mu} \overline{X}_{x+\hat{0}} U_{0}^{+}(x) X_{x} \right)$$



- $\chi = \text{starggered fermion (quark)}$ $U = \text{link variable} \in SU(N_c)$ (gluon),
- μ = quark chemical potential



Lattice QCD (2)

Full QCD MC Simulation

→ Monte-Carlo Integral of Det (Fermion Matrix) over link var. (U)

Big Task !

Matrix Size= 4 (spinor) x (Color) x (Space-Time Points) Eigen Values are widely distributed

Complex Weight with finite µ

$$\int d\bar{X} dX dU \exp(-S_G + \bar{X} AX) = \int dU \qquad A \qquad 4 N_c N_\tau N_s^3$$

Т

- Quenched QCD
 - Assuming Det = 1 ~ Ignoring Fermion Loops
 - Works very well for hadron masses
- Strong Coupling Limit ($g \rightarrow \infty$)
 - Pure gluonic action disappears \rightarrow Analytic evaluation of Fermion Det.



SCL-LQCD: Tools (1) --- One-Link Integral

Group Integral Formulae

$$\int dU U_{ab} U_{cd}^{+} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}$$
$$\int dU U_{ab} U_{cd} U_{ef} = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ace} \epsilon_{bdf}$$

$$\int dUU_{ab}U_{cd}^{+} U_{j}^{+} U_{j}^{+} U_{j}^{+} U_{j}^{+}$$

$$(U_{j})^{3}$$

$$(U_{j})^{3}$$

$$\overline{B} = \epsilon \overline{X} \overline{X} \overline{X} / 6 B = \epsilon X X X / 6$$

$$\int dUU_{ab}U_{cd}^{+} = \frac{1}{N_{c}} \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}$$

$$\int dUU_{ab}U_{cd}U_{ef} = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ace} \epsilon_{bdf}$$

$$\int dU \exp(-a\overline{X}(x)UX(y) + b\overline{X}(y)U^{+}X(x))$$

= $\int dU \Big[1 - ab\overline{X}(a)^{a}U_{ab}X^{b}(y)\overline{X}^{c}(y)U_{cd}^{+}X^{d}(x) + \cdots \Big]$
= $1 + ab(X\overline{X})(x)(X\overline{X})(y) + \cdots = 1 + abM(x)M(y) + \cdots$
= $\exp[abM(x)M(y) + \cdots]$

Quarks and Gluons \rightarrow One-Link integral \rightarrow Mesonic and Baryonic Composites



SCL-LQCD: Tools (2) --- 1/d Expansion

■ Keep mesonic action to be indep. from spatial dimension d → Higher order terms are suppressed at large d.

$$\sum_{j} (\bar{X} U_{j} X) (\bar{X} U_{j}^{\dagger} X) \rightarrow -\frac{1}{N_{c}} \sum_{j} M(x) M(x + \hat{j}) = O(1)$$
$$\rightarrow M \propto 1 / \sqrt{d}, X \propto d^{-1/4}$$

$$\sum_{j} (\bar{X} U_{j} X) (\bar{X} U_{j} X) (\bar{X} U_{j} X) \rightarrow N_{c}! \sum_{j} B(x) B(x + \hat{j}) = O(1/\sqrt{d})$$

$$\sum_{j} (\bar{X} U_{j} X)^{2} (\bar{X} U_{j}^{+} X)^{2} \rightarrow \sum_{j} M^{2}(x) M^{2}(x+\hat{j}) = O(1/d)$$

We can stop the expansion in U, since higher order terms are suppressed !



SCL-LQCD: Tools (3) --- Bosonization

We can reduce the power in χ by introducing bosons

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}M^{2}\right) = \int d\sigma \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2} - \sigma M\right)$$

Nuclear MFA: $V = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}\psi)(\bar{\psi}\psi) \simeq -U(\bar{\psi}\psi) + \frac{1}{2}U^{2}$
$$\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}M^{2}\right] = \int d\varphi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{2} - i\varphi M\right]$$

Reduction of the power of \chi \rightarrow **Bi-Linear form in \chi** \rightarrow **Fermion Determinant**



SCL-LQCD: Tools (4) --- Grassman Integral

Bi-linear Fermion action leads to -log(det A) effective action

$$\int dX d\overline{X} \exp\left[\overline{X} AX\right] = \det A = \exp\left[-(-\log \det A)\right]$$

 $\int dX \cdot 1 = \text{anti-comm. constant} = 0$, $\int dX \cdot X = \text{comm. constant} \equiv 1$

$$\int dX d\bar{X} \exp\left[\bar{X}AX\right] = \int dX d\bar{X} \frac{1}{N!} (\bar{X}AX)^N = \cdots = \det A$$

Constant $\sigma \rightarrow$ - log σ interaction (Chiral RMF)

■ Temporal Link Integral, Matsubara product, Staggered Fermion, → I will explain next time



Effective Potential in SCL-LQCD (Zero T)

QCD Lattice Action (Zero T treatment)

Kawamoto, Smit, 1981 $S = \sum_{K} + S_F + m_0 \overline{X} X$ **Strong Coupling Limit** $\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\chi}\chi)V_M(\bar{\chi}\chi) + m_0\bar{\chi}\chi$ **One-link integral** (1/d expansion*) $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma V_M^{-1} \sigma + \overline{\chi} (\sigma + m_0) \chi$ **Bosonization** $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma V_M^{-1} \sigma - N_c \sum \log(\sigma(x) + m_0) \frac{\text{Fermion}}{\text{Integral}}$ $= L^{d} N_{\tau} \left| \frac{N_{c}}{d+1} \bar{\sigma}^{2} - N_{c} \log(\bar{\sigma} + m_{0}) \right|$



* d = Spatial dim.

