<u> 強結合格子QCD におけるQuarkyonic</u>相 Quarkyonic Phase in Lattice QCD at Strong Coupling

Akira Ohnishi and Kohtaroh Miura

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP), Kyoto Univ.

- Introduction
- Strong Coupling Lattice QCD with 1/g² Correction
- Phase diagram in SC-LQCD and Quarkyonic Phase
- Summary

Miura and AO, arXiv:0806.3357 Our previous refs. on 1/g² corr. AO et al. PTP Suppl. 168(07) 261 [arXiv:0704.2823] AO, Kawamoto, Miura, J.Phys.G34(07)S655 [hep-lat/0701024]



Introduction: Quark Matter Phase Diagram

- What is the NEXT to the hadron phase ?
 - High T direction → (Strongly correlated) Quark Gluon Plasma
 - High μ direction ; Important for Dense Matter Physics Baryon rich QGP
 - or Color SuperConductor (CSC)
 - or Quarkyonic (QY) matter McLerran, Pisarski (07)





Quarkyonic Phase & Strong Coupling Lattice QCD

- Quarkyonic matter at large N_c McLerran, Pisarski (07)
 - Gluon contribution $O(N_c^2) >> Quark O(N_c)$, Hadron $O(1) \rightarrow$ Gluonic (deconf.) P.T. is independent of μ (as far as $\mu = O(1)$)
 - At N_cµ > M_B, baryon density rapidly grows, and soon reaches O(N_c)
 → Existence of "Confined High Baryon Density Matter" made of *quarks* but with baryonic excitation (Quarkyonic Matter)

Do we have Quarkyonic phase in QCD with $N_c=3$? \rightarrow QCD at Finite (but not very large) μ \rightarrow Strong Coupling Lattice QCD



Quarkyonic phase in SC-LQCD

We study the phase diagram in Strong Coupling Lattice QCD (SC-LQCD) with 1/g² correction, and examine the existence of the Quarkyonic (QY) phase.

- Reservations: It is still a "Toy"
 - One species of staggered fermion without quarter/square root $\rightarrow N_f = 4$
 - Leading order in 1/d (d=spatial dim.)
 → No baryon effects (cf. *Par-Tue, Miura*)
 - Mean Field treatment
 - No Diqaurk condensate
 - NLO in 1/g² expansion, ...



Effective Action with $1/g^2$ (1)

- Strong Coupling Limit → No Plaquette Contribution
- $1/g^2 \rightarrow$ Single plaquette contribution
 - Spatial One-Link Integral (1/d expansion)
 - → MMMM (Spatial Plaq.), V⁺V⁻ (Temporal Plaq.) Faldt, Petersson (86); Bilic, Karsch, Redlich (92)

$$V_x^+ = e^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_x U_0(x) \chi_{x+\hat{0}}$$
$$V_x^- = e^{-\mu} \bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{0}} U_0^{\dagger}(x) \chi_x$$



- Product of Different Composites
 - → Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich Transf.

(Mean field approx. ϕ (Scalar), Saddle point approx. for ϕ (Vector))

$$e^{\alpha AB} = \int d\varphi \, d\phi \, e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi + \phi^2 - i(A-B)\phi\right\}}$$
$$\approx e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi - \phi^2 + (A-B)\phi\right\}}.$$



Effective Action with $1/g^2$ (2)

Temporal Plaquette action

$$\Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} \approx \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} \left[\varphi_{\tau}^2 + (V_x^+ - V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\varphi_{\tau} - \phi_{\tau}^2 - (V_x^+ + V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\phi_{\tau} \right] + (j \leftrightarrow -j)$$

Effective Action with 1/g²





Effective Potential with $1/g^2$

Effective Potential (after subst. equil. value for \phi_{\tau} and \phi_{s})

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}} = & \mathcal{F}_{\text{X}}(\sigma, \phi_{\tau}) + \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}}(m_{q}(\sigma), \tilde{\mu}(\phi_{\tau}), T) \\ \mathcal{V}_{\text{q}} = & -T \log \left[X_{N_{c}}(E_{q}/T) + 2 \cosh(N_{c}\tilde{\mu}/T) \right] \\ \mathcal{F}_{\text{X}} = & \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma} \sigma^{2} + \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \sigma^{2} (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + \frac{3d\beta_{s}}{2} \sigma^{4} - \frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} \phi_{\tau}^{2} \\ m_{q} = & m_{q}^{\text{SCL}} (1 - N_{c}\beta_{\tau}) + \beta_{\tau} \sigma (m_{q}^{\text{SCL}})^{2} + 2d\beta_{s} \sigma^{3} \\ \tilde{\mu} = & \mu - \beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau} \end{split}$$
from Plaq.