Effective Potential

Fermion Matrix = Just a number \rightarrow Simple Logarithmic Effective Potential for σ $V_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} a_{\sigma} \sigma^2 - b_{\sigma} \log \sigma$



Effective Potential in SCL-LOCD (Zero T)

Effective Pot. at Zero T

Kawamoto, Smit, 1981 Kluberg-Stern, Morel, Napoly, Petersson, 1981

$$F_{eff}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}a_{\sigma}\sigma^2 - b_{\sigma}\log\sigma$$

Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry breaking at T=0 is naturally explained !

No Phase Transition ?



- **Grassman integral at each space-time point**
 - in Zero T treatment
 - \rightarrow "Temporal" Correlation and Anti-periodic Boundary Cond. would be important at Finite T !





Effective Potential in SCL-LQCD (Finite T)



Fermion Determinant

Fermion action is separated to each spatial point and bi-linear \rightarrow Determinant of N τ x Nc matrix

$$\exp(-V_{\text{eff}}/T) = \int dU_0 \begin{vmatrix} I_1 & e^{\mu} & 0 & e^{-\mu}U^+ \\ -e^{-\mu} & I_2 & e^{\mu} \\ 0 & e^{-\mu} & I_3 & e^{\mu} \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ -e^{\mu}U & -e^{-\mu} & I_N \end{vmatrix} \qquad \text{Nc x Nt}$$
$$= \int dU_0 det \Big[X_N[\sigma] \otimes \mathbf{1}_c + e^{-\mu/T}U^+ + (-1)^{N_\tau} e^{\mu/T}U \Big] \qquad \text{Nc}$$

 $I_k = 2(\sigma(k) + m_0)$

$$X_{N} = \begin{vmatrix} I_{1} & e^{\mu} & 0 & \cdots & e^{-\mu} \\ -e^{-\mu} & I_{2} & e^{\mu} & & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-\mu} & I_{3} & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & I_{N-1} & e^{\mu} \\ -e^{\mu} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -e^{-\mu} & I_{N} \end{vmatrix} - \left[e^{-\mu/T} + (-1)^{N} e^{\mu/T} \right]$$



Faldt, Petersson, 1986

Effective Potential in SCL-LQCD (Time dependence...)

Zero T, no Baryon Kawamoto, Smit, 1981

$$\mathcal{F}_{eff}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma}^{(0)} \sigma^{2} - N_{c} \log(b_{\sigma}^{(0)} \sigma + m_{0})$$
Zero T, with Baryon
Damgaad, Hochberg, Kawamoto, 1985

$$\mathcal{F}_{eff}^{(0b)} = \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma}^{(0)} \sigma^{2} + F_{eff}^{(b\mu)} (4m_{q}^{3}; T, \mu)$$
Finite T, no Baryon
Fukushima, 2004; Nishida, 2004

$$\mathcal{F}_{eff}^{(T)} = \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma}^{(T)} \sigma^{2} + F_{eff}^{(q)} (m_{q})$$
Finite T, with Baryon
Kawamoto, Miura, AO, Ohnuma, 2007

$$\mathcal{F}_{eff} = \frac{b_{\sigma}}{2} \sigma^{2} + F_{eff}^{(q)} (m_{q}) + \Delta F_{eff}^{(b)} (g_{\sigma}\sigma)$$

$$F_{eff}^{(q)} = -Tlog \left(\frac{\sinh((N_{c}+1)E(m_{q})/T)}{\sinh(E(m_{q})/T)} + 2\cosh(N_{c}\mu/T) \right)$$



Evolution of Phase Diagram

- Phase Diagram "Shape" becomes closer to that of Real World, R=3 μ_c/T_c ~ (6-12)
 - $1985 \rightarrow R=0.79$ (Zero T / Finite T)
 - 1992 \rightarrow R=0.83 (Finite T & μ)
 - 2004 \rightarrow R= 0.99 (Finite T& μ)
 - 2007 \rightarrow R=1.34 (Baryon)



1.2



Ohnishi, YITP Colloq., 2008/05/28

Finite Coupling Effects on the Phase Diagram and the Quarkyonic Phase



Towards the Real Phase Diagram

 When we increase "Reality" variable, Phase diagram "Shape" may be approximately explained. Real World: R=3 μ_c/T_c ~ (6-12) SCL-LQCD: R=0.79-1.34 SC-LQCD with finite β (=6/g²)~5 → R ~ 4.5

Expectation before Calc.



Calc. with 1/g² effects





Effective Action with $1/g^2$ (1)

- Strong Coupling Limit → No Plaquette Contribution
- $1/g^2 \rightarrow$ Single plaquette contribution
 - Spatial One-Link Integral (1/d expansion)
 - → MMMM (Spatial Plaq.), V⁺V⁻ (Temporal Plaq.) Faldt, Petersson (86); Bilic, Karsch, Redlich (92)

$$V_x^+ = e^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_x U_0(x) \chi_{x+\hat{0}}$$
$$V_x^- = e^{-\mu} \bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{0}} U_0^{\dagger}(x) \chi_x$$



- Product of Different Composites
 - → Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich Transf.

(Mean field approx. ϕ (Scalar), Saddle point approx. for ϕ (Vector))

$$e^{\alpha AB} = \int d\varphi \, d\phi \, e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi + \phi^2 - i(A-B)\phi\right\}}$$
$$\approx e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi - \phi^2 + (A-B)\phi\right\}}.$$



Effective Action with $1/g^2$ (2)

Temporal Plaquette action

$$\Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} \approx \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} \left[\varphi_{\tau}^2 + (V_x^+ - V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\varphi_{\tau} - \phi_{\tau}^2 - (V_x^+ + V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\phi_{\tau} \right] + (j \leftrightarrow -j)$$

Effective Action with 1/g²





Effective Potential with $1/g^2$

Effective Potential (after subst. equil. value for \phi_{\tau} and \phi_{s})

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}} = & \mathcal{F}_{\text{X}}(\sigma, \phi_{\tau}) + \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}}(m_{q}(\sigma), \tilde{\mu}(\phi_{\tau}), T) \\ \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}} = & -T \log \left[X_{N_{c}}(E_{q}/T) + 2 \cosh(N_{c}\tilde{\mu}/T) \right] \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{X}} = & \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma} \sigma^{2} + \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \sigma^{2} (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + \frac{3d\beta_{s}}{2} \sigma^{4} - \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \phi_{\tau}^{2} \\ m_{q} = & m_{q}^{\text{SCL}} (1 - N_{c}\beta_{\tau}) + \beta_{\tau} \sigma (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + 2d\beta_{s} \sigma^{3} \\ \tilde{\mu} = & \mu - \beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \end{split}$$
from Plaq.

• Scaling of temporal spacing $(1 + \beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau})$ in the Eff. Action \rightarrow suppr. of quark mass m_{q}

• Higher order terms $M^4 \rightarrow \sigma^4$ (Self-energy of σ)

• Aux. Field $\phi_{\tau} = \rho_{q}$ (equil.) $\rightarrow \mu$ is shifted by baryon density

Let us examine the phase diagram with this F_{eff} !



Evolution of T_c and μ_c

- **T**_c (μ =0) rapidly decreases with $\beta = 6/g^2$ increases.
 - MC results ($N_{\tau} = 2$) Quench $\beta_c = 5.097(1)$ (Kennedy et al, 1985)

$$\begin{split} m_{_0}=&0.05 \rightarrow \beta_c=3.81(2), \ m_{_0}=&0.025 \rightarrow \beta_c=3.67(2) \\ (\text{de Forcrand, private comm.}) \end{split}$$

MC results with small m_0 agrees with SC-LQCD !





Dept. Phys. Colloquium, Hokkaido U., Dec. 16, 2008

Phase Diagram

- Three phases in SC-LQCD with $N_c = 3$, $6/g^2 > 3.53$, $m_0 = 0$ (χ limit)
 - Nambu-Goldstone (NG) phase: Large σ, Small ρ_α, Small P
 - Winger phase: $\sigma=0$, Large ρ_{α} , finite P





Dept. Phys. Colloquium, Hokkaido U., Dec. 16, 2008

Comparison with Other Models



Comparison with Other Models

Quarkyonic(-like) Area in SC-LQCD is smaller than in PNJL

Fukushima (08) Abuki, Anglani Gatto, Nardulli, Ruggieril [arXiv:0805.1509]





Dept. Phys. Colloquium, Hokkaido U., Dec. 16, 2008

まとめ

- ■格子QCDにおける強結合展開によるクォーク物質の相の研究
 - 低温・高密度物質の研究が可能
 - 拡張されたハバード変換 (Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich transf.)
 により、有限結合効果 (1/g² 効果)の評価が可能
 - ゼロバリオン密度 (μ =0) での臨界結合定数は、 格子 QCD シミュレーションの結果と consistent (P. de Forcrand, T_c=1/2 (N₇=2) at 6/g_c² ~ 3.6)
- 有限バリオン密度では、ベクトルポテンシャル斥力により、 「カイラル対称性が部分的に回復した高密度相」が存在する 可能性がある。
 - → McLerran & Pisarski の提案した Quarkyonic 相に対応 QY may be the "NEXT" to the hadron phase even at $N_c=3$.
- より realistic な取り扱いへ向けて 1/g⁴,他の Fermion,フレーバー効果..... → to be continued !