• Scaling of temporal spacing $(1 + \beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau})$ in the Eff. Action \rightarrow suppr. of quark mass m_q

• Higher order terms $M^4 \rightarrow \sigma^4$ (Self-energy of σ)

• Aux. Field $\phi_{\tau} = \rho_{q}$ (equil.) $\rightarrow \mu$ is shifted by baryon density

Let us examine the phase diagram with this F_{eff} !



Evolution of T_c **and** μ_c

- **T**_c (μ =0) rapidly decreases with $\beta = 6/g^2$ increases.
 - MC results (N_{τ} =2) Quench β_c =5.097(1) (Kennedy et al, 1985)

$$\begin{split} m_0 = 0.05 \rightarrow \beta_c = 3.81(2), \ m_0 = 0.025 \rightarrow \beta_c = 3.67(2) \\ (\text{de Forcrand, private comm.}) \end{split}$$

MC results with small m_0 agrees with SC-LQCD !



Ohnishi, Miura, JPS@Yamagata, 2008/09/20-23

Phase Diagram

- Three phases in SC-LQCD with $N_c = 3$, $6/g^2 > 3.53$, $m_0 = 0$ (χ limit)
 - Nambu-Goldstone (NG) phase: Large σ, Small ρ_α, Small P
 - Winger phase: $\sigma=0$, Large ρ_{α} , finite P



Comparison with Other Models



Comparison with Other Models

Quarkyonic(-like) Area in SC-LQCD is smaller than in PNJL

Fukushima (08) Abuki, Anglani Gatto, Nardulli, Ruggieril [arXiv:0805.1509]





Ohnishi, Miura, JPS@Yamagata, 2008/09/20-23

Conclusions

Miura and AO, arXiv:0806.3357

- We have investigated the phase diagram in Strong Coupling Lattice QCD with 1/g² corrections. Through the extended Hubbard-Stratonovich transf., we find that vector field for quarks is introduced.
- Critical Temperature at μ=0 is found to be consistent with MC results by P. de Forcrand, T_c=1/2 (N_τ=2) at 6/g_c² ~ 3.6
- We find that the Quarkyonic (QY) phase at large Nc proposed by McLerran & Pisarski appears also at N_c=3 in SC-LQCD with 6/g² > 3.53, where the chiral symmetry is weakly but spontaneously broken, and the baryon density is high.

QY may be the "NEXT" to the hadron phase even at $N_c=3$.

Do we really have QY in nature ?





Backups



"Evolution" of Phase Diagram

- Phase Diagram "Shape" becomes closer to that of Real World.
 - Real world R=3 $\mu_c/T_c \sim (6-12)$
 - $1985 \rightarrow R=0.79$ (Zero T / Finite T)
 - 1992 \rightarrow R=0.83 (Finite T & μ)
 - 2004 \rightarrow R= 0.99 (Finite T& μ)





Effective Potential in SCL-LQCD



VITP Kyoto

Ohnishi, Miura, JPS@Yamagata, 2008/09/20-23

Effective Potential with $1/g^2$ (1)

1/d expansion of Plaquette action (Spatial One-Link Integral)

Faldt, Petersson (86); Bilic, Karsch, Redlich (92)

$$\int dU U_{ab} U_{cd}^{+} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta_{ad} \delta_{bc}$$

- Spatial plaquett $\rightarrow MMMM$
- Temporal Link $\rightarrow V^+V^-$

$$V_x^+ = e^{\mu} \bar{\chi}_x U_0(x) \chi_{x+\hat{0}}$$
$$V_x^- = e^{-\mu} \bar{\chi}_{x+\hat{0}} U_0^{\dagger}(x) \chi_x$$

Effective Action









$$\begin{split} \Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} &= \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} (V_x^+ V_{x+\hat{j}}^- + V_x^+ V_{x-\hat{j}}^-) \\ \Delta S_{\beta}^{(s)} &= -\frac{1}{8N_c^4 g^2} \sum_{x,k>j>0} M_x M_{x+\hat{j}} M_{x+\hat{k}} M_{x+\hat{k}+\hat{j}} \end{split}$$



Effective Potential with 1/g^2 (2)

Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich Transf.

$$e^{\alpha AB} = \int d\varphi \, d\phi \, e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi + \phi^2 - i(A-B)\phi\right\}}$$
$$\approx e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi - \phi^2 + (A-B)\phi\right\}}.$$

- Mean field approx. ϕ , Saddle point approx. for ϕ
- E.g. Temporal Plaquette action becomes,

$$\Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} \approx \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} \left[\varphi_{\tau}^2 + (V_x^+ - V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\varphi_{\tau} - \phi_{\tau}^2 - (V_x^+ + V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\phi_{\tau} \right] + (j \leftrightarrow -j)$$

Effective Action becomes similar to the SCL action,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \beta_{\tau} \varphi_{\tau} \right] \sum_{x} \left[e^{-\beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}} V_{x}^{+} - e^{\beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}} V_{x}^{-} \right] + m_{0} \sum_{x} M_{x} \qquad 1/g^{2}$$
$$- \left(\frac{1}{4N_{c}} + \beta_{s} \varphi_{s} \right) \sum_{x,j>0} M_{x} M_{x+\hat{j}} + N_{\tau} L^{d} \left[\frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} (\varphi_{\tau}^{2} - \phi_{\tau}^{2}) + \frac{d\beta_{s}}{2} \varphi_{s}^{2} \right]$$



Effective Potential with $1/g^2$ (2)

Extended Hubbard-Stratonovich Transf.

$$e^{\alpha AB} = \int d\varphi \, d\phi \, e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi + \phi^2 - i(A-B)\phi\right\}}$$
$$\approx e^{-\alpha \left\{\varphi^2 - (A+B)\varphi - \phi^2 + (A-B)\phi\right\}}.$$

- Mean field approx. ϕ , Saddle point approx. for ϕ
- E.g. Temporal Plaquette action becomes,

$$\Delta S_{\beta}^{(\tau)} \approx \frac{1}{4N_c^2 g^2} \sum_{x,j>0} \left[\varphi_{\tau}^2 + (V_x^+ - V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\varphi_{\tau} - \phi_{\tau}^2 - (V_x^+ + V_{x+\hat{j}}^-)\phi_{\tau} \right] + (j \leftrightarrow -j)$$

$$\blacksquare \mathbf{WF Renormalization} \qquad \blacksquare \mathbf{Mod.}$$

$$\blacksquare \mathbf{Effective Action becomes similar to the SCL action,} \qquad \mathbf{Aux. Terms}$$

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \beta_{\tau} \varphi_{\tau} \right] \sum_{x} \left[e^{-\beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}} V_x^+ - e^{\beta_{\tau} \phi_{\tau}} V_x^- \right] + m_0 \sum_{x} M_x \left[\frac{M_x}{2} + \beta_s \varphi_s \right] \sum_{x,j>0} M_x M_{x+\hat{j}} + N_{\tau} L^d \left[\frac{\beta_{\tau}}{2} (\varphi_{\tau}^2 - \phi_{\tau}^2) + \frac{d\beta_s}{2} \varphi_s^2 \right]$$

Baryon Density and Polyakov Loop in QY

- **Example:** $N_c=3$, $6/g^2=4.5$, $m_0=0$ (χ limit)
- **Baryon Density** (= $\rho_q/3$)
 - $\rho_q \sim 0$ in Nambu-Goldstone (NG) phase $\rho_q > 0$ in Wigner phase
 - ρ_q in QY ~ ρ_q in Wigner phase
- (Quark Driven) Polyakov Loop (=P)
 - Quark driven $P \sim O(N_c)$
 - P(QY) < P (Wig.)

$$P \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \left[\prod_{\tau} U_0 + \prod_{\tau} U_0^{\dagger} \right] \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{X_{N_c-1} \cosh\left[\tilde{\mu}/T\right] + X_1 \cosh\left[(N_c - 1)\tilde{\mu}/T\right]}{N_c \left(X_{N_c} + 2 \cosh\left[N_c\tilde{\mu}/T\right]\right)}$$

Why do we have QY? Which Explanation do you like?

- 2nd order P.T. condition
 (C₂=0) leads to the relation
 of effective µ and T. $F_{-\alpha} = F(\sigma=0) + C_2 \sigma^2 + C_4 \sigma^4 + \dots$ Wig1

$$C_{2}(T, \mu - \beta_{\tau} \rho_{q}(\sigma = 0)) = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \mu_{c}^{(2nd)} = f(T) - \beta_{\tau} \rho_{q}$$

Smaller σ

- → Smaller const. quark mass
- \rightarrow Larger ρ_q
- \rightarrow Smaller μ_{eff}

 $\sigma \circ \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$ Later P.T. to Wigner phase ($\sigma = 0$)

Ohnishi, Miura, JPS waamuguu, 2000/07/20-23